

ON A CERTAIN HOMOLOGY OF FINITE PROJECTIVE SPACES

HISASI MORIKAWA

1. We denote by $P^n(q)$ the projective space of dimension n over a finite field $GF(q)$ with q elements, and we mean by an i -flat a linear subspace of dimension i in $P^n(q)$. Denoting

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= L_i(P^n(q)) = \{i\text{-flats in } P^n(q)\}, \\ Z_{q+1} &= Z/(q+1)Z, \\ C_{i+1}(P^n(q), Z_{q+1}) &= \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in L_i} \alpha_\sigma \sigma \mid \alpha_\sigma \in Z_{q+1} \right\}, \\ C_{i+1}(P^n(q), GF(l)) &= \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in L_i} \alpha_\sigma \sigma \mid \alpha_\sigma \in GF(l) \right\} \\ C_0(P^n(q), Z_{q+1}) &= Z_{q+1}, \quad C_0(P^n(q), GF(l)) = GF(l) \quad (l|q+1), \end{aligned}$$

we have chain complexes

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & C_{n+1}(P^n(q), Z_{q+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n(P^n(q), Z_{q+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \dots \\ & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(P^n(q), Z_{q+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} Z_{q+1} \\ & = C_0(P^n(q), Z_{q+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & C_{n+1}(P^n(q), GF(l)) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n(P^n(q), GF(l)) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \dots \\ & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_0(P^n(q), GF(l)) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} GF(l) \\ & = C_0(P^n(q), GF(l)) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary operators ∂_i are defined as follows,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{i+1}(\sum_{\sigma \in L_i} \alpha_\sigma \sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in L_i} \alpha_\sigma (\sum_{\substack{\tau \in L_{i-1} \\ \tau \subset \sigma}} \tau) & (i \geq 1) \\ \partial_i(\sum_{\sigma \in L_0} \alpha_\sigma \sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in L_0} \alpha_\sigma \sigma. \end{cases}$$

In fact $\partial_i \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0$, because for each pair $\sigma \supset \lambda$ ($\sigma \in L_i, \lambda \in L_{i-2}$)

$$|\{\tau \in L_{i-1} \mid \lambda \subset \tau \subset \sigma\}| = q + 1$$

Received October 9, 1981.

and for each line $\sigma \in L_1$

$$|\{\tau \in L_0 \mid \tau \subset \sigma\}| = q + 1.$$

In the present note we shall be concerned with homology groups of the chain complexes (1) and (2):

$$H_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q), \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}), \quad H_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) \quad (l|q+1),$$

which are $PGL_{n+1}(q)$ -modules. Frobenius map also acts on these homology groups. Obviously $H_{n+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), \quad) = H_0(\mathbf{P}^n(q), \quad) = \{0\}$.

2. Using Gauss-polynomials in q

$$(3) \quad \binom{a}{b}_q = \frac{(q^a - 1)(q^{a-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{a-b+1} - 1)}{(q^b - 1)(q^{b-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)},$$

we may express briefly

$$(4) \quad |\mathbf{P}^i(q)| = \binom{i+1}{1}_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^i,$$

$$(5) \quad |L_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q))| = \binom{n+1}{i+1}_q = \frac{(q^{n+1} - 1)(q^n - 1) \cdots (q^{n-i+1} - 1)}{(q^{i+1} - 1)(q^i - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}.$$

Let us first calculate the 1-homologies:

PROPOSITION 1.

$$(6) \quad H_1(\mathbf{P}^1(q), \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}^{q-1}, \quad H_1(\mathbf{P}^1(q), GF(l)) = GF(l)^{q-1} \quad (l|q+1),$$

$$(7) \quad H_1(\mathbf{P}^n(q), \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}) = 0, \quad H_1(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) = 0 \quad (n \geq 2, l|q+1).$$

Proof. We denote $\omega_\infty = [0, 1]$, $\omega_\alpha = [1, \alpha]$ ($\alpha \in GF(q)$), then $\{\omega_\alpha - \omega_\infty \mid \alpha \in GF(q), \alpha \neq 0\}$ is a free base of $H_1(\mathbf{P}^1(q))$, because

$$(\omega_0 - \omega_\infty) + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} (\omega_\alpha - \omega_\infty) - (\omega_\infty - \omega_\infty) = \partial_2 \mathbf{P}^1(q) - (q+1)\omega_\infty \sim 0,$$

$$\alpha_\infty \omega_\infty + \alpha_0 \omega_0 + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \alpha_\alpha \omega_\alpha \sim \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} (\alpha_\alpha - \alpha_0)(\omega_\alpha - \omega_\infty) + (\alpha_\infty + \alpha_0 + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \alpha_\alpha) \omega_\infty.$$

This proves (6). Assume that $n \geq 2$. It is sufficient to show $\sigma_\infty \sim \tau_\infty$ ($\sigma_\infty, \tau_\infty \in L_0, \sigma_\infty \neq \tau_\infty$). Let $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda''$ be three different lines such that

$$\lambda \wedge \lambda' = \sigma_\infty, \quad \lambda' \wedge \lambda'' = \tau_\infty, \quad \lambda \wedge \lambda'' = \sigma_0$$

with a point σ_0 . Then there exist a system of points $\sigma_i, \tau_j, \tau_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$) such that

$$\partial_2 \lambda = \sigma_\infty + \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sigma_i, \quad \partial_2 \lambda' = \tau_\infty + \sigma_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \tau_j,$$

$$\partial_2(\tau_\infty \vee \sigma_i) = \tau_\infty + \sigma_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \tau_{ij}, {}^1) \quad \partial_2(\sigma_\infty \vee \tau_j) = \sigma_\infty + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \tau_{ij}.$$

Since $q = -1$ in $Z_{q+1}(GF(l))$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\infty - \tau_\infty &\sim q\tau_\infty - q\sigma_\infty \\ &\sim (q-1)\tau_\infty + \tau_\infty - (q-1)\sigma_\infty - \sigma_\infty \\ &\sim \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sigma_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^{q-1} \tau_{ij} + \tau_\infty \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \tau_j + \sum_{i,j=1}^{q-1} \tau_{ij} - \sigma_\infty \right) \\ &\sim \left(-\sigma_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sigma_i - \sigma_\infty \right) + \left(\tau_\infty + \sigma_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \tau_j \right) \sim 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. For each flat σ we denote

$$(8) \quad S(\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L_0 \\ \lambda \not\subset \sigma}} \lambda \vee \sigma, {}^2)$$

LEMMA 1.

$$(9) \quad \partial_{i+2} S(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{\tau \in L_{i-1} \\ \tau \subset \sigma}} S(\tau) & (\text{odd } n), \\ \sigma + (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{\tau \in L_{i-1} \\ \tau \subset \sigma}} S(\tau) & (\text{even } n) \end{cases} \quad (\sigma \in L_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q))).$$

Proof. Since an i -flat in $\lambda \vee \sigma$ is σ or $\mu \vee \tau$ with an $(i-1)$ -flat τ in σ and $\mu \in L_0$ satisfying $\mu \vee \sigma = \lambda \vee \sigma$, hence it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{i+2} S(\sigma) &= \left[\binom{n+1}{1}_q - \binom{i+1}{1}_q \right] \sigma + \left[\binom{i+1}{1}_q - \binom{i}{1}_q \right] \sum_{\substack{\tau \in L_{i-1} \\ \tau \subset \sigma \\ \lambda \not\subset \sigma}} \lambda \vee \tau \\ &= (q^{i+1} + \cdots + q^n) \sigma + q^i \sum_{\substack{\tau \in L_{i-1} \\ \lambda \not\subset \sigma}} \lambda \wedge \tau. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\lambda \vee \tau = \sigma \quad (\lambda \in L_0, \tau \in L_{i-1}; \lambda \not\subset \tau; \lambda, \tau \subset \sigma),$$

hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\ \lambda \not\subset \sigma}} \lambda \vee \tau &= \sum_{\tau \subset \sigma} \sum_{\lambda \not\subset \tau} \lambda \vee \tau - \sum_{\substack{\lambda, \tau \subset \sigma \\ \lambda \not\subset \tau}} \lambda \vee \tau \\ &= \sum_{\tau \subset \sigma} S(\tau) - \left[\binom{i+1}{1}_q - \binom{i}{1}_q \right] \binom{i+1}{1}_q \sigma \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \sigma} S(\tau) - q^i (1 + q + \cdots + q^i) \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

1) $\tau_\infty \vee \sigma_i$ means the join of τ_∞ and σ_i .

2) $\lambda \vee \sigma$ means the join of λ and σ .

From $q^2 = 1$ in $Z_{q+1}(GF(l))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{i+2}S(\sigma) &= (1 + q + \cdots + q^n)\sigma + q^i \sum_{\tau \subset \sigma} S(\tau) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\ \tau \in L_{i-1}}} S(\tau) & (\text{odd } n), \\ \sigma + (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\ \tau \in L_{i-1}}} S(\tau) & (\text{even } n). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.

$$(10) \quad H_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^{2n}(q), Z_{q+1}) = 0, \quad H_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^{2n}(q), GF(l)) = 0 \quad (l|q+1).$$

Proof. By virtue of (7), from the assumption

$$\partial_{i+1}(\sum_{\sigma \in L_i} a_\sigma \sigma) = \sum_{\tau} (\sum_{\sigma \supset \tau} a_\sigma) \tau = 0$$

it follows

$$\partial_{i+2}(\sum_{\sigma} a_\sigma S(\sigma)) = \sum_{\sigma} a_\sigma \sigma + \sum_{\tau \in L_{i-1}} (\sum_{\sigma \supset \tau} a_\sigma) S(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma} a_\sigma \sigma.$$

When $i = 0$, we have already proved in Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 2. *The Euler-characteristic is given by*

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \dim H_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{i}_q \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{(n+1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{(n+1)/2} (q^{2i-1} - 1) & (\text{odd } n) \\ 0 & (\text{even } n) \end{cases} \quad (l|q+1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \dim C_{n+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q)) &= 1 = \dim \text{Im } \partial_{n+1}, \\ \dim C_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q)) &= \dim \text{Im } \partial_{i+1} + \dim \text{Ker } \partial_{i+1} \\ &= \dim \text{Im } \partial_{i+1} + \dim \text{Im } \partial_{i+2} + \dim H_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q)), \\ \dim C_1(\mathbf{P}^n(q)) &= 1 + \dim \text{Ker } \partial_1 = 1 + \dim \text{Im } \partial_2 + \dim H_1(\mathbf{P}^n(q)), \\ \dim C_0(\mathbf{P}^n(q)) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \dim H_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \dim C_i(\mathbf{P}^n(q)) + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{i}_q. \end{aligned}$$

From the relations

$$\binom{n}{l}_q = \binom{n}{n-l}_q, \quad \binom{n}{l}_q = \binom{n-1}{l}_q + (q^{n-l} - 1) \binom{n-1}{l-1}_q,$$

we have

$$\sum (-1)^i \binom{2m+1}{l}_q = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (-1)^i \binom{2m}{l}_q &= \sum (-1)^i \binom{2m-1}{l}_q + \sum (-1)^i (q^{2m-l} - 1) \binom{2m-1}{l-1}_q \\ &\quad + \sum (-1)^i \binom{2m-1}{l-1}_q \\ &= - \sum (-1)^{l-1} \frac{(q^{2m-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{2m-l} - 1)}{(q^{l-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)} \\ &= - (q^{2m} - 1) \sum (-1)^i \binom{2(m-1)}{l}_q = (-1)^m \prod_{i=1}^m (q^{2i} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

4. Using a system of homogeneous coordinates, we define the dual flat $\hat{\sigma} \in L_{n-i+1}$ of a flat $\sigma \in L_i$ as follows

$$\hat{\sigma} = \left\{ [\hat{\alpha}_0, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_n] \mid \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{\alpha}_i \beta_i = 0 \text{ } ([\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n] \in \sigma) \right\} \quad (2 \leq i \leq n+1)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}^n(q)} = 1,$$

and we have the dual pairings;

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}) \times C_{n-i+2}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{q+1}, \\ C_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) \times C_{n-i+2}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) &\longrightarrow GF(l), \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \langle \sigma, \hat{\tau} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma = \tau) \\ 0 & (\sigma \neq \tau) \end{cases} & (\sigma \in L_i, i \leq n-1) \\ \langle 1, \mathbf{P}^n(q) \rangle = 1 \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.

$$(13) \quad \langle \partial_i(\sigma), \mathbf{P}^n(q) \rangle = \langle \sigma, \partial_n \mathbf{P}^n(q) \rangle = 1 \quad (\sigma \in L_0(\mathbf{P}^n(q))),$$

$$(14) \quad \langle \partial_{i+1}\sigma, \hat{\tau} \rangle = \langle \sigma, \partial_{n-i+1}\hat{\tau} \rangle \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma \in L_i, \hat{\tau} \in L_{n-i}).$$

Proof. The first equation (11) is a direct consequence of the definition \langle, \rangle . Since two conditions $\sigma \supset \lambda$ and $\hat{\sigma} \subset \hat{\lambda}$ are equivalent, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_{i+1}\sigma, \hat{\tau} \rangle &= \sum_{\tau \in L_{i-1}} \langle \lambda, \hat{\tau} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & (\tau \subset \sigma) \\ 0 & (\tau \not\subset \sigma) \end{cases}, \\ \langle \sigma, \partial_{n-i+1}\hat{\tau} \rangle &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L_{n-i-1} \\ \hat{\lambda} \subset \hat{\tau}}} \langle \sigma, \hat{\lambda} \rangle = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L_i \\ \lambda \supset \tau}} \langle \sigma, \hat{\lambda} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & (\tau \subset \sigma) \\ 0 & (\tau \not\subset \sigma) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.

$$(15) \quad H_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), Z_{q+1}) \simeq H_{n-i}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), Z_{q+1})$$

$$(16) \quad H_{i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) \simeq H_{n-i}(\mathbf{P}^n(q), GF(l)) \quad (l|q+1).$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \partial_{i+1} &= (\text{Im } \partial_{n-i+1})^\perp \\ \text{Im } \partial_{i+2} &= (\text{Ker } \partial_{n-i})^\perp \\ \text{Ker } \partial_1 &= (\text{Im } \partial_{n+1})^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

where $()^\perp$ means the orthogonal submodule with respect to the pairing. Proposition 3 is a direct consequence of the duality for modules with a pairing.

PROPOSITION 4.

$$(17) \quad \dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(q), GF(l)) = 1 - q - q^3 + q^4 \quad (l|q+1).$$

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 1, 2, 3 it follows

$$\dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(q), GF(l)) = \binom{4}{2}_q - 2\binom{4}{1}_q + 2 = 1 - q - q^3 + q^4.$$

EXAMPLES.

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(2), GF(3)) &= 7, \\ \dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(3), GF(2)) &= 52, \\ \dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(4), GF(5)) &= 189, \\ \dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(5), GF(2)) &= \dim H_2(\mathbf{P}^3(5), GF(3)) = 496. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. L. Biggs, Finite groups of automorphisms, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note, Ser. 6 (1971).
 [2] N. L. Biggs and A. T. White, Permutation groups and combinatorial structures, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note, Ser. 33 (1979).