

## On $*$ -Representations of Partial $*$ -Algebras

Itsuko Ikeda

### Abstract

The first purpose of this paper is to study  $*$ -subrepresentations of a  $*$ -representation of a partial  $*$ -algebra. The second purpose is to characterize invariant positive sesquilinear forms of type I, II, III.

### 1. Introduction.

In this paper we shall investigate the fundamental properties of  $*$ -representations of partial  $*$ -algebras. The study of  $*$ -representations of partial  $*$ -algebras and partial  $O^*$ -algebras were began by Antoine and Karwowski [1], and have been continued by Antoine, Inoue and Trapani [2], from the situation of pure mathematical and the physical applications. But, the studies of  $*$ -subrepresentations and invariant positive sesquilinear forms on partial  $*$ -algebras seem to be insufficient, and so we shall study these points in this paper.

In partial  $*$ -algebras, the multiplication is defined only partially and it dose not have the associative law. And so, to extend arguments that are considered in the case of  $*$ -algebras, we need to reconsider some conditions. For example, the quasi-weak commutant  $C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$  is considered instead of the usual weak commutant  $C_w(\pi)$  of  $\pi$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a  $*$ -representation of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . For each projection  $E$  in  $C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ , we can define the  $*$ -representation  $\pi_E$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  by

$$\mathcal{D}(\pi_E) := E\mathcal{D}(\pi), \quad \pi_E(x) := \pi(x)E \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}).$$

To define another  $*$ -subrepresentation of  $\pi$ , we define the notion of representable subspaces of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  as follows: A subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is said to be *representable* if  $\pi(\mathcal{A})\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Of course,  $E\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is a representable subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  for each  $E \in C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ . For a representable subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  we put

$$\mathcal{D}(\pi|_{\mathfrak{M}}) := \mathfrak{M}, \quad \pi|_{\mathfrak{M}}(x) := \pi(x)|_{\mathfrak{M}} \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then  $\pi|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on the Hilbert space  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$  whose full closure is denoted by  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . It is natural to consider the following questions: Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a representable subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

[Q1] When does  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$  ( $:= \text{proj } \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ ) belong to  $C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$  ?

[Q2] When does the equation  $\pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$  hold ?

In Section 3 we shall solve the above questions.

Each bounded  $*$ -representation is decomposed into the direct sum of cyclic  $*$ -representations. We shall consider whether this result holds for fully closed  $*$ -representations of partial  $*$ -algebras or not. In case of  $*$ -algebras, using the arguments of  $\pi$ -invariant subspaces, we investigated this problem [7]. But, in case of partial  $*$ -algebras, it's a problem that for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , even if  $\mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$  is representable,  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}_{\xi}}} \notin C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$  in general, where  $\mathfrak{M}_{\xi} := \{ \pi(y)\xi; y \text{ is the right multiplier of all elements of } \mathcal{A} \}$ . So, in Section 4, we define the notion of self-adjoint vectors and obtain the decomposition theorem: Every self-adjoint representation  $\pi$  of a partial  $*$ -algebra is decomposed into

$$\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2,$$

where  $\pi_1$  is a direct sum of self-adjoint cyclic representations of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\pi_2$  is a fully closed  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  which does not have any non-zero self-adjoint vector in  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

In Section 5, we shall define the types of self-adjoint representations  $\pi$  of partial  $*$ -algebras by the types of the von Neumann algebra  $C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ .

In Section 6, we shall obtain the results about the characterization of primary Riesz forms of type I (II, III) using some order relation in the space of all Riesz forms on partial  $*$ -algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we state the definitions and the basic properties about  $*$ -representations and invariant positive sesquilinear forms of partial  $*$ -algebras. For more details refer to [2].

$\mathcal{A}$  is called a *partial  $*$ -algebra* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with an involution  $*$ .
- (2) There is a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  such that
  - (i)  $(x, y) \in \Gamma$  if and only if  $(y^*, x^*) \in \Gamma$ ,
  - (ii) if  $(x, y), (x, z) \in \Gamma$ , then  $(x, \lambda y + \mu z) \in \Gamma$ , for each  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
  - (iii) for each  $(x, y), (x, z) \in \Gamma$  there is a  $x \cdot y, x \cdot z \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(x \cdot y)^* = y^* \cdot x^*$  and  $x \cdot (\lambda y + \mu z) = \lambda(x \cdot y) + \mu(x \cdot z)$  for each  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

If  $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ ,  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) is called the *left multiplier* of  $y$  (resp. the *right multiplier* of  $x$ ) and denoted by  $x \in L(y)$  (resp.  $y \in R(x)$ ). And we write

$$L(\mathcal{A}) := \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} L(x), \quad R(\mathcal{A}) := \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} R(x).$$

As usual,  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes a dense subspace in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  is the set of all linear operators  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(X^*) \subset \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  is a partial  $*$ -algebra when equipped with the usual sum  $X_1 + X_2$ , the scalar multiplication  $\lambda X$ , the involution  $\dagger : X \rightarrow X^\dagger := X^*|_{\mathcal{D}}$ , and the partial multiplication  $\square$  : for  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ , such that  $X_2 \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(X_1^{\dagger*})$  and  $X_1^\dagger \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(X_2^*)$ ,  $X_1 \square X_2 := X_1^{\dagger*} X_2$ . A *partial  $O^*$ -algebra* on  $\mathcal{D}$  is a partial  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ .

A  *$*$ -representation* of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  for some pair  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$ , that is, a linear map  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  such that,

- (i)  $\pi(x^*) = \pi(x)^\dagger$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  ;
- (ii) for each  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ , if  $x \in L(y)$  then  $\pi(x) \in L(\pi(y))$  and  $\pi(x) \square \pi(y) = \pi(xy)$ .

The extension of  $*$ -representations is defined in the natural way. Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be two  $*$ -representations of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ .

If  $\pi_1(x) \subset \pi_2(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\pi_2$  is said to be an *extention* of  $\pi_1$  and this is denoted by  $\pi_1 \subset \pi_2$ .

As in the case of  $*$ -algebras, we can consider some representations for a given representation. For a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define the *adjoint*  $\pi^*$  and the *closure*  $\hat{\pi}$  of  $\pi$ :

$$\mathcal{D}(\pi^*) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{D}(\pi(x)^*), \quad \pi^*(x) = \pi(x^*)^* \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}(\pi^*)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{\pi}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{D}(\overline{\pi(x)}), \quad \hat{\pi}(x) = \overline{\pi(x)} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{\pi})}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If  $\pi = \pi^*$  (resp.  $\pi = \hat{\pi}$ ) then  $\pi$  is called *self-adjoint* (resp. *fully closed*).

For the partial  $O^*$ -algebra  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{H}$ , we can define some commutants. As stated in Introduction, we deal with the *quasi-weak commutant*  $C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$  defined as follows:

$$C_{\text{qw}}(\pi) := \{C \in C_{\text{w}}(\pi); \begin{aligned} (C\pi(x^*)\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) &= (C\xi \mid \pi(xy)\eta) \\ (C^*\pi(x^*)\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) &= (C^*\xi \mid \pi(xy)\eta) \end{aligned} \text{ for each } x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)\},$$

where  $C_{\text{w}}(\pi) = \pi(\mathcal{A})'_{\text{w}} = \{C \in B(\mathcal{H}); (C\pi(x)\xi \mid \eta) = (C\xi \mid \pi(x^*)\eta), \text{ for each } x \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)\}$ .

For two  $*$ -representations  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define the direct sum as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) &:= \{(\xi_1, \xi_2); \xi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_1), \xi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_2)\} \\ (\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2)(x)(\xi_1, \xi_2) &:= (\pi_1(x)\xi_1, \pi_2(x)\xi_2). \end{aligned}$$

A vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is said to be *cyclic* for  $\pi$  if  $\pi(R(\mathcal{A}))\xi$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  with respect to the graph topology.

For positive linear functionals of  $*$ -algebras, the GNS-construction generates the  $*$ -representation. In order to extend it to partial  $*$ -algebras, we introduce the notion of invariant positive sesquilinear form for which the GNS-construction is always possible.

A *sesquilinear form* on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping of  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  which is linear in the first and conjugate linear in the second variable. If  $\varphi(x, x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\varphi$  is said to be *positive*. For each positive sesquilinear form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y) &= \overline{\varphi(y, x)}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}; \\ |\varphi(x, y)|^2 &\leq \varphi(x, x)\varphi(y, y) \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have the subspace  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_\varphi &:= \{x \in \mathcal{A} ; \varphi(x, x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathcal{A} ; \varphi(x, y) = 0, \text{ for each } y \in \mathcal{A}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $\lambda_\varphi(x)$  the coset of the quotient space  $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{N}_\varphi$  which contains  $x$ , and define an inner product on  $\lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{A})$  by:

$$(\lambda_\varphi(x) | \lambda_\varphi(y)) := \varphi(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of the pre-Hilbert space  $\lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ . A positive sesquilinear form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  is called *invariant* if

- (i)  $\lambda_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi(xy_1, y_2) = \varphi(y_1, x^*y_2)$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in R(\mathcal{A})$ ;
- (iii)  $\varphi(x_1^*y_1, x_2y_2) = \varphi(y_1, (x_1x_2)y_2)$   
for each  $x_1 \in L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in R(\mathcal{A})$ .

Let  $\varphi$  is an invariant positive sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ . We put

$$\pi_\varphi(x)\lambda_\varphi(a) := \lambda_\varphi(xa), \quad x \in \mathcal{A}, a \in R(\mathcal{A}).$$

Then  $\pi_\varphi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  [3]. We call the triple  $(\widehat{\pi}_\varphi, \lambda_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi)$  the GNS-construction for  $\varphi$ . If  $\widehat{\pi}_\varphi$  is self-adjoint then  $\varphi$  is said to be a *Riesz form*.

### 3. Subrepresentations

In this section we consider the questions [Q1] and [Q2] in Introduction.

Let  $\pi$  be a fully closed  $*$ -representation of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . For a projection  $E$  in  $C_{q^w}(\pi)$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(\pi_E) &:= E\mathcal{D}(\pi), \\ \pi_E(x)E\xi &:= E\pi(x)\xi, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following property:

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $E$  be a projection in  $C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (i)  $\pi_E$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E\mathcal{H}$  satisfying  
 $\mathcal{D}(\pi_E) = E\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi_{E^*}) \subset E\mathcal{D}(\pi^*),$   
 $\pi_{E^*}(x)\xi = \pi^*(x)\xi, \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_{E^*}).$
- (ii) Suppose  $E\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ . Then  $\pi_E$  is fully closed and  
 $E\mathcal{D}(\pi^*) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_{E^*}).$

Furthermore,  $\pi_E$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $E\mathcal{D}(\pi) = E\mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Take an arbitrary  $y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in L(y)$ . For each  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_E(x^*)E\xi \mid \pi_E(y)E\eta) &= (E\pi(x^*)\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) \\ &= (E\xi \mid E\pi(xy)\eta) \\ &= (E\xi \mid \pi_E(xy)E\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\pi_E(y)E\xi \mid \pi_E(x^*)E\eta) = (E\xi \mid \pi_E(y^*x^*)E\eta).$$

Hence we have  $\pi_E(y) \in L(\pi_E(x))$  and,  $\pi_E(x) \square \pi_E(y) = \pi_E(xy)$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_E(x)E\xi \mid E\eta) &= (E\pi(x)\xi \mid \eta) \\ &= (E\xi \mid \pi(x^*)\eta) \\ &= (E\xi \mid \pi_E(x^*)E\eta) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , we have  $\pi_E(x^*) \subset \pi_E(x)^*$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore  $\pi_E$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $E\xi = \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_{E^*})$ , then for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , we have

$$(\xi \mid \pi(x^*)\eta) = (E\xi \mid \pi(x^*)\eta) = (\xi \mid \pi_E(x^*)E\eta) = (\pi_E(x^*)^*\xi \mid \eta).$$

So,  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$  and  $\pi^*(x)\xi = \pi_{E^*}(x)\xi$ .

(ii) Suppose  $E\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ . Take an arbitrary  $E\xi = \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\pi_E}) (= \bigcap \{ \mathcal{D}(\overline{\pi_E(x)}), x \in \mathcal{A} \})$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{E\xi_n\} \subset E\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  such that  $E\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$  and  $\pi_E(x)E\xi_n \rightarrow \overline{\pi_E(x)\xi}$ . Since

$$\pi_E(x)E\xi_n = \pi^*(x)E\xi_n = \pi(x)E\xi_n, \quad E\xi_n \in \mathcal{D}(\pi(x)),$$

we have  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\pi(x)})$ . Since  $\pi$  is fully closed, we have  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ . Then  $\xi = E\xi \in E\mathcal{D}(\pi) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_E)$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\pi_E}) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_E)$ . Therefore  $\pi_E$  is fully closed.

For each  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$ , it is easy to show that  $E\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_E^*)$  and  $\pi_E^*(x)E\xi = E\pi^*(x)\xi$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$ . Therefore it follows from (i) that  $\pi_E$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $E\mathcal{D}(\pi) = E\mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$ .  $\square$

Remark. The condition of  $E \in C_{q_w}(\pi)$  is that  $E \in C_w = \pi(\mathcal{A})'_w$  and  $E\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

In case of a  $*$ -representation  $\tau$  of a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , for a  $\tau$ -invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  (i.e.  $\tau(\mathcal{A})\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ ), we define the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\mathfrak{M}$ . But in this case, the condition “ $\pi$ -invariant” is too strict. So, if a subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  satisfies the condition that  $\pi(\mathcal{A})\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called *representable* and we consider such representable subspaces  $\mathfrak{M}$  instead of  $\pi$ -invariant subspaces.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a representable subspace. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\pi|_{\mathfrak{M}}) &:= \mathfrak{M}, \\ \pi|_{\mathfrak{M}}(x)\xi &:= \pi(x)\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{M}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on the Hilbert space  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$  whose full closure is denoted by  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Then  $\pi$  is the extension of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$  with  $\mathcal{H}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\pi)$ , i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(x)\xi = \pi(x)\xi \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}).$$

And we have the following properties:

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a representable subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  and  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}$  the projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Then,

- (i)  $\mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}) \subset E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\mathcal{D}(\pi^*) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^*)$ ,
- (ii)  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^*(x)E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi = E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi^*(x)\xi$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the last relation of inclusion in (i) and (ii) at once. Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi^*)$ . For each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\eta \in \mathfrak{M}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi | \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(x^*)\eta) &= (\xi | E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi(x^*)\eta) = (\xi | \pi(x^*)\eta) \\ &= (\pi(x^*)^*\xi | E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\eta) = (E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi^*(x)\xi | \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^*)$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^*(x)E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi = E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi^*(x)\xi$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a representable subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

I. Consider the following statements.

- (i)  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is self-adjoint.
- (ii)  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\mathcal{D}(\pi^*) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ .
- (iii)  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\mathcal{D}(\pi) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ .
- (iv)  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .
- (v)  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}} \in C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ .

Then the following implications hold :

- (i)
- $\Downarrow \Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) .
- (ii)

II. Suppose  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}} \in C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ . Then,

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{M}} \subset \pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} \subset \pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}^*} \subset \pi_{\mathfrak{M}^*}, \pi \subset \pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} \oplus \pi_{I-E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} \subset \pi^*,$$

and

$$\pi = \pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} \oplus \pi_{I-E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} \text{ if and only if } E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi).$$

III. In particular, if  $\pi$  is self-adjoint and  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}} \in C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ , then  $\pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}}$  and  $\pi_{I-E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}}$  are self-adjoint and  $\pi = \pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}} \oplus \pi_{I-E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}}$ . Furthermore,  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}} = \pi_{E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}}$ .

*Proof.* I. (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) Using Lemma 3.2, it's easy to show this.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) These follow from Lemma 3.2(i) and  $\mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) We can prove  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}} \in C_{\text{w}}(\pi)$  by the same way in ([7] Theorem 3.3(ii)). And for each  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in L(y)$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi(x^*)\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) &= (E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi^*(x^*)\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) \\ &= (\pi_{\mathfrak{M}^*}(x^*)E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) \\ &= (\pi(x^*)E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) \\ &= (E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\pi(x^*)\xi \mid \pi(y)\eta) \\ &= (E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}\xi \mid \pi(xy)\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $E_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}} \in C_{\text{qw}}(\pi)$ .



II and III are proved by the analogue with ([7] Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.3 (i')).  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. The converse of Theorem 3.3, I and the equations in II do not hold. The counter-examples for them are in ([7] EXAMPLE 3:6 and REMARK 3.5(2)).

#### 4. Self-adjoint vectors.

In case of a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $\pi$  is a closed  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_\xi := \pi(\mathcal{A})\xi$  is a  $\pi$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , and so we can define the  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi}$ . But this is not true in case of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . So we introduce some notions for a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ . In this section, we deal with a self-adjoint representation  $\pi$  of a partial  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to avoid the complicated arguments.

DEFINITION 4.1. For a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , we put  $\mathfrak{M}_\xi := \pi(R(\mathcal{A}))\xi$ .  $\xi$  is said to be a *cyclically representable* if  $\pi(\mathcal{A})\mathfrak{M}_\xi \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}_\xi}$ .  $\xi$  is said to be a *self-adjoint vector* for  $\pi$  if  $\xi$  is cyclically representable and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi}$  is self-adjoint.

By THEOREM 3.3, we characterize the self-adjointness of vectors in  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  as follows:

COROLLARY 4.2. For each  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ ,  $E_\xi$  denotes the projection on  $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$  onto  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}_\xi}$ . Then  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is a self-adjoint vector for  $\pi$  if and only if  $E_\xi \in C_w(\pi)$  and

$$E_\xi \mathcal{D}(\pi) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{D}(\pi(x)|_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi})}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is a self-adjoint vector for  $\pi$ , then by THEOREM 3.3 we have  $E_\xi \mathcal{D}(\pi) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi})$  and  $E_\xi \in C_{qw}(\pi) = C_w(\pi)$ .

We show the converse. From the assumptions,

$$\pi(\mathcal{A})\mathfrak{M}_\xi \subset \pi(\mathcal{A})E_\xi\mathcal{D}(\pi) = E_\xi\pi(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}_\xi}.$$

Hence  $\xi$  is cyclically representable and furthermore  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi}$  is self-adjoint, because of  $E_\xi\mathcal{D}(\pi) = \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi})$  and THEOREM 3.3. III.  $\square$

Using this result, we have the following property and its proof is much same as ([7] THEOREM 4.2).

**THEOREM 4.3.** For any self-adjoint representation of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have the following decomposition:

$$\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$$

where  $\pi_1$  is a direct sum of self-adjoint cyclic representations of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\pi_2$  is a fully closed  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  which does not have any non-zero self-adjoint vector in  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

**EXAMPLE 4.4.** Even if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $*$ -algebra, there exist a self-adjoint representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that any non-zero vector of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is not a self-adjoint vector for  $\pi$  ([7] EXAMPLE 4.4.).

**EXAMPLE 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a dense subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If the maximal partial  $O^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  is self-adjoint, then every non-zero vector in  $\mathcal{D}$  is a self-adjoint vector for  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ .

**EXAMPLE 4.6.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a dense subspace of a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}} := \{T \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}; T\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{D}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a partial  $O^*$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{D}$  with the identity operator  $I$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2(\mathcal{M}) &:= \{T \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}; XT \in \mathcal{D} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}, \text{ for each } X \in \mathcal{M}\}, \\ \pi(X)T &:= XT, \quad \text{for each } X \in \mathcal{M}, T \in \sigma_2(\mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  and in particular, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is self-adjoint, then so is  $\pi$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  is self-adjoint, then every  $\Omega \in$

$\sigma_2(\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}))$  is a self-adjoint vector for the self-adjoint representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ .

## 5. Type of \*-representations.

In this section we define the type of fully closed \*-representations of a partial \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , and mention a decomposition of them. We begin with some definitions.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a partial \*-algebra with identity  $e$  and  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  a fully closed \*-representations of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\pi_1$  is said to be a \*-subrepresentation of  $\pi_2$  if  $\pi_1 = (\pi_2)_{\mathfrak{M}}$  for some  $\pi_2$ -representable subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi_2)$ .  $\pi_1$  is contained in  $\pi_2$  if  $\pi_1$  is unitarily equivalent to some \*-subrepresentation  $\pi$  of  $\pi_2$ , and it is denoted by  $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$ .

To make clear the essential part of argument, we treat with suitable \*-representations. We denote by  $Rep\mathcal{A}$  the set of all fully-closed \*-representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $C_{q^w}(\pi)\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , and denote by  $Rep^s\mathcal{A}$  the set of all self-adjoint representations of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is clear that  $Rep^s\mathcal{A} \subset Rep\mathcal{A}$ . For  $\pi \in Rep\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $Rep\pi$  the set of all fully-closed \*-subrepresentations  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  such that  $C_{q^w}(\tau)\mathcal{D}(\tau) \subset \mathcal{D}(\tau)$  and denote by  $Rep^s\pi$  the set of all self-adjoint subrepresentations of  $\pi$ . Then  $\{\pi_E; E \in C_{q^w}(\pi)\} \subset Rep\pi$ . In fact, let  $\pi$  be in  $Rep\mathcal{A}$  and  $E$  a projection in  $C_{q^w}(\pi)$ , then by LEMMA 3.1. (ii),  $\pi_E$  is a fully-closed \*-representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and furthermore

$$(4.1) \quad C_{q^w}(\pi_E) = C_{q^w}(\pi)_E,$$

and so  $C_{q^w}(\pi_E)\mathcal{D}(\pi_E) \subset \mathcal{D}(\pi_E)$ .

By THEOREM 3.3, we have the following result for the relation of  $Rep\pi$  and  $Rep^s\pi$ .

PROPOSITION 5.1. For each  $\pi \in Rep\mathcal{A}$ ,  $Rep^s\pi \subset Rep\pi$ . In particular, if  $\pi$  is self-adjoint then  $Rep^s\pi = Rep\pi$ .

DEFINITION 5.2. Let  $\pi, \pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  in  $Rep\mathcal{A}$ . If each non-trivial  $\tau_1 \in Rep\pi_1$  and  $\tau_2 \in Rep\pi_2$  are inequivalent, then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are said to be *disjoint* and denoted by  $\pi_1 \delta \pi_2$ .

If the von Neumann algebra  $(C_{qw}(\pi))'$  is a factor (resp. of type I, of type II, of type III) then  $\pi$  is said to be a *factor representation* (resp. of type I, of type II, of type III).

By ([8] THEOREM 3.4), (4.1) and PROPOSITION 4.1, we have the following

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let  $\pi$  be in  $Rep\mathcal{A}$ . Then there uniquely exist mutually orthogonal projections  $E_I, E_{II}, E_{III}$  in  $C_{qw}(\pi) \cap (C_{qw}(\pi))'$  such that  $E_I + E_{II} + E_{III} = I$ ,  $\pi_{E_I}$  (resp.  $\pi_{E_{II}}, \pi_{E_{III}}$ ) is in  $Rep\pi$  and it is of type I (resp. type II, type III).

## 6. Type of invariant positive sesquilinear forms.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a partial  $*$ -algebra with an identity  $e$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$  the set of all Riesz forms on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$  and  $a \in R(\mathcal{A})$  we put

$$\varphi_a(x, y) := \varphi(xa, ya) \text{ for } x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then it is easily shown that  $\varphi_a$  is an invariant positive sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{R}_\varphi$  the set of all Riesz forms  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  for which there exists a net  $\{a_\alpha\}$  in  $R(\mathcal{A})$  such that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \varphi_{a_\alpha}(x, y) = \psi(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi_{a_\alpha - a_\beta}(x, x) = 0$$

for each  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

DEFINITION 6.1. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$ . We write  $\psi \prec \varphi$  when  $\mathcal{R}_\psi \subset \mathcal{R}_\varphi$ , and  $\psi \sim \varphi$  when  $\mathcal{R}_\psi = \mathcal{R}_\varphi$ .

It is clear that  $(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}), \prec)$  is an ordered set.

PROPOSITION 6.2. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $\psi \prec \varphi$ .
- (ii)  $\psi \in \mathcal{R}_\varphi$ .
- (iii)  $\pi_\psi \leq \pi_\varphi$ .
- (iv) There exists an element  $\xi$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\pi_\varphi)$  such that  $\psi(x, y) = (\pi_\varphi(x)\xi \mid \pi_\varphi(y)\xi)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). This is trivial.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Since  $\pi_\varphi$  is self-adjoint, it follows that  $\mathfrak{M}_\xi := \pi_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}))\xi$  is  $\pi_\varphi$ -representable. By the assumption (iv),  $(\pi_\varphi)_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi} \sim \pi_\psi$  and so  $(\pi_\varphi)_{\mathfrak{M}_\xi} \in \text{Rep}^s \pi_\varphi$ . Therefore  $\pi_\psi \leq \pi_\varphi$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Take an arbitrary  $\psi' \in R_\psi$ . Since the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) holds and  $\pi_\psi \leq \pi_\varphi$ , we have  $\pi_{\psi'} \leq \pi_\varphi$ . Hence there exists a  $\pi_\varphi$ -representable subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\pi_\varphi)$  such that  $\pi_{\psi'} \sim (\pi_\varphi)_{\mathfrak{M}}$ , that is, there exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{\psi'}$  onto  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$  such that  $U\mathcal{D}(\pi_{\psi'}) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$  and  $\pi_{\psi'}(x)\xi = U^*\pi_\varphi(x)U\xi$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi_{\psi'})$ . Hence we have

$$\psi'(x, y) = (\pi_\varphi(x)U\lambda_\varphi(e) \mid \pi_\varphi(y)U\lambda_\varphi(e))$$

for each  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , which implies  $\psi' \in \mathcal{R}_\varphi$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{R}_\psi \subset \mathcal{R}_\varphi$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 6.3. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in R(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$ . If  $R_\psi \cap R_\varphi = \{0\}$ , then  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are said to be *disjoint* and denoted by  $\varphi \delta \psi$ .

If for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\psi(x, x) \leq \gamma\varphi(x, x)$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi$  is said to be *dominated by*  $\varphi$ , and denoted by  $\psi \leq \gamma\varphi$ . If each  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$  with  $\psi \leq \varphi$  has the form  $\psi = \gamma\varphi$  for some scalar  $\gamma$ , then  $\varphi$  is said to be *pure*. If  $\pi_\varphi$  is a  $*$ -representation of type I (resp. II, III), then  $\varphi$  is said to be of type I (resp. II, III).

PROPOSITION 6.4. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$ . Then the following state-

ments hold.

- (i)  $\varphi \delta \psi$  if and only if  $\pi_\varphi \delta \pi_\psi$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is pure if and only if  $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})'_w = CI$ .
- (iii)  $\varphi$  is uniquely decomposed into  $\varphi = \varphi_I + \varphi_{II} + \varphi_{III}$ , where  $\varphi_I$  (resp.  $\varphi_{II}, \varphi_{III}$ ) is a Riesz form on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  of type I (resp. II, III) .

*Proof.* (i). This follows from PROPOSITION 6.2.

(ii). It is easily shown that  $\psi \leq \gamma\varphi$  if and only if  $\psi = \varphi_C$  for some  $C \in C_w(\pi_\varphi)$ , that is,  $\psi(x, y) = \varphi_C(x, y) := (C\lambda_\varphi(x) \mid \lambda_\varphi(y))$  for each  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , which implies the statement (ii).

(iii). By PROPOSITION 5.3, there uniquely exists a projection  $E_I$  (resp.  $E_{II}, E_{III}$ ) in  $C_w(\pi)$  such that  $(\pi_\varphi)_{E_I}$  (resp.  $(\pi_\varphi)_{E_{II}}, (\pi_\varphi)_{E_{III}}$ ) of type I (resp. II, III) and  $E_I + E_{II} + E_{III} = I$ . We now put

$$\varphi_I := \varphi_{E_I}, \quad \varphi_{II} := \varphi_{E_{II}}, \quad \varphi_{III} := \varphi_{E_{III}},$$

then the statement (iii) holds for this  $\varphi_I, \varphi_{II}, \varphi_{III}$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION 6.5.** A Riesz form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  is said to be *primary* if  $\pi_\varphi$  is a factor. Let  $\mathcal{R}_p(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$  denote the set of all primary Riesz forms on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.6.** Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $\varphi$  is primary.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R}_\varphi$  does not have any non-zero disjoint form.
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{R}_\varphi, \prec)$  is a totally ordered set.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This follows from PROPOSITION 6.2 and ([3] COROLLARY 5.1.4, 5.1.5).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose  $\varphi$  is not primary. Then there exists a projection  $E \in C_w(\pi_\varphi)$  such that  $E \neq 0$  and  $E \neq I$ . For  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x, y) &= (\pi_\varphi(x)E\lambda_\varphi(e) \mid \pi_\varphi(y)E\lambda_\varphi(e)), \\ \psi_2(x, y) &= (\pi_\varphi(x)(I - E)\lambda_\varphi(e) \mid \pi_\varphi(y)(I - E)\lambda_\varphi(e)). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from PROPOSITION @@@ that  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$  and

$$\pi_{\psi_1} \sim (\pi_{\varphi})_E \circ (\pi_{\varphi})_{I-E} \sim \pi_{\psi_2},$$

which implies  $\psi_1 \circ \psi_2$  by PROPOSITION 6.4. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Using PROPOSITION 6.2, 6.4, 6.6, we can state the characterization of primary Riesz form of type I (II,III) and the proofs are similar to those of ([8] THEOREM 4.10).

**THEOREM 6.7.** Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}_p(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (i)  $\varphi$  is of type I if and only if there exists a pure Riesz form  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\psi \prec \varphi$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is of type II if and only if any Riesz form  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  with  $\psi \prec \varphi$  is not minimal.
- (iii)  $\varphi$  is of type III if and only if it is maximal and minimal in  $(\mathcal{R}_p(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}), \prec)$  and it is not pure.

These results are an extension of those to the case of partial  $*$ -algebras.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Professor A.Inoue for his instruction and many helpful discussions concerning this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. ANTOINE and W.KARWOWSKI, *Partial  $*$ -algebras of closable operators in Hilbert space*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Soc., Kyoto Univ., **21**(1985),205-236.

- [2] J.-P. ANTOINE, A. INOUE and C. Trapani, *Partial \*-algebras of closable operators I. The basic theory and the abelian case.; II. States and representations of partial \*-algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Soc., Kyoto Univ., 26(1990),359-395; 27(1991),399-430.
- [3] J. DIXMIER, *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland Math. Publ.,1982.
- [4] I.M.GELFAND and N.YA.VILENKIN, *Generalized Functions Vol.4*, Academic Press,New York,1964.
- [5] S.P.GUDDER and W.SCRUGGS, *Unbounded representations of \*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 70(1977),369-382.
- [6] S.P.GUDDER and R.L.HUDSON, *A noncommutative probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 245(1978),1-41.
- [7] I.IKEDA and A.INOUE, *Invariant subspaces for unbounded \*-representations of \*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116(1992),737-745.
- [8] I.IKEDA and A.INOUE, *On types of positive linear functionals of \*-algebras*, Academic Press,New York,London 173(1993),276-288.
- [9] A.INOUE and K.TAKESUE, *Self-adjoint representations of polynomial algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.280(1983),393-400.
- [10] P.E.T.JORGENSEN and R.T.MOORE, *Operator Commutation Relations*, Reidel Dordrecht.Boston,1984.
- [11] R.V.KADISON, *States and representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.103(1961),304-319.
- [12] R.V.KADISON and J.R.RINGROSE, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, Academic Press,New York,1983.
- [13] R.T.POWERS, *Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators I*, Commun. Math. Phys. 21(1971),85-124.
- [14] K.SCHMÜDGEN, *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel.Boston.Berlin,1990.
- [15] K.SCHMÜDGEN, *A note on commuting unbounded self-adjoint operators affiliated to properly infinite von Neumann algebras II*, Bull. London Math. Soc.18(1986),287-292.
- [16] S.STRĂTIRĂ and L.ZSIDÓ, *Lectures on von Neumann Algebras*, Abacus Press,Tunbridge Wells,1971.



Itsuko Ikeda  
Graduate school of  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Fukuoka University  
Fukuoka, 814-01  
Japan

Received September 24, 1993