

## FINITE ARITHMETIC SUBGROUPS OF $GL_n$ , IV

YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA AND HIROSHI SUZUKI

In this paper, we improve a result of the third paper of this series, that is we show

**THEOREM.** *Let  $K$  be a nilpotent extension of the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$ , and  $G$  a  $\Gamma$ -stable finite subgroup of  $GL_n(O_K)$ . Then  $G$  is of A-type.*

Here, automorphisms in  $\Gamma$  act entry-wise on matrices in  $G$ , and  $G$  being  $\Gamma$ -stable means that  $\sigma(g) \in G$  for every  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  and  $g \in G$ .  $O_K$  stands for the ring of integers in  $K$  and  $G$  being of A-type means the following:

Let  $L = \mathbf{Z}[e_1, \dots, e_n]$  be a free module over  $\mathbf{Z}$  and we make  $g = (g_{ij}) \in G$  act on  $O_K L$  by  $g(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} e_j$ . Then there exists a decomposition  $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k L_i$  such that for every  $g \in G$ , we can take a root of unity  $\varepsilon_i(g)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) and a permutation  $s(g)$  so that  $\varepsilon_i(g) g L_i = L_{s(g)(i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . (The definition of A-type in the third paper [3] of this series is wrong, but the results in it are true in the above sense of A-type. See the correction at the end.) We denote the identity matrix of size  $n$  by  $1_n$ , and the ring of rational integers by  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $F$  be an abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}$  an integral ideal ( $\neq O_F$ ) of  $F$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -stable finite subgroup of  $GL_n(O_F)$ . Then  $G$  is of A-type, and for a subgroup*

$$G(\mathfrak{S}) := \{g \in G \mid g \equiv 1_n \pmod{\mathfrak{S}}\},$$

*there exists an integral matrix  $T \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$  such that  $\{TgT^{-1} \mid g \in G(\mathfrak{S})\}$  consists of diagonal matrices.*

*Proof.* It is clear that

---

Received April 3, 1995.

Partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

$$S := \sum_{g \in G} g^t \bar{g}$$

is a rational integral positive definite matrix, where the bar denotes the complex conjugation. We introduce a lattice  $L := \mathbf{Z}[e_1, \dots, e_n]$  with bilinear form  $(B(e_i, e_j)) := S$  and consider the scalar extension  $O_F L$  with  $B(\lambda x, \mu y) := \lambda \bar{\mu} B(x, y)$  for  $\lambda, \mu \in O_F$  and  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $L, O_F L$  are a positive definite quadratic lattice over  $\mathbf{Z}$  and a positive definite Hermitian lattice over  $O_F$ , respectively. Let

$$L := L_1 \perp \cdots \perp L_a$$

be the decomposition to indecomposable lattices. We define an automorphism  $\phi_g : O_F L \rightarrow O_F L$  by

$$(\phi_g(e_1), \dots, \phi_g(e_n)) := (e_1, \dots, e_n)^t g \quad \text{i.e., } \phi_g(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} e_j.$$

Then  $\phi_g$  is an isometry of  $O_F L$  by  $(B(\phi_g(e_i), \phi_g(e_j))) = g S^t \bar{g} = S$ . Hence by [1], there exist a root of unity  $\varepsilon_i \in F$  and a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  such that

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon_i \phi_g(L_i) = L_{\sigma(i)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, a,$$

which implies that  $G$  is of A-type. Here assuming  $g \in G(\mathfrak{I})$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \phi_g(x) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{I}L},$$

and hence the permutation  $\sigma$  in (1) is the identity. Now we take a basis  $\{z_1, \dots, z_s\}$  of  $L_k$  for an integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq a$ . Then there exist a root of unity  $\varepsilon \in F$  and  $A \in GL_s(\mathbf{Z})$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad (\varepsilon \phi_g(z_1), \dots, \varepsilon \phi_g(z_s)) = (z_1, \dots, z_s)^t A.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime ideal dividing  $\mathfrak{I}$  and  $p$  the rational prime number dividing  $\mathfrak{P}$ . At first, we claim that we can choose the matrix  $A$  so that

$$A \equiv 1_s \pmod{p}.$$

By virtue of (2), (3), we have

$$(4) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} A \equiv 1_s \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

which implies, by putting  $A = (a_{ij})$

$$a_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ if } i \neq j, \quad a_{ii} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{P}} \text{ for every } i,$$

and then we have

$$(5) \quad A \equiv a_{11}1_s \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Hence the claim is clear if  $\mathfrak{p} = 2$ , and hereafter we assume  $\mathfrak{p} > 2$ .  $\varepsilon^{-1}A (\equiv 1_s \pmod{\mathfrak{P}})$  is of finite order, and the order is a power of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , say  $\mathfrak{p}^r$ . Then we have  $\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{p}^r}1_s = A^{\mathfrak{p}^r}$ , which is a rational integral matrix. Thus  $A^{\mathfrak{p}^r} = \pm 1_s$  is clear. If  $A^{\mathfrak{p}^r} = -1_s$ , then by replacing  $\varepsilon, A$  by  $-\varepsilon, -A$  in (3), respectively, we may assume  $A^{\mathfrak{p}^r} = 1_n$  and  $\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{p}^r} = 1$ . If  $\varepsilon = 1$ , (4) implies the claim. Otherwise, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the prime ideal of  $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$  under  $\mathfrak{P}$ ; then (4) implies  $a_{ii} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Now  $\mathfrak{p} = (1 - \varepsilon)$  yields  $a_{ii} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  and hence  $a_{ii} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus we have shown the claim.

Next we claim that we can take  $1_s$  as  $A$ . Since  $A$  is of finite order, the claim above yields  $A = 1_s$  if  $\mathfrak{p} > 2$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} = 2$ . By virtue of  $A \equiv 1_s \pmod{2}$  and  $x = (x + \varepsilon\phi_g(x))/2 + (x - \varepsilon\phi_g(x))/2$ , we have  $L_k = L_+ \perp L_-$ , where  $L_{\pm} = \{x \in L_k \mid \varepsilon\phi_g(x) = \pm x\}$ . Since  $L_k$  is indecomposable, we have  $L_k = L_+$  or  $L_-$ , which means  $A = \pm 1_s$ . If necessary, by replacing  $\varepsilon, A$  by  $-\varepsilon, -A$  in (3), respectively, we may assume  $A = 1_s$ . Thus we have shown the claim. Hence we have only to take a matrix  $T$  as a transformation matrix from the original basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $L$  to the one consisting of bases of  $L_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, a$ ).  $\square$

DEFINITION. Let  $K$  be a Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  a prime ideal. Then we put, for a non-negative integer  $m$

$$V_m(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q}) := \{u \in \Gamma \mid u(x) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^{m+1}} \text{ for } x \in O_K\}.$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $K$  be a Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$ , and  $\mathfrak{P}$  a prime ideal of  $K$ , and suppose  $\Gamma = V_1(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})$ . Let  $F$  be the maximal abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  contained in  $K$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -stable finite subgroup of  $GL_n(O_K)$  and  $k$  a non-negative integer. Suppose that  $G(\mathfrak{P}^{k+1})$  consists of diagonal matrices. Then we have  $G(\mathfrak{P}^k) \subset GL_n(O_F)$ .

*Proof.* We take and fix an element  $g \in G(\mathfrak{P}^k)$ . Let us see, for  $\sigma \in \Gamma$

$$\sigma(g) \equiv g \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^{k+1}}.$$

If  $k = 0$ , it is clear because of  $\Gamma = V_1(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})$ . Suppose  $k > 0$ . Putting  $g = 1_n + \pi^k A$  with  $A \in M_n(O_{\mathfrak{P}})$ , where  $\pi$  is a prime element in the completion  $O_{\mathfrak{P}}$  of  $O_K$  at the prime  $\mathfrak{P}$ , we have

$$\sigma(\pi^k) \equiv \pi^k \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^{k+1}}, \quad \sigma(A) \equiv A \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^2}$$

and hence

$$\sigma(g) \equiv g \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^{k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(g)g^{-1} \in G(\mathfrak{P}^{k+1}).$$

Thus  $D_\sigma := \sigma(g)g^{-1}$  is diagonal and it is easy to see

$$D_{\mu\sigma} = \mu(D_\sigma)D_\mu \quad \text{for } \sigma, \mu \in \Gamma.$$

By Lemma 1 in [3], there exists a diagonal matrix  $D \in GL_n(K)$ , which satisfies

$$D^w \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\sigma = \sigma(D^{-1})D,$$

where  $w$  is the number of roots of unity in  $K$ . Then  $\sigma(g)g^{-1} = \sigma(D^{-1})D$  for every  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  yields  $h := Dg \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q})$ . We choose a rational diagonal matrix  $h_1$  so that the greatest common divisor of entries of each row of  $h_1h$  is 1. Since  $g = D^{-1}h = (h_1D)^{-1}h_1h$  and  $g \in GL_n(O_K)$ , all diagonal entries of the diagonal matrix  $h_1D$  are units in  $O_K$ . Moreover we know that  $(h_1D)^w = h_1^wD^w$  is rational, and so all diagonal entries of  $(h_1D)^w$  are  $\pm 1$ , which means that all diagonal entries of  $h_1D$  are roots of unity in  $K$ . Thus we have  $g = (h_1D)^{-1}h_1h \in GL_n(F)$ . □

LEMMA 3. *Keeping everything in Lemma 2, we have  $G \subset GL_n(O_F)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, we may assume that  $G(\mathfrak{P}) \cap M_n(F)$  consists of diagonal matrices. We take a sufficiently large integer  $k$  so that  $G(\mathfrak{P}^k) = \{1_n\}$ ; then Lemma 2 yields  $G(\mathfrak{P}^{k-1}) \subset G(\mathfrak{P}) \cap M_n(F)$  and then  $G(\mathfrak{P}^{k-1})$  consists of diagonal matrices, too. By iterating this operation, we see that  $G(\mathfrak{P})$  consists of diagonal matrices and then Lemma 2 yields  $G \subset GL_n(O_F)$ .

LEMMA 4. *Let  $K$  be a nilpotent extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$  and suppose that 2 is the only ramified rational prime. Denoting a prime ideal of  $K$  lying over 2 by  $\mathfrak{P}$ , we have  $\Gamma = V_1(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(\Gamma)$  be the Frattini subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then it contains the commutator subgroup and the subfield  $F (\neq \mathbf{Q})$  corresponding to  $\Phi(\Gamma)$  is an abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and 2 is the only ramified prime number. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $F$  lying over 2. Then  $V_0(\mathfrak{p}; F/\mathbf{Q})$  is induced by  $V_0(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})$  and hence  $V_0(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})\Phi(\Gamma)/\Phi(\Gamma) = V_0(\mathfrak{p}; F/\mathbf{Q})$ .  $V_0(\mathfrak{p}; F/\mathbf{Q}) = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$  yields  $V_0(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \Phi(\Gamma) = \Gamma$  and the property of the Frattini subgroup implies  $V_0(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q}) = \Gamma$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{P}$  is fully ramified and the order of the quotient group  $V_0(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})/V_1(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})$  divides  $N\mathfrak{P} - 1 = 1$ , which means  $V_0(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q}) = V_1(\mathfrak{P}; K/\mathbf{Q})$ . □

*Proof of Theorem.* We use induction on the degree  $[K : \mathbf{Q}]$ . By virtue of Lem-

ma 3 in [3], we may assume that the number of ramified rational prime number is one, and let it be  $p$ . We claim that  $G$  is contained in  $GL_n(F)$ , where  $F$  is the maximal abelian subfield of  $K$ . Then Theorem on p. 142 in [1] completes the proof. If  $p$  is odd, then  $K$  is a cyclic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  as in [3] and so the claim is obvious. Suppose  $p = 2$ ; then Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 yield that  $G$  is contained in  $GL_n(F)$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* It is a problem to consider a general algebraic number field as a base field instead of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $K/F$  be a Galois extension of algebraic number fields, and  $G$  a  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ -stable finite subgroup of  $GL_n(O_K)$ . If  $K$  is totally real, then one generalization of the notion of being A-type is that  $G$  is already in  $GL_n(O_F)$ . But this is not adequate because there exists a counter-example when  $K/F$  is unramified. Nevertheless, it seemed not necessarily to be off the point, since the existence of a certain kind of element in  $G$  induces the existence of a proper intermediate subfield of  $K$  unramified over  $F$ . So, we asked the role of the existence of an unramified proper intermediate field. (c.f. p. 261 in [2].) But D. A. Malinin gave a following example in [4]: Set

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha, \beta), F = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha\beta) \quad \text{for } \alpha = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, \beta = \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{2}}.$$

Then  $K/F$  is not unramified and for

$$g = (g_{ij}), g_{11} = -g_{22} = -\beta, g_{21} = -g_{12} = -\alpha,$$

$G = \{\pm 1_2, \pm g\}$  is a  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ -stable subgroup of  $GL_2(O_K)$ . This seems to be the first example such that  $K/F$  is not unramified and  $G$  is not in  $GL_n(O_F)$  up to roots of unity, although it is  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ -stable.

We can give another example: Let  $n$  be a natural number and  $F$  an algebraic number field containing  $n$ th roots of unity, and  $\varepsilon$  a unit in  $F$ , which is not a root of unity. Put  $K := F(\varepsilon^{1/n})$ , which is a not necessarily unramified but abelian extension of  $F$ . For a cyclic permutation  $\sigma := (1, 2, \dots, n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and for  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = \varepsilon^{1/n}$  and  $a_n = (\varepsilon^{1/n})^{1-n}$ , we put

$$S = (a_i \delta_{\sigma(i), j}),$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes Kronecker's delta function. Then  $S^n = 1_n$  is easy and

$$G := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \varepsilon_n \end{array} \right) S^i \mid \varepsilon_i : n\text{th root of unity} \right\}$$

is a  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ -stable finite subgroup of  $GL_n(O_K)$ .  $G$  is not contained in

$GL_n(O_F)$  up to roots of unity.

Is there an example of a  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ -stable finite subgroup  $G$  in  $GL_n(O_K)$  such that  $G$  is not contained in  $GL_n(O_L)$  for the maximal abelian subfield  $L$  of  $K$  over  $F$ , or what can we expect?

Malinin announced good results in [5], but the details are not available yet.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Kitaoka, Finite arithmetic subgroups of  $GL_n$ , II, Nagoya Math. J., **77** (1980) 137–143
- [2] —, Arithmetic of quadratic forms, Cambridge University Press, 1993
- [3] —, Finite arithmetic subgroups of  $GL_n$ , III, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., **104** (1994) 201–206
- [4] D. A. Malinin, Isometries of positive definite quadratic lattices, ISLC Mathematical College Works. Abstracts, Lie-Lobachevsky Colloquium. Tartu. October 26–30, 1992
- [5] —, Lecture Notes in Mannheim, 1994

#### Corrections to [3]

As stated in the introduction, the definition of A-type in [3] is not adequate, and we should adopt the definition in this paper. Then the results are true with the following minor modifications in the proof of Lemma 3:

Page 203, line 6:  $\varepsilon_i \sigma(L_i) = L_i$  should be “ $\varepsilon_i \sigma(L_i) = L_{s(i)}$  for some permutation  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ ”.

Page 203, line 12: The displayed equation is numbered by (2).

Page 203, line 18:  $\varepsilon_i \eta(L_i) = L_i$  should be “ $\varepsilon_i \eta(L_i) = L_{s(i)}$  for some permutation  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ ”.

Page 203, line 19:  $\mu(L_i) = L_i$  should be  $\mu(L_i) = L_{s(i)}$ .

Page 203, line 19:  $\eta(O_{K'} L_i) = O_{K'} L_i$  should be  $\eta(O_{K'} L_i) = O_{K'} L_{s(i)}$ .

Page 203, line 19–line 20: Insert “that the permutation  $s$  is the identity and” between implies and  $\eta(x)$ .

Page 203, line 35: (1) should be (2).

Theorem 2 on p. 205 is improved as follows:

Page 205, line 9:  $GL_n(O_K)$  should be “ $GL_m(O_K)$  for any natural number  $m$ ”.

*Graduate School of Polymathematics  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01  
Japan*