

A NOTE ON CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE SPACES A_k

GUANGFU CAO

1. Preliminaries

Let k be any integer, $k \geq 0$. The k -th Bergman measure on unit ball B of \mathbb{C}^n , μ_k , is given by

$$d\mu_k = \frac{\Gamma(n+k+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(k+1)} (1 - |w|^2)^k dv(w).$$

Note that μ_0 is simply normalized Lebesgue measure on B . The k -th Bergman space, A_k , is defined as the space of analytic functions on B which are square integrable with respect to the measure μ_k . Note that $A_k = H^2(\mu_k)$, where $H^2(\mu_k)$ be the $L^2(\mu_k)$ -closure of the ball algebra A , and that $A_j \subset A_k$ for $j \leq k$. The standard orthonormal base for A_k is given by

$$e_\alpha^k = c(\alpha, k, n) z^\alpha = c(\alpha, k, n) r_1^{\alpha_1} e^{i\alpha_1\theta_1} \cdots r_n^{\alpha_n} e^{i\alpha_n\theta_n}$$

where $c(\alpha, k, n)$ is a constant number such that $c(\alpha, k, n) \|z^\alpha\| = 1$. Let P_k denote the projection of $L^2(\mu_k)$ onto A_k . Note that $L^\infty(\mu_k) = L^\infty(B) = \{f : f \text{ is essentially bounded on } B \text{ with respect to Lebesgue measure on } B\}$. Also $H^\infty(\mu_k)$, the *weak**-closure of the polynomials in z in $L^\infty(B)$, is the set $\{f : f \in L^\infty(B) \text{ and } fA_k \subseteq A_k\} = H^\infty$, the set of bounded analytic functions on B . For $f \in L^\infty(B)$, $\|f\|_\infty$ denotes the essential supremum of f on B . For any $\varphi \in L^\infty(B)$ and for any $k \geq 0$, we define a Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi^{(k)} : A_k \rightarrow A_k$ as follows:

$$T_\varphi^{(k)} f = P_k(\varphi f) \quad (f \in A_k).$$

It can be seen easily that

$$T_\varphi^{(k)} f(z) = \int_B \frac{\varphi(\zeta) f(\zeta)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{k+n+1}} d\mu_k(\zeta)$$

Received November 8, 1994.

(consult Rudin [1]). The set of all bounded linear operator on A_k is written as $L(A_k)$, clearly, $T_\varphi^{(k)} \in L(A_k)$. It is well-known that equation $T_{\bar{z}}TT_z = T$ characterize the Toeplitz operators on Hardy space in one complex variable. A. M. Davie and N. P. Jewell [2] proved that $\sum_{i=1}^n T_{\bar{z}_i}TT_{z_i} = T$ characterizes Toeplitz operators on Hardy space of several complex variables. D. H. Yu and Sh. H. Sun [3] proved that $T \in L(H^2)$ is a Toeplitz operator iff equation $T_\eta^*TT_\eta = T$ is hold for each inner function η . In [4], for $n = 1$, N. P. Jewell raised the following.

PROBLEM. *Is there a set of operator equations which characterize Toeplitz operators on the weighted Bergman spaces of one complex variable?*

In next section, we answer negatively the problem.

2. Theorems

THEOREM 1. *Let B be a set of operator equations and A be the set of bounded linear operators on the k -th weighted Bergman space A_k which satisfy B . If A contains all Toeplitz operators on A_k , then A is weak*-dense in $L(A_k)$.*

Proof. If A is not weak*-dense in $L(A_k)$, there exists a nonzero trace class operator S such that $\text{tr}(ST) = 0$ for any $T \in A$. Then there exist $\{f_i\}$ in A_k such that $S = \sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i \otimes e_i$, where $\{e_i\}$ is the orthonormal basis of A_k and $f_i \otimes e_i$ is a 1-rank operator on A_k . Without loss of generality, one can assume that $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \{e_\alpha^k\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}}$, where $e_\alpha^k = c(n, k, \alpha)z^\alpha$. For convenience, we replace f_i by f_α . Note $S^* = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha^k \otimes f_\alpha$, so

$$S^*S = (\sum_\alpha e_\alpha^k \otimes f_\alpha)(\sum_\alpha f_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha^k) = \sum_\alpha \|f_\alpha\|_2^2 e_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha^k.$$

Furthermore, $\|S\|_{C_1} = \text{tr}((S^*S)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_\alpha \|f_\alpha\|_2$. Hence, $\sum_\alpha \|f_\alpha\|_2 \leq \infty$, consequently, $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha e_\alpha^k \in L^1$. If A contains all Toeplitz operators on A_k , then for any $\varphi \in L^\infty(B)$, we have $T_\varphi^{(k)} \in A$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_\varphi^{(k)}S) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} \langle T_\varphi^{(k)}Se_\alpha^k, e_\alpha^k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} \langle \varphi(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} f_\beta \otimes e_\beta^k)e_\alpha^k, e_\alpha^k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} \langle \varphi f_\alpha, e_\alpha^k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} \int_B \varphi f_\alpha e_\alpha^{\bar{k}} d\mu_k \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_B \varphi \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} f_\alpha e_a^{\bar{k}} \right) d\mu_k = 0.$$

Since φ is arbitrary, we easily see that $\sum f_\alpha(z) e_a^{\bar{k}}(z) = 0$ for any $z \in B$. Suppose f_α has series expansion $f_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} a_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} f_\alpha(z) e_a^{\bar{k}}(z) &= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha^{\bar{k}} e_\beta^k(z) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} c(n, \alpha, k) c(n, \beta, k) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^\beta \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} c(n, \alpha, k) c(n, \beta, k) r^{\alpha+\beta} e^{i(\beta-\alpha)\theta} \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}} \left[\sum_{\alpha+\beta=t} a_{\alpha\beta} c(n, \alpha, k) c(n, \beta, k) e^{i(\beta-\alpha)\theta} \right] r^t = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n), 0 \leq \theta_i \leq 2\pi, (\beta - \alpha)\theta = \sum (\beta_i - \alpha_i)\theta_i, \\ r &= (r_1, \dots, r_n), 0 \leq \|r\| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

So for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}$,

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta=t} a_{\alpha\beta} c(n, \alpha, k) c(n, \beta, k) e^{i(\beta-\alpha)\theta} = 0$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta=t} a_{\alpha\beta} c(n, \alpha, k) c(n, \beta, k) e^{i(t-2\alpha)\theta} = 0.$$

Clearly, $\{e^{i(t-2\alpha)\theta}\}$ is linear independent, so $a_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for $\alpha + \beta = t$. Hence, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{+n}$, we have $a_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ and so $S = 0$. It contradicts that $S \neq 0$. This completes the proof.

Frankfurt [5] proved that no bounded operator T on A_0 satisfies the operator equation $B_0^*TB_0 = T$, where B_0 is the Bergman shift on $A_0(D)$ and D is the unit disc. We can extend this result to the case $A_k(B)$. In fact, we have the following.

THEOREM 2. *There isn't nonzero bounded operator T on $A_k(B)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n T_{\bar{z}_i}^{(k)} T T_{z_i}^{(k)} = T$.*

To prove Theorem 2, we need some lemmas. The proof of Lemma 1 is related to that of Proposition 2.4 in [4].

LEMMA 1. Let $M_{z_1} \cdots M_{z_n}$ be multiplication by the coordinate functions on $L^2(B, d\mu_k)$. If there exists $T \in L(L^2)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{z_i}^* T M_{z_i} = T$, then T commutes with $M_{z_i}, M_{z_i}^*$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Proof. For any positive integer m and $f, g \in L^2$, we have

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \langle TM_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f, M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle$$

by $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{z_i} T M_{z_i} = T$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (TM_{z_1} - M_{z_1} T) f, g \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \langle TM_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f, M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle \\ & \quad - \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{(m+1)!}{(k_1+1)! k_2! \cdots k_n!} \langle TM_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f, M_{z_1}^* M_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle \\ & \quad - \sum_{\sum_{i=2}^n k_i = m+1} \frac{(m+1)!}{k_2! \cdots k_n!} \langle TM_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f, M_{z_1}^* M_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \langle TM_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f, \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} M_{z_1}^* M_{z_1}\right) M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle \\ & \quad - \sum_{\sum_{i=2}^n k_i = m+1} \frac{(m+1)!}{k_2! \cdots k_n!} \langle TM_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f, M_{z_1}^* M_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T\|^{-1} \langle (TM_{z_1} - M_{z_1} T) f, g \rangle \\ & \leq \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \|M_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f\| \left\| \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} M_{z_1}^* M_{z_1}\right) M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \right\| \\ & \quad + \sum_{\sum_{i=2}^n k_i = m+1} \frac{(m+1)!}{k_2! \cdots k_n!} \|M_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f\| \|M_{z_1}^* M_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note for any $f \in L^2(B, d\mu_k)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (M_{z_1} M_{z_1} + \cdots + M_{z_n} M_{z_n})^m f \| \\ &= \| (\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)^m f \| \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = m} \frac{m!}{p_1! \cdots p_n!} \|M_{z_1}^{p_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{p_n} f\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = m} \frac{m!}{p_1! \cdots p_n!} \langle (M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1})^{p_1} \cdots (M_{\bar{z}_n} M_{z_n})^{p_n} f, f \rangle \\ &= \langle (M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1} + \cdots + M_{\bar{z}_n} M_{z_n})^m f, f \rangle \\ &\leq \| (\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2} M_{z_i})^m f \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \| M_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f \|^2 \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1} \right) M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \|^2 \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} \| M_{z_1}^{k_1+1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} f \|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\left[\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \frac{k_1+1}{m+1} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1} \right) M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \right\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \frac{k_1+1}{m+1} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1} \right) M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \frac{k_1+1}{m+1} \left[\| M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \|^2 \right. \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} \operatorname{Re} \langle M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g, M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1} M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \rangle \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{m+1}{k_1+1} \right)^2 \| M_{\bar{z}_1} M_{z_1} M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \left[\| M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \|^2 + 2 \| M_{z_1}^{k_1} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m+1}{k_1+1} \| M_{z_1}^{k_1+1} M_{z_2}^{k_2} \cdots M_{z_n}^{k_n} g \|^2 \right] \\ &\leq 3 \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\bar{z}_i} M_{z_i} \right\rangle^m g, g \rangle + \left\langle \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_{\bar{z}_i} M_{z_i} \right)^{m+1} g, g \right\rangle \\ &\leq 3 \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_{\bar{z}_i} M_{z_i} \right)^m g \right\| \cdot \| g \| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\bar{z}_i} M_{z_i} \right\|^{m+1} \| g \|. \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$TM_{z_1} - M_{z_1}T = 0,$$

i.e.

$$TM_{z_1} = M_{z_1}T.$$

Similarly, $TM_{z_i} = M_{z_i}T$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It shows the lemma.

LEMMA 2. If $T \in L(A_k)$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n T_{\bar{z}_i} T T_{z_i} = T$. Then there is $S \in L(L^2)$ with $\|S\| = \|T\|$, $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{\bar{z}_i} S M_{z_i} = S$ and such that T is the compression of S to A_k .

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [2]. In fact, we can define $\phi : L(L^2) \rightarrow L(L^2)$ by

$$\phi(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\bar{z}_i} S M_{z_i}$$

then $\|\phi(S)\| \leq \|S\|$. Let T^\sim be any operator on L^2 whose compression is T , with $\|T^\sim\| = \|T\|$, let $S_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \phi^i(T^\sim)$, and let S be a weak operator topology limit point of $\{S_m\}$, then S has the required properties.

LEMMA 3. If $T \in L(A_k)$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n T_{\bar{z}_i}^k T T_{z_k}^k = T$, then T is a Toeplitz operator.

Proof. If T satisfies the equation, and S is the operator given by Lemma 2, then Lemma 1 shows that S commutes with M_{z_k} and $M_{\bar{z}_k}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), so there is $\varphi \in L^\infty$ such that $S = M_\varphi$, consequently, $T = T_\varphi^{(k)}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. If there is $T \in L(A_k)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n T_{\bar{z}_i}^k T T_{z_i}^{(k)} = T$, then T is a Toeplitz operator on A_k , i.e., there is L^∞ , such that $T = T_\varphi^{(k)}$. Note

$$\sum_{i=1}^n T_{\bar{z}_i}^{(k)} T_\varphi^{(k)} T_{z_i}^{(k)} = T_{(\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)\varphi}^{(k)}$$

so $T_{(\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)\varphi}^{(k)} = T_\varphi^{(k)}$, and hence, $T_{(1-\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)\varphi}^{(k)} = 0$. Hence, $\varphi = 0$, consequently, $T = 0$. We complete the proof of Theorem 2.

The author is indebted to the referee for his many suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of C^n , Springer-verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1980.
 [2] A. M. Davie and N. P. Jewell, Toeplitz operators in several complex variables., J. Funct. Anal., **26** (1977), 356–368.

- [3] D. H. Yu and Sh. S. Sun, The w^* -closure of operator space, Acta Math. of China (to appear).
- [4] N. P. Jewell, Toeplitz operators on the Bergman spaces and in several complex Variables, Proc. London Math. Soc., **41** (1980), 193–216.
- [5] R. Frankfurt, Operator equations and weighted shifts, J. Funct. Anal., **62** (1978) 610–619.

Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University
Chengdu 610064, China

