

## THREEFOLDS WITH NEGATIVE KODAIRA DIMENSION AND POSITIVE IRREGULARITY

MAURO BELTRAMETTI AND PAOLO FRANCIA

### § 0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study threefolds  $X$ , with negative Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X)$  and positive irregularity  $q(X)$ , defined over the complex field  $C$ .

In Section 1 we recall some definitions and preliminary results. The main statements are contained in Section 2. We prove the following:

I) Assume the Euler-Poincaré characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is positive. Then  $X$  is birationally equivalent to a conic bundle on a surface  $S$  such that  $\kappa(S) \geq 0$ .

II) Suppose  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$ . Then there exist a projective nonsingular curve  $C$  of positive genus and a morphism  $X \rightarrow C$  such that the general fibre is a rational surface.

Statement I) also follows by combining some results due to T. Mabuchi and K. Ueno. Precisely,  $X$  is uniruled whenever  $q(X) > 0$ , as pointed out by K. Ueno in [U2]. Using this fact, then the assert can be obtained from a more general result contained in [M], that requires a rather hard and lengthy proof. Our argument is more direct and it does not use the uniruledness of  $X$ .

Statement II) gives also the converse of another result due to T. Mabuchi (see [M], 2. 3. 2.).

In case  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  then  $X$  falls into item I) or II) according to whether  $H^0(X, S^{12}(\mathcal{O}_X^2))$  has positive or zero dimension.

Finally, in Section 3 a more explicit description of threefolds belonging to family II) is given by using the Enriques-Iskovskih classification of minimal rational surfaces (see [I], Theorem 1). Precisely, we show that there exists a birational minimal model  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  such that:

a)  $\tilde{X} = C \times P^2$ , or

---

Received March 29, 1982.

b)  $\tilde{X}$  is a conic bundle on a surface birationally equivalent to  $C \times P^1$ , or

c) there exists a morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow C$  whose generic fibre  $S$  (in the sense of Grothendieck) is a Del Pezzo surface with the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(S) \simeq Z$  generated by the anticanonical sheaf  $\omega_S^{-1}$  and  $1 \leq \omega_S^2 \leq 6$ .

We would like to thank S. Mori for some helpful conversation.

### § 1. Notations, definitions, and preliminary results

By variety we mean a complete nonsingular algebraic variety defined over the complex field. For all coherent sheafs  $\mathcal{F}$  on a  $d$ -dimensional variety  $V$  we denote by  $h^i(\mathcal{F})$  the dimension of the complex vector space  $H^i(V, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Moreover we call *irregularity* of  $V$  the integer  $q(V) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_V)$  and we note by  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_V) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{O}_V)$  the *Euler-Poncaré characteristic* of  $V$ . The  $m$ -plurigenus of  $V$ ,  $m$  positive integer, is  $p_m(V) = h^0(\omega_V^{\otimes m})$ , where  $\omega_V$  is the canonical sheaf. Finally, for all  $p$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq d$ , we denote by  $S^r(\Omega_V^p)$  the  $r$ -symmetric tensor of the sheaf of regular  $p$ -forms  $\Omega_V^p$ .

Let  $f: V \rightarrow W$  be a surjective morphism with connected fibres of a  $n$ -dimensional variety  $V$  over a  $m$ -dimensional variety  $W$ . Iitaka conjectured the following inequality for the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(\cdot)$  to be true (see [U1], IV, § 11):

CONJECTURE  $C_{n,m}$ .  $\kappa(V) \geq \kappa(W) + \kappa(V_w)$  where  $V_w = f^{-1}(w)$ ,  $w$  closed point in  $W$ , is a general fibre of  $f$ . As proved in [V1], [V2], conjecture  $C_{n,n-1}$  holds for  $n \geq 2$ , while in the case  $n = 3$  also conjecture  $C_{3,1}$  is true.

From now on, by *threefold* we mean a nonsingular projective variety of dimension 3.

DEFINITION. Let  $V$  be a threefold. We say that  $V$  is a *conical fibration* if there exist a projective surface  $Y$  and a rational map  $h: V \dashrightarrow Y$  whose general fibre is a rational curve.

In the sequel we frequently use the following results contained in [M], 2.3.1, 2.3.2.

PROPOSITION 1.1 (Mabuchi). *Let  $h: V \dashrightarrow Y$  be a conical fibration. Then  $h^*: H^0(Y, S^m(\Omega_Y^p)) \rightarrow H^0(V, S^m(\Omega_V^p))$  is an isomorphism for all positive integers  $m$  and  $p = 0, 1, 2$ .*

PROPOSITION 1.2 (Mabuchi). *Let  $X$  (resp.  $C$ ) be a threefold (resp. a*

nonsingular projective curve) and  $f: X \rightarrow C$  be a surjective morphism whose general fibre is an irreducible nonsingular rational surface. Then for all positive integers  $m, p$  we have the isomorphism  $f^*: H^0(C, S^m(\Omega_C^p)) \simeq H^0(X, S^m(\Omega_X^p))$ .

DEFINITION. We say that a threefold  $X$  is a conic bundle if there exist a nonsingular projective surface  $S$  and a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  such that all fibres are isomorphic to conics.

A connection between conical fibrations and conic bundles is given by the following result contained in [Z].

THEOREM 1.3 (Zagorskii). For any conical fibration  $(V, Y, h)$  there exists a conic bundle  $(X, S, f)$  together with a birational morphism  $g: S \rightarrow Y$  and a birational map  $\tilde{g}: X \dashrightarrow V$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & V \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ S & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

We frequently use results on surfaces' classification, for which we refer to [B].

§ 2. Birational structure of threefolds with  $\kappa(X) < 0, q(X) > 0$

Our aim is to prove the following

THEOREM 2.1 Let  $X$  be a threefold with  $\kappa(X) < 0, q(X) > 0$ . Then we have:

I)  $X$  is birationally equivalent to a conic bundle on a surface  $S$  such that  $\kappa(S) \geq 0$ , or

II) The image of the Albanese mapping  $\alpha: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  is a nonsingular curve and the general fibre of  $\alpha$  is a rational surface.

Furthermore if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$ , or  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $h^0(S^{12}(\Omega_X^2)) > 0$ , then  $X$  belongs to family I); while, whenever  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$ , or  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $h^0(S^{12}(\Omega_X^2)) = 0$ , then  $X$  belongs to family II).

Proof. Consider the Stein factorization of the Albanese mapping  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Alb}(X) \\ f \searrow & & \nearrow f' \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

The morphism  $f$  has connected fibres and  $f'$  is finite. By well known general facts we have  $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$ ,  $\dim Y \leq 2$  (see [U1], II § 6, IV § 10). Suppose  $\dim Y = 2$ . Then  $C_{3,2}$  gives

$$\kappa(X) \geq \kappa(F) + \kappa(Y),$$

$F$  general fibre of  $f$ . Thus  $\kappa(F) < 0$ , i.e.  $F \simeq P^1$ . Hence we are done by use of Zagorskii result.

Therefore we can suppose that the image of the Albanese mapping is a curve  $C$ , in which case  $C$  is nonsingular of genus  $p_q(C) = q(X)$  and the fibres of  $\alpha: X \rightarrow C$  are connected ([U1], 9.19).

Again the general fibre  $F$  of  $\alpha: X \rightarrow C$  has negative Kodaira dimension by  $C_{3,1}$ , so that  $F$  is a ruled surface. As the morphism  $\alpha$  is flat (see [H], III, 9.7) it follows that all general fibres  $F$  have the same irregularity. In fact  $p_g(F) = 0$  and the Euler-Poincaré characteristic does not depend on  $F$  ([H], III, 9.9).

First, suppose the irregularity  $q(F)$  to be zero. Then  $F$  is a rational surface, so that  $X$  belongs to family II).

Thus, from now on, we can assume the irregularity  $q(F)$  to be positive. Then there exist a nonsingular projective curve  $B$  with  $p_g(B) = q(F)$  and a morphism  $\varphi: F \rightarrow B$  whose general fibre is isomorphic to  $P^1$ .

We fix now a pair  $F, \Gamma$ , where  $F$  is a nonsingular fibre of  $\alpha: X \rightarrow C$  and  $\Gamma \simeq P^1$  is a fibre of the morphism  $\varphi: F \rightarrow B$ . Consider the exact sequence of normal bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{F/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0.$$

One has  $\Gamma \cdot F = 0$ . Moreover  $(\Gamma^2)_F = 0$ . This means that  $\mathcal{N}_{F/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$  and the sequence becomes

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$h^0(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/X}) = 2, \quad h^1(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/X}) = 0.$$

Then from a general theorem contained in [FGA], exp. 221, the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}(\Gamma, X)$  is a surface which is smooth at the point  $s_0$  representing  $\Gamma$ . Let  $S_0$  be the irreducible component of  $\text{Hilb}(\Gamma, X)$  containing  $s_0$  and let  $F(X)$  be the universal family of curves of  $X$  parametrized by  $S_0$ . Look to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \times S_0 \\
 & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \\
 & & S_0
 \end{array}$$

For all closed points  $s \in S_0$  one has  $\psi^{-1}(s) = (\Gamma_s, s)$  where  $\Gamma_s$  is the curve of  $X$  corresponding to  $s$ .

Moreover  $F(X)$  is nothing but the set of the pairs  $(x, s) \in X \times S_0$  such that  $x \in \Gamma_s$ .

Now let  $U, V_0$  be the open sets of  $C, S_0$  corresponding to the non-singular fibres of the morphisms  $\alpha, \psi$  respectively. Note that for all points  $s \in V_0$  the curve  $\Gamma_s$  is rational since  $\psi$  is flat. Put

$$F(X)_0 = F(X) \cap (\alpha^{-1}(U) \times V_0).$$

To proceed further, the following claim is needed.

*Claim.* a) For every  $(x, s) \in F(X)_0$  the curve  $\Gamma_s$  is contained in the fibre  $F_y, y = \alpha(x)$  and  $(\Gamma_s^2)_{F_y} = 0$ .

b) For all elements  $(x_1, s_1), (x_2, s_2) \in F(X)_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x_2) = y$  one has  $(\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2)_{F_y} = 0$ , where  $\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{s_i}, i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since  $\Gamma \cdot F = 0$  and  $F \stackrel{a}{\sim} F_y$  ( $\stackrel{a}{\sim}$  means algebraic equivalence of cycles in  $X$ ) we have  $\Gamma \cdot F_y = 0$ . As  $\Gamma_s \stackrel{a}{\sim} \Gamma$ , it follows  $\Gamma_s \cdot F_y = 0$ . Moreover the curve  $\Gamma_s$  contains  $x$  so that  $x \in \Gamma_s \cap F_y$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_s \subset F_y$ .

Consider now the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_s/F_y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_s/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{F_y/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_s} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\Gamma_s$  is nonsingular the adjunction formula gives

$$\deg \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_s/X} = \deg \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/X}.$$

Hence  $\deg \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_s/X} = 0$  in view of sequence (1). For  $\Gamma_s \cdot F_y = 0$  one has  $\mathcal{N}_{F_y/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_s} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_s}$ , so that  $\deg \mathcal{N}_{F_y/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_s} = 0$ . It follows  $\deg \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_s/F_y} = 0$ , that is  $(\Gamma_s^2)_{F_y} = 0$ .

(b) Claim (a) gives

$$(2) \quad (\Gamma_1^2)_{F_y} = (\Gamma_2^2)_{F_y} = 0$$

Moreover one has  $h^0(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_1/F_y}) = h^0(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma_2/F_y}) = 1$ . Then  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  define two algebraic systems  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  on  $F_y$  of dimension 1. Suppose now  $(\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2)_{F_y} =$

$n > 0^{(*)}$  Fix  $\Gamma_1$  and let  $Z$  be the curve parametrizing  $\Phi_2$ . For all points  $t \in \Gamma_1$  let  $Z_t$  be the element of  $\Phi_2$  determined by passing through  $t$ . So we get a morphism  $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow Z$ , of degree  $n$ , such that  $t$  goes into  $Z_t$ . Therefore  $Z$  is rational being  $\Gamma_1 \simeq P^1$ . This means that  $\Phi_2$  is just a 1-dimensional linear system (of rational curves). It follows that  $F_y$  is a rational surface, hence  $q(F_y) = 0$ : contradiction. Then  $n = 0$ . q.e.d.

A consequence of the Claim is that the projection  $\pi: F(X) \rightarrow X$  is a birational morphism. To see this, take  $(x_1, s_1), (x_2, s_2) \in F(X)_0$  and suppose  $\pi(x_1, s_1) = \pi(x_2, s_2)$ , so that  $x_1 = x_2 = x \in \Gamma_{s_1} \cap \Gamma_{s_2}$ . Therefore Claim (b) implies  $(\Gamma_{s_1} \cdot \Gamma_{s_2})_{F_y} = 0$ , where  $y = \alpha(x)$ . Hence  $\Gamma_{s_1} = \Gamma_{s_2}$  on  $F_y$ . This means that  $\pi$  is injective on the open set  $F(X)_0$ , that is  $\pi$  is a birational morphism.

Thus a rational map  $h: X \dashrightarrow S_0$  is defined, whose general fibre  $h^{-1}(s)$  is isomorphic to  $P^1$ . In fact  $h^{-1}(s)$  coincides by construction with the curve  $\Gamma_s$  of  $X$  corresponding to  $s$ . Therefore  $(X, S_0, h)$  is a conical fibration, so we are done by use of Zagorskii result.

To conclude the proof of the first part of the statement it remains to see that  $\kappa(S_0) \geq 0$ . For this look again at the rational map  $h: X \dashrightarrow S_0$ . The general fibre  $h^{-1}(s)$  is contained in a fibre of  $\alpha: X \rightarrow C$  by Claim (a). Then a rational map  $\beta: S_0 \dashrightarrow C$  is defined, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{h} & S_0 \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \swarrow \beta \\
 C & & 
 \end{array}$$

commutes. We can assume  $h$  and  $\beta$  to be morphisms: otherwise we consider birational models of  $X$  and  $S_0$ . Suppose now  $\kappa(S_0) < 0$ . Then conjecture  $C_{2,1}$  implies that the general fibre of  $\beta$  is isomorphic to  $P^1$ . As before, let  $F$  be the general fibre of  $\alpha$ . By base change  $h$  induces a morphism  $F \rightarrow P^1$  whose general fibre is again isomorphic to  $P^1$  (see also [EGA], I, 3.4.8). Hence  $F$  contains a 1-dimensional linear system of rational curves, so that  $F$  is a rational surface. This is not the case by the assumption made  $q(F) > 0$ . It follows  $\kappa(S_0) \geq 0$ .

We prove now the last part of the statement. Suppose  $X$  belongs

---

(\*) Note that in this case,  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are not numerically equivalent on  $F_y$  in view of relations (2), so that  $\Phi_1 \neq \Phi_2$ .

to family II). Then we get  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  by Proposition 1.2. Therefore  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - q(X) \leq 0$ . It follows that case II) does not occur when  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$ . Assume that  $X$  belongs to family I). Hence one has  $q(X) = q(S)$ ,  $h^0(\Omega_X^2) = h^0(\omega_S)$  by Proposition 1.1, so that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ . Moreover  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 0$  since  $\kappa(S) \geq 0$ . Then  $X$  belongs to family II) if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$ . In the case  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  we consider  $h^0(S^{12}(\Omega_X^2))$ . Again Propositions 1.1, 1.2 give the assert. Now Theorem 1.2 is completely proved. q.e.d.

*Remark.* Let  $X$  be a threefold with negative Kodaira dimension. Then the condition  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$  is equivalent to  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ ,  $q(X) \geq 2$ . In fact, assume  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$ . By combining Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.2 we have  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  so that  $q(X) \geq 2$ . The converse is clear. Hence in particular statement II) holds for a threefold  $X$  whenever  $\kappa(X) < 0$ ,  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , and  $q(X) \geq 2$ . This gives the converse of Proposition 1.2. The following examples show that the condition  $q(X) \geq 2$  can not left out. Consider  $X = S \times P^1$  where  $S$  is any surface such that  $p_g(S) = 0$ ,  $p_{12}(S) > 0$  and  $q(S) = 1$  or  $0$  (f.e. let  $S$  be a hyperelliptic surface or a Godeaux surface). Therefore  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ ,  $q(X) = 1$  or  $0$  and  $h^0(S^{12}(\Omega_X^2)) = p_{12}(S)$  by Proposition 1.1. Assume statement II) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Then by Proposition 1.2 we get  $p_{12}(S) = 0$ : contradiction.

### § 3. The case $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$

We give here a birational classification of threefold belonging to family II) of Theorem 2.1. This is essentially founded on a result due to Iskovskih, contained in [I].

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a threefold with  $\kappa(X) < 0$ ,  $q(X) > 0$ . Suppose there exists a morphism  $\alpha: X \rightarrow C$  such that  $C$  is a nonsingular projective curve and the general fibre is a rational surface. Then  $X$  is birationally equivalent to one of the following types of threefolds  $\tilde{X}$ :*

- a)  $\tilde{X} = C \times P^2$ .
- b)  $\tilde{X}$  is a conic bundle on a surface  $S$  birationally equivalent to  $C \times P^1$ ;
- c) There exists a morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow C$  such that the generic fibre  $S$  is a Del Pezzo surface with  $\text{Pic}(S) \simeq Z$  generated by the anticanonical sheaf  $\omega_S^{-1}$ . Moreover  $1 \leq \omega_S^3 \leq 6$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K = C(C)$  be the function field of the curve  $C$ . First note that, as a consequence of Tsen's Theorem ([T]) the field  $K$  satisfies

the so called  $C_1$  property, namely every hypersurface of degree  $d \leq n - 1$  in  $\mathbf{P}_K^n$  contains a rational point over  $K$ . Denote by  $F_\eta = X \otimes_C \text{Spec } K$  the generic fibre of  $\alpha: X \rightarrow C$ . Since the general fibre of  $\alpha$  is a rational surface over  $C$ , then one has  $\kappa(F_\eta) < 0$ ,  $q(F_\eta) = 0$  (cf. [Li], § 10.3, [H], III, 9.9), so that  $F_\eta$  is a rational surface over  $K$ . Let  $S$  be a minimal model of  $F_\eta$ . Hence  $S$  belongs to one of the following families of surfaces (see [I], Theorem 1):

- i)  $S = \mathbf{P}_K^2$ ;
- ii)  $S = \mathbf{P}_K^1 \times \mathbf{P}_K^1$  is a quadric in  $\mathbf{P}_K^3$ , having  $\text{Pic}(S) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$  generated by a hyperplane section;
- iii)  $S$  is a Del Pezzo surface with  $\text{Pic}(S) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$  generated by the anticanonical sheaf  $\omega_S^{-1}$ .
- iv) there exists a morphism  $S \rightarrow B$  such that the generic fibre and the base curve  $B$  are nonsingular curves of genus 0.

It is not difficult to prove that there exist a threefold  $\tilde{X}$  and a birational map  $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow X$  such that the generic fibre of the composition  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow C$  is isomorphic to the minimal model  $S$  of  $F_\eta$ . Moreover the function field  $K(S)$  of  $S$  is isomorphic to the function field  $C(X)$  of  $X$  (see [EGA], I, 3.4.6).

As  $K$  is  $C_1$  one has  $K(\mathbf{P}_K^2) = K(\mathbf{P}_K^1 \times \mathbf{P}_K^1) = C(C \times \mathbf{P}^2)$ . Thus in cases i), ii)  $X$  is birationally equivalent to  $C \times \mathbf{P}^2$ .

Suppose now case iii) holds. We have to show  $1 \leq \omega_S^2 \leq 6$ . This follows by [Mo], 3.5.2, where it is proved that the case  $\omega_S^2 = 7$  does not occur, while if  $\omega_S^2 = 8, 9$  the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(S)$  is not generated by the anticanonical sheaf.

Finally, consider case iv). Again, since  $K$  is  $C_1$ , the base curve  $B$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}_K^1$ . So we get an inclusion of the function fields  $K(\mathbf{P}_K^1) \hookrightarrow C(X)$  corresponding to the surjective morphism  $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ . This means that there exists a rational map

$$X \dashrightarrow C \times \mathbf{P}^1$$

whose generic fibre is isomorphic to a conic. Then by use of Zagorskii result  $X$  is birationally equivalent to a conic bundle. q.e.d.

To conclude, we summarize our results in the following table.

*Remark.* We acknowledge the Referee's remark according to which the same results in this paper are valid also for Kähler threefolds. In-



Threefolds with  $\kappa(X) < 0$ ,  $q(X) > 0$ .

$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$	$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X^2)$	Structure of $X$	Birational structure of $X$
$> 0$		$X \rightarrow S$ conical fibration, $\kappa(S) \geq 0$	$X \rightarrow S$ conic bundle, $\kappa(S) \geq 0$
$0$	$> 0$	$X \rightarrow S$ conical fibration, $\kappa(S) \geq 0$	$X \rightarrow S$ conic bundle, $\kappa(S) \geq 0$
$0$	$0$	As in the case $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$	As in the case $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$
$< 0$		There exists morphism $X \rightarrow C$ , $C$ nonsingular curve of genus $g(C) > 0$ whose general fibre is a rational surface.	a) $C \times P^2$ b) There exists a morphism $X \rightarrow C$ , $C$ nonsingular curve of genus $g(C) > 0$ , whose generic fibre $S$ is a Del Pezzo surface with $\omega_S^3 = 1$ , $\dots$ , $6$ and $\text{Pic}(S) \simeq Z$ generated by $\omega_S^{-1}$ . c) $X \rightarrow S$ conic bundle, $S$ birationally equivalent to $C \times P^1$ .

deed, the method of the proof works in this context too by virtue of Fujiki's theory.

## REFERENCES

- [B] A. Beauville, Surfaces algébriques complexes, *Asterisque*, **54** (1978).
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, I. 166, Springer (1971), and II. Publ. I.H.E.S., **8** (1961).
- [FGA] A. Grothendieck, *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique*, Sem. Bourbaki, 1957–62 (1962).
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer, **52** (1977).
- [I] V. A. Iskovskih, Minimal models of rational surfaces over arbitrary fields, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **14** (1980), 17–39.
- [Ii] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic geometry (An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties)*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer, **76** (1981).
- [M] T. Mabuchi, Invariant  $\beta$  and uniruled threefolds, preprint.
- [Mo] S. Mori, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, preprint.
- [T] C. C. Tsen, Divisionsalgebren über Funktionkörpern, *Nachr. Wiss. Göttingen*, 1933.
- [U1] K. Ueno, *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*, Springer, **439** (1975).
- [U2] —, Classification of algebraic manifolds, *Proc. Internat. Congress Math. Helsinki*, (1978), 54–556.
- [V1] E. Viehweg, Canonical divisors and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for morphisms of relative dimension one, *Compositio Math.*, **35** (1977), 197–223.
- [V2] —, Klassifikationstheorie algebraischer Varietäten der Dimension drei, *Compositio Math.*, **41** (1980), 361–400.

- [Z] A. Zagorskii, Three-dimensional conical fibrations, *Matem. Zametki*, **21**, 6 (1977), 745–758; English transl. in *Math. Notes*, **21** (1977), 420–427.

*Istituto Matematico  
Universita di Genova  
Via L. B. Alberti, 4-Genova  
Italy*