

DISTRIBUTION OF UNITS OF REAL QUADRATIC NUMBER FIELDS

YEN-MEI J. CHEN, YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA AND JING YU¹

Dedicated to the seventieth birthday of Professor Tomio Kubota

Abstract. Let k be a real quadratic field and \mathfrak{o}_k , E the ring of integers and the group of units in k . Denoting by $E(\mathfrak{p})$ the subgroup represented by E of $(\mathfrak{o}_k/\mathfrak{p})^\times$ for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , we show that prime ideals \mathfrak{p} for which the order of $E(\mathfrak{p})$ is theoretically maximal have a positive density under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

§1. Statement of the result

Let k be a real quadratic number field with discriminant D_0 and fundamental unit ϵ (> 1), and let \mathfrak{o}_k and E be the ring of integers in k and the set of units in k , respectively. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of k we denote by $E(\mathfrak{p})$ the subgroup of the unit group $(\mathfrak{o}_k/\mathfrak{p})^\times$ of the residue class group modulo \mathfrak{p} consisting of classes represented by elements of E and set $I_p := [(\mathfrak{o}_k/\mathfrak{p})^\times : E(\mathfrak{p})]$, where p is the rational prime lying below \mathfrak{p} . It is obvious that I_p is independent of the choice of prime ideals lying above p . Set

$$\ell_p := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \text{ is decomposable or ramified in } k, \\ p-1, & \text{if } p \text{ remains prime in } k \text{ and } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \\ (p-1)/2, & \text{if } p \text{ remains prime in } k \text{ and } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1, \end{cases}$$

where $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}$ stands for the norm from k to the rational number field \mathbf{Q} . In [IK] we have shown that ℓ_p divides I_p and we observed that in each case the set of prime numbers satisfying $I_p = \ell_p$ has a natural density. K. Masima found that the values in tables there, are connected with the Artin constant $A := \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) = 0.3739558\dots$ and showed in [M] that the set of decomposable prime numbers satisfying $I_p = \ell_p$ has a density under the

Received October 7, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11R45

¹Research partially supported by National Science Council, Rep. of China.

Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) following [H]. In this paper, we treat the case where prime numbers remain prime in k following [H], [M]. However, instead of counting prime ideals which are completely decomposable, we use the Chebotarev Density Theorem by [LO], [S] under the GRH. Our main result is the following.

THEOREM. *Let $\mathbb{P}(x)$ be the set of odd prime numbers $p \leq x$ which remain prime in k and let $N(x)$ be the subset of $p \in \mathbb{P}(x)$ satisfying $I_p = \ell_p$. Then we have*

$$\#N(x) = c_0 \text{Li}(x) + O(x \log \log x / (\log x)^2)$$

for a positive constant c_0 under the GRH.

Here fields where the GRH is involved are $k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[t]{\epsilon})$ for square-free natural numbers n and $t = n$ or $2n$, where ζ_m stands for a primitive m -th root of unity. The function $\text{Li}(x)$ stands for $\int_2^x dt / \log t$ as usual.

§2. Algebraic preparation

Throughout this paper, we keep the notation in Section 1. The main results in this section are Theorems 1 and 2.

LEMMA 1. *Let n be a square-free integer (≥ 1) and suppose that $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and suppose $\sqrt[2n]{\epsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$. Set*

$$K := \begin{cases} k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[2n]{\epsilon}), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \\ k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1, \end{cases}$$

and let $N := [K : k(\zeta_{2n})]$ be the extension degree of fields. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &= [K : \mathbf{Q}] / 2\varphi(2n) \\ &= \begin{cases} n, & \text{either if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1 \text{ and } \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}), \\ & \text{or if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1 \text{ and } 2 \nmid n, \\ 2n, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1 \text{ and } \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(m)$ is the Euler function.

Proof. Let us recall that

for an integer m , and an element a in a field F ($ch(F) \neq 2$) which is not contained in F^p for every prime divisor p of m , a polynomial $x^m - a$ is irreducible either if $4 \nmid m$, or if $4 \mid m$ and $-4a \notin F^4$.

Let q be an odd prime or 4 and suppose $q|2n$. We show $\sqrt[q]{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n})$ first. Suppose $\sqrt[q]{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$; then $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[q]{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{Q}((\sqrt[q]{2n\epsilon})^{2n/q}) \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a subfield of an abelian field $k(\zeta_{2n})$. Hence any conjugate element of $\sqrt[q]{\epsilon}$ should be real. This is a contradiction. Hence $\sqrt[q]{\epsilon}$ is not in $k(\zeta_{2n})$.

Let us show that $x^{2n} - \epsilon$ is irreducible over $k(\zeta_{2n})$ if $\sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n})$. We have only to consider the case of $2|n$. Suppose that $2|n$, $\sqrt[4]{-4\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$ and $\sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n})$; then $\sqrt{-4\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$ and $\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$ hold. This contradicts that $\sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n})$ since $\sqrt{-1} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$ holds by $2|n$. Thus the above criterion yields that a polynomial $x^{2n} - \epsilon$ is irreducible over $k(\zeta_{2n})$ if $\sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n})$. Then we have $N = [k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[2n]{\epsilon}) : k(\zeta_{2n})] = 2n$. If, next $\sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$, then a polynomial $x^n - \sqrt{\epsilon}$ is irreducible over $k(\zeta_{2n})$ and then we have $N = [k(\zeta_{2n}, (\sqrt{\epsilon})^{1/n}) : k(\zeta_{2n})] = n$. Similarly, $x^n - \epsilon$ is irreducible over $k(\zeta_{2n})$ if $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$ and $2 \nmid n$. □

Remark. In Lemma 1, a rational prime p is unramified in K if $p \nmid 2nD_0$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let n, K, N be those in Lemma 1. Let $\eta \in \text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{2n})/\mathbf{Q})$ be an automorphism such that $\eta(\zeta_{2n}) = \zeta_{2n}^{-1}$ and η induces the non-trivial automorphism of $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{Q})$.*

(I) *The case of $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$. There exists an automorphism ρ of order 2 in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\rho = \eta$ on $k(\zeta_{2n})$ if and only if (i) $N = 2n$, (ii) $N = n$ is odd, or (iii) $N = n$ is even and $\eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon} = 1$. When ρ exists, it is in the center of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ and satisfies $\rho(\sqrt[2n]{\epsilon}) = \pm \sqrt[2n]{\epsilon}^{-1}$ and both signs \pm are possible if and only if N is even.*

(II) *The case of $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$. If n is odd, then there exists a unique automorphism ρ of order 2 in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\rho = \eta$ on $k(\zeta_{2n})$. It is in the center of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ and $\rho(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = -\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}^{-1}$. If n is even, then there is no such automorphism.*

Proof. Set $t = 2n$ or n according to $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$ or -1 , respectively. If $\rho \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ is an extension of η and $\rho^2 = \text{id.}$, then setting $\rho(\sqrt[t]{\epsilon}) = \delta \sqrt[t]{\epsilon}^{-1}$ for some $\delta \in K$, we have $\delta^{2n} = 1$ and hence δ is a $2n$ -th root of unity and hence $\rho(\delta) = \eta(\delta) = \delta^{-1}$ and $\sqrt[t]{\epsilon} = \rho^2(\sqrt[t]{\epsilon}) = \rho(\delta \sqrt[t]{\epsilon}^{-1}) = \delta^{-1}(\delta \sqrt[t]{\epsilon}^{-1})^{-1} = \delta^{-2} \sqrt[t]{\epsilon}$. Thus we have $\delta = \pm 1$.

Proof of the case (I).

Suppose $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$. Assume that either $N = 2n$, or $N = n$ is odd, first. We define $\xi_n = \pm 1$ by

$$\xi_n := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N = 2n, \\ \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon}, & \text{if } N = n, \end{cases}$$

where if $N = n$, $\sqrt[n]{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$ holds by virtue of Lemma 1, and η can act on $\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}$.

Let $\eta' \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ be an extension of $\eta \in \text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{2n})/\mathbf{Q})$; then we have

$$(\eta'({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon} \xi_n)^N = \eta(\epsilon)\epsilon = 1$$

and hence $\eta'({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_N^r \xi_n {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$ for some integer r and a primitive N -th root ζ_N of unity. Since $K = k(\zeta_{2n})({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon})$ and $N = [K : k(\zeta_{2n})]$, there exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K/k(\zeta_{2n}))$ such that $\alpha({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_N^r {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$. Thus an automorphism $\rho := \alpha\eta'$ is an extension of η and satisfies $\rho({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \xi_n {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$ and the order of ρ is equal to 2.

Secondly, we consider the case where $N = n$ is even. By Lemma 1, we have $\sqrt[n]{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$. Take any extension η' in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ of η . Since $(\eta'({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon})^{2n} = \eta(\epsilon)\epsilon = 1$, we have $\eta'((\sqrt[n]{\epsilon})^{1/n}) = \zeta_{2n}^t (\sqrt[n]{\epsilon})^{-1/n}$ for some integer t , and

$$\eta(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^{tn} \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}^{-1}.$$

If, hence $\eta(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon})\sqrt[n]{\epsilon} = 1$, then t is even and since $[K : k(\zeta_{2n})] = n$ and $\sqrt[n]{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$, we can take $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K/k(\zeta_{2n}))$ so that $\alpha({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^t {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$, and therefore $\rho := \alpha\eta'$ is what we want. If $\eta(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon})\sqrt[n]{\epsilon} = -1$, then t is odd and the order of η' is not equal 2, since $\eta'^2({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_n^{-t} {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon} \neq {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$. Thus we have completed the proof of the first assertion. Next, we show that if $\rho_{\pm} \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ is an extension of η such that $\rho_{\pm}({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \pm {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$, then ρ_{\pm} is in the center of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Take an element $u \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$; then $u({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^r {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$ or $\zeta_{2n}^r {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$ for some integer r . If $u({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^r {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$, then $\rho_{\pm}u({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \pm \zeta_{2n}^{-r} {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$ and $u\rho_{\pm}({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = u(\pm {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}) = \pm \zeta_{2n}^{-r} {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$ and hence $\rho_{\pm}u = u\rho_{\pm}$. The case of $u({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^r {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$ is similar and then ρ_{\pm} is in the center of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Lastly, suppose that N is even; then there is an automorphism $\kappa \in \text{Gal}(K/k(\zeta_{2n}))$ such that $\kappa({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = -{}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$ and hence both signs \pm are possible. Conversely, if there exist automorphisms $\rho_{\pm} \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\rho_{\pm} = \eta$ on $k(\zeta_{2n})$ and $\rho_{\pm}({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \pm {}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1}$, then $\rho_{-}\rho_{+}$ is the identity on $k(\zeta_{2n})$ and $\rho_{-}\rho_{+}({}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}) = -{}^{2n}\sqrt{\epsilon}$ and hence the order of $\rho_{-}\rho_{+} \in \text{Gal}(K/k(\zeta_{2n}))$ is two and it yields that $N = [K : k(\zeta_{2n})]$ is even. Thus we have completed the proof of the case (I).

Proof of the case (II).

Suppose $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$. First we consider the case where n is odd; Since $\eta(\epsilon) = -\epsilon^{-1}$, taking an extension $\eta' \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ of $\eta \in \text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{2n})/\mathbf{Q})$, we have $\eta'(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = -\zeta_n^r \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}^{-1}$ for some integer r . There exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K/k(\zeta_{2n}))$ such that $\alpha(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = \zeta_n^r \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}$ since $[K : k(\zeta_{2n})] = n$ is odd.

We have only to set $\rho := \alpha\eta'$. ρ is in the center of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ as above. If there are two automorphisms ρ_{\pm} of order 2 such that ρ_{\pm} is η on $k(\zeta_{2n})$, then $\rho_{\pm}(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = \pm \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}$ should hold as at the beginning of the proof. As in the proof of the case (I), it implies the extension degree $[K : k(\zeta_{2n})] = n$ is even, which is a contradiction. Lastly suppose that n is even and there is an automorphism ρ of order 2 in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\rho = \eta$ on $k(\zeta_{2n})$; then $\rho(\epsilon) = -\epsilon^{-1}$ implies $\rho(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^r \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}^{-1}$ for an odd integer r . Then $\sqrt[n]{\epsilon} = \rho^2(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon}) = \zeta_{2n}^{-r} (\zeta_{2n}^r \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}^{-1})^{-1} = \zeta_{2n}^{-2r} \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}$ implies $\zeta_n^r = \zeta_{2n}^{2r} = 1$, which is a contradiction, since $2|n$ and $2 \nmid r$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$ and let p be an odd prime which remains in k . Then for a square-free natural number n , $n(p-1)|I_p$ holds if and only if $p+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ and each prime ideal of $k(\zeta_{2n})$ lying above p is completely decomposable at $K = k(\zeta_{2n})(\sqrt[2n]{\epsilon})$.*

Proof. First note that $p-1$ divides I_p as in the introduction. Let us show the “only if” part. Suppose that $n(p-1)|I_p$ and set $t = I_p/n(p-1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $p^2 - 1 = \sharp(\mathfrak{o}/(p))^\times = I_p \cdot \sharp E((p))$, we have $(p+1)/n = (p^2 - 1)/n(p-1) = I_p \cdot \sharp E((p))/n(p-1) = t \sharp E((p)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ since $\sharp E((p)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by $\pm 1 \in E((p))$. Hence we have $p+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$. Next we show the following

CLAIM. *The relative degree of p at $k(\zeta_{2n})/\mathbf{Q}$ is 2.*

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of $k(\zeta_{2n})$ lying above p . In the local field $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the closure of k is an unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of degree 2 and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2n}$ implies the closure of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ has the same property, and the uniqueness of the unramified extension of degree 2 over $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implies that $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unramified extension of degree 2 over $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_k$ be a generator of $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$ and r the order of ϵ in $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$, and define an integer u with $(u, r) = 1$ by $\epsilon \equiv \alpha^{u(p^2-1)/r} \pmod{(p)}$. Since $p^2 - 1 = I_p \cdot \sharp E((p)) \equiv 0 \pmod{n(p-1) \cdot r} \equiv 0 \pmod{2nr}$, we have $p^2 - 1 = 2nrw$ for some integer w . Then $\epsilon \equiv (\alpha^{uw})^{2n} \pmod{(p)}$ implies that the equation $x^{2n} = \epsilon$ has a solution in the local field $k_{(p)}$ by successive approximation by Newton. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of $k(\zeta_{2n})$ lying above p ; then $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong k_{(p)}$ follows from the Claim and hence the equation $x^{2n} = \epsilon$ has a solution in $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$, hence \mathfrak{p} is completely decomposable in $k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[2n]{\epsilon})$.

Next let us show the “if” part. Let $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{p} (= \mathfrak{P} \cap k(\zeta_{2n}))$ be prime ideals of $k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[2n]{\epsilon})$, $k(\zeta_{2n})$ lying above p , respectively. By the assumption, the relative degree of $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$ is one. Hence the equation $x^{2n} - \epsilon = 0$ is soluble in the local field $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $p+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$, p is unramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and the closure of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ in $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is \mathbf{Q}_p or its unramified quadratic extension. Thus $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unramified quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q}_p and hence $x^{2n} = \epsilon$ is soluble in $k_{(p)} \cong k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence there exist a primitive root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_k$ and an integer $u \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$(\alpha^u)^{2n} \equiv \epsilon \pmod{(p)}.$$

(i) The case where $E((p)) = \langle \pm 1, \epsilon \pmod{(p)} \rangle$ but $E((p)) \neq \langle \epsilon \pmod{(p)} \rangle$.

We note that the assumption yields $\epsilon^t \not\equiv -1 \pmod{(p)}$ for any integer t . Let r be the order of $\epsilon \pmod{(p)}$ in $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$. If r is even, $(\epsilon^{r/2})^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{(p)}$ and hence $\epsilon^{r/2} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{(p)}$. Since r is the order of $\epsilon \pmod{(p)}$, we have $\epsilon^{r/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{(p)}$. It contradicts the assumption. Hence r is odd and $\sharp E((p)) = 2r$ implies $p^2 - 1 = I_p \cdot 2r$. Now we have $1 \equiv \epsilon^r \equiv (\alpha^u)^{2nr} \pmod{(p)}$ and then we have $2nr u \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$ and $2ntu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$ for any proper divisor t of r , since r is the order of $\langle \epsilon \pmod{(p)} \rangle$. Set $2nr u = w(p^2 - 1)$ for an integer w . Then we have

$$(r, w) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ru = w(p - 1) \frac{p + 1}{2n}.$$

Let us show $(r, p - 1) = 1$. If a prime number q divides $(r, p - 1)$, then q is odd, since r is odd. On the other hand, $\mathbf{Z} \ni I_p/(p - 1) = (p + 1)/2r$ and $q|r$ imply $q|(p + 1)$. Therefore q divides $p \pm 1$ and hence $q = 2$, which is the contradiction and hence $(r, p - 1) = 1$. Thus r divides $(p + 1)/2n$ and hence $I_p/n(p - 1) = 2rI_p/2rn(p - 1) = (p^2 - 1)/2rn(p - 1) = (p + 1)/2rn \in \mathbf{Z}$ which yields that $n(p - 1)$ divides I_p .

(ii) The case where $E((p)) = \langle \epsilon \pmod{(p)} \rangle$.

Since $1 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{(p)}$, the order r of $\epsilon \pmod{(p)}$ in $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$ is even. As in the case (i), we have $2nur \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$ and for any proper divisor t , we have $2nut \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$. Set $2nur = w(p^2 - 1)$ ($w \in \mathbf{Z}$); then $(r, w) = 1$ follows and if a prime number q divides $(r, p - 1)$, then $q|r$ and

$$\frac{p + 1}{r} = \frac{I_p}{p - 1} \in \mathbf{Z}$$

imply $q|(p + 1)$ and hence $q = 2$. Set $r = 2^t \cdot r'$ ($r' : \text{odd}$); then we have shown $(r', p - 1) = 1$ and $2^t r' u = w(p - 1) \frac{p+1}{2n}$ implies

$$r' \mid \frac{p + 1}{2n}$$

by $(r, w) = 1$ and $(r', p - 1) = 1$. Let us show $nr|(p + 1)$. If n is odd, then $(p + 1)/r \in \mathbf{Z}$ implies $2^t|(p + 1)$ and hence $(p + 1)/2nr' \in \mathbf{Z}$ implies $r = 2^t r' \mid \frac{p+1}{n}$. If n is even, then $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and hence $(p - 1)/2$ is odd. Since $2^t r' u = w \frac{p-1}{2} \frac{p+1}{n}$ and $(r, w \frac{p-1}{2}) = 1$, we have $r \mid \frac{p+1}{n}$. Thus we have $I_p = (p^2 - 1)/r = \frac{p+1}{nr} \cdot (p - 1)n \equiv 0 \pmod{(p - 1)n}$ and hence we have completed the proof. □

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$ and p is an odd prime number which remains prime in k . Let n be a square-free integer (≥ 1). Then $n(p - 1)|I_p$ holds if and only if $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and for a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of $K = k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[n]{\epsilon})$ lying above p , the Frobenius automorphism $\rho_0 = \left(\frac{K/\mathbf{Q}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$ is equal to an automorphism ρ given in Proposition 1.*

Proof. Suppose $n(p - 1)|I_p$; then $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ follows from Lemma 2 and hence ρ_0 is the complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and then ρ_0 fixes each element in k if $k \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$. On the other hand, p remains prime in k by the assumption and hence ρ_0 is a non-trivial automorphism of k , which is a contradiction. Thus we have $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$. By Lemma 2, the relative degree of \mathfrak{P} is two and so $\rho_0^2 = \text{id.}$ and hence we have $\rho_0 = \rho$ given in Proposition 1.

Conversely suppose that $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and ρ_0 is an automorphism of order 2 and it is equal to η in Proposition 1 on $k(\zeta_{2n})$. Then ρ_0 is the complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and then $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$. Since the order of ρ_0 is two, we have $[K_{\mathfrak{P}} : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 2$ and $[k_{(p)} : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 2$, which yields that the relative degree of \mathfrak{P} at K/k and hence at $K/k(\zeta_{2n})$ is one. Now Lemma 2 implies $n(p - 1)|I_p$. □

LEMMA 3. *Suppose $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$ and let p be an odd prime which remains prime in k . Then for a square-free natural number n , $n \frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$ holds if and only if $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ and each prime ideal of $k(\zeta_{2n})$ lying above p is completely decomposable at $K = k(\zeta_{2n})(\sqrt[n]{\epsilon})$.*

Proof. We note that $\frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$ as in the introduction ([IK]). Set $r := \#E((p))$. We claim $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Because of $\epsilon^{p+1} \equiv -1 \pmod{(p)}$ ([IK]),

$E((p))$ is generated by $\epsilon \bmod (p)$. $\pm 1 \in E((p))$ implies $r \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. Suppose that $r = 2t$ for some odd integer t ; then we have $\epsilon^{(p+1)t} \equiv -1 \pmod (p)$ and on the other hand $(p+1)t \equiv 0 \pmod{2t}$ implies $\epsilon^{(p+1)t} \equiv 1 \pmod (p)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and note $p^2 - 1 = I_p \cdot r$.

First suppose $n \frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$ and set $I_p = n \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot t$ for an integer t . Then we have $(p+1)/n = (p^2 - 1)/n(p-1) = I_p r/n(p-1) = tr/2 \in 2\mathbf{Z}$ and then

$$p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}.$$

Since the order of ϵ in $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$ is r , we can take a generator $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_k$ of $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$ so that $\epsilon \equiv \alpha^{u(p^2-1)/r} \pmod (p)$ for an integer u with $(u, r) = 1$. Then we have $\epsilon \equiv (\alpha^{u(p^2-1)/nr})^n \pmod (p)$, where $(p^2 - 1)/nr = I_p/n$ is an integer. Hence $x^n \equiv \epsilon \pmod (p)$ is soluble in \mathfrak{o}_k and $x^n = \epsilon$ has a solution in the local field $k_{(p)}$. Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime ideal of $K = k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[n]{\epsilon})$ lying above p and set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap k(\zeta_{2n})$; then $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ implies that the closure of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ in $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an unramified extension of degree 2, at most over \mathbf{Q}_p and therefore $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}} = k_{(p)}$. Hence the solubility of the equation $x^n = \epsilon$ in $k_{(p)} = k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ yields that \mathfrak{p} is completely decomposable at $K/k(\zeta_{2n})$.

Conversely, we suppose $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ and each prime ideal of $k(\zeta_{2n})$ lying above p is completely decomposable at K . Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime ideal of K lying above p , and set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap k(\zeta_{2n})$. Since $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2n}$, the closure of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ in $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is an unramified extension of degree 2 over \mathbf{Q}_p and so is the closure of k . Hence by the assumption, $K_{\mathfrak{P}} = k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ holds where $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap k(\zeta_{2n})$, and the equation $x^n = \epsilon$ is completely soluble over $k(\zeta_{2n})_{\mathfrak{p}} = k_{(p)}$. Take a generator $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_k$ of $(\mathfrak{o}_k/(p))^\times$ and an integer u such that $(\alpha^u)^n \equiv \epsilon \pmod (p)$. $1 \equiv \epsilon^r \equiv \alpha^{run} \pmod (p)$ implies $run \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$ and for any proper divisor t of r , $tun \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$ holds from the definition of r . Set $run = w(p^2 - 1)$ ($w \in \mathbf{Z}$); then $(r, w) = 1$ holds. If a prime number q divides $(r, p - 1)$, then $\frac{2(p+1)}{r} = \frac{I_p}{(p-1)/2} \in \mathbf{Z}$ implies $q|2(p+1)$ by $q|r$ and hence $q = 2$ because of $q|(p-1)$. Set $r = 2^t \cdot r'$ ($r' : \text{odd}$); then we have shown $(r', p-1) = 1$ and then $ru = 2^t \cdot r'u = w(p-1) \frac{p+1}{nr}$ and $(r, w) = 1 = (r', p-1)$ imply $(r', w(p-1)) = 1$ and $2^t u = w(p-1) \frac{p+1}{nr'}$ and

$$nr' | (p+1).$$

To show $n \frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$, i.e., $\frac{I_p}{n(p-1)/2} = \frac{2(p+1)}{nr} \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have only to show $\text{ord}_2 \frac{2(p+1)}{nr} \geq 0$, where $a := \text{ord}_2(b)$ is defined by $2^a || b$. At the beginning of the proof, we showed $4|r$ and then $t \geq 2$. $(r, w) = 1$ implies that w is odd.

If n is odd, then $\frac{2(p+1)}{r} = \frac{I_p}{(p-1)/2} \in \mathbf{Z}$ yields $\text{ord}_2 \frac{2(p+1)}{nr} = \text{ord}_2 \frac{2(p+1)}{r} \geq 0$.
 If n is even, then $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ implies $0 \leq \text{ord}_2 u = \text{ord}_2 \frac{w(p^2-1)}{nr} = \text{ord}_2 \frac{p+1}{nr} + \text{ord}_2(p-1) = \text{ord}_2 \frac{p+1}{nr} + 1 = \text{ord}_2 \frac{2(p+1)}{nr}$. Thus we have shown $\text{ord}_2 \frac{2(p+1)}{nr} \geq 0$ and then $\frac{I_p}{n(p-1)/2} = \frac{2(p+1)}{nr}$ is an integer and hence we have completed the proof of Lemma 3. \square

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$ and p is an odd prime number which remains prime in k . Let n be a square-free natural number. Then $n \frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$ holds if and only if $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$, n is odd and for each prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of $K = k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[n]{\epsilon})$ lying above p , the Frobenius automorphism $\rho_0 = \left(\frac{K/\mathbf{Q}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$ is equal to ρ given in Proposition 1.*

Proof. Suppose $n \frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$; then by Lemma 3, ρ_0 induces the complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and the order of ρ_0 is two, since $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a quadratic unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . If $k \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$, then ρ_0 induces the trivial automorphism on k , which is a contradiction. Hence $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ holds and then Proposition 1 implies that n is odd and the uniqueness implies $\rho_0 = \rho$.

Now let us show the converse. Since $\rho_0 = \rho$ and ρ induces the complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$, $p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ holds. Since the order of $\rho_0 = 2$, and the closure of k in $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a quadratic unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_p , the relative degree of \mathfrak{P} at K/k and hence $K/k(\zeta_{2n})$ is one and then Lemma 3 implies $n \frac{p-1}{2} | I_p$. \square

§3. Analytic part of the proof of the theorem

Hereafter q denotes a prime number and p denotes an odd prime number which remains prime in the real quadratic field k . We set $\tilde{\ell}_p := I_p/\ell_p$, which is an integer ([IK]). As in the Section 1, we denote by $\mathbb{P}(x)$ the set of odd prime numbers $p \leq x$ which remains prime in k . Set for $x \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} N(x) &:= \#\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid \tilde{\ell}_p = 1\}, \\ N(x, \eta) &:= \#\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid q \nmid \tilde{\ell}_p \text{ for } \forall q \leq \eta\}, \\ M(x, \eta_1, \eta_2) &:= \#\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid q \mid \tilde{\ell}_p \text{ for } \eta_1 < \exists q \leq \eta_2\}, \\ P(x, n) &:= \#\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid n \mid \tilde{\ell}_p\}, \\ \xi_1 &:= 6^{-1} \log x, \quad \xi_2 := \sqrt{x}(\log x)^{-2}, \quad \xi_3 := \sqrt{x} \log x. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see $N(x, \xi_1) - M(x, \xi_1, \xi_2) - M(x, \xi_2, \xi_3) - M(x, \xi_3, x-1) \leq N(x) = N(x, x-1) \leq N(x, \xi_1)$.

LEMMA 1. $M(x, \xi_2, \xi_3) = O((x \log(\log x))/(\log x)^2)$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\ell}_p = I_p/\ell_p$ divides $2I_p/(p-1)$ and I_p divides p^2-1 , $\tilde{\ell}_p$ divides $2(p+1)$. For a prime number q with $\xi_2 < q \leq \xi_3$, $q|\tilde{\ell}_p$ implies $q|2(p+1)$ and then $p \equiv -1 \pmod q$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, \xi_2, \xi_3) &\leq \sum_{\xi_2 < q \leq \xi_3} \#\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid p \equiv -1 \pmod q\} \\ &= O((x \log(\log x))/(\log x)^2), \end{aligned}$$

as is shown in [H]. □

LEMMA 2. $M(x, \xi_3, x-1) = O(x(\log x)^{-2})$.

Proof. For a prime number $p \in \mathbb{P}(x)$, suppose that a prime number q with $\xi_3 < q \leq x-1$ satisfies $q|\tilde{\ell}_p$. Set

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\delta\ell_p = p-1$ and $\frac{2(p+1)}{q\#\mathbb{E}((p))} = \frac{2(p+1)I_p}{q\#\mathbb{E}((p))I_p} = \frac{2(p+1)\ell_p\tilde{\ell}_p}{q(p^2-1)} = \frac{2\tilde{\ell}_p}{\delta q}$ is an integer. Hence $\#\mathbb{E}((p))$ divides $2(p+1)/q$, where we note that $2(p+1)/q$ is an even integer. Thus $\epsilon^{2(p+1)/q} \equiv 1 \pmod (p)$ holds and then $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon^{2(p+1)/q} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Here $2(p+1)/q < 2(x+1)/\sqrt{x} \log x \ll \sqrt{x}/\log x$. Thus p^2 divides $\prod_{m \ll \sqrt{x}/\log x, m:\text{even}} N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon^m - 1)$. Denote by ϵ_1 the conjugate of ϵ ; then $|\epsilon_1| < 1$ and for an even integer m , we have $|N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon^m - 1)| = |\epsilon^m - 1||\epsilon_1^m - 1| < \epsilon^m - 1 < \epsilon^m$, and then we have

$$2^{2M(x, \xi_3, x-1)} \leq \prod_p p^2 \leq \prod_{m \ll \sqrt{x}/\log x} \epsilon^m,$$

where p runs over the set which defines $M(x, \xi_3, x-1)$. Therefore we have $M(x, \xi_3, x-1) \ll \sum_{m < \sqrt{x}/\log x} m \ll x/(\log x)^2$. □

LEMMA 3. $M(x, \xi_1, \xi_2) \leq \sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} P(x, q)$.

Proof. It is obvious. □

LEMMA 4. Set $Q(\xi_1) := \prod_{q \leq \xi_1} q$; then we have $N(x, \xi_1) = \sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} \mu(n)P(x, n)$, where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function.

Proof. $N(x, \xi_1)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid (Q(\xi_1), \tilde{\ell}_p) = 1\} &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}(x)} \sum_{n \mid (Q(\xi_1), \tilde{\ell}_p)} \mu(n) \\ &= \sum_{n \mid Q(\xi_1)} \mu(n) \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}(x), n \mid \tilde{\ell}_p} 1 = \sum_{n \mid Q(\xi_1)} \mu(n) P(x, n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$N(x) = \sum_{n \mid Q(\xi_1)} \mu(n) P(x, n) + O\left(\sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} P(x, q)\right) + O(x \log(\log x) / (\log x)^2).$$

Now let n be a square-free natural number, and set

$$K_n := \begin{cases} k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[2n]{\epsilon}), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \\ k(\zeta_{2n}, \sqrt[n]{\epsilon}), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then from Lemma 1 in the Section 2 follows that under the condition $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$

$$[K_n : \mathbf{Q}] = \begin{cases} 4n\varphi(2n), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1 \text{ and } \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n}), \\ 2n\varphi(2n), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1 \text{ and } \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}), \\ 2n\varphi(n), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1 \text{ and } 2 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Let C be a union of conjugacy classes consisting of automorphisms ρ in $\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbf{Q})$ in Proposition 1 of the Section 2; then we have under the condition $k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$

$$\#(C) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \text{ and} \\ & \text{either } 2 \mid n, \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}) \text{ and } \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon} = 1, \text{ or } \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n}), \\ 1, & \text{either if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, 2 \nmid n, \text{ and } \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}), \\ & \text{or if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1 \text{ and } 2 \nmid n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where η is an automorphism such that it is the complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$ and is the non-trivial automorphism on k , and note that the equality $[K_n : k(\zeta_{2n})] = n$ implies $\sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n})$ by Lemma 1 in the Section 2 when $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$. Moreover Theorems 1 and 2 imply that

$$P(x, n) = \begin{cases} \#\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), \left(\frac{K_n/\mathbf{Q}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right) \in C\right\}, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \\ \#\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}(x) \mid k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), n:\text{odd}, \left(\frac{K_n/\mathbf{Q}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right) \in C\right\}, & \\ & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1, \end{cases}$$

where \mathfrak{P} is any prime ideal of K_n lying above a prime ideal p . We apply the Chebotarev density theorem under the GRH ([LO], Théorème 4 in [S]):

CHEBOTAREV DENSITY THEOREM. *Suppose that the GRH holds for K_n . Let C be the union of conjugacy classes of $\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbf{Q})$ defined above. Denote by $\pi_C(x, K_n)$ the number of unramified prime number p such that $\left(\frac{K_n/\mathbf{Q}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right) \in C$ and $p \leq x$, where \mathfrak{P} is a prime ideal of K_n lying above p . Then we have*

$$\left| \pi_C(x, K_n) - \frac{\#(C)}{[K_n : \mathbf{Q}]} \text{Li}(x) \right| < c \left(\frac{\#(C)}{[K_n : \mathbf{Q}]} \sqrt{x} \log(dK_n x^{[K_n:\mathbf{Q}]}) \right),$$

where c is an absolute constant and dK_n stands for the absolute discriminant of K_n .

Hereafter we apply this theorem assuming the GRH. Now set $d(n) := \#(C)[K_n : \mathbf{Q}]^{-1}$; then we have

$$d(n) = \begin{cases} (2n\varphi(n))^{-1}, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1, n \text{ is odd and } k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n), \\ (n\varphi(2n))^{-1}, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, n \text{ is even, } \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}), \\ & \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon} = 1 \text{ and } k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), \\ (2n\varphi(n))^{-1}, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, n \text{ is odd, } \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}) \text{ and} \\ & k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), \\ (2n\varphi(2n))^{-1}, & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n}) \text{ and } k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $d(n) = 0$ if $k \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$. By the theory of algebraic number fields, it is easy to see

$$dK_n | (2n)^{8n\varphi(2n)} D_0^{2n\varphi(2n)},$$

where D_0 is the discriminant of k as in the introduction. Theorems 1 and 2 in the Section 2 imply $\pi_C(x, K_n) = P(x, n)$ under the following condition $c(n)$:

$$\begin{cases} k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1, \\ n : \text{odd and } k \not\subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n}), & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Note that if the condition $c(n)$ is not satisfied, then $d(n) = 0$ holds. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(x) &= \sum_{\substack{n|Q(\xi_1) \\ c(n)}} \mu(n)\pi_C(x, K_n) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2 \\ c(q)}} \pi_C(x, K_q)\right) \\ &\quad + O(x \log(\log x)/(\log x)^2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. $\sum_{\substack{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2 \\ c(q)}} \pi_C(x, K_q) = O(x \log(\log x) / (\log x)^2)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2 \\ c(q)}} \pi_C(x, K_q) \\ &= \sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} d(q) \text{Li}(x) + O\left(\sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} d(q) \sqrt{x} \log(dK_q x^{[K_q : \mathbf{Q}]}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} d(q) \ll \sum_{q > 6^{-1} \log x} q^{-2} \ll 1 / \log x,$$

and

$$\sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} d(q) \log dK_q \ll \sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} (\log q + 1) \ll \sqrt{x} (\log x)^{-2} < \sqrt{x} \frac{\log \log x}{(\log x)^2},$$

and lastly

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\xi_1 < q \leq \xi_2} d(q) [K_q : \mathbf{Q}] \log x &\ll \pi(\xi_2) \log x \ll \sqrt{x} (\log x)^{-2} \\ &\ll \sqrt{x} \log \log x / (\log x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From these follows the assertion. □

LEMMA 6.

$$\sum_{\substack{n|Q(\xi_1) \\ c(n)}} \mu(n) \pi_C(x, K_n) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) d(n) \right) \text{Li}(x) + O(x \log \log x / (\log x)^2).$$

Proof. By using the Chebotarev density theorem under the GRH, we have

the left-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} \mu(n) \{ d(n) \text{Li}(x) + O(d(n) \sqrt{x} \log(dK_n x^{[K_n : \mathbf{Q}]}) \} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} \mu(n) d(n) \right) \text{Li}(x) + \sqrt{x} \log x O\left(\sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} d(n) [K_n : \mathbf{Q}] \right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{x} O\left(\sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} d(n) \log dK_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is equal to

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(n)d(n) + O\left(\sum_n^* (n\varphi(n))^{-1}\right),$$

where \sum_n^* means that the sum on n which has a prime divisor larger than $\xi_1 = 6^{-1} \log x$, and it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n^* (n\varphi(n))^{-1} &< \sum_{n > \xi_1} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \\ &\ll \sum_{n > \xi_1} n^{-2} \sum_{d|n} 1/d = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 1/d \sum_{m > \xi_1/d}^{\infty} (md)^{-2} \\ &\ll \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 1/d^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\xi_1/d} \ll 1/\xi_1 \ll 1/\log x. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\log Q(\xi_1)/(6^{-1} \log x) = \sum_{q \leq 6^{-1} \log x} \log q/(6^{-1} \log x) < 1.1$ and then $Q(\xi_1) < x^{1.1/6}$ if x is large. The second term is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{x} \log x O\left(\sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} 1\right) &= \sqrt{x} \log x O(Q(\xi_1)^\delta) \\ &= O(x \log \log x / (\log x)^2) \end{aligned}$$

where δ is an arbitrary small positive number. The third term is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{x} O\left(\sum_{n|Q(\xi_1)} \left(\frac{n\varphi(n)}{n\varphi(n)} \log n + 1\right)\right) &= \sqrt{x} O\left(\sum_{n < Q(\xi_1)} (\log n + 1)\right) \\ &= O(\sqrt{x} Q(\xi_1) \log Q(\xi_1)) = \sqrt{x} O(x^{1.1/6} \log x^{1.1/6}) \\ &= O(x \log \log x / (\log x)^2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$N(x) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)d(n)\right) \text{Li}(x) + O(x \log(\log x) / (\log x)^2)$$

and we have only to show that the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)d(n)$ is a positive constant to complete the proof of the main theorem. The absolute convergence follows from $\varphi(n) \gg n/\log \log n$ and then $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)d(n)| \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\log \log n)/n^2 < \infty$. Set $c_0 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)d(n)$.

(I) The case of $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$.

In this case, we have $c_0 = \sum_{n=1, n:\text{odd}, D_0 \nmid n}^{\infty} \mu(n)d(n)$, where D_0 is the discriminant of k .

(I.1) The case of $D_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

We have

$$c_0 = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n:\text{odd}}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{2n\varphi(n)} = \prod_q \left(1 - \frac{1}{q(q-1)}\right) = A > 0,$$

where q runs over the set of all prime numbers.

(I.2) The case of $D_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \sum_{\substack{n=1, n:\text{odd} \\ D_0 \nmid n}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{2n\varphi(n)} = \sum_{n=1, n:\text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{2n\varphi(n)} - \sum_{\substack{n=1, n:\text{odd} \\ D_0 \mid n}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{2n\varphi(n)} \\ &= A - \sum_{\substack{m=1, m:\text{odd} \\ (m, D_0)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(D_0)\mu(m)}{2D_0m\varphi(D_0m)} \\ &= A - \frac{\mu(D_0)}{2D_0\varphi(D_0)} \sum_{\substack{m=1, m:\text{odd} \\ (m, D_0)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} \\ &= A - \frac{\mu(D_0)}{2D_0\varphi(D_0)} \prod_{q \nmid 2D_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q(q-1)}\right) \\ &= A \left\{1 - \frac{\mu(D_0)}{2D_0\varphi(D_0)} \prod_{q \mid 2D_0} \frac{q(q-1)}{q^2 - q - 1}\right\} \\ &= A \left(1 - \mu(D_0) \prod_{q \mid D_0} \frac{1}{q^2 - q - 1}\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(II) The case of $N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$.

We note some facts.

- Suppose that m is odd square-free. $k \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2m}) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$ if and only if $D_0 \mid m$. $k \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4m})$ if and only if $D_0 \mid 4m$.
- $\sum_{m=1, m:\text{odd}}^{\infty} \mu(m)/m\varphi(m) = 2A$.

- $\sum_{m:\text{odd, square-free}} 1/m\varphi(m) = \prod_{2 \nmid p} (1 + \frac{1}{p(p-1)}) = 1.2957 \dots$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)d(n) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n:\text{even} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}), \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon}=1 \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n\varphi(2n)} + \sum_{\substack{n:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2n}) \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})}} \frac{\mu(n)}{2n\varphi(n)} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2n}) \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2n})}} \frac{\mu(n)}{2n\varphi(2n)} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{4m}), \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon}=1 \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{2m}) \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2m})}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{2m}) \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2m})}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{4m}) \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{4m}), \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon})\sqrt{\epsilon}=1 \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{4m}) \\ k \nmid \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The absolute value of the sum of the second and third terms is less than

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd, square-free} \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{1}{m\varphi(m)} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd, square-free} \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{1}{m\varphi(m)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd, square-free} \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \in k(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{1}{m\varphi(m)} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd, square-free} \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_{4m})}} \frac{1}{m\varphi(m)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd, square-free}}} \frac{1}{m\varphi(m)} - \frac{1}{8} \\
 &= 0.1989 \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k(\zeta_4)$.

If $4|D_0$, then the first term is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m:\text{odd}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} = A = 0.3739\dots$$

and hence $c_0 > 0$ holds.

If D_0 is odd, then the first term is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \\ D_0 \nmid m}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m:\text{odd}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd} \\ D_0|m}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m\varphi(m)} \\ &= A - \frac{\mu(D_0)}{2D_0\varphi(D_0)} \sum_{\substack{n:\text{odd} \\ (n,D_0)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n\varphi(n)} \\ &= A - \frac{\mu(D_0)}{2D_0\varphi(D_0)} \prod_{q \nmid 2D_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q(q-1)}\right) \\ &= A \left(1 - \frac{\mu(D_0)}{2D_0\varphi(D_0)} \prod_{q|2D_0} \frac{q(q-1)}{q^2 - q - 1}\right) \\ &= A \left(1 - \mu(D_0) \prod_{q|2D_0} \frac{1}{q^2 - q - 1}\right) \\ &\geq A(1 - 1/19) = 0.3542\dots, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the fact that D_0 is divisible by a prime ≥ 5 and hence we have $c_0 > 0$. Thus we have completed the proof of the main theorem.

REFERENCES

- [H] C. Hooley, *On Artin's conjecture*, J. reine angew. Math., **225** (1967), 209–220.
- [IK] M. Ishikawa and Y. Kitaoka, *On the distribution of units modulo prime ideals in real quadratic fields*, J. reine angew. Math., **494** (1998), 65–72.
- [LO] J. C. Lagarias and A. M. Odlyzko, *Effective version of the Chebotarev density theorem*, Algebraic Number Fields (Fröhlich, ed.), Academic Press (1977), 409–464.
- [M] K. Masima, *On the distribution of units in the residue class field of real quadratic fields and Artin's conjecture (in Japanese)*, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1026** (1998), 156–166.
- [S] J. P. Serre, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, I.H.E.S., **54** (1981), 323–401.

Yen-Mei J. Chen
Dept. of Math.
Tamkang University
Tamshui, Taipei
Taiwan
ymjchen@mail.tku.edu.tw

Yoshiyuki Kitaoka
Dept. of Math.
Meijo University
Tenpaku-ku
Nagoya, 468-8502
Japan
kitaoka@meijo-u.ac.jp

Jing Yu
Institute of Math.
Academia Sinica
Nakang, Taipei
Taiwan
and National Center for Theoretical Science
Hsinchu
Taiwan
yu@math.sinica.edu.tw