

DIMENSION AND LOWER CENTRAL SUBGROUPS OF METABELIAN p -GROUPS

NARAIN GUPTA* AND KEN-ICHI TAHARA

To the memory of the late Takehiko Miyata

§ 1. Introduction

It is a well-known result due to Sjogren [9] that if G is a finitely generated p -group then, for all $n \leq p - 1$, the $(n + 2)$ -th dimension subgroup $D_{n+2}(G)$ of G coincides with $\gamma_{n+2}(G)$, the $(n + 2)$ -th term of the lower central series of G . This was earlier proved by Moran [5] for $n \leq p - 2$. For $p = 2$, Sjogren's result is the best possible as Rips [8] has exhibited a finite 2-group G for which $D_4(G) \neq \gamma_4(G)$ (see also Tahara [10, 11]). In this note we prove that if G is a finitely generated metabelian p -group then, for all $n \leq p$, $D_{n+2}^2(G) \subseteq \gamma_{n+2}(G)$. It follows, in particular, that, for p odd, $D_{n+2}(G) = \gamma_{n+2}(G)$ for all $n \leq p$ and all metabelian p -groups G .

§ 2. Notation and preliminaries

While the central idea of the proof of our main result stems from Gupta [1], with a slight repetition, it is equally convenient to give a self-contained proof using a less cumbersome notation.

Let $\mathfrak{f} = ZF(F - 1)$ denote the augmentation ideal of the integral group ring ZF of a free group F freely generated by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m , $m \geq 2$. For a fixed prime p , let $(p^{\alpha_1}, p^{\alpha_2}, \dots, p^{\alpha_m})$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_m > 0$ be an m -tuple of p -powers, and let $S = \langle x_1^{p^{\alpha_1}}, x_2^{p^{\alpha_2}}, \dots, x_m^{p^{\alpha_m}}, F' \rangle$ be the normal subgroup of F so that F/S is abelian. Set $\mathfrak{s} = ZF(S - 1)$, the ideal of ZF generated by all elements $s - 1$, $s \in S$. For $1 \leq n \leq p$, we shall need to investigate the structure of the subgroup $D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s}) = F \cap (1 + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2})$ of F which consists of all elements $w \in F$ such that $w - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2}$. It is clear that $[F', S]\gamma_{n+2}(F) \subseteq D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s})$.

Let $w \in D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s})$ be an arbitrary element. Then $w - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}^2$ and it

Received July 25, 1984.

*¹) Research supported by N.S.E.R.C., Canada.

follows that $w \in F'$. Thus, modulo F'' , using the Jacobi identity, we may write w as

$$(1) \quad w \equiv w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{m-1},$$

where

$$(2) \quad w_i = \prod_{j=i+1}^m [x_i, x_j]^{d_{ij}}$$

and $d_{ij} = d_{ij}(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \in ZF$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, define homomorphisms $\theta_i: ZF \rightarrow ZF$ by $x_k \rightarrow 1$ if $k \leq i$, $x_k \rightarrow x_k$ if $k > i$. Since the ideals $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{s}$ are invariant under θ_i 's, it follows, using $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{m-2}$ in succession, that if $w - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2}$ then $w_i - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2}$ for each i . For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, define

$$(3) \quad t(x_k) = 1 + x_k + \cdots + x_k^{p^{\alpha_k}-1}.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} t(x_k) &= \sum_{l=1}^{p^{\alpha_k}} \binom{p^{\alpha_k}}{l} (x_k - 1)^{l-1} \\ &\equiv p^{\alpha_k} + \binom{p^{\alpha_k}}{p} (x_k - 1)^{p-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

We can now prove,

LEMMA 2.1. *Let w_i be as in (2) with $w_i - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2}$ and $n \leq p$. Then, modulo $\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n$, $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_i)a_{ij} \equiv t(x_j)b_{ij}$, where $t(x_i), t(x_j)$ are given by (3), $a_{ij} \in Z$ and $b_{ij} \in ZF$. Moreover, if $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ then $b_{ij} \in Z$.*

Proof. Expansion of $w_i - 1$ shows

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=i+1}^m \{(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1)\} d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2}.$$

Since \mathfrak{f} is a free right ZF -module on $x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1, \dots, x_m - 1$, it follows from (5) that, for all $j = i + 1, \dots, m$,

$$(x_j - 1)(x_i - 1)d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2},$$

which yields

$$(6) \quad (x_i - 1)d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+1}$$

and, in turn,

$$(7) \quad d_{ij} \in t(x_i)ZF + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n,$$

where $t(x_i)$ is given by (3). Since $n \leq p$, (4) induces that, for $k \geq i$, $t(x_i)(x_k - 1) \equiv p^{\alpha_i - \alpha_k} p^{\alpha_k} (x_k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n}$. Thus (7) implies $d_{ij} \equiv$

$t(x_i)a_{ij} \bmod (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n)$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Substituting in (5) gives

$$(x_i - 1) \sum_{j=i+1}^m (x_j - 1) d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2}.$$

and, as before,

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^m (x_j - 1) d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+1}.$$

Using the homomorphisms $\theta_{i+1}, \dots, \theta_{m-1}$ in turn, gives

$$(8) \quad (x_j - 1) d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+1}$$

for all $j = i + 1, \dots, m$, since $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_i)a_{ij} \bmod (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n)$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus

$$(9) \quad d_{ij} \in t(x_j)\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n,$$

and if $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ then, as before, $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_j)b_{ij} \bmod (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n)$ with $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now, let $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} d$ be a free partial derivative of $d \in \mathbf{Z}F$ with respect to x_k . Then we prove,

LEMMA 2.2. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} d_{ij} \in p^{\alpha_k}\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n-1}$, $i < k$, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} d_{ij} \in \begin{cases} p^{\alpha_i}\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n-1} & \text{if } \alpha_i = \alpha_j \\ p^{\alpha_i}\mathbf{Z}F + p^{\alpha_i-1}(x_i - 1)^{p-2}\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n-1} & \text{if } \alpha_i > \alpha_j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\mathfrak{s}) \subseteq \mathfrak{s} + p^{\alpha_k}\mathbf{Z}F; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\mathfrak{f}^n) \subseteq \mathfrak{f}^{n-1}.$$

Thus since $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_i)a_{ij} \bmod (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n)$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} d_{ij} \equiv 0 \bmod (p^{\alpha_k}\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n-1}).$$

By (4) and $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_i)a_{ij} \bmod (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^n)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} d_{ij} \equiv a_{ij} \binom{p^{\alpha_i}}{p} (p-1)(x_i - 1)^{p-2} \bmod (p^{\alpha_i}\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n-1}).$$

Since p^{α_i-1} divides $\binom{p^{\alpha_i}}{p}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} d_{ij} \equiv 0 \bmod (p^{\alpha_i-1}(x_i - 1)^{p-2}\mathbf{Z}F + p^{\alpha_i}\mathbf{Z}F + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n-1})$. If $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ then $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$, and we may differentiate $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_j)b_{ij}$ with

respect to x_i to obtain the desired result.

Next, we need to expand $[x_i, x_j]^{d_{ij}} - 1$ modulo $(\mathfrak{f}^2\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2})$. We first observe,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, x_j] x_i^{\beta_i} x_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m} - 1 & \\ \equiv x_m^{-\beta_m} \cdots x_{i+1}^{-\beta_{i+1}} x_i^{-\beta_i} ([x_i, x_j] - 1) x_i^{\beta_i} x_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m} & \\ \equiv ([x_i, x_j] - 1) x_i^{\beta_i} x_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m} - \sum_{k=i}^m \beta_k (x_k - 1) ([x_i, x_j] - 1) x_i^{\beta_i} x_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m} & \\ \equiv ([x_i, x_j] - 1) x_i^{\beta_i} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m} - \sum_{k=i}^m (x_k - 1) ([x_i, x_j]^{x_k(\partial/\partial x_k)}(x_i^{\beta_i} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m}) - 1). & \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$[x_i, x_j]^{d_{ij}} - 1 \equiv ([x_i, x_j] - 1) d_{ij} - \sum_{k=i}^m (x_k - 1) ([x_i, x_j]^{x_k(\partial/\partial x_k)} - 1).$$

Now, modulo $(\mathfrak{f}^2\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2})$

$$\begin{aligned} ([x_i, x_j] - 1) d_{ij} & \equiv x_i^{-1} x_j^{-1} \{ (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1) \} d_{ij} \\ & \equiv \{ (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1) \} d_{ij} \\ & \quad - (x_i - 1) \{ (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1) \} d_{ij} \\ & \quad - (x_j - 1) \{ (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1) \} d_{ij} \\ & \equiv (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) d_{ij} - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1) d_{ij}, \\ & \quad \text{by (6) and (8)} \\ & \equiv (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) t(x_j) b_{ij} - (x_j - 1)(x_i - 1) t(x_i) a_{ij}, \\ & \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1} \\ & \equiv (x_i - 1)(x_j^{\alpha_j b_{ij}} - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i^{\alpha_i a_{ij}} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have,

LEMMA 2.3. *Modulo $(\mathfrak{f}^2\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{f}^{n+2})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, x_j]^{d_{ij}} - 1 & \equiv (x_i - 1)(x_j^{\alpha_j b_{ij}} - 1) - (x_j - 1)(x_i^{\alpha_i a_{ij}} - 1) \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=i}^m (x_k - 1) ([x_i, x_j]^{x_k(\partial/\partial x_k)} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (6) and (8), we have, for any x_k , $\text{mod } [F', S] \gamma_{n+3}(F)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [[x_i, x_j]^{d_{ij}}, x_k] & \equiv [x_i, x_j, x_k]^{d_{ij}} \\ & \equiv [x_i, x_k, x_j]^{d_{ij}} [x_k, x_j, x_i]^{d_{ij}} \\ & \equiv [x_i, x_k]^{(-1+x_j)d_{ij}} [x_k, x_j]^{(-1+x_i)d_{ij}} \\ & \equiv 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have,

LEMMA 2.4 (Gupta [2]). $[D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s}), F] \subseteq [F', {}^!S]\gamma_{n+3}(F)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

This completes our preliminary discussions.

§ 3. The main theorem

Let G be a finitely generated metabelian p -group. Then G admits a presentation of the form

$$G = F/R = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m; x_1^{\alpha_1}\zeta_1, x_2^{\alpha_2}\zeta_2, \dots, x_m^{\alpha_m}\zeta_m, \zeta_{m+1}, \dots, F'' \rangle,$$

where $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_m > 0$ (see for instance [4], page 149). Let S be the normal subgroup of F generated by $x_1^{p\alpha_1}, x_2^{p\alpha_2}, \dots, x_m^{p\alpha_m}$ and F' , then it follows that $S' \subseteq R \subseteq S$. In terms of the free group rings, the dimension subgroup $D_{n+2}(G) = D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{r})/R$, where $\mathfrak{r} = ZF(R-1)$ and $D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{r}) = F \cap (1 + \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2})$. Then $R\gamma_{n+2}(F) \subseteq D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{r})$. If $z \in D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{r})$, then $z - 1 \in \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}$ implies that $zr - 1 \in \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}$ for some $r \in R$. It follows that $D_{n+2}(G) = \gamma_{n+2}(G)$ if and only if $D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{r}) = F \cap (1 + \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}) \subseteq R\gamma_{n+2}(F)$. We now prove our main result.

THEOREM 3.1. $D_{n+2}^2(\mathfrak{r}) \subseteq R\gamma_{n+2}(F)$ for all $n \leq p$.

Proof. Let $w \in D_{n+2}(\mathfrak{r})$. Then $w - 1 \in \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}$, and by Lemma 2.1,

$$w \equiv \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [x_i, x_j]^{d_{ij}} \text{ mod } F'',$$

where $d_{ij} \equiv t(x_i)a_{ij} \equiv t(x_j)b_{ij} \text{ mod } (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}^n)$. Now, $w - 1 \in \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}$ implies $w - 1 \in \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^2\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}$. Then it follows by Lemma 2.3, that

$$(10) \quad w - 1 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^m (x_k - 1)(y_k u_k^{-1} - 1) \equiv 0 \text{ mod } (\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^2\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+2}),$$

where

$$y_k = \prod_{i < k} x_i^{-p^{\alpha_i} a_{ik}} \prod_{k < j} x_j^{p^{\alpha_j} b_{jk}}, \quad u_k = \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i \leq k}} [x_i, x_j]^{x_k(\partial/\partial x_k) d_{ij}}.$$

From (10) it follows that for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$y_k u_k^{-1} - 1 \in \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+1},$$

which yields, in turn, using $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s}$,

$$y_k u_k^{-1} r_k - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}^{n+1}$$

with some $r_k \in R$, and by Lemma 2.4, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$[x_k, y_k u_k^{-1} r_k] \in R\gamma_{n+2}(F),$$

which reduces to

$$[x_k, y_k u_k^{-1}] \in R\gamma_{n+2}(F)$$

and hence

$$(11) \quad [x_k, u_k^{-1}][x_k, y_k] \in R\gamma_{n+2}(F).$$

Next, $[x_k, u_k^{-1}] \equiv [x_k, u_k]^{-1} \pmod{R\gamma_{n+2}(F)}$, and $[x_k, u_k]$ is a product of commutators of the form

$$[x_k, [x_i, x_j]^{x_k(\partial/\partial x_k)^{d_{ij}}}], \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq m.$$

By Lemma 2.2, for either $i < k$ or $i = k$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_k, [x_i, x_j]^{x_k(\partial/\partial x_k)^{d_{ij}}}] &\equiv [x_k, [x_i, x_j]^{p^{\alpha_k x_k v}}] \text{ for some } v \in ZF, \\ &\equiv [x_k^{p^{\alpha_k}}, [x_i, x_j]^{x_k v}] \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{[F', S]\gamma_{n+2}(F)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = k$ and $\alpha_i > \alpha_j$, then by Lemma 2.2, for some $v, w \in ZF$,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, [x_i, x_j]^{x_i(\partial/\partial x_i)^{d_{ij}}}] &\equiv [x_i, [x_i, x_j]^{x_i p^{\alpha_i v + p^{\alpha_i - 1}(x_i - 1)^{p-2} w}}] \\ &\equiv [[x_i, x_j]^{(x_i - 1)^{p-2} p^{\alpha_i - 1} w}, x_i]^{-1} \\ &\equiv [x_j^{p^{\alpha_j}}, x_i, \underbrace{\dots, x_i}_p]^{p^{\alpha_i - 1 - \alpha_j w}} \pmod{[F', S]\gamma_{n+2}(F)} \\ &\equiv [\zeta_j, \underbrace{x_i, \dots, x_i}_p]^{p^{\alpha_i - 1 - \alpha_j w}} \pmod{R\gamma_{n+2}(F)} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{R\gamma_{n+2}(F)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (11) is reduced to $[x_k, y_k] \in R\gamma_{n+2}(F)$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_k, y_k] &\equiv \prod_{i < k} [x_i^{p^{\alpha_i a_{ik}}, x_k] \prod_{k < j} [x_k, x_j^{p^{\alpha_j b_{kj}}}] \\ &\equiv \prod_{i < k} [x_i, x_k]^{d_{ik}} \prod_{k < j} [x_k, x_j]^{d_{kj}} \pmod{[F', S]\gamma_{n+2}(F)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$w^2 \equiv \prod_{k=1}^m [x_k, y_k] \equiv 1 \pmod{R\gamma_{n+2}(F)}.$$

This completes the proof of our main theorem.

As a corollary we obtain,

THEOREM 3.2. *Let G be a finitely generated metabelian p -group. Then*

- (a) $D_{n+2}(G) = \gamma_{n+2}(G)$ for all $n \leq p - 1$,
- (b) if $p = 2$, $D_4^2(G) \subseteq \gamma_4(G)$,
- (c) if p is odd, $D_{p+2}(G) = \gamma_{p+2}(G)$.

For $p = 3$, part (a) of Theorem 3.2 was first proved by Passi [6]; part (b) is due to Losey [3]. We refer the reader to Passi [7] for a general background on the dimension subgroup problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Gupta, On the dimension subgroups of metabelian groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **24** (1982), 1–6.
- [2] —, Sjogren's Theorem for dimension subgroups—The metabelian case, *Annals of Math. Study* (1985), to appear.
- [3] G. Losey, N-series and filtration of the augmentation ideal, *Canad. J. Math.*, **26** (1974), 962–977.
- [4] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience, New York, 1966.
- [5] S. Moran, Dimension subgroups mod n , *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **68** (1970), 579–582.
- [6] I.B.S. Passi, Dimension subgroups, *J. Algebra*, **9** (1968), 152–182.
- [7] —, *Group Rings and Their Augmentation Ideals*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., **715** (1979), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- [8] E. Rips, On the fourth integer dimension subgroup, *Israel J. Math.*, **12** (1972), 342–346.
- [9] J. A. Sjogren, Dimension and lower central subgroups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **14** (1979), 175–194.
- [10] K. Tahara, On the structure of $Q_4(G)$ and the fourth dimension subgroup, *Japan. J. Math. (New Ser.)*, **3** (1977), 381–394.
- [11] —, The fourth dimension subgroups and polynomial maps, II, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **69** (1978), 1–7.

Narain Gupta
Department of Mathematics
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, R3T 2N2
Canada

Ken-Ichi Tahara
Department of Mathematics
Aichi University of Education
Kariya, 448
Japan

