

THE INDEX OF ELLIPTIC OPERATORS OVER V -MANIFOLDS

TETSURO KAWASAKI

Introduction

Let M be a compact smooth manifold and let G be a finite group acting smoothly on M . Let E and F be smooth G -equivariant complex vector bundles over M and let $P: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a G -invariant elliptic pseudo-differential operator. Then the kernel and the cokernel of the operator P are finite-dimensional representations of G . The difference of the characters of these representations is an element of the representation ring $R(G)$ of G and is called the G -index of the operator P .

$$(1) \quad \text{ind } P = \text{char} [\text{kernel } P] - \text{char} [\text{cokernel } P] .$$

It is well-known that the G -index $\text{ind } P \in R(G)$ depends only on the homotopy class of the elliptic operator and, as Atiyah and Singer showed in [2], $\text{ind } P$ is determined by the stable equivalence class $[\sigma(P)] \in K_G(\tau M)$ of the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ viewed as the difference bundle over the tangent bundle τM . The Atiyah-Singer index theorem asserts that the value $(\text{ind } P)(g)$ is expressed by the evaluation of a certain characteristic class over the tangent bundle $\tau(M^g)$ of the fixed point set M^g .

$$(2) \quad (\text{ind } P)(g) = (-1)^{\dim M^g} \langle \text{ch}^g [\sigma(P)]_{\mathcal{J}^g(M)}, [\tau(M^g)] \rangle .$$

Here $\text{ch}^g [\sigma(P)]$ is a class in the compactly supported cohomology group $H_c^*(\tau(M^g); \mathbb{C})$ expressed in the characteristic classes of the complex eigenvector bundles by the action of g on the stable vector bundle $[\sigma(P)]|_{\tau(M^g)}$. $\mathcal{J}^g(M)$ is a class in $H^*(M^g; \mathbb{C})$ expressed in the characteristic classes of the real and complex eigenvector bundles by the action of g on the real vector bundle $\tau M|_{M^g}$. We call these classes over the fixed point set as the residual characteristic classes.

Next we consider the index of the operator $P^g: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E)^g \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)^g$

between G -invariant sections. By the orthonormality of irreducible characters, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ind } P^g &= \dim [\text{kernel } P^g] - \dim [\text{cokernel } P^g] \\
 (3) \quad &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\text{ind } P)(g) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (-1)^{\dim M^g} \langle \text{ch}^g [\sigma(P)] \mathcal{J}^g(M), [\tau(M^g)] \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator P^g can be viewed as an operator over the orbit space $G \backslash M$ in the following sense. The invariant section $s: M \rightarrow E$ is determined uniquely by the induced section $\bar{s}: G \backslash M \rightarrow G \backslash E$ over the orbit space. So we may consider the invariant sections $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E)^g$ as the sections over the orbit space $X = G \backslash M$. The operator P^g operates on these sections and its index $\text{ind } P^g$ depends only on the G -equivariant homotopy class of the principal symbol $[\sigma(P)]$, which is considered to be a section over the orbit space $G \backslash \tau M$. Thus we consider P^g as an operator over $X = G \backslash M$.

We remark that the evaluation in (3) admits a purely local expression over X . Choose G -invariant metrics and connections on manifolds M and M^g , on bundles τM , $\tau(M^g)$ and $\nu(M^g)$ (the normal bundle of M^g in M) and on a stable bundle $\sigma(P)$. Then the evaluations of residual characteristic classes are given by the integrations of the corresponding characteristic forms. For each $x \in M$, we choose a small neighbourhood U_x so that the isotropy subgroup G_x acts on U_x and, for $g \in G$, $U_x \cap gU_x \neq \emptyset$ implies $g \in G_x$. Then the orbit space $G_x \backslash U_x$ is naturally identified with an open subset in X . A family $\{G_x \backslash U_x\}_{x \in M}$ defines an open covering of X . Choose a partition of unity $1 = \sum \phi_x$ subordinate to this covering. Then we can rewrite (3) in the following form

$$(4) \quad \text{ind } P^g = \sum_{x \in M} \frac{1}{|G_x|} \sum_{g \in G_x} (-1)^{\dim U_x^g} \int_{\tau(U_x^g)} \phi_x \text{ch}^g [\sigma(P)|_{U_x}] \mathcal{J}^g(U_x) .$$

The orbit space $G \backslash M$ is a typical example of V -manifold, and the above formula (4) can be given an interpretation which still makes sense for general V -manifolds.

The purpose of the present paper is to give an index theorem for elliptic operators over V -manifolds which generalize the formula (4).

Let X be a compact V -manifold. (For the precise definitions of V -manifolds and V -bundles, see Kawasaki [6]). For each $x \in X$, there is a neighbourhood U_x and an identification $U_x = G_x \backslash \tilde{U}_x$, where \tilde{U}_x is a

neighbourhood of the origin in an effective real representation space of a finite group G_x . For each $y \in U_x$, choose small U_y so that $U_y \subset U_x$, then there is an open embedding $\phi: \tilde{U}_y \rightarrow \tilde{U}_x$ that covers the inclusion $U_y \subset U_x$. The choice of such ϕ is unique up to the action of G_x on \tilde{U}_x . Each ϕ determines an injective group homomorphism $\lambda_\phi: G_y \rightarrow G_x$ that makes ϕ be λ_ϕ -equivariant.

To express our theorem in cohomological terms, we have to assign to each V -manifold X a certain global geometric object over which the residual characteristic classes should be evaluated. If we look at (4), such an object must be a collection of all \tilde{U}_x^g 's. Each \tilde{U}_x^g admits the action of the centralizer $Z_{G_x}(g)$ of g in G_x . If g and g' are conjugate in G_x , then U_x^g and $U_x^{g'}$ are diffeomorphic by the action of some element h in G_x ($g' = hgh^{-1}$). So we consider one element g for each conjugacy class (g) in G_x . For each point $x \in X$, let $(1), (h_x^1), \dots, (h_x^{\rho_x})$ be all the conjugacy classes in G_x . Then we have a natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(y, (h_y^j)) \mid y \in U_x, j = 1, 2, \dots, \rho_y\} \\ & \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\rho_x} Z_{G_x}(h_x^i) \backslash \tilde{U}_x^{h_x^i}. \end{aligned}$$

So we define globally:

$$\Sigma X = \{(x, (h_x^i)) \mid x \in X, G_x \neq \{1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, \rho_x\}.$$

Then ΣX has a natural V -manifold structure whose local coordinate coverings are $\tilde{U}_x^h \rightarrow Z_{G_x}(h) \backslash \tilde{U}_x^h$ ($h \neq 1$). The action of $Z_{G_x}(h)$ on \tilde{U}_x^h is not effective. The order of the trivially acting subgroup is called the *multiplicity* of ΣX in X at $(x, (h))$. In general, ΣX has many connected components of varying dimensions. Let $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_c$ be the connected components of ΣX . Since the multiplicity is locally constant on ΣX , we may assign the multiplicity m_i to each connected component Σ_i .

On each local coordinate \tilde{U}_x^h over ΣX , we have the normal bundle $\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h)$ in \tilde{U}_x and the tangent bundle $\tau(\tilde{U}_x^h)$. On the normal bundle $\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h)$, we have the action of h . Then we have the eigenspace decomposition of $\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h)$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\tilde{U}_x^h) &= \bigoplus_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} \nu_h^\theta, \\ \begin{cases} hv = e^{i\theta}v & \text{if } v \in \nu_h^\theta \ (0 < \theta < \pi), \\ hv = -v & \text{if } v \in \nu_h^\pi. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The collection of these $Z_{G_x}(h)$ -equivariant bundles ν_h^θ ($0 < \theta \leq \pi$) and $\tau(\tilde{U}_x^h)$ form a real or complex vector V -bundles over ΣX . By choosing invariant connections, we have a collection of residual characteristic forms

$$\mathcal{J}^h(\tilde{U}_x) \in \Omega^*(\tilde{U}_x^h) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}.$$

These forms define characteristic classes

$$\mathcal{J}^2(X) \in H^*(\Sigma X; \mathbb{C}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}(X) \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \quad (h = 1).$$

By a V -bundle E over a V -manifold X , we mean a family $\{(G_x^E, \tilde{E}_x \rightarrow \tilde{U}_x)\}$ of equivariant fibre bundles with surjective homomorphisms $G_x^E \rightarrow G_x$ and their attaching bundle maps $\{\Phi\}: \tilde{E}_y \rightarrow \tilde{E}_x$ for each inclusive pair $U_y \subset U_x$. We call V -bundle E to be *proper* if, for each $x \in X$, $G_x^E = G_x$. The attaching bundle maps $\{\Phi\}$ define a unique induced open embedding $\bar{\Phi}: G_x^E \backslash \tilde{E}_y \rightarrow G_x^E \backslash \tilde{E}_x$ of the orbit spaces of total spaces. These induced maps define the total space $E = \bigcup (G_x^E \backslash \tilde{E}_x)$ and the projection $E \rightarrow X$. E itself admit a structure of V -manifold.

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a proper V -bundle. A section $s: X \rightarrow E$ is called a C^∞ V -section if, for each U_x , $s|_{U_x}: U_x \rightarrow E_x = G_x \backslash \tilde{E}_x$ is covered by a G_x -invariant C^∞ section $\tilde{s}_x: \tilde{U}_x \rightarrow \tilde{E}_x$. For a vector V -bundle E , we denote the set of all C^∞ V -sections by $\mathcal{C}_V^\infty(X; E)$, which forms a vector space. On a vector V -bundle E , we can always construct a invariant linear connection, that is, a family of invariant connections on $(G_x^E, \tilde{E}_x \rightarrow \tilde{U}_x)$ which are compatible with attaching bundle maps. Then the characteristic forms define a C^∞ V -section of the exterior power of the cotangent vector V -bundle, which represent a cohomology class on X .

Let E and F be proper complex vector V -bundles over X . A linear map $P: \mathcal{C}_V^\infty(X; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V^\infty(X; F)$ is called a (*pseudo-*) *differential operator* if locally it is covered by invariant (*pseudo-*) differential operators

$$\tilde{P}_x: \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\tilde{U}_x; \tilde{E}_x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{U}_x; \tilde{F}_x)$$

(modulo smoothing operators), which are compatible with attaching maps. We call P to be *elliptic* if each \tilde{P}_x is elliptic. For an elliptic pseudo-differential operator $P: \mathcal{C}_V^\infty(X; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V^\infty(X; F)$, we have the V -index defined by:

$$(5) \quad \text{ind}_V P = \dim [\text{kernel } P] - \dim [\text{cokernel } P].$$

This index generalize $\text{ind } P^g$ in (3) and (4).

Like G -equivariant case, the V -index depends only on the homotopy class of elliptic operators. The principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ of the operator P is a well-defined C^∞ V -section of the V -bundle $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ over the total space τ_V^*X of the cotangent vector V -bundle. For P elliptic, the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ defines a compactly supported difference V -bundle and the index $\text{ind}_V P$ is determined by its stable equivalence class $[\sigma(P)]$. The stable equivalence classes of compactly supported proper difference vector V -bundles over $\tau_V^*X \cong \tau_V X$ form a group $K_V(\tau_V^*X) \cong K_V(\tau_V X)$. ($\tau_V X$ denotes the total space of the tangent vector V -bundle). Then V -index defines a homomorphism

$$(6) \quad \text{ind}_V : K_V(\tau_V X) \longrightarrow Z .$$

An element $u \in K_V(\tau_V X)$ is represented by proper complex vector V -bundles E and F over $\tau_V X$ and an isomorphism $\sigma : E \rightarrow F$ over $\tau_V X - X$. Then, choosing a suitable invariant connections, we have the residual Chern characters

$$\text{ch}^h(E) - \text{ch}^h(F) \in \Omega^*(\tau(\tilde{U}_x^h)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} ,$$

and globally we have the classes

$$\text{ch}^z(u) \in H_c^*(\tau_V(\Sigma X); \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ch}(u) \in H_c^*(\tau_V X; \mathbb{Q}) \quad (h = 1) .$$

In this framework, we can state our theorem

THEOREM. *Let X be a compact V -manifold. Then, for $u \in K_V(\tau_V X)$, we have:*

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ind}_V(u) &= (-1)^{\dim X} \langle \text{ch}(u) \mathcal{J}(X), [\tau_V X] \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{(-1)^{\dim \Sigma_i}}{m_i} \langle \text{ch}^z(u) \mathcal{J}^z(X), [\tau_V \Sigma_i] \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

As a special case of this theorem, we get the following results:

I) (Kawasaki [6]) Let X be a compact oriented V -manifold of dimension $4k$. As a topological space, X is an oriented rational homology manifold. The signature $\text{Sign}(X)$ of X is defined by the signature of the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the middle dimensional cohomology group $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ given by the cup product. Using de Rham cohomology, we can represent $\text{Sign}(X)$ as the V -index of the signature operator $D_+ : \Omega_V^+(X) \rightarrow \Omega_V^-(X)$ over V -manifold X . Then we have:

$$\text{Sign}(X) = \langle L(X), [X] \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{m_i} \langle L^{\mathcal{Z}}(X), [\Sigma_i] \rangle .$$

The classes $L(X)$ and $L^{\mathcal{Z}}(X)$ are defined locally by the residual L -class $L^h(\tilde{U}_x)$, as we have defined $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{Z}}(X)$.

II) (Kawasaki [7]) Let X be a compact complex V -manifold and let $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector V -bundle. Then X admits a natural structure of an analytic space and the local holomorphic V -sections of E define a coherent analytic sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(E)$ over X . The arithmetic genus $\chi(X; E)$ is defined by:

$$\chi(X; E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim X} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(X; \mathcal{O}_V(E)) .$$

Then $\chi(X; E)$ is represented by the V -index of the Dolbeault complex over the V -manifold X with coefficients in E . We can apply our theorem and we have:

$$\chi(X; E) = \langle \mathcal{S}(X; E), [X] \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{m_i} \langle \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{Z}}(X; E), [\Sigma_i] \rangle .$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}(X; E)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{Z}}(X; E)$ are defined locally by the residual Todd class with coefficients in E .

The proof that we adopt here is completely different from those in the above two reports [6] and [7]. As we have remarked in [6], every V -manifold X is presented as the orbit space of a smooth G -manifold \tilde{X} with only finite isotropy subgroups and with the trivial principal orbit type. We may choose such (G, \tilde{X}) with G compact and connected. Let P be an elliptic operator over X . Then we can lift the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ considered as a difference V -bundle over $\tau_V \tilde{X}$ to a G -equivariant difference bundle over $\tau_G \tilde{X}$, the space of tangent vectors orthogonal to the orbits of G . The lifted symbol determines up to homotopy a transversally elliptic operator \tilde{P} over \tilde{X} relative to G . Then the V -index $\text{ind}_V P$ is equal to the evaluation $(\text{ind}^G \tilde{P})(1_G)$ of the distributional index $\text{ind}^G \tilde{P}$ by the unit function over G .

For the distributional index of transversally elliptic operators, we refer to Atiyah [1]. We use two main results of [1]. One result is an expression of $\text{ind}^T P$, for a transversally elliptic operator P over a manifold M relative to a toral action with only finite isotropy subgroups. The value $(\text{ind}^T P)(1_T)$ is written by the evaluation of the equivariant residual characteristic classes over the orbit spaces $T \backslash_{\tau_T} M^h$ ($h \in T, M^h \neq \emptyset$) (including

$h = 1$). By a direct translation, this formula gives the formula (7) in our theorem, when the V -manifold X has the form $X = T \backslash M$. Another result is a reduction formula $(\text{ind}^G P)(1_G) = (\text{ind}^T([\tilde{\delta}] \otimes P))(1_T)$, for a compact connected Lie group G , where T is a maximal torus of G and $[\tilde{\delta}]$ denotes the Dolbeault complex over the flag manifold G/T .

Combining these two results, we get an expression of the V -index using the evaluation of characteristic classes over an auxiliary V -manifold $T \backslash \tilde{X}$ and its singularities. This new V -manifold $T \backslash \tilde{X}$ is a fibration (with singularities) over X with generic fibre G/T . We apply the Gysin homomorphism (the integration over the fibre) to these characteristic classes. Then we get classes over the V -manifold X and its singularities. To deduce (7), we need a formula on the equivariant residual Todd classes over the flag manifold G/T . This formula is a generalization of the following result in Borel-Hirzebruch [5].

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let T be a maximal torus of G . We fix a G -invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T . Consider the fibration $\pi: BT \rightarrow BG$ of classifying spaces with fibre G/T . Its bundle along the fibre is a complex vector bundle over BT . We denote by $\mathcal{T}_G(G/T)$ the Todd class of this bundle. (This class is the G -equivariant Todd class of the complex G -manifold G/T). Then Borel and Hirzebruch proved the following:

THEOREM (Borel-Hirzebruch [5]). *Let $\pi_!: H^{**}(BT; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^{**}(BG; \mathbf{R})$ be the Gysin homomorphism (the integration over the fibre). Then we have:*

$$(8) \quad \pi_! \mathcal{T}_G(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(BG; \mathbf{R}) = H_G^{**}(pt; \mathbf{R}),$$

where H_G^{**} denotes the completed equivariant cohomology group for G -spaces.

Let $h \in T$ be an element. The action of h on G/T is holomorphic. So the fixed point set $(G/T)^h$ is a complex submanifold (non-connected) with the holomorphic action of the centralizer $Z_G(h)$. The tangent bundle τ_h and the normal bundle ν_h are the $Z_G(h)$ -equivariant complex vector bundles. Let $\nu_h = \bigoplus \nu_h^{\theta}$ be the eigenspace decomposition by the action of h . Then we define the equivariant residual Todd class by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_G^h(G/T) &= \mathcal{T}_{Z_G(h)} \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{T}_{Z_G(h)}^{\theta}(\nu_h^{\theta}) \\ &= \mathcal{T}(EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} \tau_h) \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{T}^{\theta}(EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} \nu_h^{\theta}) \\ &\in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}((G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}) = H^{**}(EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}). \end{aligned}$$

The base space $EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h$ is a fibration over $BZ_G(h)$ with the fibre $(G/T)^h$. Then we have the Gysin homomorphism $\pi_1: H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}((G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C}) = H^{**}(BZ_G(h); \mathbf{C})$.

THEOREM. *The Gysin homomorphism of the equivariant residual Todd class is given by:*

$$(9) \quad \pi_1 \mathcal{T}_G^h(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(BZ_G(h); \mathbf{C}) = H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C}).$$

If we put $h = 1$, we recover (8). The proof of this formula is straightforward. The same technique as in Borel-Hirzebruch [4] is applicable. We can express $\pi_1 \mathcal{T}_G^h(G/T) \in H^{**}(BZ_G(h); \mathbf{C}) \subset H^{**}(BT; \mathbf{C})$ in the power series in the roots of the Lie group G . Then we deduce our formula from the Weyl's relation on the roots of G .

§1. Distributional index and V -index

In this section we summarize the results in Atiyah [1] that we need and we shall show the relation between the distributional index of transversally elliptic operators and the V -index of elliptic operators over V -manifolds.

Let G be a compact Lie group and let M be a compact smooth G -manifold without boundary. We choose a G -invariant Riemannian metric on M and we identify the cotangent bundle τ^*M and the tangent bundle τM . We define a subset $\tau_\alpha M$ in τM as the set of all the tangent vectors that are orthogonal to the orbits of G .

Let E and F be G -equivariant smooth complex vector bundles over M and let $P: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a G -invariant pseudo-differential operator of order m . By choosing invariant metrics and invariant connections on E and F , we have the space of Sobolev sections $\mathcal{H}^s(M; E)$ and $\mathcal{H}^s(M; F)$ ($s \in \mathbf{R}$). Then the operator P extends uniquely to a bounded operator $P: \mathcal{H}^s(M; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{s-m}(M; F)$. Also we have the adjoint operator $P^*: \mathcal{H}^s(M; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{s-m}(M; E)$. The null spaces $\mathcal{N}^s(P)$ and $\mathcal{N}^s(P^*)$ are closed subspaces and admit the structure of Hilbert spaces. We may consider $\mathcal{N}^s(P)$ and $\mathcal{N}^s(P^*)$ as unitary representations of G . We denote by \hat{G} the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G . For $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, we denote the α -components by $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^s(P)$ and $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^s(P^*)$.

We call a G -invariant pseudo-differential operator $P: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)$ to be *transversally elliptic* relative to G if the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ is invertible over $\tau_\alpha M - M$. Then we have:

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *Let $P: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a transversally elliptic operator. Then for each $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^s(P)$ is finite dimensional and does not depend on s . Furthermore the formal sum*

$$\text{char } \mathcal{N}(P) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{G}} \text{char } \mathcal{N}_\alpha^s(P)$$

converges in $\mathcal{H}^{-n-\varepsilon}(G)$ ($n = \dim M$) for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now we can define the distributional index:

DEFINITION. Let $P: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a transversally elliptic operator relative to G . Then the *distributional index* $\text{ind}^G(P)$ is defined by:

$$\text{ind}^G(P) = \text{char } \mathcal{N}(P) - \text{char } \mathcal{N}(P^*) \in \mathcal{D}'(G)^{\text{inv}}$$

Here we denote by $\mathcal{D}'(G)^{\text{inv}}$ the distributions on G invariant under the inner automorphisms of G .

The distributional index has the following properties:

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *The distributional index of a transversally elliptic operator P depends only on the homotopy class of the restriction of the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ to $\tau_G M - M$*

$$\sigma(P)|_{\tau_G M - M} \in \text{Iso}(\pi^* E, \pi^* F)|_{\tau_G M - M}.$$

COROLLARY. *The distributional index defines a $R(G)$ -module homomorphism*

$$\text{ind}^G: K_G(\tau_G M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(G)^{\text{inv}}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, the transversally elliptic operator P defines a G -invariant Fredholm operator

$$P_\alpha: \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s(M; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{s-m}(M; F).$$

So we may consider $\text{ind}^G(P) = \sum_\alpha \text{ind}(P_\alpha)$. Then by the orthonormality of irreducible characters, we have:

$$(\text{ind}^G P)(1_G) = \text{index } [P^G: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; E)^G \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; F)^G].$$

Now we assume that the action of G on M is of trivial principal orbit type and with only finite isotropy subgroups. Then, by definition, the above number is the V -index of the elliptic operator $P^G: \mathcal{C}_V^\infty(G \setminus M; G \setminus E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V^\infty(G \setminus M; G \setminus F)$ over the V -manifold $G \setminus M$. Each G -equivariant bundle

$E \rightarrow M$ defines a proper V -bundle $G \backslash E \rightarrow G \backslash M$, and vice versa. The V -manifold $G \backslash \tau_G M$ is exactly the total space $\tau_V(G \backslash M)$ of the tangent V -bundle. Then we have the canonical isomorphism $K_G(\tau_G M) \cong K_V(\tau_V(G \backslash M))$ and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(\tau_G M) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}^G} & \mathcal{D}'(G)^{\text{inv}} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \int_G \\ K_V(\tau_V(G \backslash M)) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_V} & Z \subset \mathcal{C} . \end{array}$$

Conversely, given a V -manifold X , we choose a Riemannian metric on X . Then the total space $O(n)(\tau_V X)$ of the associated tangential orthonormal frame V -bundle is a smooth manifold. The right action of $O(n)$ is of trivial principal orbit type and with only finite isotropy subgroups. Its orbit space is canonically identified with the original V -manifold X . If we choose an injective homomorphism of $O(n)$ into a compact connected Lie group G , then the total space $\tilde{X} = O(n)(\tau_V X) \times_{O(n)} G$ of the associated tangential G -principal V -bundle is a smooth manifold with a right G -action and its orbit space is again a V -manifold X . So we recover the original situation and we also have an identification $K_V(\tau_V X) \cong K_G(\tau_G \tilde{X})$. Thus we reduce the computations of V -index into those of distributional index.

For the computations of distributional index, we write down some of the results in Atiyah [1]. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let T be its maximal torus. We choose and fix a G -invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T . Then we have the Dolbeault complex on G/T and we consider its symbol $[\bar{\partial}]$ as an element of $K_G(\tau(G/T))$. Let M be a smooth G -manifold with only finite isotropy subgroups. We have a G -equivariant diffeomorphism $G \times_T M \cong G/T \times M$ by sending $(g, x) \in G \times_T M$ to (gT, gx) . Then we have the equivalences of vector bundles

$$G \times_T \tau_T M \cong \tau_G(G \times_T M) \cong \tau_G(G/T \times M) \cong \tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M .$$

The first equivalence comes from the $(G \times T)$ -equivariant bundle map $G \times \tau_T M = \tau_{G \times T}(G \times M)$, where $G \times T$ acts on $G \times M$ by $(g, h)(g', x) = (gg'h^{-1}, hx)$. The third equivalence comes from the natural identification $\tau(G/T \times M) \cong \tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M$. Then we define a homomorphism $r: K_G(\tau_G M) \rightarrow K_T(\tau_T M)$ by:

$$r: K_G(\tau_G M) \xrightarrow{[\bar{\partial}]^\times} K_G(\tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M) \cong K_G(G \times_T \tau_T M) \cong K_T(\tau_T M) .$$

By this homomorphism, we can compute ind^G through ind^T .

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *Let M be a compact smooth G -manifold without boundary (with only finite isotropy subgroups)*). Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(\tau_G M) & \xrightarrow{r} & K_T(\tau_T M) \\ \downarrow \text{ind}^G & & \downarrow \text{ind}^T \\ \mathcal{D}'(G)^{\text{inv}} & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{D}'(T), \end{array}$$

where $i_*: \mathcal{D}'(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(G)^{\text{inv}}$ is the dual of the restriction $i^*: \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^{\text{inv}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(T)$.

Especially, for $u \in K_G(\tau_G M)$, we have:

$$(10) \quad (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) = (\text{ind}^T ru)(1_T).$$

Another result that we need is the following:

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *Let M be a compact smooth T -manifold without boundary, with only finite isotropy subgroups. Then for $u \in K_T(\tau_T M)$, we have:*

$$(11) \quad (\text{ind}^T u)(1_T) = \sum_{\substack{h \in T, M^h \neq \emptyset \\ M_i^h \subset M^h}} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(T \setminus M_i^h)}}{m_T(M_i^h)} \{ \text{ch}_T^h(u) \cdot \mathcal{S}_T^h(M) \} [T \setminus \tau_T M_i^h],$$

where M_i^h moves over the connected components of M^h and for each M_i^h , we define the multiplicity $m_T(M_i^h)$ by:

$$m_T(M_i^h) = \text{the order of } \{g \in T \mid gx = x, \text{ for any } x \in M_i^h\}^{**}).$$

We review the definitions of $\text{ch}_T^h(u)$ and $\mathcal{S}_T^h(u)$. Let $i_h: \tau_T M^h \rightarrow \tau_T M$ be the inclusion, then $i_h^* u \in K_T(\tau_T M^h)$ admits the eigenspace decomposition $i_h^* u = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} u_h^\theta$, where $u_h^\theta \in K_T(\tau_T M^h)$ is the stable eigenvector bundle of eigenvalue $e^{i\theta}$. Then we have an element $\text{ch}_T(u_h^\theta) \in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{Q}) \cong H_c^*(T \setminus \tau_T M^h; \mathbf{Q})$ (the subscript c denotes the cohomology with compact support). We define $\text{ch}_T^h(u) \in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H_c^*(T \setminus \tau_T M^h; \mathbf{C})$ by:

$$\text{ch}_T^h(u) = \sum_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} e^{i\theta} \text{ch}_T(u_h^\theta).$$

*) In Atiyah [1], this theorem is proved without any restriction on isotropy subgroups.

**) The definition of the multiplicity $m(h)$ in Atiyah [1] is incorrect. It depends on the whole group T and the connected component M_i^h in M^h .

Let $\tau_T M|_{M^h} = \tau_T M^h \oplus \nu_{h,T} = \tau_T M^h \oplus (\oplus_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} \nu_{h,T}^\theta)$ be the eigenspace decomposition. $\nu_{h,T}^\pi$ is the real eigenvector bundle of eigenvalue -1 and $\nu_{h,T}^\theta$ ($0 < \theta < \pi$) is a complex vector bundle on which the action of h is the multiplication by the scalar $e^{i\theta}$. We denote formally the equivariant Pontrjagin classes of $\tau_T M^h$ and $\nu_{h,T}^\pi$ by $p_T(\tau_T M^h) = \prod (1 + x_j^2) \in H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$ and $p_T(\nu_{h,T}^\pi) = \prod (1 + y_j^2) \in H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$ respectively, and the equivariant Chern classes of $\nu_{h,T}^\theta$ by $c_T(\nu_{h,T}^\theta) = \prod (1 + z_j) \in H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$. Then we define $\mathcal{S}_T^h(M) \in H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H^*(T \setminus M^h; \mathbf{C})$ by:

$$\mathcal{S}_T^h(M) = \det_{\mathbf{R}} (1 - h|_{\nu_{h,T}})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_T(\nu_{h,T}^\pi) \left\{ \prod_{0 < \theta < \pi} \mathcal{S}_T^\theta(\nu_{h,T}^\theta) \right\} \mathcal{S}_T(M^h),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_T(M^h) &= \mathcal{S}_T(\tau_T M^h \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}) = \prod_j \left(\frac{x_j}{1 - e^{-x_j}} \frac{-x_j}{1 - e^{x_j}} \right), \\ \mathcal{R}_T(\nu_{h,T}^\pi) &= \prod_j \left(\frac{2}{1 + e^{y_j}} \frac{2}{1 + e^{-y_j}} \right), \\ \mathcal{S}_T^\theta(\nu_{h,T}^\theta) &= \prod_j \left(\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{z_j + i\theta}} \frac{1 - e^{-i\theta}}{1 - e^{-z_j - i\theta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the orbit space $X = T \setminus M$ as a V -manifold. By definition, we can see:

$$\begin{aligned} X \amalg \left(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i \right) &= T \setminus M \amalg \left(\bigsqcup_{\substack{h \in T, M^h \neq \emptyset \\ M_i^h \subset M^h}} T \setminus M_i^h \right), \\ \tau_V X \amalg \left(\bigsqcup_i \tau_V \Sigma_i \right) &= T \setminus \tau_T M \amalg \left(\bigsqcup_{h,i} T \setminus \tau_T M_i^h \right). \end{aligned}$$

So we may identify $H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M; \mathbf{Q})$ with $H_c^*(\tau_V X; \mathbf{Q})$ and $H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M_i^h; \mathbf{C})$ with $H_c^*(\tau_V \Sigma_i; \mathbf{C})$. Then, for $u \in K_V(\tau_V X) \cong K_T(\tau_T M)$, we can interpret:

$$\text{ch}(u) \mathcal{S}(X) + \text{ch}^{\mathbb{Z}}(u) \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) = \text{ch}_T(u) \mathcal{S}_T(M) + \sum_h \text{ch}_T^h(u) \mathcal{S}_T^h(M).$$

Thus we have shown that the Atiyah's formula (11) is equivalent to our formula (7), if the V -manifold X is obtained as the orbit space of a toral action.

Now we consider a general V -manifold X . We may assume that X is the orbit space of a G -manifold M . G acts on M with only finite isotropy subgroups and of trivial principal orbit type. Then, for a real or complex G -equivariant vector bundle E , we may identify the G -equivariant characteristic class of E with the characteristic class of the V -bundle $G \setminus E \rightarrow X$

(defined by the same polynomial in Pontrjagin classes or Chern classes). We shall rewrite the formula (7) in the word of equivariant characteristic classes.

By the compactness of M and the smoothness of the G -action, the number of orbit types of G -manifold M is finite. Also, all the isotropy subgroups are finite, so the number of conjugacy classes of elements of G with non-empty fixed point set is finite. Let $(1), (h_1), \dots, (h_\rho)$ be such conjugacy classes. Each fixed point set M^h admits the action of the centralizer $Z_G(h)$. Then the action of h on $\tau M|_{M^h}$ defines the decomposition into eigenvector bundles

$$\tau M|_{M^h} = \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h \oplus \nu_{h,G} = \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} \nu_{h,G}^\theta \right).$$

Since $Z_G(h)$ commutes with h , each summand is $Z_G(h)$ -equivariant. Then we define $\mathcal{S}_G^h(M) \in H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathbb{C})$ by;

$$\mathcal{S}_G^h(M) = \det_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - h|_{\nu_{h,G}})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{Z_G(h)}(\nu_{h,G}^\pi) \left\{ \prod_{0 < \theta < \pi} \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}^\theta(\nu_{h,G}^\theta) \right\} \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}(M^h).$$

We remark that $\nu_{h,G}$ and the normal bundle of M^h in M differ in dimension equal to $\dim G - \dim Z_G(h)$. For $u \in K_V(\tau_V X) \cong K_G(\tau_G M)$, we have $i_h^* u \in K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h)$ and the eigenspace decomposition $i_h^* u = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} u_h^\theta$. Then we define:

$$\text{ch}_G^h(u) = \sum_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} e^{i\theta} \text{ch}_{Z_G(h)}(u_h^\theta) \in H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbb{C}).$$

Let $\Sigma X = \coprod \Sigma_i$ be the singularity V -manifold. Then we have canonical identifications

$$\coprod \Sigma_i = \coprod_{j=1}^p Z_G(h_j) \setminus M^{h_j}, \quad \coprod \tau_V \Sigma_i = \coprod_{j=1}^p Z_G(h_j) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h_j)} M^{h_j}.$$

Let $Z_G(h) \setminus M^h = \coprod Z_G(h) \setminus M_i^h$ be the decomposition into connected components. Each M_i^h is $Z_G(h)$ -invariant but not connected in general. We define the multiplicity $m_G(M_i^h)$ by:

$$m_G(M_i^h) = \text{the order of } \{g \in Z_G(h) \mid gx = x, \text{ for any } x \in M_i^h\}.$$

Now we can rewrite the formula (7) into:

$$(12) \quad (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) = \sum_{\substack{(h) \in (\mathcal{G}) \\ M_i^h \subset M^h}} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(Z_G(h) \setminus M_i^h)}}{m_G(M_i^h)} \{ \text{ch}_G^h(u) \cdot \mathcal{S}_G^h(M) \} [Z_G(h) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h)} M_i^h],$$

where we denote by (G) the set of conjugacy classes of G . We shall deduce this formula from (11) and a computation in the equivariant Chern classes on the flag manifold G/T .

§ 2. Gysin homomorphisms (integrations over the fibre)

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let M be a compact G -manifold without boundary. We assume that G acts on M with only finite isotropy subgroups. Let T be a maximal torus of G . We choose and fix a G -invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T . Then, by (10) and (11), we have, for $u \in K_G(\tau_G M)$:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) &= (\text{ind}^T ru)(1_T) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h \in T \\ M_i^h \subset M^h}} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(T \setminus M_i^h)}}{m_T(M_i^h)} \{ \text{ch}_T^h(ru) \mathcal{F}_T^h(M) \} [T \setminus \tau_T M_i^h] . \end{aligned}$$

Here $M_i^h \subset M^h$ denotes a connected component. In the sequel we omit i 's since all the arguments are parallel.

To deduce (12), we need to reform (13) into the evaluation over $[Z_G(h) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h]$'s. We use the Gysin homomorphisms. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ET \times_T M^h & \xrightarrow{\pi} & EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} M^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T \setminus M^h & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z_G(h) \setminus M^h . \end{array}$$

The vertical maps induce the identifications $H^*(T \setminus M^h; \mathbf{Q}) \cong H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$ and $H^*(Z_G(h) \setminus M^h; \mathbf{Q}) \cong H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$. The upper π is a fibration with fibre $Z_G(X)/T$. We orient $Z_G(h)/T$ by the induced complex structure from G/T . We denote the orientation sheaf on M^h by $o(M^h)$. Then we have the Gysin homomorphism $\pi_1: H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q})$. We may reconstruct π_1 by using the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the map $\pi: T \setminus M^h \rightarrow Z_G(h) \setminus M^h$. Then we have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION. *The Gysin homomorphism π_1 :*

$$H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q})$$

is a $H_{Z_G(h)}^(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$ -module homomorphism. For $x \in H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q})$, we have the following formula:*

$$\frac{1}{m_T(M^h)} \langle x, [T \setminus M^h] \rangle = \frac{1}{m_G(M^h)} \langle \pi_! x, [Z_G(h) \setminus M^h] \rangle .$$

Also we have the Thom isomorphisms

$$\psi_T: H_T^*(M^h; \mathfrak{o}(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{Q})$$

and

$$\psi_{Z_G(h)}: H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathfrak{o}(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{Q}) .$$

Then we define $\tau\pi_! = \psi_{Z_G(h)} \circ \pi_! \circ (\psi_T)^{-1}: H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{Q})$. It is also a $H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathbf{Q})$ -homomorphism. Looking carefully at the orientations of $T \setminus \tau_T M^h$ and $Z_G(h) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h$, we have, for $y \in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{Q})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m_T(M^h)} \langle y, [T \setminus \tau_T M^h] \rangle &= \frac{(-1)^{m_h}}{m_T(M^h)} \langle \tau\pi_! y, [Z_G(h) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h] \rangle , \\ (m_h = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(Z_G(h)/T) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(Z_G(h)/T)) . \end{aligned}$$

We apply $\tau\pi_!$ to $\{\text{ch}_T^h(ru) \mathcal{S}_T^h(M)\}$ in (13). Then we get:

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) &= \sum_{h \in T} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)} (-1)^{m_h} \tau\pi_! \{ \text{ch}_T^h(ru) \mathcal{S}_T^h(M) \} [Z_G(h) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h] , \\ (\varepsilon_G(M^h) &= (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(Z_G(h), M^h)} . \end{aligned}$$

We compute each term $(-1)^{m_h} \tau\pi_! \{ \text{ch}_T^h(ru) \mathcal{S}_T^h(M) \}$ independently. First we consider $\mathcal{S}_T^h(M) \in H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{C})$. We have isomorphisms:

$$H_T^*(M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h) \times_T M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h)/T \times M^h) .$$

Recall the definition:

$$\mathcal{S}_T^h(M) = \det_{\mathbf{R}}(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,T}})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_T(\nu_{h,T}^{\varepsilon}) \left\{ \prod_{0 < \theta < \pi} \mathcal{S}_T^{\theta}(\nu_{h,T}^{\theta}) \right\} \mathcal{S}_T(M^h) .$$

$\nu_{h,T}$ is a $Z_G(h)$ -equivariant bundle and decomposes equivariantly into:

$$\nu_{h,T} = \nu_{h,G} \oplus \tau_0(G/Z_G(h)) ,$$

where $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$ denotes the tangent space of $G/Z_G(h)$ at the identity coset. (We denote by the same symbol the vector space and the trivial vector bundle). So, if we lift the T -equivariant bundle $\nu_{h,T}$ to a $Z_G(h)$ -equivariant bundle over $Z_G(h) \times_T M^h \cong Z_G(h)/T \times M^h$, we may consider it as the pull-back of a $Z_G(h)$ -equivariant bundle $\nu_{h,T}$ over M^h . Since $Z_G(h)/T$ is a complex submanifold of G/T , $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$ is a complex vector space with a linear action of h . h does not have any non-zero fixed

vector on $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$. Let $\bigoplus_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h))$ be the eigenspace decomposition. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 &= \det_{\mathbf{R}}(1 - h|_{\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))})^{-1} \left\{ \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h))) \right\} \\ &\in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{\mathbf{R}}(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,T}})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_T(\nu_{h,T}^\pi) \left\{ \prod_{0 < \theta < \pi} \mathcal{S}_T^\theta(\nu_{h,T}^\theta) \right\} \\ = \mathcal{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \times \det(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,G}})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{Z_G(h)}(\nu_{h,G}^\pi) \left\{ \prod_{0 < \theta < \pi} \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}^\theta(\nu_{h,G}^\theta) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C})$ and the second factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathbf{C})$. Also we have a T -equivariant decomposition:

$$\tau_T M^h = \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h \oplus \tau_0(Z_G(h)/T).$$

If we lift $\tau_T M^h$ over $Z_G(h)/T \times M^h$, then $\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h$ is a $Z_G(h)$ -equivariant bundle over M^h and $\tau_0(Z_G(h)/T)$ is the tangent bundle of $Z_G(h)/T$. Hence we have:

$$\mathcal{I}_T(M^h) = \mathcal{I}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \times \mathcal{I}_{Z_G(h)}(M^h).$$

As a whole, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_T^h(M) &= \mathcal{I}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \times \mathcal{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \times \mathcal{I}_G^h(M) \\ &\in H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h)/T \times M^h; \mathbf{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h)/T; \mathbf{Q})$, the second factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C})$ and the third factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathbf{C})$.

Next we compute $\text{ch}_T^h(ru) \in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{C})$. By definition, we have $ru[\bar{\partial}] \times u \in K_G(\tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M) \cong K_T(\tau_T M)$. So

$$i_h^* ru = [\bar{\partial}_{G/T}|_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times i_h^* u \in K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h).$$

Since $Z_G(h)/T$ is a complex submanifold of G/T , we have $[\bar{\partial}_{G/T}|_{Z_G(h)/T}] = [\bar{\partial}_{Z_G(h)/T}] \lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))) \in K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T))$. Hence we have:

$$i_h^* ru = [\bar{\partial}_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times \lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))) \times i_h^* u,$$

where the first factor is in $K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T))$, the second factor is in $R(Z_G(h))$ and the third factor is in $K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h)$. Consider the eigenspace decomposition by the action of h . The action is trivial on $Z_G(h)/T$. So we have:

$$\sum e^{i\theta}(i_h^* ru)^\theta = [\bar{\delta}_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times (\sum e^{i\theta} \lambda_{-1}(\tau_0^*(G/Z_G(h)))) \times (\sum e^{i\theta} (i_h^* u)^\theta).$$

Applying the Chern character on both sides, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_T^h(ru) &= \text{ch}_{Z_G(h)}[\bar{\delta}_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times \text{ch}_G^h(\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0^*(G/Z_G(h)))) \times \text{ch}_G^h(u) \\ &\in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first factor is in $H_{Z_G(h),c}^{**}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T); \mathbf{C})$, the second factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C})$ and the third factor is in $H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C})$. Combining this with the computation on $\mathcal{S}_T^h(M)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_T^h(ru) \mathcal{S}_T^h(M) &= \text{ch}_{Z_G(h)}[\bar{\delta}_{Z_G(h)/T}] \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \\ &\quad \times \text{ch}_G^h(\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0^*(G/Z_G(h)))) \mathcal{S}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \\ &\quad \times \text{ch}_G^h(u) \mathcal{S}_G^h(M) \\ &\in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first factor is in $H_{Z_G(h),c}^{**}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T); \mathbf{Q})$, the second factor is in $H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C})$ and the third factor is in $H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C})$. We have also:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{Z_G(h)}[\bar{\delta}_{Z_G(h)/T}] \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) &= (-1)^{m_h} \psi(\mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T)), \\ (\psi: H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(Z_G(h)/T; \mathbf{Q}) &\longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h),c}^{**}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T); \mathbf{Q}), \text{ Thom isomorphism}), \\ \text{ch}_G^h(\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0^*(G/Z_G(h)))) \mathcal{S}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 &= \mathcal{S}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0, \\ (\text{the residual Todd class restricted at the identity component}). \end{aligned}$$

By the identification $H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \mathbf{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C})$, $\tau\pi_1$ is given by the composite:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\pi_1: H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C}) \\ \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h)/T \times M^h; \mathfrak{o}(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{C}) \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_1} H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \mathfrak{o}(M^h) \otimes \mathbf{C}) \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \mathbf{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see:

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{m_h} \tau\pi_1 \{ \text{ch}_T^h(ru) \mathcal{S}_T^h(M) \} \\ &= \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \mathcal{S}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \} \text{ch}_G^h(u) \mathcal{S}_G^h(M), \\ &(\pi_1: H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(Z_G(h)/T; \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved:

$$(15) \quad (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) = \sum_{\substack{h \in T \\ M^h \neq \emptyset}} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)} \{ \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{S}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \mathcal{S}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \} \\ \times \text{ch}_G^h(u) \mathcal{S}_G^h(M) \} [Z_G(h) \setminus \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h].$$

We compare this formula with the final form (12). In (12), the summation moves over the conjugacy classes (h) in G such that $M^h \neq \emptyset$, but in (15), the summation moves over all the elements in T such that $M^h \neq \emptyset$. We recall that every conjugacy class (h) in G meets T by finite (non-zero) times. So in (15), we sum up first the terms corresponding to the elements that belong to the same conjugacy class in G .

Let h and h' be elements in T conjugate in G . Choose $g \in G$ such that $ghg^{-1} = h'$. We denote by ϕ_g the action of g on M and by ι_g the inner automorphism induced by g . Then $\phi_g: M \rightarrow M$ is ι_g -equivariant and maps M^h onto $M^{h'}$. It induces bundle equivalences $\tau_h \phi_g: \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h \rightarrow \tau_{Z_G(h')} M^{h'}$ and $\nu_h \phi_g: \nu_{h,G} \rightarrow \nu_{h',G}$. These equivalences are $[\iota_g: Z_G(h) \rightarrow Z_G(h')]$ -equivariant. This shows $\phi_g^* \mathcal{F}_G^h(M) = \mathcal{F}_G^{h'}(M)$ and $(\tau_h \phi_g)^* \text{ch}_G^h(u) = \text{ch}_G^{h'}(u)$. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_h \phi_g)^* \{ \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h')} (Z_G(h')/T) \mathcal{F}_G^{h'}(G/Z_G(h'))_0 \} \text{ch}_G^{h'}(u) \mathcal{F}_G^{h'}(M) \} \\ &= \{ \iota_g^* \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h)} (Z_G(h)/T) \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \} \} \text{ch}_G^h(u) \mathcal{F}_G^h(M). \end{aligned}$$

For each conjugacy class (h) in G , we put $(h) \cap T = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{w(h)}\}$. For each j , we choose $g_j \in G$ such that $h_j = g_j h g_j^{-1}$. Then we have:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) &= \sum_{\substack{(h) \in (G) \\ M^h \neq \emptyset}} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)} \left\{ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{w(h)} \iota_{g_j}^* \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h_j)} (Z_G(h_j)/T) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \mathcal{F}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0 \right\} \text{ch}_G^h(u) \mathcal{F}_G^h(M) \right\} [Z_G(h) \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the class

$$\sum_{j=1}^{w(h)} \iota_{g_j}^* \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h_j)} (Z_G(h_j)/T) \mathcal{F}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0 \} \in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C}).$$

The action of h on $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$ has no fixed non-zero vector. By an elementary consideration, we have:

$$(G/T)^h = \prod_{j=1}^{w(h)} g_j^{-1} Z_G(h_j) / T.$$

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) \in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}((G/T)^h; \mathbf{C})$. We can see that $\mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T)$ onto the component $Z_G(h)/T$. The holomorphic action of g_j on G/T defines a map $\psi_{g_j}: g_j^{-1} Z_G(h_j) / T \rightarrow Z_G(h_j) / T$. It is ι_{g_j} -equivariant. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_{g_j}^* \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h_j)} (Z_G(h_j)/T) \mathcal{F}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0 \} \\ &= \pi_1 \{ \psi_{g_j}^* \mathcal{F}_{Z_G(h_j)} (Z_G(h_j)/T) \mathcal{F}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0 \} \\ &= \pi_1 \{ \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) |_{g_j^{-1} Z_G(h_j) / T} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{ind}^G u)(1_G) \\
 (17) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{(h) \in (G) \\ M^h \neq \emptyset}} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)} \{ \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T)) \text{ch}_G^h(u) \mathcal{F}_G^h(M) \} [Z_G(h) \backslash \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h], \\
 & (\pi_1 : H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}((G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C})) .
 \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it will suffice to show:

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T)) = 1 \in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C}) .$$

This will be done in the next section.

§ 3. Equivariant residual Todd classes over flag manifolds

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let T be a maximal torus of G . Choose and fix a G -invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T . Let $h \in T$ be an element. Then the fixed point set $(G/T)^h$ is a complex submanifold (closed but not connected in general). It admits the holomorphic action of the centralizer $Z_G(h)$. Let $E (= EG) \rightarrow E/G (= BG)$ be the universal G -principal bundle. Then we have an associated bundle: $E \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h \rightarrow E/Z_G(h) (= BZ_G(h))$. Over its total space $E \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h$, we have vector bundles

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)} &= E \times_{Z_G(h)} \tau((G/T)^h) , \\
 \nu^\theta((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)} &= E \times_{Z_G(h)} \nu^\theta((G/T)^h) \quad (0 < \theta < 2\pi) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

(ν^θ denotes the eigenvector bundle by the action of h). Then we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) &= \mathcal{F}(\tau((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)}) \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{F}^\theta(\nu^\theta((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)}) \\
 &\in H^{**}(E \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}) = H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}((G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

$\pi : E \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h \rightarrow E/Z_G(h)$ defines the Gysin homomorphism

$$\pi_* : H^{**}(E \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h; \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); \mathbf{C}) .$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following formula

$$(18) \quad \pi_* \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); \mathbf{C}) = H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \mathbf{C}) .$$

This is the last formula in the previous section.

Let $Z_G(h)_0 \subset Z_G(h)$ denote the identity component. Then the projection $E/Z_G(h)_0 \rightarrow E/Z_G(h)$ is a finite regular covering. The induced map

$H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^{**}(E/Z_G(h)_0; \mathbf{C})$ is injective. So we may reduce the structure group $Z_G(h)$ to $Z_G(h)_0$. We denote by π' the projection

$$\pi': E \times_{Z_G(h)_0} (G/T)^h \longrightarrow E/Z_G(h)_0.$$

Then it will suffice to show:

$$\pi'_! \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(E/Z_G(h)_0; \mathbf{C}).$$

Let $W(G) = N_G(T)/T$ and $W(Z_G(h)_0) = N_{Z_G(h)_0}(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of G and $Z_G(h)_0$ respectively. For each right coset $[w_j]$ in $W(G)/W(Z_G(h)_0)$, choose one representative $g_j \in N_G(T)$. Then, as a $Z_G(h)_0$ -manifold, $(G/T)^h$ decomposes into a disjoint union

$$(G/T)^h = \coprod_{[w_j] \in W(G)/W(Z_G(h)_0)} (Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T.$$

Put $h_j = g_j h g_j^{-1}$, then the holomorphic action of g_j maps $(Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T$ onto $Z_G(h_j)_0/T$. This map is $[\iota_{g_j}: Z_G(h)_0 \rightarrow Z_G(h_j)_0]$ -equivariant. Over each component $(Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T$ in $(G/T)^h$, we may translate everything onto $Z_G(h_j)_0/T$ by the action of g_j . Then the bundles

$$E \times_{Z_G(h)_0} \tau((G/T)^h) \quad \text{and} \quad E \times_{Z_G(h)_0} \nu^\theta((G/T)^h)$$

are translated to:

$$\begin{aligned} E \times_{Z_G(h_j)_0} \tau(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) &\cong E \times_T \tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T), \\ E \times_{Z_G(h_j)_0} \nu^\theta(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) &\cong E \times_T \tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi'_! \{ \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T)|_{(Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T} \} \\ &= \iota_{g_j}^* (\pi_j)_! \left\{ \mathcal{F}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{F}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \right\}, \\ &(\pi_j: E/T \longrightarrow E/Z_G(h_j)_0, \quad \iota_{g_j}: E/Z_G(h)_0 \longrightarrow E/Z_G(h_j)_0). \end{aligned}$$

We can describe these classes in terms of the roots of G . Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be the positive roots of G , corresponding to the invariant complex structure on G/T (see Borel-Hirzebruch [4]). Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_m$ be the root space decomposition. That is: $\mathfrak{g} = \tau_0(G)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \tau_0(T)$. T acts on \mathfrak{g} by the conjugacy. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_m$ is the irreducible decomposition of this T -action. \mathfrak{h} is the trivial summand. a_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$) is a linear functional on \mathfrak{h} such that, on $\alpha_k \cong \mathbf{C}$, the action of T is given by:

$$hz = e^{2\pi i a_k(H)} z, \\ (h \in T, z \in \alpha_k \cong \mathbb{C}, H \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ such that } \exp H = h).$$

For the fixed $h \in T$, we choose $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\exp H = h$ and we put $H_j = w_j H = \text{Ad}(g_j)H$. Then the T -invariant subspaces $\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)$ and $\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)$ in $\tau_0(G/T) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_m$ are given by:

$$\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) = \bigoplus_{\substack{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0 \\ \text{mod } \mathbb{Z}}} \alpha_k, \\ \tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0) = \bigoplus_{\substack{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv \theta/2\pi \\ \text{mod } \mathbb{Z}}} \alpha_k.$$

By Borel-Hirzebruch [4], we may identify $H^{**}(BT; \mathbb{R}) = H^{**}(E/T; \mathbb{R})$ with the completion of the symmetric tensor algebra $S^{**}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$. We denote by $[a_k] \in H^2(E/T; \mathbb{R})$ the corresponding class to $a_k \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the equivariant total Chern classes are written by:

$$c_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) = \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} (1 + [a_k]) \in H^{**}(E/T; \mathbb{R}), \\ c_T(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) = \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv \theta/2\pi} (1 + [a_k]) \in H^{**}(E/T; \mathbb{R}).$$

Hence we have:

$$\mathcal{F}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{F}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \\ = \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} \frac{[a_k]}{1 - e^{-[a_k]}} \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv \theta/2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[a_k] - i\theta}} \\ = \left\{ \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [a_k] \right\} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[a_k] - 2\pi i a_k(H_j)}} \right\}.$$

By Borel-Hirzebruch [5], we can compute the Gysin homomorphism $(\pi_j)_!$. We remark that $\{a_k | a_k(H_j) \equiv 0 \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\}$ are the positive roots of $Z_G(h_j)_0$. Then we have:

$$\left\{ \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [a_k] \right\} (\pi_j)_! \left\{ \mathcal{F}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{F}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \right\} \\ = \sum_{w \in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)} \text{sgn}(w) \left\{ \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [wa_k] \right\} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[wa_k] - 2\pi i a_k(H_j)}} \right\}.$$

For $w \in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)$, we have:

$$\text{sgn}(w) \left\{ \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [wa_k] \right\} = \prod_{k; a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [a_k], \\ wa_k(H_j) = a_k(w^{-1}H_j) = a_k(H_j) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\pi_j)_! \left\{ \mathcal{F}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \mathcal{F}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{w \in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[wa_k] - 2\pi i w a_k(H_j)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The conjugation $\iota_{g_j}: E/Z_G(h)_0 \rightarrow E/Z_G(h_j)_0$ is covered by the map $\iota_{g_j}: E/T \rightarrow E/T$. So, in cohomology, $\iota_{g_j}^*$ is given by the action of the element $w_j^{-1} \in W(G)$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi'_! \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) &= \sum_j \iota_{g_j}^* (\pi_j)_! \left\{ \mathcal{F}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_\theta \mathcal{F}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{[w_j] \in W(G)/W(Z_G(h)_0) \\ w \in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)}} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[w_j^{-1} w a_k] - 2\pi i w a_k(H)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $w a_k(H_j) = w a_k(w_j H) = w_j^{-1} w a_k(H)$ and in summation $w_j^{-1} w$ move just all over $W(G)$. Hence:

$$\pi'_! \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) = \sum_{w \in W(G)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[w a_k] - 2\pi i w a_k(H)}}.$$

Recall the Weyl's relation that was used in Borel-Hirzebruch [4]. That is, as a function in $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have:

$$\sum_{w \in W(G)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-w a_k(X)}} \equiv 1.$$

Replace X by $X + 2\pi i H$ and we get:

$$\sum_{w \in W(G)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-w a_k(X) - 2\pi i w a_k(H)}} \equiv 1.$$

The formal power series expansion of this expression gives a relation in $S^{**}(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes C = H^{**}(E/T; C)$. This shows:

$$\pi_! \mathcal{F}_G^h(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); C) \subset H^{**}(E/T; C).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, Elliptic operators and compact groups, Lecture Notes in Math., **401**, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators, I, Ann. of Math., **87** (1968), 484–530.
- [3] —, The index of elliptic operators, III, Ann. of Math., **87** (1968), 546–604.
- [4] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, I, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), 458–538.
- [5] —, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, II, Amer. J. Math., **81** (1959), 315–382.

- [6] T. Kawasaki, The signature theorem for V -manifolds, *Topology*, **17** (1978), 75–83.
- [7] ———, The Riemann-Roch theorem for complex V -manifolds, *Osaka J. Math.*, **16** (1979), 151–159.

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Gakushuin University
Mejiro, Tokyo, 171 Japan*

