

## A Discrete Analog of Laplace's Differential Equations

SHIN TONG TU

### 1. Introduction

A differential equation of the form

$$(a_2t + b_2)y''(t) + (a_1t + b_1)y'(t) + (a_0t + b_0)y(t) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

was studied by Laplace in his treatise "Theorie analytique des probabilités" (cf. Yosida [4, p.53]), so that (1.1) may be called the Laplace differential equations. In a recent monograph [4], Yosida gives a new treatment of (1.1) by his operational calculus method. In the present paper, we shall study a discrete analog of the Laplace differential equation (1.1), namely, the monodiffic difference equation of the form

$$K(z) \circ \frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + (a_1 H(z) + b_1) \circ \frac{df}{dz} + (a_0 G(z) + b_0) \circ f(z) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

We shall use the formal series method to find the general solution of (1.2). Our main result is Theorem 2. And Theorem 2 can be applied to solve Bessel, Laguerre and Gauss monodiffic difference equations which will be introduced in Section 6.

### 2. Definition and Notation

For the sake of convenience, we give some definitions and notations which are mentioned in [3]. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane,

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = x + iy, x \text{ and } y \text{ are integers}\}.$$

DEFINITION 1. The function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be monodiffic at  $z$  if

$$(i - 1)f(z) + f(z + i) - if(z + 1) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The function  $f$  is said to be monodiffic in  $D$  if it is monodiffic at any point in  $D$ .

DEFINITION 2. The monodiffic derivative  $f'$  of  $f$  is defined by

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2}[(i - 1)f(z) + f(z + 1) - if(z + i)]. \quad (2.2)$$

We also use the symbol  $\frac{df}{dz}$  to represent  $f'$ .

DEFINITION 3. Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are complex-valued functions defined on  $D$ . Let  $z \in D$  and  $h \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ . The line integrals from  $z$  to  $z+h$  are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_z^{z+h} f(t)dt &= hf(z) && \text{if } h = 1 \text{ or } i \\ &= - \int_{z+h}^z f(t)dt && \text{if } h = -1 \text{ or } -i \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_z^{z+h} f(t) : g(t)dt &= f(z+h)[g(z+h) - g(z)] && \text{if } h = 1 \text{ or } i \\ &= - \int_{z+h}^z f(t) : g(t)dt && \text{if } h = -1 \text{ or } -i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

DEFINITION 4. For every  $z \in D$ , the \*-convolution product of monodiffic functions  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \int_0^z f(z-t) : g(t)dt. \quad (2.5)$$

DEFINITION 5. A sequence of monodiffic polynomial  $\{z^{(n)}\}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(n)} &= n \int_0^z z^{(n-1)}dz && n = 1, 2, \dots \\ &= 1 && n = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The following definitions and notations of a formal power series will be needed in our discussion of the solutions of (1.2).

The class of formal power series

$$R_{xy} = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ n=0}}^{\infty} a_{mn} x^m y^n; a_{mn} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

endowed with the usual addition and multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum a_{mn} x^m y^n \right) + \left( \sum b_{mn} x^m y^n \right) &= \sum (a_{mn} + b_{mn}) x^m y^n \\ \left( \sum a_{mn} x^m y^n \right) \left( \sum b_{mn} x^m y^n \right) &= \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ n=0}}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{r=0}^n a_{k,r} b_{m-k, n-r} \right) x^m y^n \end{aligned}$$

is a ring. More precisely  $R_{xy}$  is an integral domain. The classes of formal power series of one variable

$$R_x = \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^m; a_m \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad R_y = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n y^n; b_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

are subrings of  $R_{xy}$ .

Let  $f : z^+ \times z^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and let  $f$  determined by the formal power series  $F(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} f(m, n) x^m y^n$ . The relation between a formal power series and a monodiffic function, we have the following.

THEOREM 1 [3]. Suppose

(1)  $f(m, n)$  is monodiffic at  $z = m + ni$

(2)  $\phi_f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(m, 0)x^m$

(3)  $\psi_f(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(0, n)y^n$ .

Then

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x\phi_f(x) - iy\psi_f(y)}{x - xy + ixy - iy}.$$

Moreover,

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x\phi_f(x) - \frac{iy}{1+(i-1)y}\phi_f\left(\frac{iy}{1+(i-1)y}\right)}{x - xy + ixy - iy}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since a monodiffic function  $f$  is uniquely determined by  $\phi_f$  and evidently each monodiffic function determines such a  $\phi_f$ , there is a one-to-one correspondence between monodiffic functions and the elements of the set  $R_a$ .

We also need the following table (cf. Tu [3]).

Table I

| Monodiffic Functions           | Formal Power series  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 1. $f(x, y) = c$               | $\phi_c = \frac{c}{1-x}$   |
| 2. $f'$                        | $\phi_{f'} = \frac{(1-x)\phi_f - f(\hat{0})}{x}$   |
| 3. $f^{(n)}$                   | $\phi_{f^{(n)}} = \frac{1}{x^n} \{ (1-x)^n \phi_f - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k f^{(k)}(\hat{0})(1-x)^{n-1-k} \}$ |
| 4. $f * g$                     | $\phi_{f * g} = (1-x)\phi_g\phi_f - g(\hat{0})\phi_f$  |
| 5. $z^{(n)}$                   | $\phi_{z^{(n)}} = \frac{n!x^n}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$                                      |
| 6. $e^{a,z} = (1+a)^x(1+ia)^y$ | $\phi_{e^{a,z}} = \frac{1}{1-(1+a)x}$  |

where  $z = x + iy, a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\hat{0} = (0, 0)$ .

### 3. o-convolution product of monodiffic functions

Before we solve the generalized Laplace monodiffic difference equation (1.2), we need the following definition and results of Berzsényi [2]. For the completeness, here, we give different proof which is much simpler than Berzsényi's proof by use of the method introduced by the author [3].

DEFINITION 6 [2]. If  $f, g \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$ , then the function  $f \circ g$  is given by  $(f \circ g)(z) = (f * g)(z) + g(\hat{0})f(z)$ , where  $\hat{0} = (0, 0)$ , and  $f \circ g$  is called the  $\circ$ -convolution product of  $f$  and  $g$ . It is easy to see that  $(f \circ g)(z)$  is monodiffic if  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are monodiffic.

LEMMA 1. The formal power series  $\phi_{f \circ g}$  relative to monodiffic function  $f \circ g$  is given by

$$\phi_{f \circ g} = (1 - x)\phi_f \phi_g.$$

PROOF. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{f \circ g} &= \phi_{(f * g) + g(\hat{0})f} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [(f * g)(m) + g(\hat{0})f(m)] x^m \\ &= (1 - x)\phi_f \phi_g - g(\hat{0})\phi_f + g(\hat{0})\phi_f = (1 - x)\phi_f \phi_g. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1 [2]. Let  $f, g, h \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$  and  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ . Then

- (a)  $(f + g) \circ h = (f \circ h) + (g \circ h)$ ,
- (b)  $f \circ (g + h) = (f \circ g) + (f \circ h)$ ,
- (c)  $(cf) \circ g = c(f \circ g) = f \circ (cg)$ .

PROOF.  $\phi_{(f+g) \circ h} = (1 - x)\phi_{f+g} \phi_h = (1 - x)[\phi_f + \phi_g] \phi_h = \phi_{f \circ h} + \phi_{g \circ h}$ . On the other hand,  $\phi_{(f \circ h) + (g \circ h)} = \phi_{f \circ h} + \phi_{g \circ h}$ . Thus we have  $\phi_{(f+g) \circ h} = \phi_{(f \circ h) + (g \circ h)}$ . Similarly, we can prove (b) and (c).

PROPOSITION 2 [2]. If  $f, g \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$  then  $f \circ g = g \circ f$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 1,

$$\phi_{f \circ g} = (1 - x)\phi_f \phi_g \text{ and } \phi_{g \circ f} = (1 - x)\phi_g \phi_f.$$

PROPOSITION 3 [2]. If  $f, g$  and  $h \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$  then  $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$ .

PROOF.  $\phi_{(f \circ g) \circ h} = (1 - x)\phi_{f \circ g} \phi_h = (1 - x)[(1 - x)\phi_f \phi_g] \phi_h = (1 - x)^2 \phi_f \phi_g \phi_h$ ,  
 $\phi_{f \circ (g \circ h)} = (1 - x)\phi_f \phi_{g \circ h} = (1 - x)\phi_f (1 - x)\phi_g \phi_h = (1 - x)^2 \phi_f \phi_g \phi_h$ .

PROPOSITION 4 [2]. If  $f, g \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$  and  $f \circ g = 0$ , then either  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$ .

PROOF. Since  $\phi_{f \circ g} = (1 - x)\phi_f \phi_g = 0$ , we have  $\phi_f = 0$  or  $\phi_g = 0$ . It follows from Theorem 1 that  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$ .

#### 4. Cauchy formula in monodiffic function

PROPOSITION 5. The monodiffic polynomia  $z^{(n)}$  has the following relation

$$\frac{z^{(n)}}{n!} \circ \frac{z^{(k)}}{k!} = \frac{z^{(n+k)}}{(n+k)!} \text{ for every } n, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\frac{z^{(n)}}{n!} \circ \frac{z^{(k)}}{k!}} &= (1-x) \phi_{\frac{z^{(n)}}{n!}} \phi_{\frac{z^{(k)}}{k!}} = (1-x) \frac{x^n}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{x^{n+k}}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} = \phi_{\frac{z^{(n+k)}}{(n+k)!}}. \end{aligned}$$

An analog of the well-known Cauchy's formula in the continuous case

$$\int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t f(t) dt \cdots dt = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-z)^{n-1} f(z) dz.$$

We have

PROPOSITION 6. If  $f \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$ , then for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\int_0^z \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_n} f(t_{n+1}) dt_{n+1} \cdots dt_1 = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} (f \circ z^{(n+1)}).$$

PROOF. From (4.1) in [4],

$$\phi \int_0^z f(t) dt = \frac{x}{1-x} \phi f.$$

Since  $\phi_{z^{(1)}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$ , we obtain  $\phi_{f \circ z^{(1)}} = (1-x) \phi_f \phi_{z^{(1)}} = \frac{x}{1-x} \phi_f$ . Thus, we have  $\int_0^z f(t) dt = f \circ z^{(1)}$ .

Using of Propositions 3 and 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \int_0^{t_n} f(t_{n+1}) dt_{n+1} dt_n &= \int_0^{t_{n-1}} (f \circ z^{(1)})(t_n) dt_n = (f \circ z^{(1)}) \circ z^{(1)} \\ &= f \circ (z^{(1)} \circ z^{(1)}) = f \circ \frac{z^{(2)}}{2!}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_{n-2}} \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \int_0^{t_n} f(t_{n+1}) dt_{n+1} dt_n dt_{n-1} &= (f \circ \frac{z^{(2)}}{2!}) \circ z^{(1)} = f \circ (\frac{z^{(2)}}{2!} \circ z^{(1)}) \\ &= f \circ \frac{z^{(3)}}{3!}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we conclude the proof.

## 5. Generalized Laplace monodiffic difference equation

We are now in position to discuss our main results.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $k, H, g \in M(z^+ \times z^+)$ . Corresponding to the solution of the generalized Laplace monodiffic difference equations of the form*

$$k(z) \circ \frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + (aH(z) + b) \circ \frac{df}{dz} + (cg(z) + d) \circ f(z) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

with  $f(\hat{0}) = c_1$  and  $f'(\hat{0}) = c_2$ , its formal power series  $\phi_f$  is given by

$$\phi_f = \frac{[(1-x)^2 \phi_k + a(1-x)x\phi_H + bx]c_1 + (1-x)x\phi_k c_2}{(1-x)^3 \phi_k + a(1-x)^2 x\phi_H + bx(1-x) + cx^2(1-x)\phi_g + dx^2}. \quad (5.2)$$

**PROOF.** By use of Lemma 1 and relation 3 in Table I, (5.1) becomes the following formal power series of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k \circ f''} + a\phi_{H \circ f'} + b\phi_{f'} + c\phi_{g \circ f} + d\phi_f &= 0, \\ (1-x)\phi_k \frac{1}{x^2} \{(1-x)^2 \phi_f - c_1(1-x) - c_2 x\} + a(1-x)\phi_H \frac{1}{x} \{(1-x)\phi_f - c_1\} \\ + \frac{b}{x} \{(1-x)\phi_f - c_1\} + c(1-x)\phi_g \phi_f + d\phi_f &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} [(1-x)^3 \phi_k + a(1-x)^2 x\phi_H + bx(1-x) + cx^2(1-x)\phi_g + dx^2] \phi_f \\ = c_1 [(1-x)^2 \phi_k + a(1-x)x\phi_H + bx] + (1-x)x\phi_k c_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (5.2).

## 6. Bessel, Laguerre, Laplace and Gauss equation

We shall apply Theorem 2 to the cases of Bessel, Laguerre, Laplace and Gauss equation as Corollaries.

**COROLLARY 1.** (The Bessel monodiffic difference equation) The equation is of the form

$$z^{(2)} \circ \frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + z^{(1)} \circ \frac{df}{dz} + (z^{(2)} - \alpha^2) \circ f(z) = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

with  $f(\hat{0}) = c_1$  and  $f'(\hat{0}) = c_2$ , where  $\alpha$  is a complex number.

Corresponding to its solution of (6.1), the formal power series is

$$\phi_f = \frac{3(1-x)c_1 + 2xc_2}{(3-\alpha^2)(1-x)^2 + 2x^2} \quad (6.2)$$

PROOF. In (5.1), we take  $k(z) = z^{(2)}$ ,  $H(z) = z^{(1)}$ ,  $g(z) = z^{(2)}$ ,  $a = c = 1$ ,  $b = 0$  and  $d = -\alpha^2$ . Then (5.2) becomes

$$\phi_f = \frac{[(1-x)^2\phi_{z^{(2)}} + (1-x)x\phi_{z^{(1)}}]c_1 + (1-x)x\phi_{z^{(2)}}c_2}{(1-x)^2\phi_{z^{(2)}} + (1-x)^2x\phi_{z^{(1)}} + x^2(1-x)\phi_{z^{(2)}} - \alpha^2x^2}.$$

Since  $\phi_{z^{(1)}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$  and  $\phi_{z^{(2)}} = \frac{2x^2}{(1-x)^2}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_f &= \frac{[2(1-x)^2x^2 + (1-x)^2x^2]c_1 + 2(1-x)x^3c_2}{2(1-x)^3x^2 + (1-x)^3x^2 + 2x^4(1-x) - \alpha^2x^2(1-x)^3} \\ &= \frac{3(1-x)c_1 + 2xc_2}{(3-\alpha^2)(1-x)^2 + 2x^2}.\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2. (The Laguerre monodiffic difference equation) The equation is of the form

$$z^{(1)} \circ \frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} - (z^{(1)} + \alpha - 1) \circ \frac{df}{dz} + (\alpha + \lambda) \circ f(z) = 0 \quad (6.3)$$

with  $f(\hat{0}) = c_1$  and  $f'(\hat{0}) = c_2$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are complex numbers. Corresponding to its solution of (6.3) the formal power series is

$$\phi_f = \frac{[(\alpha - 3)x + (2 - \alpha)]c_1 + c_2x}{(1-x)[2 - \alpha + (2\alpha + \lambda - 3)x]} \quad (6.4)$$

PROOF. In (5.1), we take  $k(z) = H(z) = z^{(1)}$ ,  $a = -1$ ,  $b = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $c = 0$  and  $D = \alpha + \lambda$ . Then (5.2) becomes

$$\phi_f = \frac{[(1-x)^2\phi_{z^{(1)}} - (1-x)x\phi_{z^{(1)}} + (1-\alpha)x]c_1 + (1-x)x\phi_{z^{(1)}}c_2}{(1-x)^3\phi_{z^{(1)}} - (1-x)^2x\phi_{z^{(1)}} + (1-\alpha)x(1-x) + (\alpha + \lambda)x^2}.$$

Since  $\phi_{z^{(1)}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_f &= \frac{[(1-x)^2x - (1-x)x^2 + (1-\alpha)x(1-x)^2]c_1 + (1-x)x^2c_2}{(1-x)^3x - (1-x)^2x^2 + (1-\alpha)x(1-x)^3 + (\alpha + \lambda)x^2(1-x)^2} \\ &= \frac{[(\alpha - 3)x + 2 - \alpha]c_1 + c_2x}{(1-x)[2 - \alpha + (2\alpha + \lambda - 3)x]}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (6.4).

COROLLARY 3. (The Laplace monodiffic difference equation) The equation is of the form

$$z^{(1)} \circ \frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + (az^{(1)} + b) \circ \frac{df}{dz} + (cz^{(1)} + d) \circ f(z) = 0 \quad (6.5)$$

with  $f(\hat{0}) = c_1$  and  $f'(\hat{0}) = c_2$ , where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are complex numbers. Corresponding to its solution of (6.5), the formal power series is

$$\phi_f = \frac{[b+1+(a-b-1)x]c_1 + c_2x}{(1-x)[b+1+(a+d-b-1)x] + cx^2}. \quad (6.6)$$

PROOF. In (5.1), we take  $k(z) = H(z) = g(z) = z^{(1)}$ . Then (5.2) becomes

$$\phi_f = \frac{[(1-x)^2\phi_{z^{(1)}} + a(1-x)x\phi_{z^{(1)}} + bx]c_1 + (1-x)x\phi_{z^{(1)}}c_2}{(1-x)^3\phi_{z^{(1)}} + a(1-x)^2x\phi_{z^{(1)}} + bx(1-x) + cx^2(1-x)\phi_{z^{(1)}} + dx^2}.$$

Since  $\phi_{z^{(1)}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_f &= \frac{[(1-x)^2x + a(1-x)x^2 + bx(1-x)^2]c_1 + (1-x)x^2c_2}{(1-x)^3x + a(1-x)^2x^2 + bx(1-x)^3 + cx^3(1-x) + dx^2(1-x)^2} \\ &= \frac{[b+1+(a-b-1)x]c_1 + c_2x}{(1-x)[b+1+(a+d-b-1)x] + cx^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Corollary 3 is proved.

COROLLARY 4. (The Gauss monodiffric difference equation) The equation is of the form

$$z^{(1)} \circ (1 - ez^{(1)}) \circ \frac{d^2f}{dz^2} + (h - jz^{(1)}) \circ \frac{df}{dz} - mf(z) = 0 \quad (6.7)$$

with  $f(\hat{0}) = c_1$  and  $f'(\hat{0}) = c_2$ , where  $e, h, j$  and  $m$  are complex numbers. Corresponding to its solution of (6.7), the formal power series is

$$\phi_f = \frac{(1-x)[(1+h) - (1+e+j+h)x]c_1 + x[1 - (1+e)x]c_2}{(1-x)^2[(1+h) - (1+e+m+j+h)x]}. \quad (6.8)$$

PROOF. In (5.1), we take  $k(z) = z^{(1)} \circ (1 - ez^{(1)})$ ,  $a = -j$ ,  $H(z) = z^{(1)}$ ,  $b = h$ ,  $c = 0$  and  $d = -m$ . Then (5.2) becomes

$$\phi_f = \frac{[(1-x)^2\phi_k - j(1-x)x\phi_H + hx]c_1 + (1-x)x\phi_kc_2}{(1-x)^3\phi_k - j(1-x)^2x\phi_H + hx(1-x) - mx^2}.$$

Since  $k(z) = z^{(1)} - \frac{e}{2}z^{(2)}$ , we have

$$\phi_k = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{ex^2}{(1-x)^3},$$

$$\phi_H = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_f &= \frac{[(1-x)^3x - ex^2(1-x)^2 - j(1-x)^2x^2 + h(1-x)^3x]c_1 + [x^2(1-x)^2 - (1-x)x^3e]c_2}{(1-x)^4x - (1-x)^3x^2e - j(1-x)^3x^2 + hx(1-x)^4 - mx^2(1-x)^3} \\ &= \frac{(1-x)[(1+h) - (1+e+j+h)x]c_1 + x[1 - (1+e)x]c_2}{(1-x)^2[(1+h) - (1+e+j+h+m)x]}. \end{aligned}$$



Thus, Corollary 4 is proved.

**COROLLARY 5.** (The confluent hypergeometric monodiffic difference equation)  
The equation is of the form

$$z^{(1)} \circ \frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + (h - z^{(1)}) \circ \frac{df}{dz} - mf(z) = 0 \quad (6.9)$$

with  $f(\hat{0}) = c_1$  and  $f'(\hat{0}) = c_2$ , where  $h$  and  $m$  are complex numbers. Corresponding to its solution of (6.9), the formal power series is

$$\phi_f = \frac{[1 + h - (2 + h)x]c_1 + c_2 x}{(1 - x)[1 + h - (2 + h + m)x]}. \quad (6.10)$$

**PROOF.** This equation is obtained from the Gauss monodiffic difference equation (6.7) by confluence, i.e., by letting  $e \rightarrow 0$  and  $j \rightarrow 1$ . So, (6.10) is obtained immediately from (6.8). Or, in another way, it is essentially the same as the Laguerre monodiffic difference equation (6.3). That is, in (6.4) if we take  $h = 1 - \alpha$  and  $m = -(\alpha + \lambda)$  then (6.4) becomes (6.10).

## 7. Applications

As for its applications to our main result, we shall define monodiffic exponential, sine and cosine functions as follows;

**DEFINITION 6.** Monodiffic exponential, sine and cosine functions are defined respectively as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{a,z} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} z^{(n)} \\ \sin az &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{a^{2n+1} z^{(2n+1)}}{(2n+1)!} \\ \cos az &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{a^{2n} z^{(2n)}}{(2n)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$  is a complex number.

**PROPOSITION 7.** Corresponding to monodiffic exponential, sine and cosine functions, their formal power series are

$$\phi_{e^{a,z}} = \frac{1}{1 - (1 + \alpha)x} \quad (7.1)$$

$$\phi_{\sin az} = \frac{ax}{(1-x)^2 + a^2 x^2} \quad (7.2)$$

$$\phi_{\cos az} = \frac{1-x}{(1-x)^2 + a^2 x^2}. \quad (7.3)$$

PROOF.

$$\phi_{e^{a,z}} = \phi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} z^{(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax)^n}{(1-x)^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{1-(1+a)x}.$$

Thus (7.1) is proved.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\sin az} &= \phi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(ax)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(ax)^{2n+1}}{(1-x)^{2n+2}} \\ &= \frac{ax}{(1-x)^2 + a^2 x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (7.2). Similarly we have (7.3).

This monodiffic exponential function  $e^{a,z} = (1+a)^x(1+ia)^y$  for  $z = x + iy$  and  $a \in C$  was introduced by Issacs [1].

EXAMPLE 1. The solution of the equation

$$\frac{df}{dz} - mf(z) = 0 \quad \text{with } f(\hat{0}) = 1 \quad (7.4)$$

is give by  $f(z) = e^{m,z}$ .

PROOF. In (5.1), we take  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 0, k(z) = 0, H(z) = 0, g(z) = 0, b = 1, c = 0$  and  $d = -m$ . Then (5.2) becomes

$$\phi_f = \frac{x}{x(1-x) - mx^2} = \frac{1}{1-(1+m)x} = \phi_{e^{m,z}}.$$

Therefore, we have  $f(z) = e^{m,z}$ .

EXAMPLE 2. The solution of the equation

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + m^2 f(z) = 0 \quad \text{with } f(\hat{0}) = 0 \text{ and } f'(\hat{0}) = m \quad (7.5)$$

is given by  $f(z) = \sin mz$ .

PROOF. In (5.1), we take  $k(z) = 1, a = b = c = 0, d = m^2, c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = m$ . Then (5.2) becomes

$$\phi_f = \frac{m(1-x)x\phi_1}{(1-x)^3\phi_1 + m^2x^2}.$$

Since  $\phi_1 = \frac{1}{1-x}$ , we have  $\phi_f = \frac{mx}{(1-x)^2 + m^2x^2}$ . By (7.2),  $\phi_f = \phi_{\sin mz}$  i.e.  $f(z) = \sin mz$ . Similarly, we have example 3.

EXAMPLE 3. The solution of the equation

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + m^2 f(z) = 0 \quad \text{with } f(\hat{0}) = 1 \text{ and } f'(\hat{0}) = 0$$

is given by  $f(z) = \cos mz$ .

PROPOSITION 8.

$$(1) \quad \cos az = \frac{e^{ia,z} + e^{-ia,z}}{2}$$

$$(2) \quad \sin az = \frac{e^{ia,z} - e^{-ia,z}}{2i}$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \cos az = -a \sin az$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \sin az = a \cos az$$

$$(5) \quad e^{ia,z} = \cos az + i \sin az.$$

PROOF. These facts follow directly from Proposition 7.

#### REFERENCES

1. R.P.Issacs, *Monodiffic functions*, Natl.Bur.Stand.Appl.Math.Ser.18 (1952), 257-266.
2. G.Berzsenyi, *Convolution product of monodiffic functions*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 37 (1972), 271-287.
3. S.T.Tu, *Monodiffic function theory and formal power series*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 84 (1981), 595-613.
4. K.Yosida, "Operational Calculus (A theory of hyper functions)," Springer-Verlag, 1984.

Shin Tong Tu  
Department of Mathematics  
Chung Yuan Christian University  
Chung Li, Taiwan  
R.O.C.