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# THE GROWTH OF THE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF $L u=0$ NEAR THE BOUNDARY OF AN INNER NTA DOMAIN 

KATSUNORI SHIMOMURA

## § 1. Introduction

Let $D$ be a bounded domain in the Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}(n \geqq 2)$ and $L$ a uniformly elliptic partial differential operator of second order with $\alpha$-Hölder continuous coefficients $(0<\alpha \leqq 1)$ on $D$.

According to N. Suzuki [3], $D$ is said to be associated with the cone of angle $\theta<\pi / 2$ if there exist positive constants $h, d_{0}$ and $K_{0} \geqq 1$ such that:
(i) For any $z \in \partial D$, there exists $e_{z} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ with $\left|e_{z}\right|=1$ such that $\Gamma_{\theta}\left(z, e_{z}\right)$ $\subset D$, where $\Gamma_{\theta}\left(z, e_{z}\right)$ is the half cone obtained from $\left\{x \in R^{n} ; \sqrt{x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}}\right.$ $\left.<x_{1} \tan \theta, 0<x_{1}<h\right\}$ by the translation $z$ and the rotation $e_{z}$.
(ii) Put $A_{D}=\left\{y=z+t e_{z} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n} ; z \in \partial D, 0<t<h / 2\right\}$. Then for any $x \in D$ with $d(x) \leqq d_{0}$, there exist $y_{x} \in A_{D}$ and a polygonal line $L_{x}$ from $x$ to $y_{x}$ such that $d(x) \leqq d\left(y_{x}\right)$ and the length of $L_{x}$ is $\leqq K_{0} d\left(L_{x}, \partial D\right)$.

In [4] he proved the following result:
If $D$ is associated with a cone, there exist constants $m, m^{\prime} \geqq 1$ such that for any positive solution of $L u=0$ in $D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{u}^{-1}(d(x))^{m} \leqq u(x) \leqq C_{u}(d(x))^{-m^{\prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $C_{u} \geqq 1$ depending on $u$, where $d(x)$ denotes the distance between $x$ and $\partial D$, the boundary of $D$. In this paper, we shall define inner NTA (non-tangentially accessible) domains and show that for an inner NTA domain, we can choose two positive constants $m, m^{\prime} \geqq 1$ satisfying (1) for all positive solutions of $L u=0$ in $D$. This is a direct extension of N. Suzuki's result. As applications of our main result, we shall establish the uniqueness theorem for $L$-superharmonic functions on an inner NTA domain and the Harnack inequality for inner NTA domains.
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## § 2. Preliminaries

Let $D$ be a domain in $R^{n}$. For three numbers $0<\alpha \leqq 1, \lambda \geqq 1$ and $\eta \geqq 0$, we denote by $\mathscr{L}(\alpha, \lambda, \eta ; D)$ the set of all uniformly elliptic differential operators $L$ of the form

$$
L=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+c(x)
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{-1}|\xi|^{2} \leqq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leqq \lambda|\xi|^{2}, \\
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}(y)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|b_{i}(x)-b_{i}(y)\right|+|c(x)-c(y)| \leqq \eta|x-y|^{\alpha}, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|b_{i}(x)\right| \leqq \eta \text { and }-\eta \leqq c(x) \leqq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and $\xi \in R^{n}$, where $|x-y|$ is the distance between $x$ and $y$. For $L \in \mathscr{L}(\alpha, \lambda, \eta ; D)$, a function $u$ of class $C^{2}$ on $D$ is said to be $L$ harmonic in $D$ if $L u=0$ on $D$. We denote by $H_{L}(D)$ the set of all $L$-harmonic functions on $D$ and put $H_{L}^{+}(D)=\left\{u \in H_{L}(D) ; u>0\right.$ on $\left.D\right\}$.

A lower semi-continuous function $u$ on $D$ is said to be $L$-superharmonic if $u$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $-\infty<u \leqq+\infty, u \not \equiv+\infty$.
(ii) For any open ball $B$ with $\bar{B} \subset D$ and any $v \in H_{L}(B)$ which is continuous on $\bar{B}$, we have

$$
u \geqq v \text { on } \partial B \Longrightarrow u \geqq v \text { in } B
$$

For $x \in R^{n}$ and $r>0, B(x, r)$ (resp. $\left.\dot{B}(x, r)\right)$ denotes the closed (resp. open) ball with center $x$ and radius $r$. For an open or closed ball $B, r(B)$ denotes the radius of $B$.

The following Harnack inequality for $L$-harmonic functions plays an essential role in this paper.

Proposition 1 ([1], p. 109). For given $\lambda \geqq 1,0<\alpha \leqq 1$ and $\eta \geqq 0$, there exists a constant $K \geqq 1$ depending only on $\lambda, \alpha$ and $\eta$ such that for any $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, 0<r<1, L \in \mathscr{L}(\alpha, \lambda, \eta ; \check{B}(x, r)), u \in H_{L}^{+}(\dot{B}(x, r))$ and any $0<s$ $<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-1}(1-s)(1+s)^{1-n} u(x) \leqq u(y) \leqq K(1-s)^{1-n}(1+s) u(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in B(x, s r)$.

For a bounded domain $D$ and $x \in D$, we denote by $d(x)=d_{D}(x)$ the distance between $x$ and $\partial D$.

Definition 1. Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $R^{n}, M$ a constant $>1$ and $N$ a positive integer. An $M$-Harnack chain of the length $N$ in $D$ is a finite sequence of closed balls $\left(B_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ contained in $D$ such that $B_{j} \cap$ $B_{j+1} \neq \varnothing(j=1, \cdots, N-1)$ and

$$
M^{-1} \leqq r\left(B_{j}\right) / d\left(B_{j}, \partial D\right) \leqq M,
$$

where $d\left(B_{j}, \partial D\right)$ denotes the distance between $B_{j}$ and $\partial D$.
Let $x, y \in D$. We say that $y$ can be connected with $x$ by an $M$ Harnack chain $\left(B_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ of the length $N$ in $D$ if $x$ is the center of $B_{1}$ and $y \in B_{N}$. For $x \in D$, we denote by $H_{M, N}(x)$ the set of the points which can be connected with $x$ by an $M$-Harnack chain of the length $N$ in $D$.

Definition 2. Let $M>1$ be a constant, $N$ a positive integer and $0<\nu<1$ a constant. A bounded domain $D$ in $R^{n}$ is called an $(M, N, \nu)$ inner NTA domain if there exist a constant $r_{0}>0$ and a mapping $\Phi(z)=$ $\left(z_{j}(z)\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ from $\partial D$ to sequences in $D$ with $d\left(z_{1}(z)\right) \geqq r_{0}$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} z_{j}(z)=z$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(I) For any $z \in \partial D$,

$$
z_{j+1}(z) \in H_{M, N}\left(z_{j}(z)\right) \quad(j=1,2, \cdots)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in D D} \sup _{1 \leqq j<\infty} d\left(z_{j}(z)\right) / \nu^{j}<+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) For each $x \in D$, we put $R_{x}=\bigcup_{z \in \partial D}\left\{z_{j}(z)\right.$; $\left.d(x) \leqq d\left(z_{j}(z)\right)\right\}$. Then

$$
\sup _{\substack{x=D \\ d(x) \leqq r_{0}}}^{\inf _{P, Q}(x) \cap R_{x} \neq \phi},
$$

A bounded domain $D$ in $R^{n}$ is simply called an inner NTA domain if there exist $M>1,0<\nu<1$ and a positive integer $N$ such that $D$ is an ( $M, N, \nu$ )-inner NTA domain.

Remark 1. NTA domains (cf. [2], p. 93) are inner NTA domains. Here an NTA domain is a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ such that there exist $M>1$ and $r_{0}>0$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) For any $z \in \partial D$ and any $r \leqq r_{0}$, there exists $a=a_{r}(z) \in D$ such that $d(a) \geqq M^{-1} r$ and $M^{-1} r \leqq|a-z| \leqq r$.
(ii) The complement of $\bar{D}$ also satisfies the condition (i).
(iii) For any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $x, y \in D$ such that $d(x) \geqq \varepsilon, d(y) \geqq \varepsilon$ and $|x-y| \leqq \delta$, there exists an $M$-Harnack chain from $x$ to $y$ whose length depends only on $\delta / \varepsilon$.

We remark that there are inner NTA domains which are not NTA domains. For example, $D=\left\{(r, \theta) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\} ; r \neq e^{\theta}, \theta<0, r<1\right\}$ is such a domain.

Remark 2. Put $M=\sin \theta /(1-\sin \theta), N=1$ and $\nu=1-\sin ^{2} \theta$. Then the domain being associated with the cone of angle $\theta$ is an ( $M, N, \nu$ )-inner NTA domain.

According to N. Suzuki [4], a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ is said to be associated with the ball of radius $r>0$ if there exist positive constants $r, d_{0}$ and $K_{0} \geqq 1$ such that:
(i) For any $z \in \partial D$, there exists $e_{z} \in D$ with $d\left(e_{z}, z\right)=r$ such that $\stackrel{\circ}{B}\left(e_{z}, r\right) \subset D$.
(ii) Put $A_{D}=\left\{y=z+t\left(e_{z}-z\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n} ; z \in \partial D, 0<t \leqq 2\right\}$. Then for any $x \in D$ with $d(x) \leqq d_{0}$, there exist $y_{x} \in A_{D}$ and a polygonal line $L_{x}$ from $x$ to $y_{x}$ such that $d(x) \leqq d\left(y_{x}\right)$ and the length of $L_{x}$ is $\leqq K_{0} d\left(L_{x}, \partial D\right)$.

Remark 3. The above domain being associated with a ball is an ( $M, 1,1 /(M+1)$ )-inner NTA domain for all $M>1$.

## § 3. Main result

Theorem 1. Let $M>1$ be a constant, $N$ a positive integer, $0<\nu<1$ a constant and $D$ an ( $M, N, \nu$ )-inner NTA domain in $R^{n}$. For a fixed $x_{0}$ $\in D$, we set $H_{L}^{0}(D)=\left\{u \in H_{L}^{+}(D) ; u\left(x_{0}\right)=1\right\}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=m(M, N, \nu)=\frac{(2 N-1) \log K^{-1}(M+1)^{n-2}(2 M+1)^{1-n}}{\log \nu} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\prime}=m^{\prime}(M, N, \nu)=\frac{(2 N-1) \log K(M+1)^{n-2}(2 M+1)}{-\log \nu}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the constant in Proposition 1. Then there exist positive constants $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ such that for any $u \in H_{L}^{0}(D)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(d(x))^{m} \leqq u(x) \leqq C^{\prime}(d(x))^{-m^{\prime}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $D$.

Remark 4. (1) If a domain is associated with the cone of angle $\theta<\pi / 2$, then $m=\log \left\{K^{-1}(1-\sin \theta)(1+\sin \theta)^{1-n}\right\} /(2 \cdot \log \cos \theta)$ and $m^{\prime}=$ $-\log \left\{K(1-\sin \theta)^{1-n}(1+\sin \theta)\right\} /(2 \cdot \log \cos \theta)$, which are also obtained by N. Suzuki [4].
(2) If the domain $D$ is associated with a ball, we can choose $m=1$ and $m^{\prime}=n-1$.
(3) In the case $n=2$ and $L=\Delta$, Kuran-Schiff [3] obtained a more precise estimate for rather specific domains.

Proof of Theorem 1. Put $F=\left\{x \in D ; d(x) \geqq r_{0}\right\}$, then $F$ is compact in $D$. From Proposition 1, it follows that there exist two positive constants $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ depending only on $D$ and $x_{0}$ such that for any $u \in H_{L}^{0}(D)$ and any $x \in F$,

$$
A_{1} \leqq u(x) \leqq A_{2} .
$$

For any $z \in \partial D$, we have $z_{1}(z) \in F$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} \leqq u\left(z_{1}(z)\right) \leqq A_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z \in \partial D$. Then for any $k$, there exists an $M$-Harnack chain $\left(B_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ from $z_{k}(z)$ to $z_{k+1}(z)$. We choose $b_{j} \in B_{j} \cap B_{j+1}(1 \leqq j \leqq N-1)$ and $\gamma_{k}$ the polygonal line $\cup_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{b_{j} b_{j+1}}$, where $b_{0}=z_{k}(z), b_{N}=z_{k+1}(z)$ and $\overline{b_{j} b_{j+1}}$ is the closed segment between $b_{j}$ and $b_{j+1}$. Put $\gamma=\{z\} \cup\left(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}\right)$. Then $\gamma$ is a rectifiable curve from $z$ to $z_{1}(z)$. Put $C_{0}=K^{-1}(M+1)^{n-2}(2 M+1)^{1-n}$ and $\tilde{C}_{0}=K(M+1)^{n-2}(2 M+1)$. Proposition 1 shows that for any $x \in \gamma_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}^{2 N-1} u\left(z_{k}(z)\right) & \leqq u(x)
\end{aligned} \leqq \tilde{C}_{0}^{2 N-1} u\left(z_{k}(z)\right) .
$$

By (7), we have

$$
A_{1} C_{0}^{(2 N-1) k} \leqq u(x) \leqq A_{2} \tilde{C}_{0}^{(2 N-1) k}
$$

By (3), there exists a positive constant $\beta$ such that for all $k \geqq 1$,

$$
d\left(z_{k}(z)\right) \leqq \beta \nu^{k} .
$$

Then for any $x \in \gamma_{k}$, we have

$$
d(x) \leqq C_{2}^{N-1} d\left(z_{k}(z)\right) \leqq C_{2}^{N-1} \beta \nu^{k}
$$

where $C_{2}=(2 M+1)^{2}$. Putting $C_{3}=A_{1}\left(\beta^{-1} C_{2}^{1-N}\right)^{m}$ and $\tilde{C}_{3}=A_{2}\left(\beta^{-1} C_{2}^{1-N}\right)^{-m^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}(d(x))^{m} \leqq u(x) \leqq \tilde{C}_{3}(d(x))^{-m^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \gamma \cap D$.
Let $x \in D \backslash F$. By the condition (II) in Definition 2, there exist a constant $P>1$, a positive integer $Q$ and $z_{k}(z) \in R_{x}$ such that $x$ can be connected to $z_{k}(z)$ by a $P$-Harnack chain of the length $\leqq Q$. From Proposition 1, it also follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}^{2 Q} u\left(z_{k}(z)\right) \leqq u(x) \leqq \tilde{C}_{4}^{2 Q} u\left(z_{k}(z)\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{4}=K^{-1}(P+1)^{n-2}(2 P+1)^{1-n}$ and $\tilde{C}_{4}=K(P+1)^{n-2}(2 P+1)$.
Combining (8) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3} C_{4}^{2 Q}(d(x))^{m} \leqq u(x) \leqq \tilde{C}_{3} \tilde{C}_{4}^{2 Q}(d(x))^{-m^{\prime}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in D \backslash F$. Put $C=C_{3} C_{4}^{2 Q}$ and $C^{\prime}=\tilde{C}_{3} \tilde{C}_{4}^{2 Q}$. Then we have

$$
C(d(x))^{m} \leqq u(x) \leqq C^{\prime}(d(x))^{-m^{\prime}}
$$

for all $x \in D$, which completes the proof of our theorem.

## § 4. Applications

We apply our main result to the following uniqueness theorem for $L$-superharmonic functions.

Theorem 2. Let $D$ be an ( $M, N, \nu$ )-inner NTA domain, $L \in \mathscr{L}(\alpha, \lambda, \eta ; D)$ and let $m$ be the constant obtained in (4). If a non-negative L-superharmonic function $u$ in $D$ satisfies

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow z} u(x) /(d(x))^{m}=0
$$

for some $z \in \partial D$, then $u$ is identically equal to 0 .
Proof. Let $G$ be the Green function on $D$ with respect to $L$. Assume that there exists $x_{0} \in D$ such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. We can choose $r>0$ such that $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \subset D$ and $u(x)>0$ on $B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. There exists a positive measure $\mu \neq 0$ supported by $B\left(x_{0}, r / 2\right)$ such that $G \mu(x)$ is finite continuous on $D$ and $G \mu(x) \leqq u(x)$ on $B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, where $G \mu(x)=\int G(x, y) d \mu(y)$. By the maximum principle, we have $G \mu(x) \leqq u(x)$ on $D$. Put $D^{\prime}=D \backslash B\left(x_{0}, r\right) ; D^{\prime}$ is an ( $M, N, \nu$ )-inner NTA domain and the restriction of $G \mu$ to $D^{\prime}$ is $L$-harmonic in $D^{\prime}$. Since $G \mu>0$ on $D^{\prime}$, Theorem 1 shows that for any $x \in D^{\prime}, G \mu(x)$ $\geqq C\left(d^{\prime}(x)\right)^{m}$ with some $C>0$, where $d^{\prime}(x)=d\left(x, \partial D^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $u(x) \geqq$ $C\left(d^{\prime}(x)\right)^{m}$ for all $x \in D^{\prime}$, which contradicts our assumption. Thus Theorem

2 is proved.
The following theorem is a generalization of the Harnack inequality on a ball.

Theorem 3. Let $D$ and $L$ be the same as in Theorem 1 and let $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ be the constants obtained in (4) and (5). Then there exist positive constants $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ such that for any $u \in H_{L}^{+}(D)$ and any relatively compact open subset $\Omega$ of $D$,

$$
C\left(d_{\Omega}\right)^{m} \leqq u(y) / u(x) \leqq C^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}\right)^{-m^{\prime}}
$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$, where $d_{\Omega}=d(\Omega, \partial D)$.
The above theorem immediately follows from Theorem 1.
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