

## ON HOLOMORPHIC MAPS WITH ONLY FOLD SINGULARITIES

YOSHIFUMI ANDO<sup>1</sup>

*Dedicated to Professor Takuo Fukuda on his sixtieth birthday*

**Abstract.** Let  $f : N \rightarrow P$  be a holomorphic map between  $n$ -dimensional complex manifolds which has only fold singularities. Such a map is called a holomorphic fold map. In the complex 2-jet space  $J^2(n, n; \mathbf{C})$ , let  $\Omega^{10}$  denote the space consisting of all 2-jets of regular map germs and fold map germs. In this paper we prove that  $\Omega^{10}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $SU(n+1)$ . By using this result we prove that if the tangent bundles  $TN$  and  $TP$  are equipped with  $SU(n)$ -structures in addition, then a holomorphic fold map  $f$  canonically determines the homotopy class of an  $SU(n+1)$ -bundle map of  $TN \oplus \theta_N$  to  $TP \oplus \theta_P$ , where  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_P$  are the trivial line bundles.

### Introduction

Let  $N$  and  $P$  be complex manifolds of dimension  $n$ . We shall say that a holomorphic map germ of  $(N, x)$  to  $(P, y)$  has a fold singularity at  $x$  if it is written as  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n^2)$  under suitable local coordinate systems near  $x$  and  $y$ . Such a germ will be called a fold map germ. A holomorphic map  $f : N \rightarrow P$  will be called a holomorphic fold map if  $f$  has only fold singularities.

Let  $J^k(n, n; \mathbf{C})$  ( $J^k(n, n)$  for short) denote the  $k$ -jet space of all  $k$ -jets of holomorphic map germs  $(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ . We consider the subspace  $\Omega^1$  of  $J^1(n, n)$  consisting of all 1-jets whose kernel rank is either 0 or 1, and the subspace  $\Omega^{10}$  of  $J^2(n, n)$  consisting of all 2-jets of regular germs and fold map germs. The purpose of this paper is to determine their homotopy types. Let  $J^2(N, P; \mathbf{C})$  ( $J^2(N, P)$  for short) denote the complex 2-jet space, which is the total space of a fibre bundle over  $N \times P$  and  $\Omega^{10}(N, P; \mathbf{C})$  ( $\Omega^{10}(N, P)$

---

Received December 1, 1998.

Revised February 22, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 58K15; Secondary 58A20, 58C10.

<sup>1</sup>This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.11640081), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

for short) denote its subbundle associated with  $\Omega^{10}$ . The the 2-jet extension  $j^2 f$  of a holomorphic fold map  $f : N \rightarrow P$  is a section of  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)$  over  $N$ . The homotopy type of  $\Omega^{10}$  will be important in the study of the space consisting of all holomorphic fold maps. This paper is partially the complex version of [A1] and [A2], although the arguments are quite different and more complicated except for Sections 1 and 2.

Let  $S^{2k-1}$ ,  $D_r^{2k}$  and  $\mathbf{CP}^{k-1}$  denote the unit sphere of dimension  $2k - 1$  in  $\mathbf{C}^k$ , the disk of radius  $r$  and of dimension  $2k$  in  $\mathbf{C}^k$ , and the complex projective space of dimension  $k - 1$  respectively. Let  $U(k)$  and  $SU(k)$  denote the unitary group and the special unitary group of degree  $k$  respectively. Now we explain the homotopy types of  $\Omega^1$  and  $\Omega^{10}$ . Let  $I_a$  ( $a \in \mathbf{R}$ ) be the diagonal  $n \times n$ -matrix ( $n$ -matrix for short) with diagonal components  $(1, \dots, 1, e^{\sqrt{-1}a})$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a point of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  represented by a vector  $\mathbf{s} = {}^t(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  of  $S^{2n-1}$ . Then we define the  $n$ -matrix  $G(\mathbf{v}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$  by

$$G(\mathbf{v}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) = I_\theta(E_n + (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} - 1)(s_i \bar{s}_j)),$$

where  $E_n$  is the unit matrix of rank  $n$  and  $(s_i \bar{s}_j)$  is the  $n$ -matrix with  $(i, j)$  component given by  $s_i \bar{s}_j$ . It will be shown that  $G(\mathbf{v}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$  lies in  $SU(n)$  (see (3.3)). Let  $OC(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1})$  denote the open cone over  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$ , that is, the quotient space  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times [0, 1)/\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times 0$ . Then we define the homeomorphism

$$g : \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \text{Int}(D_{1/2}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times SU(n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times (\sqrt{3}/2, 1) \times S^1 \times SU(n)$$

by  $g(\mathbf{v}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = (\mathbf{v}, (1-b^2)^{1/2}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, G(\mathbf{v}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})U)$ . We make the new space  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \text{Int} D_{1/2}^2 \times SU(n) \cup_g OC(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times SU(n)$  by pasting the two subspaces by  $g$ .

We consider the two actions of  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$ : one on  $J^2(n, n)$  through the source and target spaces  $(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ , and the other on  $SU(n + 1)$  through  $SU(n) \times (1)$  from the right and left hand sides. The main theorem of the present paper is the following.

**THEOREM 1.** (1) *There exists a topological embedding of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \text{Int} D_{1/2}^2 \times SU(n) \cup_g OC(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times SU(n)$  into  $\Omega^1$  whose image is a deformation retract of  $\Omega^1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).*

(2) *There exists an equivariant topological embedding  $i_n : SU(n + 1) \rightarrow \Omega^{10}$  with respect to the actions of  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$  whose image is a deformation retract of  $\Omega^{10}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).*

An  $n$ -dimensional complex vector bundle with structure group  $SU(n)$  will be called an  $SU(n)$ -vector bundle. Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . In this paper, an  $SU(n)$ -structure of  $TM$  refers to a reduction  $(E', \varphi)$  of the structure group  $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$  of the tangent bundle  $TM$  to  $SU(n)$ , where  $E'$  is an  $SU(n)$ -vector bundle over  $M$  and  $\varphi : TM \rightarrow E'$  is a bundle map. Then  $(E', \varphi)$  induces a homotopy class of a classifying map of  $E'$ ,  $M \rightarrow B_{SU(n)}$ . It is well known that  $TM$  has an  $SU(n)$ -structure if and only if the first Chern class of  $M$  vanishes.

Let  $L^2(n)$  be the group of all 2-jets of biholomorphic map germs  $(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ . The structure group of the fibre bundle  $\pi_N \times \pi_P : J^2(N, P) \rightarrow N \times P$  with fibre  $J^2(n, n)$  is  $L^2(n) \times L^2(n)$ . Since  $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$  is naturally a subgroup of  $L^2(n)$  and the quotient space  $L^2(n)/GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  is contractible, the structure group  $L^2(n) \times L^2(n)$  of the fibre bundle  $\pi_N \times \pi_P : J^2(N, P) \rightarrow N \times P$  is reduced to  $GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \times GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ . If  $TN$  and  $TP$  have  $SU(n)$ -structures  $(E, \varphi_N)$  and  $(F, \varphi_P)$  respectively, then the structure group of  $J^2(N, P)$  is, furthermore, reduced from  $GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \times GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  to  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$ . Moreover, we have the subbundle  $SU(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  of  $\text{Hom}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  associated with  $SU(n+1)$ , where  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_P$  are the trivial complex line bundles over  $N$  and  $P$  respectively. We will prove in Section 7 that there exists a fibre map  $i(N, P) : SU(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P) \rightarrow \Omega^{10}(N, P)$  associated with the equivariant embedding  $i_n : SU(n+1) \rightarrow \Omega^{10}$  in Theorem 1 (2). The  $SU(n)$ -vector bundles  $E$  and  $F$  not only have hermitian metrics, but also enable us to consider the determinant on each fibre of a bundle map of  $E$  to  $F$ . A bundle map of  $E$  to  $F$  will be called an  $SU(n)$ -bundle map if it preserves norms and the determinant on each fibre is equal to 1. The following theorem will be proved in Section 7.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $N$  and  $P$  be complex manifolds of dimension  $n$  with  $SU(n)$ -structures  $(E, \varphi_N)$  and  $(F, \varphi_P)$  respectively. Then we have the following.*

(1) *The map  $i(N, P) : SU(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P) \rightarrow \Omega^{10}(N, P)$  is a fibre homotopy equivalence.*

(2) *If there exists a holomorphic fold map  $f$  of  $N$  into  $P$ , then  $j^2 f$  determines the homotopy class of an  $SU(n+1)$ -bundle map of  $E \oplus \theta_N$  to  $F \oplus \theta_P$  covering  $f$  through  $i(N, P)$ .*

The set of all continuous sections of  $SU(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  over  $N$  corresponds bijectively to that of all  $SU(n+1)$ -bundle maps of  $E \oplus \theta_N$  to  $F \oplus \theta_P$ .

For a holomorphic fold map  $f$ , the section  $j^2 f : N \rightarrow \Omega^{10}(N, P)$  determines the homotopy class of the section  $i(N, P)^{-1} \circ j^2 f$  of  $\mathrm{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$ , where  $i(N, P)^{-1}$  is the homotopy inverse of  $i(N, P)$ . This gives the homotopy class of an  $\mathrm{SU}(n+1)$ -bundle map  $\tilde{f} : E \oplus \theta_N \rightarrow F \oplus \theta_P$  covering  $f$  in Theorem 2 (2). Since  $\tilde{f}$  is reduced to an  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ -bundle map of  $E$  to  $F$  by the obstruction theory, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $N$  and  $P$  be complex manifolds of dimension  $n$  whose first Chern classes vanish. If there is a holomorphic fold map  $f : N \rightarrow P$ , then there exists a bundle map of  $TN$  to  $TP$  covering  $f$ .*

The assertion in the  $C^\infty$ -category corresponding to Theorem 2 is described in [A2, Corollary 2] and Corollary 3 can be compared with the results [E, 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 Theorem] and [Sa, Lemma 3.1] in the  $C^\infty$ -category.

In Section 2 we will prepare lemmas in linear algebra. Let  $\Sigma^1$  denote the subspace of  $J^1(n, n)$  consisting of all 1-jets with kernel rank 1. We will prove in Section 3 that  $\Sigma^1$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  (Theorems 3.1 and 3.7). It is known that the normal bundle of  $\Sigma^1$  in  $\Omega^1$  is the trivial complex line bundle  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})$ , where  $\mathbf{K}$  is the kernel bundle and  $\mathbf{Q}$  is the cokernel bundle of the first derivative over  $\Sigma^1$ . Therefore the tubular neighbourhood of  $\Sigma^1$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$ . We will study how  $\partial(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n))$  is pasted to  $U(n) \cong S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  ( $\cong$  here refers to a homeomorphism) to prove Theorem 1 (1).

Let  $\Sigma^{10}$  denote the subspace of  $J^2(n, n)$  consisting of all 2-jets of fold map germs. In Section 5 we will see that the fibre bundle  $\Sigma^{10}$  over  $\Sigma^1$  is homotopy equivalent to the canonical  $S^1$ -bundle  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  over  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  and hence the tubular neighbourhood of  $\Sigma^{10}$  in  $\Omega^{10}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $S^{2n-1} \times D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$ . The tubular neighbourhood of  $U(n) \cong S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  in  $\Omega^{10}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$ . Then we will see that the pasting map of  $\partial(S^{2n-1} \times D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n))$  to  $\partial(D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n))$  is induced from  $g$  by considering the  $S^1$ -bundle above and that the pasted space becomes the total space of a fibre bundle over  $S^{2n+1}$  with fibre  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ . We will prove in Section 5 that there exists a bundle map from this space to  $\mathrm{SU}(n+1)$  by constructing in Section 4 a special bundle structure of the fibre bundle  $\mathrm{SU}(n+1)$  over  $\mathrm{SU}(n+1)/\mathrm{SU}(n) \times \mathrm{SU}(1) \cong S^{2n+1}$ .

Next we will specify the embedding of  $SU(n+1)$  into  $\Omega^{10}$  of Theorem 1 (2) in Section 5 and prove in Section 6 that it is equivariant with respect to the actions of  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$ . In Section 7 we will prove Theorem 2 and give certain examples of holomorphic fold maps.

### §1. Notations

Let  $\mathbf{C}^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional complex number space consisting of all column vectors of  $n$  complex numbers. Let  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$  denote the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with  $\mathbf{e}_i = {}^t(0, \dots, 0, \underset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The hermitian inner product of vectors  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}$  is denoted by  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  and the norm of  $\mathbf{v}$  is denoted by  $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ . In this paper a linear map  $\mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  or a quadratic form on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is identified with an  $n$ -matrix or an  $n$ -symmetric matrix respectively.

The details and further results of this section can be found in [Bo] and [L] although we work in the complex category. The space of all homomorphisms of a vector space  $V$  into a vector space  $W$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  will be denoted by  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ . The basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$  induces the identifications of  $J^1(n, n)$  with  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$  and of  $J^2(n, n)$  with  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$ , where  $\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n$  is the 2-fold symmetric product of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Let  $\Sigma^i$  denote the subspace of  $J^1(n, n)$  consisting of all homomorphisms  $\alpha : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  with kernel rank  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ). We usually denote an element of  $J^2(n, n)$  as  $(\alpha, \beta)$  for  $\alpha : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  and  $\beta : \mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ . Consider the composition of the restriction  $\beta|_{\text{Ker}(\alpha) \circ \text{Ker}(\alpha)}$  and the natural projection of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  onto  $\text{Cok}(\alpha)$ . It induces a new homomorphism of  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  into  $\text{Hom}(\text{Ker}(\alpha), \text{Cok}(\alpha))$  denoted by  $\tilde{\beta}$ . Let  $\Sigma^{ij}$  be the subspace consisting of all elements  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  are of kernel ranks  $i$  and  $j$  respectively. The notation  $\Sigma^i$  is often used for  $\Sigma^i \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$  if there is no confusion.

The space  $\Omega^1$  denotes the union  $\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1$  in  $J^1(n, n)$  and  $\Omega^{10}$  denotes the union  $\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^{10}$  in  $J^2(n, n)$ . Both spaces are open subsets. We say that a 2-jet of  $\Sigma^{10}$  or its singularity at the origin is of fold type.

In this paper maps are basically continuous, but may be holomorphic or  $C^\infty$ -differentiable if so stated.

### §2. Lemmas

In this section we will discuss several results proved by elementary arguments in linear algebra in the complex category. The diagonal matrix with diagonal components  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  will be denoted by  $\Delta(\mathbf{a})$ . In

particular,  $\Delta(1, \dots, 1, e^{\sqrt{-1}a})$  of rank  $n$  is written as  $I_a$ . For an  $n$ -matrix  $A$ ,  ${}^t\bar{A}$  is denoted by  $A^*$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -matrix. Then  $A$  is decomposed as  $S\Delta(\mathbf{d})T$ , where  $S$  and  $T$  are unitary matrices and  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are nonnegative real numbers such that (1)  $d_1^2, \dots, d_n^2$  are the eigen-values of  $A^*A$  and (2)  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The hermitian and nonnegative definite matrix  $A^*A$  is diagonalized by a unitary matrix  $U$  as

$$U^*(A^*A)U = \Delta(d_1^2, \dots, d_n^2).$$

Set  $U^*AU = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ . Then  $(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) = d_i^2$ . When  $\mathbf{a}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ , set  $\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{a}_i/\|\mathbf{a}_i\|$ . Then we can find an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$  by choosing  $\mathbf{f}_j$  for  $j$  with  $\mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{0}$  appropriately. It follows that

$$U^*AU = (\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n)\Delta(\|\mathbf{a}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{a}_n\|).$$

This proves (1).

We can prove that in the decomposition of  $A$  two values  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  are exchanged by using the matrix  $P_{ij} = (p_{ij})$  such that  $p_{kk} = 1$  when  $k$  is equal to neither  $i$  nor  $j$  and that  $p_{ij} = p_{ji} = 1$  and  $p_{st} = 0$  otherwise. This follows from  $A = SP_{ij}P_{ij}\Delta(\mathbf{d})P_{ij}P_{ij}T$  and  $P_{ij}\Delta(\mathbf{d})P_{ij} = \Delta(d_1, \dots, d_j, \dots, d_i, \dots, d_n)$ . □

If  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$  holds, then we say in this paper that the diagonal components  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is decreasing. Let  $A_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ) be square  $i_j$ -matrices. The new matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & 0 \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & A_s \end{pmatrix}$$

will be denoted by  $A_1 + \dots + A_s$ . Let  $E_j$  denote the unit matrix of rank  $j$ .

The following lemma is a key tool of this paper.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  be decreasing diagonal components. Suppose that  $S\Delta(\mathbf{v})T = \Delta(\mathbf{w})$  for  $S$  and  $T$  of  $U(n)$ . Then*

(1)  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$ . Hence  $\Delta(\mathbf{v}) (= \Delta(\mathbf{w}))$  is written as

$$a_1 E_{i_1} + a_2 E_{i_2} + \cdots + a_s E_{i_s},$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  are all distinct and  $n = i_1 + \cdots + i_s$ .

(2)  $S$  and  $T$  are also matrices of the forms

$$S = S_1 + \cdots + S_s \quad \text{and} \quad T = T_1 + \cdots + T_s$$

respectively, where both  $S_j$  and  $T_j$  are of ranks  $i_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ).

(3) If  $a_j$  is not zero, then  $S_j T_j = E_{i_j}$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove the lemma by comparing the components of  $S\Delta(\mathbf{v})$  and  $\Delta(\mathbf{w})T^*$ . Set  $S = (s_{ij})$  and  $T^* = (t_{ij})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 s_{11} & \cdots & v_n s_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_1 s_{n1} & \cdots & v_n s_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 t_{11} & \cdots & w_n t_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_1 t_{n1} & \cdots & w_n t_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By comparing the components of  $p$ -th rows and  $q$ -th columns of the matrices above, we obtain the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} |v_1|^2 &\geq |v_1 s_{p1}|^2 + |v_2 s_{p2}|^2 + \cdots + |v_n s_{pn}|^2 \\ (2.3.1) \quad &= |w_p t_{p1}|^2 + |w_p t_{p2}|^2 + \cdots + |w_p t_{pn}|^2 \\ &= |w_p|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1|^2 &\geq |w_1 t_{1q}|^2 + |w_2 t_{2q}|^2 + \cdots + |w_n t_{nq}|^2 \\ (2.3.2) \quad &= |v_q s_{1q}|^2 + |v_q s_{2q}|^2 + \cdots + |v_q s_{nq}|^2 \\ &= |v_q|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $p = q = 1$ , we have  $v_1 = w_1$ .

Now we prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ . Assume that the assertion is true for dimensions less than  $n$ . If  $v_n = 0$  or  $w_n = 0$ , then the number of  $i$ 's with  $v_i = 0$  coincides with that of  $j$ 's with  $w_j = 0$ . Let  $i_s$  denote this number. By the unitarity of  $S$  and  $T$  it follows that  $s_{pq} = t_{pq} = 0$  when only one of  $p$  and  $q$  is smaller than  $n - i_s + 1$  and the other is not. So let  $a_s = 0$ ,  $S_s$  and  $T_s$

denote  $i_s$ -matrices  $(s_{pq})$  and  $(t_{pq})$ , where  $n - i_s + 1 \leq p, q \leq n$ , respectively. Therefore the assertion for  $n$  follows from the induction hypothesis.

Next assume that  $v_i$  and  $w_j$  are not zero for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Suppose that

$$v_1 = v_2 = \cdots = v_i > v_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_i > w_{j+1}$$

Then we can prove that  $i = j$  and  $s_{pq} = t_{pq} = 0$  when only one of  $p$  and  $q$  is smaller than  $i + 1$  and the other is not. In fact, if  $p \leq j$ , then (2.3.1) implies  $|v_1|^2 \geq |w_p|^2 = |w_1|^2 = |v_1|^2$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} |v_1|^2 &= |v_1 s_{p1}|^2 + |v_2 s_{p2}|^2 + \cdots + |v_n s_{pn}|^2 \\ &= |v_1|^2 (|s_{p1}|^2 + |s_{p2}|^2 + \cdots + |s_{pn}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

This equality together with  $v_i > v_{i+1}$  shows that

$$s_{p,i+1} = \cdots = s_{pn} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p \leq j.$$

If  $q \leq i$ , then (2.3.2) again implies  $|w_1|^2 \geq |v_q|^2 = |v_1|^2 = |w_1|^2$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1|^2 &= |w_1 t_{1q}|^2 + |w_2 t_{2q}|^2 + \cdots + |w_n t_{nq}|^2 \\ &= |w_1|^2 (|t_{1q}|^2 + |t_{2q}|^2 + \cdots + |t_{nq}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$t_{j+1,q} = \cdots = t_{nq} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q \leq i.$$

Since the first  $j$  row vectors of  $S$  and the first  $i$  column vectors of  $T^*$  are linearly independent, we have  $i = j$ , which becomes  $i_1$ . The assertions (2) and (3) for  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  also follow from the unitarity of  $S$  and  $T$ . Therefore the lemma follows from the induction on  $n$ , since the case of  $n = 1$  is trivial.  $\square$

The following lemma is a subtle version of Lemma 2.2 and its proof is technically the same.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be decreasing diagonal components given in Lemma 2.2. For two sequences  $\{S^k\}$  and  $\{T^k\}$  of  $U(n)$  and a sequence of decreasing diagonal components  $\{\mathbf{d}^k\}$ , suppose that the sequence  $\{S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) T^k\}$  converges to  $\Delta(\mathbf{v})$ . Then*

- (1)  $\{\mathbf{d}^k\}$  converges to  $\mathbf{v}$ ,



(2) If a pair  $(p, q)$  of numbers does not satisfy

$$i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_j < p, q \leq i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{j+1}$$

for any number  $j$  with  $0 \leq j < s$ , then every sequence  $\{s_{pq}^k\}$  (resp.  $\{t_{pq}^k\}$ ) made of  $(p, q)$  components of  $S^k$  (resp.  $T^k$ ) converges to zero.

(3) Let  $\delta(S^k)$  (resp.  $\delta(T^k)$ ) denote the new matrix made from  $S^k$  (resp.  $T^k$ ) by replacing every  $(p, q)$  component described in (2) with zero. Thus  $\delta(S^k)$  and  $\delta(T^k)$  have the natural decompositions  $\delta(S^k)_1 + \dots + \delta(S^k)_s$  and  $\delta(T^k)_1 + \dots + \delta(T^k)_s$  respectively. Then for any number  $j$  with  $a_j \neq 0$ , the sequence  $\{\delta(S^k)_j \delta(T^k)_j\}$  converges to  $E_{i_j}$ .

*Proof.* (1) The set of eigen values changes continuously with respect to matrices ([W, Appendix V.4]). By considering the eigen values of  $(S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) T^k)^* (S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) T^k)$  we know that  $\{\mathbf{d}^k\}$  converges to  $\mathbf{v}$ .

(2) Let  $(\|A\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2)^{1/2}$  be the norm of a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ . It is clear that  $\|SA\| = \|A\| = \|AS\|$  for  $S$  in  $U(n)$ . Set  $\mathbf{d}^k = (d_1^k, \dots, d_n^k)$ . We may suppose that  $v_1$  is not zero. By the assumption and (1), given any positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a number  $l$  such that if  $k > l$ , then we have

$$\|S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) T^k - \Delta(\mathbf{v})\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \|S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) - \Delta(\mathbf{v})(T^k)^*\| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$|d_i^k - v_i| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Set  $S^k = (s_{pq}^k)$  and  $(T^k)^* = (t_{pq}^k)$ . Take a number  $p$  with  $p \leq i_1$ . Then we have  $v_p = v_1 \neq 0$  and

$$|d_q^k s_{pq}^k - v_p t_{pq}^k| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq q \leq n.$$

It yields

$$|(d_q^k/v_p) s_{pq}^k - t_{pq}^k| < \varepsilon/v_p$$

and so

$$|t_{pq}^k| < |(d_q^k/v_p) s_{pq}^k| + \varepsilon/v_p.$$

Hence, we have

$$1 = \sum_{q=1}^n |t_{pq}^k|^2 < \sum_{q=1}^n (|(d_q^k/v_p) s_{pq}^k| + \varepsilon/v_p)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{q=1}^n (|(v_q/v_1)s_{pq}^k| + |(d_q^k - v_q)/v_1)s_{pq}^k| + \varepsilon/v_1)^2 \\
&< \sum_{q=1}^n (|(v_q/v_1)s_{pq}^k| + 2\varepsilon/v_1)^2 \\
&= \sum_{q=1}^n (v_q/v_1)^2 |s_{pq}^k|^2 + (4\varepsilon/v_1) \left( \sum_{q=1}^n |(v_q/v_1)s_{pq}^k| \right) + 4n\varepsilon^2/v_1^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{q=1}^{i_1} |s_{pq}^k|^2 + \sum_{q=i_1+1}^n (v_q/v_1)^2 |s_{pq}^k|^2 + 4n\varepsilon/v_1 + 4n\varepsilon^2/v_1^2 \\
&= 1 + \sum_{q=i_1+1}^n (-1 + (v_q/v_1)^2) |s_{pq}^k|^2 + 4n\varepsilon/v_1 + 4n\varepsilon^2/v_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{q=i_1+1}^n (1 - (v_q/v_1)^2) |s_{pq}^k|^2 < 4n\varepsilon/v_1 + 4n\varepsilon^2/v_1^2.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  can be any positive real number and  $|v_q/v_1|$  is not bigger than  $|v_{i_1+1}/v_1| < 1$  for  $q > i_1$ ,  $\{s_{pq}^k\}$  converges to 0 for  $p \leq i_1$  and  $q > i_1$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly  $s_{pq}^k$  converges to 0 for such numbers  $p$  and  $q$ . This fact also holds for  $T$ . Hence (2) is proved by induction on  $n$ .

(3) It follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\mathbf{v}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) T^k \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(S^k) \Delta(\mathbf{v}) \delta(T^k) \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\mathbf{v}) \delta(S^k) \delta(T^k).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{v}$  is decreasing,  $\delta(S^k)_j \delta(T^k)_j$  must converge to  $E_{i_j}$  for those numbers  $j$  with  $a_j \neq 0$ . □

### §3. Homotopy type of $\Omega^1$

In this section we shall study the homology types of  $\Omega^1$  and  $\Sigma^1$  in  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\Omega_s^1$  (resp.  $\Sigma_s^1$ ) denote the space consisting of all matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  such that  $A \in \Omega^1$  (resp.  $A \in \Sigma^1$ ) and  $\|A\| = 1$ . Clearly it is a deformation retract of  $\Omega^1$  (resp.  $\Sigma^1$ ). Hence, we study their homotopy types.

Let  $\Delta$  denote the contractible space consisting of all decreasing diagonal components  $\mathbf{d}$  such that  $d_{n-1} > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = 1$ . In  $\Delta$  we consider the subspace consisting of all special diagonal components of the form  $\mathbf{d}_{ab} = (a/\sqrt{n-1}, \dots, a/\sqrt{n-1}, b/\sqrt{n})$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  satisfy  $a^2 + (b^2/n) = 1$  and  $a/\sqrt{n-1} \geq b/\sqrt{n}$ . Note that unless  $b = 1$ , we have  $a/\sqrt{n-1} > b/\sqrt{n}$ . For a subset  $B$  of  $[0, 1]$  we define  $\Delta_B$  to be the subset of  $\Delta$  consisting of all diagonal components  $\mathbf{d}_{ab}$  with  $b \in B$ .

Lemma 2.1 is a motivation for defining the surjection

$$\mathcal{H} : \mathrm{SU}(n) \times \Delta \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n) \longrightarrow \Omega_s^1$$

by  $\mathcal{H}(S, \mathbf{d}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = S\Delta(\mathbf{d})I_{-\theta}U$ . Here note that given decreasing diagonal components  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ ,  $S\Delta(\mathbf{d})I_{-\theta}U \in \Omega_s^1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{d} \in \Delta$ . We denote the image  $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SU}(n) \times \Delta_B \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n))$  by  $K(B)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . There exists a deformation retraction of  $\Omega_s^1$  to  $K([0, 1])$  whose restriction to  $\Sigma_s^1$  induces a deformation retraction of  $\Sigma_s^1$  to  $K(\{0\})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 2$ , then it is clear that  $\Omega_s^1$  coincides with  $K([0, 1])$  and that  $\Sigma_s^1$  coincides with  $K(\{0\})$ . Thus we may assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\Delta'$  be the set of all diagonal components  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-2}, 0, 0)$  with  $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots \geq f_{n-2} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} f_i^2 = 1$ . First we shall prove that  $\Delta$  is identified with the space  $(\Delta' * \Delta_{[0,1]}) \setminus \Delta'$ , where  $\Delta' * \Delta_{[0,1]}$  is the join of  $\Delta'$  and  $\Delta_{[0,1]}$  taken on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$ .

For  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$  of  $\Delta \setminus \Delta_{[0,1]}$ , there exist uniquely determined  $\mathbf{f}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_{ab}$  and  $t$  with  $1 > t > 0$  such that if we set  $\mathbf{d}' = t\mathbf{f} + (1-t)\mathbf{d}_{ab}$ , then  $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}'/\|\mathbf{d}'\|$ . In fact, let  $t\mathbf{f} + (1-t)\mathbf{d}_{ab} = c(s\mathbf{f}' + (1-s)\mathbf{d}_{a'b'})$  with  $c > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)a &= c(1-s)a', & (1-t)b &= c(1-s)b', \\ (1-t)^2(a^2 + (b^2/n)) &= c^2(1-s)^2(a'^2 + (b'^2/n)). \end{aligned}$$

This yields  $1-t = c(1-s)$ . Hence  $a = a'$  and  $b = b'$ . So we have  $t\mathbf{f} = c s\mathbf{f}'$  and  $t = cs$ . Thus we obtain that  $t = s$ ,  $a = a'$ ,  $b = b'$ ,  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}'$  and  $c = 1$ .

Next we show the existence of  $\mathbf{f}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_{ab}$  and  $t$ . By using the equation  $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}'/\|\mathbf{d}'\|$ , we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{d}'\|d_{n-1} = (1-t)a/\sqrt{n-1}, \quad \|\mathbf{d}'\|d_n = (1-t)b/\sqrt{n}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{d}'\|^2((n-1)d_{n-1}^2 + d_n^2) = (1-t)^2(a^2 + (b^2/n)) = (1-t)^2.$$

For simplicity, set  $u = ((n-1)d_{n-1}^2 + d_n^2)^{1/2} > 0$ . It must be that  $a = \sqrt{n-1}d_{n-1}/u$  and  $b = \sqrt{n}d_n/u$  with  $a^2 + (b^2/n) = 1$  and  $a/\sqrt{n-1} \geq b/\sqrt{n}$ , and that  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $t$  satisfy the equation

$$\mathbf{d} = (1/\|\mathbf{d}'\|)t\mathbf{f} + ((1-t)/\|\mathbf{d}'\|)\mathbf{d}_{ab} = (ut/(1-t))\mathbf{f} + u\mathbf{d}_{ab}.$$

Therefore, for  $\mathbf{d}$  of  $\Delta \setminus \Delta_{[0,1]}$  we define  $a$  and  $b$  as above, and  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $t$  so that they satisfy  $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{d} - u\mathbf{d}_{ab})/\|\mathbf{d} - u\mathbf{d}_{ab}\|$  and  $ut/(1-t) = \|\mathbf{d} - u\mathbf{d}_{ab}\|$ . By definition, it is easy to see that  $f_{n-1} = f_n = 0$ ,  $\|\mathbf{d} - u\mathbf{d}_{ab}\| > 0$  and  $0 < t < 1$ .

In the following we represent  $\mathbf{d}$  in  $\Delta$  as  $(t\mathbf{f} + (1-t)\mathbf{d}_{ab})/\|t\mathbf{f} + (1-t)\mathbf{d}_{ab}\|$ , where  $\mathbf{d} \in \Delta_{[0,1]}$  if and only if  $t = 0$ . Now we define the deformation retraction  $r_\lambda$  of  $\Delta$  to  $\Delta_{[0,1]}$  with  $r = \text{id}_\Delta$  by

$$r_\lambda(\mathbf{d}) = ((1-\lambda)(t\mathbf{f} + (1-t)\mathbf{d}_{ab}) + \lambda\mathbf{d}_{ab})/\|(1-\lambda)(t\mathbf{f} + (1-t)\mathbf{d}_{ab}) + \lambda\mathbf{d}_{ab}\|.$$

It has the property that if  $d_i = d_j$ , then the  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th components of  $r_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$  denoted by  $d_i^\lambda$  and  $d_j^\lambda$  respectively coincide with each other. In fact, for the case  $i \leq j \leq n-1$  this follows from  $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{d} - u\mathbf{d}_{ab})/\|\mathbf{d} - u\mathbf{d}_{ab}\|$  and for the case  $i \leq n-1$  and  $j = n$ , we have  $d_i = d_{i+1} = \dots = d_n$  and so  $a/\sqrt{n-1} = b/\sqrt{n}$ . This yields  $f_i = f_{i+1} = \dots = f_n$  and so  $d_i^\lambda = d_{i+1}^\lambda = \dots = d_n^\lambda$ .

Now we define the deformation retraction  $R_\lambda$  of  $\Omega_s^1$  to  $K([0,1])$ , whose restriction of  $\Sigma_s^1$  induces a deformation retraction of  $\Sigma_s^1$  to  $K(\{0\})$ . We always consider the representation of a matrix  $A$  of  $\Omega_s^1$  as  $A = S\Delta(\mathbf{d})I_{-\theta}U$ , where  $S, T \in \text{SU}(n)$ . Then define  $R_\lambda$  by  $R_\lambda(A) = S\Delta(r_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))I_{-\theta}U$ . This is well defined and continuous as is seen below. Let  $A = S'\Delta(\mathbf{d})I_{-\theta}U'$ . If  $d_i = d_j$ , then  $d_i^\lambda = d_j^\lambda$ . Furthermore, the matrices  $(S')^*S$  and  $I_{-\theta}U(U')^*I_\theta$  belong to  $\text{SU}(n)$  and satisfy the properties stated in Lemma 2.2, since  $(S')^*S\Delta(\mathbf{d})I_{-\theta}U(U')^*I_\theta = \Delta(\mathbf{d})$ . Hence, it follows that  $(S')^*S\Delta(r_\lambda(\mathbf{d})) \times I_{-\theta}U(U')^*I_\theta = \Delta(r_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ . This implies that  $R_\lambda(A)$  does not depend on the choice of  $S$  and  $U$ . It is easy to see that  $R_\lambda(A)$  keeps  $\Sigma_s^1$  and that  $R_1$  maps  $\Sigma_s^1$  onto  $K(\{0\})$ .

For the proof of continuity, take a sequence  $\{A^k\}$  of  $\Omega_s^1$  with representation  $A^k = S^k\Delta(\mathbf{d}^k)I_{-\theta_k}U^k$  as in Lemma 2.3 and a sequence  $\{\lambda_m\}$  such

that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k = A$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m = \lambda$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{d}^k\}$  converges to  $\mathbf{d}$  by Lemma 2.3 (1). Since

$$(3.1.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S^* S^k \Delta(\mathbf{d}^k) I_{-\theta_k} U^k U^* I_\theta - \Delta(\mathbf{d}),$$

it follows that  $S^* S^k$  and  $I_{-\theta_k} U^k U^* I_\theta$  satisfy the properties of Lemma 2.3, which induce  $\delta(S^* S^k)$  and  $\delta(I_{-\theta_k} U^k U^* I_\theta)$ . Therefore, we have

$$(3.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} S^* S^k \Delta(r_{\lambda_m}(\mathbf{d}^k)) I_{-\theta_k} U^k U^* I_\theta \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} \delta(S^* S^k) \Delta(r_{\lambda_m}(\mathbf{d}^k)) \delta(I_{-\theta_k} U^k U^* I_\theta) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(r_{\lambda_m}(\mathbf{d}^k)) \delta(S^* S^k) \delta(I_{-\theta_k} U^k U^* I_\theta) \\ &= \Delta(r_\lambda(\mathbf{d})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.1.2) proves that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} R_{\lambda_m}(A^k) = R_\lambda(A)$ . □

In the following we shall prove that  $K([0, 1])$  is the space stated in Theorem 1 (1) in Introduction.

We begin by proving that the restriction of  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $SU(n) \times \Delta_{(0,1)} \times S^1 \times SU(n)$  onto  $K((0, 1))$  is a fibre bundle. Let  $\mathcal{H}(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = \mathcal{H}(S', \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U')$ . Then  $(S')^* S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab}) I_{-\theta} U(U')^* I_\theta = \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})$ . By we have that  $(S')^* S$  and  $I_{-\theta} U(U')^* I_\theta$  have the decompositions  $S_1 + (z_1)$  and  $U_1 + (z_2)$  respectively with  $S_1 U_1 = E_{n-1}$  and  $z_1 z_2 = 1$ . Hence we have  $(S')^* S I_{-\theta} U(U')^* I_\theta = E_n$ , that is,  $S I_{-\theta} U = S' I_{-\theta} U'$  and  $S \mathbf{e}_n = S'(S_1 + (z_1)) \mathbf{e}_n = z_1 S' \mathbf{e}_n$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_n = {}^t(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . This observation enables us to define the surjections,

$$\begin{aligned} P &: SU(n) \times \Delta_{(0,1)} \times S^1 \times SU(n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \Delta_{(0,1)} \times S^1 \times SU(n), \\ H &: \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \Delta_{(0,1)} \times S^1 \times SU(n) \longrightarrow K((0, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

by  $P(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = ([S \mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_\theta S I_{-\theta} U)$  and  $\mathcal{H}|_{SU(n) \times \Delta_{(0,1)} \times S^1 \times SU(n)} = H \circ P$ , where  $[*]$  refers to the element of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  represented by  $*$ . The precise description of  $H$  is as follows. Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an element of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  represented by a vector  $\mathbf{s}$  with length 1. Find a matrix  $S$  of  $SU(n)$  with  $S \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{s}$  (this notation will be often used below without stating it explicitly). Then we know that

$$(3.2) \quad H(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab}) S^* I_{-\theta} U.$$

In fact, it does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $S$ , because a direct calculation shows

$$(3.3) \quad S\Delta(x, \dots, x, y)S^* = xE_n + (y - x)(s_i \bar{s}_j).$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} H \circ P(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= H(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_\theta S I_{-\theta} U) \\ &= S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^* I_{-\theta}(I_\theta S I_{-\theta} U) \\ &= S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta} U \\ &= \mathcal{H}(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U). \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that  $H$  is naturally extended to the continuous surjection  $\tilde{H}$  of  $\text{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$  onto  $K((0, 1])$  by setting  $\tilde{H}(*, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = (1/\sqrt{n})I_{-\theta}U$ , where  $*$  is the cone point, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} H(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^* I_{-\theta} U \\ &= S(1/\sqrt{n})E_n S^* I_{-\theta} U = (1/\sqrt{n})I_{-\theta} U, \end{aligned}$$

which does not depend on the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Here note that the point  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab})$  corresponds to the point  $(\mathbf{v}, (1 - b^2)^{1/2})$  in  $\text{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) = \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times [0, 1]/\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times 0$ .

We define the other map

$$P_\Sigma : \text{SU}(n) \times \Delta_{(0,1/2)} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \Delta_{(0,1/2)} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$$

by  $P_\Sigma(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = ([S\mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, \text{SU})$ . This map induces the surjection

$$H_\Sigma : \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \Delta_{(0,1/2)} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) \longrightarrow K((0, 1/2))$$

defined by

$$(3.4) \quad H_\Sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}S^*U$$

so that  $\mathcal{H}| \text{SU}(n) \times \Delta_{(0,1/2)} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) = H_\Sigma \circ P_\Sigma$ , where  $S$  is a matrix of  $\text{SU}(n)$  with  $[S\mathbf{e}_n] = \mathbf{v}$ . In fact, this map is well defined, since

$S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}S^*U = S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^*SI_{-\theta}S^*U$ , and  $S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^*$  and  $SI_{-\theta}S^*$  depend only on  $\mathbf{v}$  by (3.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Sigma} \circ P_{\Sigma}(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= H_{\Sigma}([S\mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, \text{SU}) \\ &= S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}U \\ &= \mathcal{H}(S, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $H_{\Sigma}$  is naturally extended to the continuous surjection

$$\tilde{H}_{\Sigma} : \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \longrightarrow K([0, 1/2])$$

defined by  $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{v}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = H_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  for  $0 < b < 1/2$  and  $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}, U) = S\Delta(1/\sqrt{n-1}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{n-1}, 0)S^*U$ , since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} H_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}S^*U \\ &= S\Delta(1/\sqrt{n-1}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{n-1}, 0)S^*U. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.5. (1) *The map  $\tilde{H} : \text{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow K((0, 1])$  is a continuous bijection.*

(2) *The map  $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma} : \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow K([0, 1/2])$  is a continuous bijection.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $A$  be a matrix of  $K((0, 1])$ , which is represented as  $S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}U$  with  $S, U \in \text{SU}(n)$ . We show that the inverse  $H_1$  of  $\tilde{H}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(A) &= ([S\mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_{\theta}SI_{-\theta}U) \quad \text{for } 0 < b < 1, \\ H_1(A) &= (*, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_{\theta}SI_{-\theta}U) \quad \text{for } b = 1. \end{aligned}$$

First we see that  $H_1$  is well defined. By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathbf{d}_{ab}$  is determined by  $A$ . Let  $S'\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}U'$  be another representation. Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $SI_{-\theta}U = S'I_{-\theta}U'$ , and  $[S\mathbf{e}_n] = [S'\mathbf{e}_n]$  for  $0 < b < 1$ . Let us see that it is actually the inverse of  $\tilde{H}$ . In fact, for  $0 < b < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} \circ H_1(A) &= \tilde{H}([S\mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_{\theta}SI_{-\theta}U) \\ &= S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^*I_{-\theta}I_{\theta}SI_{-\theta}U \\ &= A, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $b = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H} \circ H_1(A) &= \tilde{H}(*, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_\theta S I_{-\theta} U) \\ &= (1/\sqrt{n}) I_{-\theta} I_\theta S I_{-\theta} U \\ &= S(1/\sqrt{n}) E_n I_{-\theta} U \\ &= A.\end{aligned}$$

We have, inversely, for  $0 < b < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}H_1 \circ \tilde{H}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= H_1(S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^* I_{-\theta} U) \\ &= H_1(S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta} I_\theta S^* I_{-\theta} U) \\ &= ([S\mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_\theta S I_{-\theta} I_\theta S^* I_{-\theta} U) \\ &= ([S\mathbf{e}_n], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $b = 1$ , we see that  $H_1 \circ \tilde{H}(*, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = (*, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$ .

(2) A matrix  $A$  of  $K([0, 1/2))$  is represented as  $S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}U$  as above and the inverse  $(H_\Sigma)_1$  of  $\tilde{H}_\Sigma$  is given by

$$(H_\Sigma)_1(A) = ([S\mathbf{e}_n], be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, SU).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that this is well defined. In fact, let  $A = S'\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta'}U'$  be another representation of  $A$ . Then we have  $S^*S'\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta'}U'U^*I_\theta = \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})$ . We can represent as  $S^*S' = S_1 + (z_1)$  and  $I_{-\theta'}U'U^*I_\theta = U_1 + (z_2)$ , that is,  $U'U^* = U_1 + (z_2)$  with  $S_1U_1 = E_{n-1}$ , and  $z_1z_2 = 1$  by Lemma 2.2 for  $b > 0$  and by  $z_1 \det S_1 = z_2 \det S_2 = 1$  for  $b = 0$ . Hence, we have  $S^*S'U'U^* = E_n$  and so  $SU = S'U'$ .  $(H_\Sigma)_1$  is actually the inverse of  $\tilde{H}_\Sigma$ , since we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}_\Sigma \circ (H_\Sigma)_1(A) &= \tilde{H}_\Sigma([S\mathbf{e}_n], be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, SU) \\ &= S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}S^*SU \\ &= A\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(H_\Sigma)_1 \circ \tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{v}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= (H_\Sigma)_1(S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}S^*U) \\ &= ([S\mathbf{e}_n], be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U).\end{aligned}$$

□



Consequently we have two bijections of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \Delta_{(0,1/2)} \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  onto  $K((0,1/2))$  by  $H$  and  $H_\Sigma$ . Here recall the matrix  $G(\mathbf{v}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) = I_\theta(E_n + (e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} - 1)(s_i \bar{s}_j))$  in Introduction, which is equal to  $I_\theta S I_{-\theta} S^*$  by (3.3) for all  $S$  with  $[S\mathbf{e}_n] = \mathbf{v}$ . Let us determine the map  $H^{-1} \circ H_\Sigma$  by using (3.2), (3.4) and Lemma 3.5. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad H^{-1} \circ H_\Sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) &= H^{-1}(S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})I_{-\theta}S^*U) \\
 &= (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, I_\theta S I_{-\theta} S^* U) \\
 &= (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, G(\mathbf{v}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})U).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $H^{-1} \circ H_\Sigma | \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \Delta_{(0,1/2)} \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  is a homeomorphism.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Under the notation in Introduction, the space  $K([0,1])$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathrm{Int} D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n) \cup_g \mathrm{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  and the space  $K(\{0\})$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$ .*

*Proof.* We define the map  $j_n : \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathrm{Int} D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n) \cup_g \mathrm{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n) \rightarrow K([0,1])$  by  $j_n(\mathbf{v}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = \tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{v}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$  and  $j_n(\mathbf{v}, (1 - b^2)^{1/2}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = \tilde{H}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  for  $0 < b \leq 1$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 and (3.6) that  $j_n$  is well defined and is a continuous bijection. Since  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathrm{Int} D_{1/2}^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(n) \cup_g \mathrm{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  is compact, we have that  $j_n$  is a homeomorphism. Furthermore,  $j_n$  maps  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \mathrm{SU}(n)$  onto  $K(\{0\})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1(1).* The assertion follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.7.  $\square$

*Remark 3.8.* Let  $\mathbf{v} = [S\mathbf{e}_n]$  as above. The kernel of  $\tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}, U)$  is generated by  $U^*S\mathbf{e}_n$  and the orthogonal complement of its image is generated by  $S\mathbf{e}_n$ .

**§4. Structure of the fibre bundle  $\mathrm{SU}(n + 1)$  over  $\mathrm{SU}(n + 1)/\mathrm{SU}(n)$**

In this section let  $n \geq 1$ . In contrast to the canonical basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , we write the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  by  $\{\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}\}$ . Let  $E_{n+1}$  be the unit matrix of rank  $n + 1$ . We shall consider the fibre bundle  $\pi : \mathrm{SU}(n + 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(n + 1)/\mathrm{SU}(n) \times (1) \cong S^{2n+1}$  and specify its structure. In

this paper a point of  $S^{2n+1}$  will be written as  $\mathbf{z} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_{n+1})$  with  $\mathbf{x} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  and  $z_{n+1} = be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ . Let  $S_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $S_{\Sigma}$  be the subsets of  $S^{2n+1}$  consisting of all points  $z$  such that  $0 < b \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq b < 1/2$  respectively.

For a point  $\mathbf{z}$  of  $S_{\mathcal{R}}$  with  $0 < b \leq 1$ , we define the matrix  $r(\mathbf{z})$  of  $SU(n+1)$  so that

$$(4.1\text{-}(i)) \quad r(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) = e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{z},$$

$$(4.1\text{-}(ii)) \quad r(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) = b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1},$$

(4.1-(iii)) if  $0 < b < 1$ , then  $r(\mathbf{z})$  is the identity on the orthogonal complement of the subspace generated by the two vectors  $\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  and  $\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  and if  $b = 1$ , then  $r(\mathbf{z}) = E_{n+1}$ .

For a point  $\mathbf{z}$  of  $S_{\Sigma}$  with  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ , we define the matrix  $r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})$  of  $SU(n+1)$  so that

$$(4.2\text{-}(i)) \quad r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) = \mathbf{z},$$

$$(4.2\text{-}(ii)) \quad r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) = \bar{z}_{n+1}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}'_{n+1},$$

(4.2-(iii))  $r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})$  is the identity on the orthogonal complement of the subspace generated by the two vectors  $\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  and  $\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ .

The explicit formulas of the matrices  $r(\mathbf{z})$  and  $r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})$  are as follows:

$$r(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} R(\mathbf{z}) & e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{x} \\ -e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}({}^t\bar{\mathbf{x}}) & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} R_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{x} \\ -{}^t\bar{\mathbf{x}} & be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the  $(i, j)$  components of  $R(\mathbf{z})$  and  $R_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})$  are  $\delta_{ij} - x_i\bar{x}_j/(1+b)$  and  $\delta_{ij} - x_i\bar{x}_j((1 - be^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta})/(1 - b^2))$  respectively.

LEMMA 4.3. *The determinants of  $r(\mathbf{z})$  and  $r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})$  are equal to 1.*

*Proof.* First we show  $\det(r(\mathbf{z})) = 1$ . For  $b \neq 1$ , let  $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}$  denote vectors such that  $(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, (\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})/\|\mathbf{x}\|)$  is an orthonormal basis. Then by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, (\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})/\|\mathbf{x}\|) \\ = (\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{z}, (b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})/\|\mathbf{x}\|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \det(r(\mathbf{z})) &= \det((\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})^* \\ &\quad \times (\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{z}, b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})) \\ &= \det(E_{n-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \overline{(\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})} \\ (e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{z}, b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= \det(\overline{(\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})} (e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{z}, b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} b & (-\|\mathbf{x}\|^2)e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} & b\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 (b^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next let us show that  $\det(r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})) = 1$ . Take an orthonormal basis  $(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, (\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})/\|\mathbf{x}\|)$ . Then by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, (\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})/\|\mathbf{x}\|) \\ = (\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{z}, (\bar{z}_{n+1}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}'_{n+1})/\|\mathbf{x}\|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \det(r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})) &= \det((\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})^* \\ &\quad \times (\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{z}_{n+1}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}'_{n+1})) \\ &= \det(E_{n-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \overline{(\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})} \\ (\mathbf{z}, \bar{z}_{n+1}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \det({}^t(\overline{\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}})(\mathbf{z}, \bar{z}_{n+1}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}'_{n+1})) \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} & -\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 & be^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 4.4. For a point  $\mathbf{z} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$  of  $S^{2n+1}$  with  $0 < b < 1/2$ , set  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|$  and let  $S$  be a matrix of  $SU(n)$  with  $S\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{s}$ . Then we have

$$r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z}) = SI_{-\theta}S^* \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the matrix  $(S \dot{+} (1))^* r(\mathbf{z})^{-1} r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z}) (S \dot{+} (1)) (I_\theta \dot{+} (1))$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad T(\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) &= e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}, \\
(2) \quad T(\|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{e}'_n) &= (S \dot{+} (1))^* r(\mathbf{z})^{-1} r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z}) (S \dot{+} (1)) (\|\mathbf{x}\| e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}'_n) \\
&= (S \dot{+} (1))^* r(\mathbf{z})^{-1} r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z}) (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} (\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1})) \\
&= (S \dot{+} (1))^* r(\mathbf{z})^{-1} (b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) \\
&= (S \dot{+} (1))^* (\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) \\
&= \|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{e}'_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(S \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{e}'_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) belong to the orthogonal complement of the space generated by  $\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  and  $\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$ , we obtain by (4.1-(iii)) and (4.2-(iii)) that

$$(3) \quad T\mathbf{e}'_i = \mathbf{e}'_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Therefore, it follows that  $T = E_n \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$ . □

For a matrix  $M \in SU(n+1)$ , let  $M\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  be written as  $\mathbf{z} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_{n+1})$  with  $\mathbf{x}(M) = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $z_{n+1} = be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ . If  $0 < b \leq 1$ , then  $r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M\mathbf{e}'_{n+1} = r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}\mathbf{z} = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  and  $r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M$  is written as  $I_{-\theta}U(M) \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$  by some matrix  $U(M)$  of  $SU(n)$ . If  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ , then  $r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M\mathbf{e}'_{n+1} = r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})^{-1}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  and  $r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M$  is written as  $U_\Sigma(M) \dot{+}$

(1) by some matrix  $U_\Sigma(M)$  of  $SU(n)$ . If  $\|x(M)\|$  is not 0, then set  $\mathbf{s}(M) = \mathbf{x}(M)/\|\mathbf{x}(M)\|$ . We define the trivializations

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} t_{\mathcal{R}} &: \pi^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{R}}) \longrightarrow \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times SU(n) \quad \text{and} \\ t_{\Sigma} &: \pi^{-1}(S_{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times SU(n) \end{aligned}$$

of  $\pi^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{R}})$  and  $\pi^{-1}(S_{\Sigma})$  by  $t_{\mathcal{R}}(M) = (x(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M))$  and  $t_{\Sigma}(M) = (\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma}(M))$  respectively. It is not difficult to see that they are really trivializations. From now on, when a vector  $\mathbf{s}$  representing  $[\mathbf{s}]$  is specified, the matrix  $I_{\theta}SI_{-\theta}S^*$  is denoted by  $G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$  in place of  $G([\mathbf{s}], e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$ .

PROPOSITION 4.6. *If  $0 < b < 1/2$  then we have*

$$t_{\mathcal{R}} \circ t_{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma}) = ((1 - b^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})U_{\Sigma}).$$

*Proof.* There exists a matrix  $M$  of  $SU(n+1)$  such that  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}(M)$ ,  $U_{\Sigma} = U_{\Sigma}(M)$  and  ${}^t\mathbf{z} = {}^t(M\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}) = ({}^t\mathbf{x}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$ . By definition, we have  $t_{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma}(M)) = M = r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})(U_{\Sigma}(M) \dot{+} (1))$ . Again by definition of  $U(M)$ , we have

$$I_{-\theta}U(M) \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) = r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M = r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})(U_{\Sigma}(M) \dot{+} (1))$$

and so

$$U(M) \dot{+} (1) = (I_{\theta} \dot{+} (e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}))r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})(U_{\Sigma}(M) \dot{+} (1)).$$

By Lemma 4.4 this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &(I_{\theta} \dot{+} (e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}))(SI_{-\theta}S^* \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}))(U_{\Sigma}(M) \dot{+} (1)) \\ &= (I_{\theta}SI_{-\theta}S^*U_{\Sigma}(M)) \dot{+} (1) \\ &= G(\mathbf{s}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})U_{\Sigma}(M) \dot{+} (1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $t_{\mathcal{R}}(M) = (\mathbf{x}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, G(\mathbf{s}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})U_{\Sigma}(M))$  with  $\mathbf{x}(M) = (1 - b^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{s}(M)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{g}$  be the diffeomorphism

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g} &: S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int}(D_{1/2}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times SU(n) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Int}(D_1^{2n} \setminus D_{\sqrt{3}/2}^{2n}) \times S^1 \times SU(n) \end{aligned}$$

defined by  $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma) = ((1 - b^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})U_\Sigma)$  ( $0 < b < 1/2$ ). Let  $S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$  denote the space obtained by pasting the two spaces written above by  $\tilde{g}$ . Then we can define the diffeomorphism  $k : \text{SU}(n+1) \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$  by

$$(4.8) \quad k(M) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{x}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M)) & \text{for } 0 < b \leq 1, \\ (\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M)) & \text{for } 0 \leq b < 1/2. \end{cases}$$

The map  $\pi' : S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  defined by  $\pi'(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = (\mathbf{x}, (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$  for  $0 < b \leq 1$  and  $\pi'(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma) = ((1 - b^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$  becomes a principal bundle with fibre  $\text{SU}(n)$ . Then the following proposition follows from the arguments above.

**PROPOSITION 4.9.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ . The map  $k$  above gives a  $C^\infty$  bundle map of the principal bundle  $\pi : \text{SU}(n+1) \rightarrow \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{SU}(n) \times (1) \cong S^{2n+1}$  to the principal bundle  $\pi' : S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ .*

**§5. Homotopy type of  $\Omega^{10}$**

We first review the homotopy type of  $\Sigma^{10}$  in the context of Sections 3 and 4. Let  $\pi_1^2$  be the canonical forgetting map of  $J^2(n, n)$  onto  $J^1(n, n)$ . Now we see what fibre bundle the restriction  $\pi_1^2 | \Sigma^{10} : \Sigma^{10} \rightarrow \Sigma^1$  is. When  $(\pi_1^2)^{-1}(\Sigma^1)$  is identified with  $\Sigma^1 \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$ , we have two line bundles  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  over  $\Sigma^1$  defined by

$$\mathbf{K} = \{(\alpha, \mathbf{k}) \mid \alpha \in \Sigma^1, \mathbf{k} \in \text{Ker } \alpha\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q} = \{(\alpha, \mathbf{v}) \mid \alpha \in \Sigma^1, \mathbf{v} \in \text{Cok } \alpha\}$$

respectively. Then we have the following exact sequence of vector bundles over  $\Sigma^1$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{K} \longrightarrow \Sigma^1 \times \mathbf{C}^n \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma^1 \times \mathbf{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $h$  is the fibrewise homomorphism defined by  $h(\alpha, \mathbf{x}) = (\alpha, \alpha(\mathbf{x}))$ . Consider the map  $C : \Sigma^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  defined as  $C(\alpha)$  being the line orthogonal to  $\text{Im}(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then  $C_1(\mathbf{K}) = C_1(\mathbf{Q}) = C^*(c_1)$ , where  $c_1$  is the

first Chern class of the canonical line bundle over  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$ . It is known that the normal bundle of  $\Sigma^1$  in  $J^1(n, n)$  is equivalent to  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})$  (see [L, p.11, 2. Proof of Proposition 2] and [Bo, p.50, Lemma 7.13 and Theorem 7.14]). Since  $C_1(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})) = C_1(\mathbf{Q}) - C_1(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ , this normal bundle is trivial. Restricting the map  $\tilde{H}_\Sigma$  to  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)$  in Section 3, we have an embedding of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)$  into  $\Sigma^1$  inducing a homotopy equivalence. The composition of  $C$  and  $\tilde{H}_\Sigma|_{\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)}$  coincides with the canonical projection of  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)$  onto  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$ , since  $C \circ \tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}, U_\Sigma) = C(S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{10})S^*U_\Sigma) = [S\mathbf{e}_n] = \mathbf{v}$ . This implies  $(\tilde{H}_\Sigma|_{\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)})^*(C_1(\mathbf{Q})) = c_1 \times 1$ . We define the fibrewise homomorphism  $r$  of  $\Sigma^1 \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$  onto  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K} \circ \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})$  over  $\Sigma^1$  by  $r(\alpha, \beta) = \text{pr} \circ \beta|_{\text{Ker}(\alpha) \circ \text{Ker}(\alpha)}$ , where  $\text{pr}$  denotes the projection of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  onto  $\text{Cok}(\alpha)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the subspace of  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K} \circ \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})$  consisting of all isomorphisms. By the definition of  $\Sigma^{10}$  we know that  $\Sigma^{10}$  coincides with  $r^{-1}(\mathfrak{R})$ . Since  $C_1(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K} \circ \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})) = -2C_1(\mathbf{K}) + C_1(\mathbf{Q}) = -C_1(\mathbf{K})$ ,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K} \circ \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})$  is equivalent to  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{C})$  as vector bundles, and there is an orientation reversing bundle map between the associated sphere bundles  $S(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{C}))$  and  $S(\mathbf{K})$ . Hence the fibre bundle  $\Sigma^{10}$  over  $\Sigma^1$  is homotopy equivalent to the  $S^1$ -bundle  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)$  over  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)$  induced from the  $S^1$ -bundle of  $S^{2n-1}$  over  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  associated with  $c_1$  of  $H^2(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}; \mathbf{Z})$ . Furthermore  $\Sigma^{10}$  has  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathbf{0} \times \text{SU}(n)$  as its deformation retract.

In  $\Omega^{10}$ ,  $\Sigma^0 \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$  over  $\Sigma^0$  has a contractible fibre. Hence by the arguments above,  $\Omega^{10}$  has, as its deformation retract, the subspace which is the total space of the above  $S^1$ -bundle over  $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$  except for over  $\{*\} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$  with  $*$  being the cone point of  $\text{OC}(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1})$ . It is nothing but  $S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$ . Hence it follows from Proposition 4.9 that  $\Omega^{10}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\text{SU}(n+1)$ . This is an intuitive proof of Theorem 1 (2).

Now we shall specify the embedding

$$h : S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \bigcup_{\tilde{g}} \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n) \longrightarrow \Omega^{10}.$$

For a point  $(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  of  $\text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$ , we define the map  $\beta(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) : \mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  by

$$(5.1) \quad \beta(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$$

$$= \{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t (G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U) \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|) S^* (G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U) \mathbf{b} \} \mathbf{s}$$

for  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  and

$$\beta(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{0},$$

where if  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|$  and  $S\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{s}$ . The matrix

$${}^t (G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U) \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|) S^* (G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U)$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^t U I_{-\theta} \bar{S} I_{\theta} {}^t S \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|) S^* S I_{\theta} S^* I_{-\theta} U \\ &= {}^t U I_{-\theta} \bar{S} I_{\theta} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|) I_{\theta} S^* I_{-\theta} U \\ &= {}^t U I_{-\theta} (e^{2\sqrt{-1}\theta} \|\mathbf{x}\| (\bar{s}_i \bar{s}_j)) I_{-\theta} U. \end{aligned}$$

For a point  $(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma})$  of  $S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n)$ , we define the map  $\beta_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma}) : \mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  by

$$(5.2) \quad \beta_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma})(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t U_{\Sigma} \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, (1-b^2)^{1/2}) S^* U_{\Sigma} \mathbf{b} \} \mathbf{s},$$

which is equal to

$$\{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t U_{\Sigma} ((1-b^2)^{1/2} (\bar{s}_i \bar{s}_j)) U_{\Sigma} \mathbf{b} \} \mathbf{s}.$$

If  $0 < b < 1/2$ , then we have that  $\beta(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = \beta_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma})$ , since  $U = G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) U_{\Sigma} = I_{\theta} S I_{-\theta} S^* U_{\Sigma}$ , where  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = (1-b^2)^{1/2}$  by definition. Hence,  $\beta$  and  $\beta_{\Sigma}$  define the well-defined map of  $S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n) \cup_g \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n)$  to  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$ .

The motivation for the definition above is the facts that when  $b = 0$ , we have  $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma}([\mathbf{s}], \mathbf{0}, U_{\Sigma}) = S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{10}) I_{-\theta} S^* U_{\Sigma} = S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{10}) S^* U_{\Sigma}$  and that its kernel vector is  $U_{\Sigma}^* S \mathbf{e}_n$  and its cokernel vector is  $\mathbf{s}$ . Hence, if  $b = 0$ , then we should have that  $\beta_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{0}, U_{\Sigma})(U_{\Sigma}^* S \mathbf{e}_n, U_{\Sigma}^* S \mathbf{e}_n) = \mathbf{s}$ . If  $b = 1$ , then  $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = (1/\sqrt{n}) I_{-\theta} U$  and we must require  $\beta(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  to be the null-homomorphism.

From now on, we often use the notation  $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  (resp.  $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$ ) in place of  $H([\mathbf{s}], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  (resp.  $(1/\sqrt{n}) I_{-\theta} U$ ) for  $0 < b < 1$  (resp.  $b = 1$ ) and  $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma})$  in place of  $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma}([\mathbf{s}], be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_{\Sigma})$  for simplicity, when a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{s}$  representing  $[\mathbf{x}]$  or  $[\mathbf{s}]$  is specified respectively. Then



the map  $h$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad & (h | \text{Int } D_1^{2n} \times S^1 \times \text{SU}(n))(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) \\
 & = (\tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U), \beta(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)) \quad (0 < b \leq 1), \\
 & (h | S^{2n-1} \times \text{Int } D_{1/2}^2 \times \text{SU}(n))(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma) \\
 & = (\tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma), \beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma)) \quad (0 \leq b < 1/2).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have the following proposition by the definition of  $h$  together with the observation above.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *The map  $h$  is a topological embedding ( $n \geq 2$ ).*

We define the topological embedding  $i_n : \text{SU}(n + 1) \rightarrow \Omega^{10}$  as follows.

For  $n = 1, i_n(M) = (be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}),$

for  $n \geq 2,$

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_n(M) & = h \circ k(M) \\
 & = \begin{cases} (\tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M)), \beta(\mathbf{x}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M))) & (0 < b \leq 1) \\ (\tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M)), \beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M))) & (0 \leq b < 1/2). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 5.5. *The map  $i_n$  is a topological embedding and the image of  $i_n$  is a deformation retract of  $\Omega^{10}$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to prove the second assertion. The case  $n = 1$  is easy to prove. Hence, we assume  $n \geq 2$ . By Proposition 4.9 and the definition of  $i_n$ , the image of  $i_n$  coincides with that of  $h$ . By Theorem 3.1, it is enough to construct a deformation retraction of  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K([0, 1]))$  to the image of  $h$ . We identify an element  $\beta$  of  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$  with the  $n$ -tuple  $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  of symmetric  $n$ -matrices. Then the norm  $\|\beta\|$  is defined to be  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|B_i\|$ .

We first consider the homotopy  $h_\lambda$  of  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K([0, 1]))$  defined as follows. For an element  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K(\{b\}))$ , we set

$$h_\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$= \begin{cases} (\alpha, ((1 - \lambda) + \lambda(1 - b^2)^{1/2})(\|\beta\| - 2(1 - b^2)^{1/2})(\beta/\|\beta\|) \\ \quad + 2(1 - b^2)^{1/2}(\beta/\|\beta\|)) & \text{if } \|\beta\| \geq 2(1 - b^2)^{1/2} \text{ and } \|\beta\| \neq 0, \\ (\alpha, \beta) & \text{if } \|\beta\| \leq 2(1 - b^2)^{1/2}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the image of  $h_1$  coincides with  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K([0, 1])) \cup K(\{1\}) \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Next we construct a deformation retraction  $R_\lambda$  of  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K([0, 1])) \cup K(\{1\}) \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$  to the image of  $h$ . Take an element  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K(\{b\}))$  such that  $\alpha$  is written as  $\tilde{H}([\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{d}_{ab}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = (1 - b^2)^{1/2}$  for  $0 < b < 1$  or  $\tilde{H}_\Sigma([\mathbf{s}], be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma)$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ . Let  $\tilde{K}_\alpha$  be the subspace generated by  $U^*G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})\mathbf{x}$  for  $0 < b < 1$  and the subspace generated by  $U_\Sigma^*\mathbf{s}$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ . Let  $\tilde{Q}_\alpha$  be the subspace generated by  $\mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{s}$  for  $0 \leq b < 1$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$  be the complex line bundles over  $K([0, 1])$  defined by  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{(\alpha, \beta)} = \tilde{K}_\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{(\alpha, \beta)} = \tilde{Q}_\alpha$  respectively. By definition, we have  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}|_{K(\{0\})} = \mathbf{K}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}|_{K(\{0\})}$  is identified with  $\mathbf{Q}$  by Remark 3.8. Then we have a canonical isomorphism  $K([0, 1]) \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}})$  such that  $\alpha \times 1$  is mapped to the isomorphism sending  $U^*G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $0 < b < 1$  and sending  $U_\Sigma^*\mathbf{s}$  to  $\mathbf{s}$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ , which does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{s}$  representing  $[\mathbf{x}]$  or  $[\mathbf{s}]$  respectively and is uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ . Let us recall the following  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear bundle map of  $\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{C})$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ . Define the hermitian form  $h_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}}$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$  by  $h_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}}(z_1\mathbf{v}, z_2\mathbf{v}) = z_1\bar{z}_2\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = z_1\bar{z}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is any vector of length 1 in  $\tilde{K}_\alpha$ . Then we have the orientation reversing bundle map over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $B_h : \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{C})$  defined by  $B_h(z\mathbf{v}) = h_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}}(\cdot, z\mathbf{v})$ , where we note that  $h_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}}(\cdot, z\mathbf{v})$  is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -homomorphism. Then we have  $B_h(z\mathbf{v}) = \bar{z}B_h(\mathbf{v})$ . These observations induce the map

$$\Psi : \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{B_h^{-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}.$$

For a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , let  $\text{pr}(\mathbf{x})$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  onto the subspace of dimension 1 generated by  $\mathbf{x}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ . Since the element  $(\alpha, \beta)$  induces the map  $\text{pr}(\mathbf{x}) \circ \beta | \tilde{K}_\alpha \circ \tilde{K}_\alpha : \tilde{K}_\alpha \circ \tilde{K}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ ,  $\Psi$  determines the vector  $\Psi(\text{pr}(\mathbf{x}) \circ \beta | \tilde{K}_\alpha \circ \tilde{K}_\alpha)$  in  $\tilde{K}_\alpha$ . This is written as  $u(\alpha, \beta)\mathbf{k}$  by some real number  $u(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$  and some vector  $\mathbf{k}$  with length 1 such that  $[\mathbf{k}] = [U^*G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})\mathbf{x}]$  for  $0 < b < 1$  and  $[\mathbf{k}] = [U_\Sigma^*\mathbf{s}]$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ . We note that  $\mathbf{k}$  is determined only when  $u(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)$  denote  $G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^*U\mathbf{k}$  for  $0 < b < 1$  and  $U_\Sigma\mathbf{k}$  for  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ . If  $u(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ , then we have that

$$\text{pr}(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta))(\beta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})) = u(\alpha, \beta)\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq b < 1.$$

Here set  $\bar{u}(\alpha, \beta) = u(\alpha, \beta)/(b^2 + u(\alpha, \beta)^2)^{1/2}$  for  $0 \leq b < 1$ , where  $b^2 + u(\alpha, \beta)^2$  never vanishes. Now we set  $\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - b^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ . If  $u(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta)$  or  $\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)$  represents any vector of length  $(1 - b^2)^{1/2}$  or 1 in  $\tilde{Q}_\alpha$  respectively. Furthermore, we set  $\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta) = u(\alpha, \beta)\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ , which is always defined. The motivation for this notation is the fact that

$$\beta(\mathbf{x}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)(U^*G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})S\mathbf{e}_n, U^*G(\mathbf{s}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})S\mathbf{e}_n) = \|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{s}$$

for  $0 < b < 1$ ,

$$\beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}, be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma)(U_\Sigma^*S\mathbf{e}_n, U_\Sigma^*S\mathbf{e}_n) = \|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{s} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq b < 1/2.$$

We note that

- (1) The vector  $\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)$  is continuous on  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K([0, 1])) \cup K(\{1\}) \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ,
- (2) If  $0 < \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta)\| < 1$ , equivalently  $0 < b < 1$ , then  $\|\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)\| = u(\alpha, \beta)/(b^2 + u(\alpha, \beta)^2)^{1/2} < 1$ ,
- (3)  $u(\tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U), \beta(\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)) = (1 - b^2)^{1/2}$ ,
- (4)  $u(\tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma), \beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma)) = (1 - b^2)^{1/2}$  and
- (5) Consider the case where  $b^2 + u(\alpha, \beta)^2 = 1$ , which is, in particular, satisfied for  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\text{Im}(h)$ . Then we have  $u(\alpha, \beta) = \bar{u}(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

For an element  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K(\{b\}))$  given above, we define  $R_\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$  to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\tilde{H}((1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U), \\ \quad (1 - \lambda)\beta + \lambda\beta(\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)) & \text{for } 0 < b < 1, \\ (\alpha, \mathbf{0}) & \text{for } b = 1 \text{ and } \beta = \mathbf{0}, \\ (\tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), \mathbf{0}, U_\Sigma), (1 - \lambda)\beta + \lambda\beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), \mathbf{0}, U_\Sigma)) & \text{for } b = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where if  $u(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{H}((1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  refers to  $\tilde{H}([(1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta)], \mathbf{d}_{a'b'}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U)$  with  $b' = (1 - (1 - \lambda)^2(1 - b^2))^{1/2}$ .

Let us see that  $R_\lambda$  is well defined and continuous. Set  $b_\lambda(\alpha, \beta) = \{1 - \|(1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)\|^2\}^{1/2}$ . If  $0 \leq b_\lambda(\alpha, \beta) < 1/2$  and  $0 \leq$

$1 - \|\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)\|^2 < 1/2$ , then we may write  $R_\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$  as a different form  $(\tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), b_\lambda(\alpha, \beta)e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma), (1-\lambda)\beta + \lambda\beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), (1 - \|\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)\|^2)^{1/2} \times e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma))$  by (3.6), (5.1) and (5.2). In particular, if  $u(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ , then  $0 < b < 1$ ,  $\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\beta(\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $b = 0$ , then  $u(\alpha, \beta) > 0$  and  $(1-\lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta) = (1-\lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Therefore,  $R_\lambda$  is well defined and continuous.

We see that  $R_\lambda$  maps  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K((0, 1)))$  into  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K((0, 1))) \cup K(\{1\}) \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . If  $0 < \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta)\| < 1$ , or equivalently  $0 < b < 1$ , then  $\|(1-\lambda)\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda\mathbf{y}(\alpha, \beta)\|$  is less than 1 and is equal to 0 only when  $\lambda = 1$  and  $u(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\bar{u}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  and  $0 < \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha, \beta)\| < 1$ , then  $R_1(\alpha, \beta) = (\tilde{H}(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U), \mathbf{0})$ , since  $\beta(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U) = \mathbf{0}$ .

We see that  $R_\lambda$  maps  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K(\{0\}))$  into  $(\pi_1^2 | \Omega^{10})^{-1}(K(\{0\}))$ . By definition, we have that  $\text{pr}(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta))((1-\lambda)\beta + \lambda\beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), \mathbf{0}, U_\Sigma))(U_\Sigma^*\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta), U_\Sigma^*\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)) = ((1-\lambda)u(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda)\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Since  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Sigma^{10}$ , we have  $u(\alpha, \beta) > 0$  and so  $(1-\lambda)u(\alpha, \beta) + \lambda > 0$ .

By definition, the image of  $R_1$  is contained in  $\text{Im}(h)$ . It is easy to see that  $R_0 = \text{id}$ . It follows from (3), (4) and (5) that  $R_\lambda | \text{Im}(h)$  is constantly equal to  $\text{id}_{\text{Im}(h)}$ . □

**§6.  $\text{SU}(n) \times \text{SU}(n)$  action**

In this section the unit vector  $\mathbf{e}'_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  in Section 4 is written as  $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$  to avoid confusion. We consider the following action of  $\text{SU}(n) \times \text{SU}(n)$  on  $J^2(n, n)$ . An element  $(O', O^*)$  of  $\text{SU}(n) \times \text{SU}(n)$  acts on each element  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $J^2(n, n)$  by

$$((O', O^*) \cdot (\alpha, \beta))(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) = (O'\alpha(O\mathbf{a}), O'\beta(O\mathbf{b}, O\mathbf{c}))$$

and also acts on each element  $M$  of  $\text{SU}(n+1)$  by

$$(O', O^*) \cdot M = (O' \dot{+} (1))M(O \dot{+} (1)).$$

Note that  $\Omega^{10}$  is invariant with respect to this action. We will prove that  $i_n$  is equivariant with respect to these actions of  $\text{SU}(n) \times \text{SU}(n)$ . Its proof needs a complicated observation about the embedding  $i_n$ . First we prepare two lemmas.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let  $M\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$  be written as  $\mathbf{z} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_{n+1})$  with  $z_{n+1} = be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$  as above. Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be  $(O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z}$  for an element  $O'$  of  $\text{SU}(n)$ . Then we have*

$$(1) \quad r(\mathbf{w})^{-1}(O' \dot{+} (1)) = (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})^{-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < b \leq 1,$$

$$(2) \quad r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{w})^{-1}(O' \dot{+} (1)) = (O' \dot{+} (1))r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})^{-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq b < 1/2,$$

*Proof.* (1) It is enough to prove  $(O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^* = r(\mathbf{w})$ . By the property (4.1) of  $r(\mathbf{w})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{w} = e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} (O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z}, \\ r(\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{w} - be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= b\mathbf{w} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \\ &= b(O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \\ r(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{f} &= \mathbf{f} \text{ if } \mathbf{f} \text{ is orthogonal to } \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} - be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\ &= (O' \dot{+} (1))e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{z} \\ &= e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} (O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z}, \\ (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*(\mathbf{w} - be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*(O' \dot{+} (1))(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\ &= (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\ &= (O' \dot{+} (1))(b\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\ &= b(O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{f}$  satisfies  $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = (\mathbf{f}, (O' \dot{+} (1))(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1})) = 0$ , we have  $((O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = ((O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = 0$ . It follows from the property (4.1-(iii)) of  $r(\mathbf{z})$  that

$$(O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f} = (O' \dot{+} (1))(O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$r(\mathbf{w}) = (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*.$$

(2) The proof is similar. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\Sigma(\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= \mathbf{w} = (O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z}, \\
r_\Sigma(\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{w} - be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \\
&= be^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}(O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \\
r_\Sigma(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f} &\text{ if } \mathbf{f} \text{ is orthogonal to } \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} - be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(O' \dot{+} (1))r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= (O' \dot{+} (1))r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\
&= (O' \dot{+} (1))(\mathbf{z}), \\
(O' \dot{+} (1))r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*(O' \dot{+} (1))(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= (O' \dot{+} (1))r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\
&= (O' \dot{+} (1))(\bar{z}_{n+1}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\
&= \bar{z}_{n+1}(O' \dot{+} (1))\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have that  $((O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = ((O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{z} - z_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = 0$ . It follows from the property (4.2-(iii)) of  $r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})$  that

$$(O' \dot{+} (1))r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f} = (O' \dot{+} (1))(O' \dot{+} (1))^*\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$r_\Sigma(\mathbf{w}) = (O' \dot{+} (1))r_\Sigma(\mathbf{z})(O' \dot{+} (1))^*.$$

□

LEMMA 6.2. Set  $M' = (O' \dot{+} (1))M(O \dot{+} (1))$  for  $O$  and  $O'$  in  $SU(n)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad U(M') &= I_\theta O' I_{-\theta} U(M) O && \text{for } 0 < b \leq 1, \\
(2) \quad U_\Sigma(M') &= O' U_\Sigma(M) O && \text{for } 0 \leq b < 1/2.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$(1) \quad r(\mathbf{w})^{-1}M' = r(\mathbf{w})^{-1}(O' \dot{+} (1))M(O \dot{+} (1))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (O' \dot{+} (1))r(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M(O \dot{+} (1)) \\
&= (O' \dot{+} (1))(I_{-\theta}U(M) \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})) (O \dot{+} (1)) \\
&= O'I_{-\theta}U(M)O \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) \\
&= I_{-\theta}(I_{\theta}O'I_{-\theta}U(M)O) \dot{+} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{w})^{-1}M' &= r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{w})^{-1}(O' \dot{+} (1))M(O \dot{+} (1)) \\
&= (O' \dot{+} (1))r_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z})^{-1}M(O \dot{+} (1)) \\
&= (O' \dot{+} (1))(U_{\Sigma}(M) \dot{+} (1))(O \dot{+} (1)) \\
&= O'U_{\Sigma}(M)O \dot{+} (1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) and (2) follow from the definitions of  $U(M')$  and  $U_{\Sigma}(M')$  respectively.  $\square$

We are ready to prove the following.

**PROPOSITION 6.3.** *The embedding  $i_n$  is equivariant with respect to the actions of  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$  on  $SU(n+1)$  and  $J^2(n, n)$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notations given in the definition of  $i_n$  and let  $M$ ,  $O'$ ,  $O$  and  $M'$  with  $\mathbf{w} = M'\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathbf{z} = M\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$  be as above. We have that if  $b < 1$ , then  $\mathbf{s}(M') = O'\mathbf{s}(M)$ . Then we obtain the following.

If  $0 < b < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}(M'), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M')) &= O'S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^*O'^*I_{-\theta}U(M') \\
&= O'S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^*O'^*I_{-\theta}I_{\theta}O'I_{-\theta}U(M)O \\
&= O'S\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab})S^*I_{-\theta}U(M)O \\
&= O'\tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M))O.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $b = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M')) &= (1/\sqrt{n})I_{-\theta}U(M') \\
&= O'(1/\sqrt{n})I_{-\theta}U(M)O \\
&= O'\tilde{H}(\mathbf{0}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M))O.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 < b < 1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{s}(M'), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U(M') &= O' S I_\theta S^* O'^* I_{-\theta} I_\theta O' I_{-\theta} U(M) O \\ &= O' S I_\theta S^* I_{-\theta} U(M) O, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta(\mathbf{x}(M'), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M'))(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t (G(\mathbf{s}(M'), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U(M')) \bar{O}' \bar{S} \\ &\quad \times \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}(M')\| S^* O'^* G(\mathbf{s}(M'), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U(M') \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{s}(M') \} \\ &= \{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t O^t U(M) I_{-\theta} \bar{S} I_\theta {}^t S \bar{S} \\ &\quad \times \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}(M)\|) S^* S I_\theta S^* I_{-\theta} U(M) O \mathbf{b} \} O' \mathbf{s}(M) \\ &= \{ {}^t (O\mathbf{a})^t (G(\mathbf{s}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U(M)) \bar{S} \\ &\quad \times \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}(M)\|) S^* G(\mathbf{s}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^* U(M) O \mathbf{b} \} O' \mathbf{s}(M) \\ &= O' \beta(\mathbf{x}(M), e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U(M))(O\mathbf{a}, O\mathbf{b}). \end{aligned}$$

This equality also holds in the case of  $b = 1$ .

If  $0 \leq b < 1/2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(M'), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M')) &= O' S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab}) I_{-\theta} S^* O'^* U_\Sigma(M') \\ &= O' S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab}) I_{-\theta} S^* O'^* O' U_\Sigma(M) O \\ &= O' S \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{ab}) I_{-\theta} S^* U_\Sigma(M) O \\ &= O' \tilde{H}_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M)) O \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(M'), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M'))(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t U_\Sigma(M') \bar{O}' \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}(M')\|) S^* O'^* U_\Sigma(M') \mathbf{b} \} \mathbf{s}(M') \\ &= \{ {}^t \mathbf{a}^t O^t U_\Sigma(M) {}^t O' \bar{O}' \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}(M)\|) S^* O'^* O' U_\Sigma(M) O \mathbf{b} \} O' \mathbf{s}(M) \\ &= \{ {}^t (O\mathbf{a})^t U_\Sigma(M) \bar{S} \Delta(0, \dots, 0, \|\mathbf{x}(M)\|) S^* U_\Sigma(M) O \mathbf{b} \} O' \mathbf{s}(M) \\ &= O' \beta_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}(M), be^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, U_\Sigma(M))(O\mathbf{a}, O\mathbf{b}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $i_n$  is equivariant with respect to the actions of  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1 (2).* The assertion follows from Theorem 5.5 and Proposition 6.3.  $\square$



§7. Holomorphic fold maps

Let  $J^2(N, P)$  be the complex 2-jet space of complex manifolds  $N$  and  $P$ . Let  $\pi_N$  and  $\pi_P$  be the projections mapping a jet to its source and target respectively. Let  $L^2(n)$  be the group of 2-jets of all biholomorphic map germs  $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$ . The map  $\pi_N \times \pi_P : J^2(N, P) \rightarrow N \times P$  gives the structure of a fibre bundle with fibre  $J^2(n, n)$  having the structure group  $L^2(n) \times L^2(n)$ . Let  $\text{Hom}(TN \oplus (TN \circ TN), TP)$  be the vector bundle over  $N \times P$  with structure group  $GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \times GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ , which is the union of all spaces  $\text{Hom}(T_x N \oplus (T_x N \circ T_x N), T_y P)$  for  $(x, y)$  of  $N \times P$ , where  $T_x N \circ T_x N$  denotes the 2-fold symmetric product of  $T_x N$ . If a basis of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is fixed, then we have the canonical  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $j : J^2(n, n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \oplus (\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n), \mathbf{C}^n)$  by considering Taylor expansions. It is clear that  $j$  is equivariant with respect to the actions of  $GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \times GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  on both spaces  $J^2(n, n)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \oplus (\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n), \mathbf{C}^n)$ . Since  $GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  is naturally a subgroup of  $L^2(n)$  and the quotient space  $L^2(n)/GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  is contractible, the structure group  $L^2(n) \times L^2(n)$  of the fibre bundle  $\pi_N \times \pi_P : J^2(N, P) \rightarrow N \times P$  is reduced to  $GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \times GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ . Hence it follows from [St, 12.6 Corollary] that we obtain a bundle map

$$J : J^2(N, P) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(TN \oplus (TN \circ TN), TP),$$

which is uniquely determined up to homotopy.

Let  $z = j_x^2 f$  with  $y = f(x)$  be a 2-jet in  $J_{x,y}^2(N, P)$ , which is the subset of  $J^2(N, P)$  consisting of all 2-jets of germs of  $(N, x)$  to  $(P, y)$ . Set  $\mathbf{D} = \pi_N^*(TN)$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \pi_P^*(TP)$ . Then there is a homomorphism  $d_1 : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  defined as follows. Let  $\mathbf{D}_z$  and  $\mathbf{P}_z$  be the fibres of  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  over  $z$  respectively. Then  $d_{1,z} : \mathbf{D}_z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_z$  refers to  $df : T_x N \rightarrow T_y P$ . We define  $\Sigma^i(N, P)$  to be the set of all jets  $z$  with  $\dim(\text{Ker}(d_{1,z})) = i$ . Then we have the subbundle  $\mathbf{K} = \text{Ker}(d_1)$  and the cokernel bundle  $\mathbf{Q} = \text{Cok}(d_1)$  over  $\Sigma^i(N, P)$ . In [Bo, p.50, Lemma 7.13 and Theorem 7.14] (see also [Ma, §2]) the second intrinsic derivative  $d_2 : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q})$  has been defined by using the second derivative of  $z$ . We define  $\Sigma^{10}(N, P)$  to be the set of all jets  $z$  such that  $\dim(\text{Ker}(d_{1,z})) = 1$  and  $d_{2,z} : \mathbf{K}_z \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_z, \mathbf{Q}_z)$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)$  be the union of the set of all regular jets and  $\Sigma^{10}(N, P)$ .

There is a canonical identification of  $J^k(n, n)$  with  $J_{0,0}^k(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^n)$ . In  $\text{Hom}(TN \oplus (TN \circ TN), TP)$  we can also define  $\Sigma^1(N, P)'$ ,  $\Sigma^{10}(N, P)'$  and  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)'$  associated with  $\Sigma^1$ ,  $\Sigma^{10}$  and  $\Omega^{10}$  in Section 1 respectively. The

two constructions above associated with  $\Sigma^1$ ,  $\Sigma^{10}$  and  $\Omega^{10}$  correspond with each other by  $J$ . Then  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)$  and  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)'$  are the subbundles of  $J^2(N, P)$  and  $\text{Hom}(TN \oplus (TN \circ TN), TP)$  respectively. Then  $J$  induces a bundle map of  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)$  to  $\Omega^{10}(N, P)'$ .

For an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ , let us recall that an  $\text{SU}(n)$ -structure of  $TM$  is a reduction  $(E, \varphi)$  of the structure group  $GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  to  $\text{SU}(n)$ , where  $E$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $\text{SU}(n)$ -vector bundle over  $M$  and  $\varphi : TM \rightarrow E$  is a bundle map covering  $\text{id}_M$  (see [St, 9.2]). Two  $\text{SU}(n)$ -structures  $(E_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(E_2, \varphi_2)$  of  $TM$  are equivalent if there exists an  $\text{SU}(n)$ -bundle map  $B : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that  $\varphi_2 = B \circ \varphi_1$ . Consider the spherical fibre space  $p' : \text{BSU}(n) \rightarrow \text{BU}(n)$  with fibre  $S^1$  induced from the inclusion of  $\text{SU}(n)$  into  $\text{U}(n)$ . Let  $c_{TM} : M \rightarrow \text{BU}(n)$  be the classifying map of  $TM$ . It is well known that equivalence classes of  $\text{SU}(n)$ -structures of  $TM$  correspond bijectively to homotopy classes of continuous maps  $c : M \rightarrow \text{BSU}(n)$  with  $p' \circ c = c_{TM}$ .

Suppose that  $\text{SU}(n)$ -structures  $(E, \varphi_N)$  and  $(F, \varphi_P)$  of  $TN$  and  $TP$  are given respectively. Then we can define the canonical bundle map

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}(TN \oplus (TN \circ TN), TP) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E \oplus (E \circ E), F)$$

by using  $\varphi_N$  and  $\varphi_P$ . The map  $\Phi \circ J$  induces a biholomorphic map between fibres  $J^2_{x,y}(N, P)$  and  $\text{Hom}(E_x \oplus (E_x \circ E_x), F_y)$  (however,  $\Phi$  may not be biholomorphic in general). On the other hand, we have the subbundle  $\text{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  of  $\text{Hom}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  associated with  $\text{SU}(n + 1)$ .

We shall apply the embedding  $i_n : \text{SU}(n + 1) \rightarrow \Omega^{10} (\subset \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^n \oplus (\mathbf{C}^n \circ \mathbf{C}^n), \mathbf{C}^n))$  to  $\text{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  and  $\text{Hom}(E \oplus (E \circ E), F)$ . Let  $i(N, P)'$  be the map of  $\text{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  to  $\Phi(\Omega^{10}(N, P)')$  associated with  $i_n$ . Then we obtain a subspace homeomorphic to  $\text{SU}(n + 1)$  denoted by  $\text{SU}_{x,y}(E, F)$  in  $\text{Hom}(E_x \oplus (E_x \circ E_x), F_y)$ . This space is well defined by Proposition 6.3. The space  $\text{SU}(E, F)$  is defined to be the union of all spaces  $\text{SU}_{x,y}(E, F)$  in  $\Phi(\Omega^{10}(N, P)')$ , where  $(x, y)$  varies all over  $N \times P$ . It becomes a subbundle with structure group  $\text{SU}(n) \times \text{SU}(n)$  coming from those of  $E$  and  $F$ . It is clear that the image of  $i(N, P)'$  coincides with  $\text{SU}(E, F)$  and is homotopy equivalent to  $\Phi(\Omega^{10}(N, P)')$  by Theorem 1 (2). Now we define the map  $i(N, P)$  to be

$$(\Phi \circ J | \Omega^{10}(N, P))^{-1} \circ i(N, P)' : \text{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P) \longrightarrow \Omega^{10}(N, P).$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* (1) The map  $i(N, P)$  gives a homotopy equivalence of fibre bundles, since  $\Phi \circ J | \Omega^{10}(N, P)$  is a bundle map and  $i(N, P)'$  is a fibre homotopy equivalence.

(2) Let  $i(N, P)^{-1} : \Omega^{10}(N, P) \rightarrow \text{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$  be the homotopy inverse of  $i(N, P)$ . For a holomorphic fold map  $f$ , the section  $j^2 f$  determines the homotopy class of a section  $i(N, P)^{-1} \circ j^2 f$  of  $\text{SU}(E \oplus \theta_N, F \oplus \theta_P)$ . This gives the homotopy class of an  $\text{SU}(n + 1)$ -bundle map  $\tilde{f} : E \oplus \theta_N \rightarrow F \oplus \theta_P$  covering  $f$  in Theorem 2 (2).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 3.* Since the first Chern classes of  $N$  and  $P$  vanish, there exist  $\text{SU}(n)$ -structures  $(E, \varphi_N)$  and  $(F, \varphi_P)$  of  $TN$  and  $TP$  respectively. Consider the spherical fibre space  $p : \text{BSU}(n) \rightarrow \text{BSU}(n + 1)$  with fibre  $S^{2n+1}$  induced from the inclusion of  $\text{SU}(n)$  into  $\text{SU}(n + 1)$ . Let  $c_N : N \rightarrow \text{BSU}(n)$  and  $c_P : P \rightarrow \text{BSU}(n)$  denote the classifying maps of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. Then  $p \circ c_N$  and  $p \circ c_P \circ f$  are the classifying maps of  $TN \oplus \theta_N^1$  and  $f^*(TP) \oplus \theta_N^1$  respectively. By Theorem 2 (2), there is a homotopy  $c : N \times I \rightarrow \text{BSU}(n + 1)$  between  $p \circ c_N$  and  $p \circ c_P \circ f$ . Let  $c^*(p) : c^*(\text{BSU}(n)) \rightarrow N \times I$  be the induced fibre space. By applying the obstruction theorem ([St]), the obstructions to extending the induced sections  $c^*(c_N)$  and  $c^*(c_P \circ f)$  to a section defined on  $N \times I$  lie in  $H^i(N \times I, N \times \{0, 1\}; \pi_{i-1}(S^{2n+1}))$  ( $i = 0, \dots, 2n + 1$ ), which vanish for all  $i$ . Hence, there exists a section  $c' : N \times I \rightarrow c^*(\text{BSU}(n))$  with  $c' | N \times 0 = c^*(c_N)$  and  $c' | N \times 1 = c^*(c_P \circ f)$ . This implies that there exists an  $\text{SU}(n)$ -bundle map of  $E$  to  $f^*(F)$ , which yields an  $\text{SU}(n)$ -bundle map  $B : E \rightarrow F$ . Thus we obtain a bundle map  $\varphi_P^{-1} \circ B \circ \varphi_N : TN \rightarrow TP$  covering  $f$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.1.* Theorem 2 does not hold for general complex manifolds. The holomorphic fold map  $f : \mathbf{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$  defined by  $f([z]) = [z^2]$  has the property that  $f^*(C_1(\mathbf{CP}^1)) = 2C_1(\mathbf{CP}^1)$ . Hence  $T(\mathbf{CP}^1)$  is not even stably equivalent to  $f^*(T(\mathbf{CP}^1))$ .

**EXAMPLE 7.2.** (1) We consider the following Hopf manifolds (cf. [K, Example 2.9]). Let  $G$  be the infinite cyclic group generated by the automorphism  $g$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  defined by  $g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\alpha_1 z_1, \dots, \alpha_n z_n)$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are constants with  $|\alpha_i| > 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then  $M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is defined to be the quotient manifold  $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}/G$ , which is diffeomorphic to  $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ . Hence, its first Chern class vanishes (cf. [H]). There is a holomorphic fold map  $f : M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) \rightarrow M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n^2)$  defined by  $f([z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n]) = [z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n^2]$ , where  $[*]$  refers to the

element represented by  $*$ . The singularity submanifold of  $f$  is identified with  $M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ , which consists of the points of the form  $[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0]$ .

(2) Given integers  $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 2$ , consider the Brieskorn polynomial  $p(z) = z_1^{a_1} + \dots + z_n^{a_n}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and the hypersurface  $p^{-1}(0)$ . Let  $r$  be a real number greater than 1 and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be  $n$  complex numbers with  $\alpha_i^{a_i} = r$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then the group  $G$  in (1) acts on  $p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Let  $B(a_1, \dots, a_n; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  denote the quotient space  $(p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/G$ . Since  $G$  is properly discontinuous (see [K, Theorem 2.2]), it is a compact complex  $n - 1$  dimensional submanifold of  $M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Let  $K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be the Brieskorn manifolds  $p^{-1}(0) \cap S_\varepsilon^{2n-1}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive real number (see [Br] and [Mi]). We can prove that  $B(a_1, \dots, a_n; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphic to  $S^1 \times K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . We give a sketch of the proof, which is analogous to the arguments found in [K, Example 2.9].

For a real number  $u$  and  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , define the function  $\mathcal{G}(u, z_1, \dots, z_n) = |z_1|^2 |\alpha_1|^{-2u} + \dots + |z_n|^2 |\alpha_n|^{-2u}$ . Since  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(u, z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{G}(u, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \infty$  and  $\mathcal{G}(u, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is strictly decreasing with respect to  $u$ , the equation  $\mathcal{G}(u, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \varepsilon^2$  induces the unique implicit function  $u(z) = u(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Consider the two  $C^\infty$ -maps,

$$\Phi : \mathbf{R} \times K(a_1, \dots, a_n) \longrightarrow p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

$$\Phi_1 : p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \times K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

defined by  $\Phi(u, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = (\alpha_1^u \zeta_1, \dots, \alpha_n^u \zeta_n)$  and  $\Phi_1(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (u(z), \alpha_1^{-u(z)} z_1, \dots, \alpha_n^{-u(z)} z_n)$  respectively. Since  $\mathcal{G}(u, \alpha_1^u \zeta_1, \dots, \alpha_n^u \zeta_n) = |\zeta_1|^2 + \dots + |\zeta_n|^2 = \varepsilon^2$ , they satisfy that  $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi(u, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = (u, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$  and  $\Phi \circ \Phi_1(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Furthermore, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & \mathbf{R} \times K(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ \downarrow g^m & & \downarrow \tilde{m} \\ p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & \mathbf{R} \times K(a_1, \dots, a_n), \end{array}$$

where  $g^m(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\alpha_1^m z_1, \dots, \alpha_n^m z_n)$  and  $\tilde{m}(u, \zeta) = (u + m, \zeta)$ . This is what we want.

Note that the first Chern class of  $B(a_1, \dots, a_n; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  vanishes at least for  $n \geq 4$  and  $n = 2$ , since  $K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is simply connected

for  $n \geq 4$  ([Mi, Theorem 5.2]) and  $\dim K(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$  for  $n = 2$ . Furthermore  $\text{grad}(p(z))$  is equal to  ${}^t(a_1 z_1^{a_1-1}, \dots, a_n z_n^{a_n-1})$ , which cannot be orthogonal to all of the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n-1}$ . Hence, for any point  $z$  of  $p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , there exists a number  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  such that  $(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n)$  is a local coordinate system both for  $p^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  near  $z$  and for  $B(a_1, \dots, a_n; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  near  $[z]$ .

Let  $\beta$  be a complex number with  $\beta^2 = \alpha_n$ . Then we have the fold map  $f: B(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 2a_n; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta) \rightarrow B(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n)$  defined by  $f([z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n]) = ([z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n^2])$ . The singularity submanifold of  $f$  is identified with  $B(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ , which consists of the points of the form  $[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0]$  with  $z_1^{a_1} + \dots + z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} = 0$ .

In a forthcoming paper we will deal with a complex analogy of the results in [An2, §4]. Let  $F_k^m$  denote the space consisting of all continuous maps  $(S^{k-1}, *) \rightarrow (S^{k-1}, *)$  of degree  $m$ , where  $S^{k-1}$  is the unit sphere of dimension  $k-1$  and  $*$  is the base point. Let  $F^m$  denote the space  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k^m$ . Let  $N$  and  $P$  be compact complex manifolds of dimension  $n$  and  $P$  be, in addition, connected. Then we will show that a holomorphic fold map  $f: N \rightarrow P$  of degree  $m$  determines a homotopy class of  $[P, F^m]$ , which depends only on a certain equivalence class of  $f$ .

## REFERENCES

- [A1] Y. Ando, *The homotopy type of the space consisting of regular jets and folding jets in  $J^2(n, n)$* , Japan. J. Math., **24** (1998), 169–181.
- [A2] ———, *Folding maps and the surgery theory on manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **53** (2001), 357–382.
- [Bo] J. M. Boardman, *Singularities of smooth mappings*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **33** (1967), 21–57.
- [Br] E. Brieskorn, *Beispiele zur Differentialtopologie von Singularitäten*, Invent. Math., **2** (1966), 1–14.
- [E] J. M. Eliashberg, *On singularities of folding types*, Math. USSR. Izv., **4** (1970), 1119–1134.
- [H] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [K] K. Kodaira, *Complex Manifolds and Deformation of Complex Structures*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [L] H. I. Levine, *Singularities of differentiable maps*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, **192** (1971), 1–89.
- [Ma] J. Mather, *Stability of  $C^\infty$  mappings: VI. The nice dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, **192** (1971), 207–253.

- [Mi] J. Milnor, *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [Sa] O. Saeki, *Notes on the topology of folds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **44** (1992), 551–566.
- [St] N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [W] H. Whitney, *Complex Analytic Varieties*, Addison-Wesley, 1972.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Yamaguchi University*  
*Yamaguchi, 753-8512*  
*Japan*  
`andoy@po.cc.yamaguchi-u.ac.jp`