

## ON TRIVIALITIES OF STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES OF VECTOR BUNDLES OVER ITERATED SUSPENSION SPACES

RYUICHI TANAKA

(communicated by Brooke Shipley)

### Abstract

A space  $B$  is described as  $W$ -trivial if for every vector bundle over  $B$ , all the Stiefel-Whitney classes vanish. We prove that if  $B$  is a 9-fold suspension, then  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial. We also determine all pairs  $(k, n)$  of positive integers for which  $\Sigma^k FP^n$  is  $W$ -trivial, where  $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ .

### 1. Introduction and results

A space  $B$  is called  $W$ -trivial if  $W(\alpha) = 1$  holds for every vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $B$ . Here  $W(\alpha)$  denotes the total Stiefel-Whitney class of  $\alpha$ . If  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial, then a kind of Borsuk-Ulam type theorem holds for every vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $B$ ; precisely, for any integer  $i$  with  $i > \dim \alpha$ , there does not exist a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -map from  $S^{i-1}$  to  $S(\alpha)$ , the sphere bundle of  $\alpha$  [6, Proposition 2.2]. Thus it would be interesting to ask whether a space is  $W$ -trivial or not. As is well-known, the sphere  $S^n$  is  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $n \neq 1, 2, 4, 8$  (see [5]). Obviously, the projective space  $FP^n$ , where  $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ , is not  $W$ -trivial for any  $n > 0$ . For the stunted projective space  $FP_m^n$ , all  $(m, n)$  for which  $FP_m^n$  is  $W$ -trivial were determined in [9]; roughly speaking,  $FP_m^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $m$  is very small compared with  $n$ .

As is seen in the case  $B = S^n$ , it is not true that if  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial, then its suspension  $\Sigma B$  is also  $W$ -trivial. In this paper, we first prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a space  $B$ , its 8-fold suspension  $\Sigma^8 B$  is  $W$ -trivial if either of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1)  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial.
- (2) The cup product in  $\tilde{H}^*(B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is trivial.

In general, the cup product in  $\tilde{H}^*(\Sigma B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is trivial, so that from the above theorem, we immediately obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.2.** *For any space  $B$ , its 9-fold suspension  $\Sigma^9 B$  is  $W$ -trivial.*

As is easily seen by using the suspension theorem, a  $k$ -connected complex  $B$  with  $\dim B \leq 2k + 1$  is homotopy equivalent to the suspension of a  $(k - 1)$ -connected complex of dimension  $\dim B - 1$ . By iterating this, we see that a  $k$ -connected complex

---

Received March 15, 2010, revised March 28, 2010; published on May 3, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55R50, 55S05.

Key words and phrases: Stiefel-Whitney class, vector bundle, squaring operation.

This article is available at <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v12/n1/a18>

Copyright © 2010, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

$B$  is homotopy equivalent to the 9-fold suspension of a  $(k - 9)$ -connected complex ( $k > 9$ ) if  $\dim B \leq 2k - 7$ . Therefore, from Corollary 1.2, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -connected complex with  $k > 9$ . If  $\dim B \leq 2k - 7$ , then  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial.*

This corollary greatly improves Theorem 1.3 in [8]. Since the smallest integer  $i$  such that  $w_i(\alpha) \neq 0$  is a power of 2 (see [8, Lemma 2.1]), the above corollary is actually useful only when  $k \geq 12$ . For example, we see that a 16-dimensional complex is  $W$ -trivial if it is 12-connected. It should be also noted that the 16-dimensional stunted projective space  $\mathbb{R}P_k^{16}$  is  $W$ -trivial for  $9 < k < 16$  while  $\mathbb{R}P_9^{16}$  is not  $W$ -trivial (see [8, Theorem 4.1]).

Next, in this paper, we investigate whether  $\Sigma^k FP^n$  is  $W$ -trivial or not, where  $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ . Because of Corollary 1.2, our interests are only in the case when  $0 < k \leq 8$ . For  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For positive integers  $k$  and  $n$ , the  $k$ -fold suspension  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $k$  and  $n$  satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1)  $k = 1, 2, 4$  or  $8$  and  $n \geq k$ .
- (2)  $k = 3, 5$  or  $7$  and  $n + k = 4$  or  $8$ .
- (3)  $k = 6$  and  $n = 2$  or  $3$ .

This result shows that the condition  $k \geq 9$  is best possible for  $\Sigma^k B$  to be  $W$ -trivial in general.

For  $F = \mathbb{C}$  and  $F = \mathbb{H}$ , we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For positive integers  $k, n$  with  $n > 1$ , the  $k$ -fold suspension  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $k = 2$  or  $4$ .*

**Theorem 1.6.** *For positive integers  $k, n$  with  $n > 1$ , the  $k$ -fold suspension  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{H}P^n$  of  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $k = 4$ .*

It is worth noting that the  $W$ -triviality of  $\Sigma^k FP^n$  does not depend on  $n$  for  $F = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Throughout this paper, all cohomology is assumed to have coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  unless otherwise stated. The total Stiefel-Whitney class of  $\alpha$  is denoted by  $W(\alpha)$ , and the total Chern class by  $C(\alpha)$ .

The following two lemmas are straightforward to show but they are of fundamental importance for our proofs of theorems.

**Lemma 1.7.**

- (1) If  $\widetilde{KO}(B) = 0$ , then  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial.
- (2) Let  $f: B \rightarrow X$  be a map and suppose that  $X$  is  $W$ -trivial. If  $f^*: \widetilde{KO}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(B)$  is epimorphic, then  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial.

**Lemma 1.8.**

- (1) If  $H^{2^r}(B) = 0$  for all  $r \geq 0$ , then  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial.
- (2) Let  $f: X \rightarrow B$  be a map and suppose that  $X$  is  $W$ -trivial. If  $f^*: H^{2^r}(B) \rightarrow H^{2^r}(X)$  is monomorphic for all  $r \geq 0$ , then  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We use the Bott periodicity theorem for  $KO$ -theory. Let  $j: S^8 \times B \rightarrow \Sigma^8 B$  denote the quotient map and let  $p_1: S^8 \times B \rightarrow S^8$  and  $p_2: S^8 \times B \rightarrow B$  denote the projections. Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary vector bundle over  $\Sigma^8 B$ . By the Bott periodicity theorem, we see that  $j^*\alpha$  is stably equivalent to  $p_1^*(\nu - 8) \otimes p_2^*(\beta - m)$  for some vector bundle  $\beta$  over  $B$ . Here  $\nu$  denotes the Hopf vector bundle over  $S^8$  and  $m = \dim \beta$ . Then, we have

$$j^*W(\alpha) = W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) \cdot W(p_1^*\nu)^{-m} \cdot W(p_2^*\beta)^{-8}. \tag{*}$$

We compute this and show that  $W(\alpha) = 1$ . Note that  $W(p_1^*\nu) = p_1^*W(\nu) = 1 + s \times 1$ , where  $s$  denotes the generator of  $H^8(S^8)$ . Let

$$W(p_1^*\nu) = \prod_{i=1}^8 (1 + s_i) \quad \text{and} \quad W(p_2^*\beta) = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + t_j)$$

be formal factorizations of  $W(p_1^*\nu)$  and  $W(p_2^*\beta)$ . Then, by an analogous formula to Formula III of Theorem 4.4.3 in [4], we have  $W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) = \prod_{i,j} (1 + s_i + t_j)$ . We first calculate the product for  $i$ 's by using  $\prod_{i=1}^8 (1 + s_i) = 1 + s \times 1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^8 ((1 + t_j) + s_i) &= \sum_{k=0}^8 (1 + t_j)^{8-k} \lambda_k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_8) \\ &= (1 + t_j)^8 + s_1 s_2 \cdots s_8 \\ &= 1 + t_j^8 + s \times 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_k$  denotes the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree  $k$  and we used the fact that  $\lambda_k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_8) = 0$  for  $0 < k < 8$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) &= \prod_{j=1}^m ((1 + s \times 1) + t_j^8) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m (1 + s \times 1)^{m-k} \lambda_k(t_1^8, t_2^8, \dots, t_m^8). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume that the cup product in  $\tilde{H}^*(B)$  is trivial. Then, we clearly have  $W(\beta)^2 = 1$ , so that  $W(p_2^*\beta)^8 = p_2^*W(\beta)^8 = 1$ . This implies that  $\prod_{j=1}^m (1 + t_j^8) = 1$ , so that  $\lambda_k(t_1^8, t_2^8, \dots, t_m^8) = 0$  for every  $k > 0$ . Therefore we have

$$W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) = (1 + s \times 1)^m.$$

Substituting these results into (\*), we obtain

$$j^*W(\alpha) = (1 + s \times 1)^m \cdot (1 + s \times 1)^{-m} \cdot 1^{-1} = 1. \tag{**}$$

Since  $j^*: H^*(\Sigma^8 B) \rightarrow H^*(S^8 \times B)$  is monomorphic, we conclude that  $W(\alpha) = 1$ . Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 under the assumption (2) is completed.

The proof under the assumption (1) is quite similar. Since  $W(p_2^*\beta) = 1$  from the assumption that  $B$  is  $W$ -trivial, we may regard all the  $t_j$ 's as zeros in our previous calculations. Then we obtain  $W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) = (1 + s \times 1)^m$  and have the same result as (\*\*). Thus the theorem under the assumption (1) follows.

Here we prepare the following lemma, which will be used to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in later sections.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $d$  and  $m$  be positive integers with  $d \leq m$ .*

- (1) *If  $\gamma$  is a vector bundle over  $S^d$  with  $\dim \gamma = m$  and  $\beta$  is a line bundle over  $B$ , then in  $H^*(S^d \times B)$  we have*

$$W((p_1^* \gamma - m) \otimes (p_2^* \beta - 1)) = 1 + w_d(\gamma) \times ((1 + w_1(\beta))^{-d} - 1),$$

where  $p_1: S^d \times B \rightarrow S^d$  and  $p_2: S^d \times B \rightarrow B$  are the projections.

- (2) *If  $\gamma$  is a complex vector bundle over  $S^{2d}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \gamma = m$  and  $\beta$  is a complex line bundle over  $B$ , then in  $H^*(S^{2d} \times B; \mathbb{Z})$  we have*

$$C((p_1^* \gamma - m) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \beta - 1)) = 1 + c_d(\gamma) \times ((1 + c_1(\beta))^{-d} - 1),$$

where  $p_1: S^{2d} \times B \rightarrow S^{2d}$  and  $p_2: S^{2d} \times B \rightarrow B$  are the projections.

*Proof.* We prove only (1) since the proof of (2) is quite similar. Let us put  $w_d(\gamma) = s$  and  $w_1(\beta) = t$ . Let  $W(p_1^* \gamma) = 1 + s \times 1 = 1^{m-d} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + s_i)$  and  $W(p_2^* \beta) = 1 + 1 \times t = 1 + t_1$  be formal factorizations. Then, just like before, we can calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W(p_1^* \gamma \otimes p_2^* \beta) &= (1 + t_1)^{m-d} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + s_i + t_1) \\ &= (1 + t_1)^{m-d} \cdot ((1 + t_1)^d + s \times 1) \\ &= (1 + 1 \times t)^m \cdot (1 + s \times (1 + t)^{-d}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W((p_1^* \gamma - m) \otimes (p_2^* \beta - 1)) &= W(p_1^* \gamma \otimes p_2^* \beta) \cdot W(p_2^* \beta)^{-m} \cdot W(p_1^* \gamma)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + s \times (1 + t)^{-d}) \cdot (1 + s \times 1)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + s \times (1 + t)^{-d}) \cdot (1 - s \times 1) \\ &= 1 + s \times ((1 + t)^{-d} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma follows.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we investigate whether  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial or not. Since  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial for  $k > 8$  by Corollary 1.2, our interests are only in the case when  $0 < k \leq 8$ . We divide into three cases: (1)  $k = 1, 2, 4$  or  $8$ , (2)  $k = 3, 5$  or  $7$  and (3)  $k = 6$ .

First we consider the case when  $k = 1, 2, 4$  or  $8$ . The result is as follows.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $d = 1, 2, 4$  or  $8$ . Then  $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $n \geq d$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that for a vector bundle  $\alpha$ , the smallest integer  $i$  such that  $w_i(\alpha) \neq 0$  is a power of 2 (see [8, Lemma 2.1]). If  $n < d$ , then  $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$  has no cells of dimension a power of 2, so that  $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial. Now, let us consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longleftarrow \widehat{KO}(S^d \vee \mathbb{R}P^n) \xleftarrow{i^*} \widehat{KO}(S^d \times \mathbb{R}P^n) \xleftarrow{j^*} \widehat{KO}(\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n) \longleftarrow 0,$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are obvious maps. Let  $\nu$  denote the Hopf vector bundle over  $S^d$  and let  $\xi$  denote the canonical line bundle over  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . Since  $i^*((p_1^*\nu - d) \otimes (p_2^*\xi - 1)) = 0$ , there is a vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $\Sigma^d\mathbb{R}P^n$  such that  $j^*\alpha$  is stably equivalent to  $(p_1^*\nu - d) \otimes (p_2^*\xi - 1)$ . By Lemma 2.1, in  $H^*(S^d \times \mathbb{R}P^n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(j^*\alpha) &= 1 + s \times ((1 + t)^{-d} - 1) \\ &= 1 + s \times (t^d + t^{2d} + t^{3d} + \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where  $s$  and  $t$  denote the generator of  $H^d(S^d)$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$  respectively. Hence, we see that  $j^*W(\alpha) \neq 1$  if  $n \geq d$ . We thus conclude that  $W(\alpha) \neq 1$ , so that  $\Sigma^d\mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if  $n \geq d$ .  $\square$

Before we consider the second case, we prepare a few lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{R}P^{2^m-k}$  is  $W$ -trivial, then  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial for any integer  $n$  with  $2^m - k < n < 2^{m+1} - k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i: \Sigma^k\mathbb{R}P^{2^m-k} \rightarrow \Sigma^k\mathbb{R}P^n$  be the inclusion map. If  $2^m < n + k < 2^{m+1}$ , then  $i^*: H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k\mathbb{R}P^{2^m-k})$  is monomorphic for all  $r \geq 0$  for dimensional reasons. Therefore, the lemma follows from Lemma 1.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a vector bundle over a complex  $B$ . Let  $r$  be an integer with  $r \geq 2$  and suppose that  $w_i(\alpha) = 0$  for  $0 < i < 2^r$ . Then we have  $\text{Sq}^j w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$  for  $0 < j < 2^{r-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We put  $2^{r-1} = m$  and consider the inclusion  $i: B^{(3m)} \hookrightarrow B$ , where  $B^{(3m)}$  is the  $3m$ -skeleton of  $B$ . For dimensional reasons, the induced bundle  $i^*\alpha$  is stably equivalent to some  $3m$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\beta$ . Then we clearly have  $W(i^*\alpha) = W(\beta)$ . We denote by  $P(\beta)$  the associated projective bundle of  $\beta$ , and by  $e$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Euler class of the line bundle  $\beta \rightarrow P(\beta)$ . The cohomology  $H^*(P(\beta))$  is a free  $H^*(B^{(3m)})$ -module generated by  $1, e, e^2, \dots, e^{3m-1}$ , in which we have the relation  $e^{3m} = \sum_{i=0}^{3m-1} w_{3m-i}(\beta) \cdot e^i$ . Since we have  $w_i(\beta) = i^*w_i(\alpha) = 0$  for  $0 < i < 2m$  by the assumption, we can write this relation as  $e^{3m} = w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \dots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m$ , where we have abbreviated  $w_i(\beta)$  as  $w_i$ . We apply the total squaring operation  $\text{Sq} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \text{Sq}^i$  to this relation. Since  $\text{Sq}(e^i) = (\text{Sq}e)^i = (e + e^2)^i = e^i(1 + e)^i$ , we obtain the following equation:

$$e^{3m}(1 + e)^{3m} = \text{Sq} w_{3m} + \text{Sq} w_{3m-1} \cdot e(1 + e) + \dots + \text{Sq} w_{2m} \cdot e^m(1 + e)^m. \quad (***)$$

In this equation, we like to compare the coefficients of  $e^j$ 's. To do this, we must rewrite the left-hand side of (\*\*\*) so that all summands have exponents of  $e$  less than  $3m$ . We calculate using the previous relation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{3m}(1 + e)^{3m} &= e^{3m}(1 + e^m + e^{2m} + e^{3m}) \\ &= e^{3m}(1 + e^m) + (e^{3m} - w_{2m} \cdot e^m)e^{2m} + w_{2m} \cdot e^{3m} + (e^{3m})^2 \\ &= (w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \dots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m)(1 + e^m) \\ &\quad + (w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \dots + w_{2m+1} \cdot e^{m-1})e^{2m} \\ &\quad + w_{2m}(w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \dots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m) \\ &\quad + (w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \dots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m)^2. \end{aligned}$$

With this expression of the left-hand side of (\*\*\*), we can compare the coefficients of  $e^j$ 's for  $j < 3m$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $e^{2m}$ , we obtain  $\text{Sq} w_{2m} = w_{2m} + w_{3m} + w_{2m}^2$ . Hence we have  $\text{Sq}^j w_{2m} = 0$  for  $0 < j < m$  and  $\text{Sq}^m w_{2m} = w_{3m}$ . We here recall that  $w_i = i^* w_i(\alpha)$ . Since  $i^*: H^i(B) \rightarrow H^i(B^{(3m)})$  is monomorphic for  $i \leq 3m$ , we conclude that  $\text{Sq}^j w_{2m}(\alpha) = 0$  for  $0 < j < m$  and  $\text{Sq}^m w_{2m}(\alpha) = w_{3m}(\alpha)$ . Thus the lemma follows.  $\square$

*Remark.* When  $w_i = 0$  for  $0 < i < 2^r$ , Wu's formula [10] turns out to be  $\text{Sq}^j w_{2^r} = \binom{2^r-1}{j} w_{2^r+j} = w_{2^r+j}$  ( $0 < j < 2^r$ ). Lemma 3.3 implies that this is zero for  $0 < j < 2^{r-1}$ . We also remark that there is a vector bundle over  $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{H}P^2$  such that  $w_8 \neq 0$  and  $w_{12} \neq 0$  (see [8, Theorem 4.5]). Thus our result is best possible at least for  $r = 3$ .

Now, we consider the second case:  $k = 3, 5$  or  $7$ . The result is as follows.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $k = 3, 5$  or  $7$ . Then  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $n + k = 4$  or  $8$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the cofibration  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n \xrightarrow{j} S^{n+k}$ . First, let  $n + k = 8$ . Since  $S^8$  is not  $W$ -trivial and  $j^*: H^8(S^8) \rightarrow H^8(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n)$  is monomorphic, it follows from Lemma 1.8 that  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial. Similarly  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial when  $n + k = 4$ . Thus the "if" part of the proposition follows. Next, we suppose  $n + k \neq 4, 8$  and show that  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial. Our proof is divided into two cases.

*Case 1:  $n + k \geq 16$ .*

First we consider the case when  $n + k = 2^r$  with  $r \geq 4$ . In this case, we have  $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) = 0$  by [3, Theorem 1] since  $k = 3, 5, 7$  and  $n + k - 1 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . Hence  $j^*: \widetilde{KO}(S^{2^r}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n)$  is epimorphic. Since  $S^{2^r}$  is  $W$ -trivial for  $r \geq 4$ , it follows from Lemma 1.7 that  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial, that is,  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{2^r-k}$  is  $W$ -trivial for all  $r \geq 4$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we see that  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial for all  $n \geq 16 - k$ .

*Case 2:  $k + 1 \leq n + k < 16$  ( $n + k \neq 4, 8$ ).*

Let  $\alpha$  be a vector bundle over  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  and let  $r$  be the smallest integer such that  $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$  is (possibly) non-zero. Then we obviously have  $r = 2$  or  $3$  when  $k = 3$ , and  $r = 3$  when  $k = 5, 7$ . Also, note that  $2^r < n + k$  from our assumption  $n + k \neq 4, 8$ . From Lemma 3.3, we must have  $\text{Sq}^1 w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $k$  is odd and  $2^r < n + k$ ,  $\text{Sq}^1: H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow H^{2^r+1}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n)$  is non-trivial. Therefore, we have  $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ . We thus obtain  $W(\alpha) = 1$  and conclude that  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $W$ -trivial if  $n + k < 16$  ( $n + k \neq 4, 8$ ). This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

Finally, we consider the third case:  $k = 6$ . The result is as follows.

**Proposition 3.5.**  *$\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$  is not  $W$ -trivial if and only if  $n = 2$  or  $3$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to that of the preceding proposition. Considering the cofibration  $S^7 \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{j} S^8$ , we see that  $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2$  is not  $W$ -trivial in exactly the same way as before. Let us consider the cofibration  $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^3 \xrightarrow{j} S^9$ . Since  $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2)$  is a finite group (precisely,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ), we see from the exact sequence that  $i^*: \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^3) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2)$  is epimorphic. Since  $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2$  is not  $W$ -trivial, as shown above, it follows from Lemma 1.7 that  $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^3$  is not  $W$ -trivial either. Thus

the “if” part of the proposition follows. Next, we show that  $\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n$  is W-trivial for  $n \neq 2, 3$ .

*Case 1:  $n \geq 10$ .*

By [3, Theorem 1], we have  $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) = 0$  when  $n + 6 = 2^r$  ( $r \geq 4$ ). Hence  $j^* : \widetilde{KO}(S^{2^r}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n)$  is epimorphic, from which we see by Lemma 1.7 that  $\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n$  is W-trivial when  $n + 6 = 2^r$  ( $r \geq 4$ ). Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n$  is W-trivial for all  $n \geq 10$ .

*Case 2:  $1 \leq n < 10$  ( $n \neq 2, 3$ ).*

Obviously  $\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n$  is W-trivial when  $n = 1$ . So we suppose that  $n \geq 4$ . For a vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n$ , the smallest integer such that  $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$  is (possibly) non-zero is 8. Hence, from Lemma 3.3, we have  $Sq^j w_8(\alpha) = 0$  for  $0 < j < 4$ . Since  $Sq^1$  acts trivially on  $H^8(\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n)$ , we use  $Sq^2$  in place of  $Sq^1$ . Indeed,  $Sq^2 : H^8(\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow H^{10}(\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n)$  is non-trivial since  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore, we have  $w_8(\alpha) = 0$ , so that we obtain  $W(\alpha) = 1$ . Thus  $\Sigma^6\mathbb{R}P^n$  is W-trivial when  $4 \leq n < 10$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed by Propositions 3.1, 3.4 and 3.5.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section, we investigate whether or not  $\Sigma^kFP^n$  is W-trivial for  $F = \mathbb{H}$ . Because of Corollary 1.2, we have only to consider the case when  $0 < k \leq 8$ . Then, unless  $k = 4$  or  $8$ ,  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{H}P^n$  has no cells of dimension a power of 2, so that we have  $H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k\mathbb{H}P^n) = 0$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . Thus, from Lemma 1.8, the possibility for  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{H}P^n$  not to be W-trivial is only when  $k = 4$  or  $8$ . Therefore, Theorem 1.6 follows if we prove the following proposition.

##### Proposition 4.1.

- (1)  $\Sigma^4\mathbb{H}P^n$  is not W-trivial for all  $n > 1$ .
- (2)  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^n$  is W-trivial for all  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* First, let us consider the cofibration  $S^8 \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^4\mathbb{H}P^n \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma^4(\mathbb{H}P^n/S^4)$ . Since  $\Sigma^3(\mathbb{H}P^n/S^4)$  has cells only of dimension 3 or 7 modulo 8, we have  $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^3(\mathbb{H}P^n/S^4)) = 0$  from the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence [2]. Hence,  $i^* : \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^4\mathbb{H}P^n) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^8)$  is epimorphic. Since  $S^8$  is not W-trivial, it follows from Lemma 1.7 that  $\Sigma^4\mathbb{H}P^n$  is not W-trivial. This proves (1).

Next we prove (2). Let us consider the cofibration

$$\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^n \xrightarrow{j} S^{4n+8}.$$

Since  $\widetilde{KO}(S^{4n+7}) = 0$ ,  $i^* : \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^n) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{n-1})$  is epimorphic. Hence, we see that if  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^n$  is W-trivial, then  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{n-1}$  is also W-trivial. Thus, it suffices to prove that  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{2^m}$  is W-trivial for all  $m \geq 3$ . Now, let  $\alpha$  be a vector bundle over  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{2^m}$ . Abusing notation, let  $i$  denote the inclusion  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{2^m}$ . From [7, Theorem 4.3],  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^2$  is W-trivial. Since  $i^* : H^{16}(\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^{2^m}) \rightarrow H^{16}(\Sigma^8\mathbb{H}P^2)$  is monomorphic, we obtain  $w_{16}(\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $r$  be the smallest integer such that  $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$  is (possibly) non-zero. Then, we have  $r \geq 5$  from the above argument. Also note

that  $r \leq m + 2$  since  $2^r \leq 8 + 4 \cdot 2^m$  and  $r \geq 5$ . Now let us consider the operation  $\text{Sq}^8 : H^{2r}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m}) \rightarrow H^{2r+8}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m})$ . Since  $\binom{2^{r-2}-2}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $r \leq m + 2$ , this operation is non-trivial. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, we have  $\text{Sq}^8 w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$  since  $r \geq 5$ . Therefore, we obtain  $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$  and conclude that  $W(\alpha) = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Finally, in this section, we investigate whether  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$  is W-trivial or not. If  $k$  is odd, then  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$  has no cells of dimension a power of 2. Thus, from Lemma 1.8 and Corollary 1.2, the possibility of  $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$  being not W-trivial is only when  $k = 2, 4, 6$  or 8. For  $k = 2$  or 4, we have the following result.

**Proposition 5.1.**  $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$  are not W-trivial for all  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* First we consider  $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$ . Analogously to the proof of Proposition 3.1, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \tilde{K}(S^2 \vee \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}(S^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n) \leftarrow 0$$

and the stable class of  $(p_1^* \nu - 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)$ . Here,  $\nu$  is the Hopf vector bundle over  $S^2$  considered as a complex (line) bundle, while  $\eta$  is the canonical complex line bundle over  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then, we can take a complex vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$  such that  $j^* \alpha$  is stably equivalent to  $(p_1^* \nu - 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)$ . By Lemma 2.1, in  $H^*(S^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C(j^* \alpha) &= C((p_1^* \nu - 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)) \\ &= 1 + c_1(\nu) \times ((1 + c_1(\eta))^{-1} - 1) \\ &= 1 + s \times (-t + t^2 - t^3 + \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where  $s$  and  $t$  are generators of  $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$ , respectively. Hence we have  $j^* c_2(\alpha) = -s \times t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore we have  $w_4(\alpha) \neq 0$ , so that  $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$  is not W-trivial for  $n \geq 1$ .

Similarly for  $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$ , let us consider the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \tilde{K}(S^4 \vee \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}(S^4 \times \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}(\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n) \leftarrow 0.$$

Let  $\nu_2$  be a complex vector bundle whose stable class is a generator of  $\tilde{K}(S^4)$ . We can take  $\nu_2$  as  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \nu_2 = 2$ . From the previous argument, considering  $S^4$  as  $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^1$ , we see that  $c_2(\nu_2) = -s_2$ , where  $s_2$  is the generator of  $H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to  $s \times t$ . Now we take a complex vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$  such that  $j^* \alpha$  is stably equivalent to  $(p_1^* \nu_2 - 2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)$ . By Lemma 2.1, in  $H^*(S^4 \times \mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(j^* \alpha) &= C((p_1^* \nu_2 - 2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)) \\ &= 1 + c_2(\nu_2) \times ((1 + c_1(\eta))^{-2} - 1) \\ &= 1 - s_2 \times (-2t + 3t^2 - 4t^3 + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $j^* c_4(\alpha) = -3 s_2 \times t^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore we have  $w_8(\alpha) \neq 0$ , so that  $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$  is not W-trivial for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$



Here, before we proceed to consider  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n$  for  $k = 6$  or  $8$ , we need to prepare a lemma concerning Steenrod operations. For a non-negative integer  $m$ , let  $\alpha(m)$  denote the number of ones in the dyadic expansion of  $m$ . It is easy to see that if  $m$  and  $\ell$  are positive integers such that  $\binom{m}{\ell} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , then  $\alpha(m + \ell) \leq \alpha(m)$ . Also, we clearly have  $\alpha(2^{\ell+1} - k) = \alpha(2^\ell - k) + 1$  for any integer  $k$  with  $0 < k \leq 2^\ell$ , whence we have  $\alpha(2^{r-1} - k) > \alpha(2^{j-1} - k)$  for positive integers  $j$  and  $r$  with  $j < r$ . Thus, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $k > 0$ , any Steenrod operation  $\varphi: H^{2^j}(\Sigma^{2^k}\mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow H^{2^r}(\Sigma^{2^k}\mathbb{C}P^n)$  is trivial for  $j < r$ .*

Now, we are ready to consider  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n$  for  $k = 6$  or  $8$ . We have the following result.

**Proposition 5.3.**  *$\Sigma^6\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\Sigma^8\mathbb{C}P^n$  are  $W$ -trivial for all  $n > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be a vector bundle over  $\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n$ , where  $k = 6$  or  $8$ , and let  $r$  be the smallest integer such that  $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$  is (possibly) non-zero. Clearly we have  $r \geq 3$  when  $k = 6$ , and  $r \geq 4$  when  $k = 8$ . Since  $\text{Sq}^2 w_8(\alpha) = 0$  by Lemma 3.3 and also  $\text{Sq}^2: H^8(\Sigma^6\mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow H^{10}(\Sigma^6\mathbb{C}P^n)$  is non-trivial, we have  $w_8(\alpha) = 0$ . So we may suppose that  $r \geq 4$  also when  $k = 6$ . To prove  $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$  for  $r \geq 4$ , the above method fails depending on the value of  $n + k$ . So we use secondary operations. Let  $T(\alpha)$  be the Thom space of  $\alpha$  and denote the Thom class by  $U$ ;  $U \in H^m(D(\alpha), S(\alpha)) = \tilde{H}^m(T(\alpha))$ , where  $m = \dim \alpha$ . Since  $\text{Sq}^\ell U = w_\ell(\alpha)U$  ( $\ell > 0$ ), we have  $\text{Sq}^\ell U = 0$  for  $\ell < 2^r$ . Since  $r \geq 4$ , secondary operations on  $U$  are defined. Indeed, for integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i \leq j < r$  ( $i \neq j - 1$ ),  $\Phi_{i,j}(U) \in H^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha))$  is defined with an indeterminacy  $Q^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha); i, j)$ , where  $d(i, j) = 2^i + 2^j - 1$ , and the following formula holds:

$$[\text{Sq}^{2^r} U] = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j < r \\ i \neq j - 1}} a_{i,j} \Phi_{i,j}(U) \text{ modulo } \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j < r \\ i \neq j - 1}} a_{i,j} Q^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha); i, j),$$

where each  $a_{i,j}$  is a certain Steenrod operation (see [1, Theorem 4.6.1]). Now let us investigate each summand in this decomposition of  $\text{Sq}^{2^r} U$ . We divide into two cases depending on whether  $i$  is zero or not.

*Case 1:  $i \neq 0$ .*

In this case,  $d(i, j)$  is odd, so that we have  $H^{d(i,j)}(\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n) = 0$  ( $k = 6, 8$ ). Hence, by the Thom isomorphism, we have  $H^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha)) = 0$ , so that  $\Phi_{i,j}(U) = 0$  and  $Q^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha); i, j) = 0$ .

*Case 2:  $i = 0$ .*

In this case,  $d(i, j) = 2^j$ . Therefore, it follows that  $a_{i,j}$  is an operation  $H^{m+2^j}(T(\alpha)) \rightarrow H^{m+2^r}(T(\alpha))$ . We claim that the following diagram commutes, where the vertical maps are the Thom isomorphisms.

$$\begin{CD} H^{m+2^j}(T(\alpha)) @>a_{i,j}>> H^{m+2^r}(T(\alpha)) \\ @A\cong AA @AA\cong A \\ H^{2^j}(\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n) @>a_{i,j}>> H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n). \end{CD}$$

In fact, for  $x \in H^*(\Sigma^k\mathbb{C}P^n)$  and  $h \leq 2^r - 2^j$ , we have  $\text{Sq}^h(xU) = \text{Sq}^h x \cdot U$  by the

Cartan formula since  $\text{Sq}^\ell U = w_\ell(\alpha)U = 0$  for  $0 < \ell < 2^r$ . Thus we have  $a_{i,j}(xU) = a_{i,j}x \cdot U$  for  $x \in H^{2^j}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n)$ , so that the diagram commutes. Now, in the above diagram, the lower  $a_{i,j}$  is trivial by Lemma 5.2. Therefore, we see that the upper  $a_{i,j}$  is also trivial.

Therefore, from the arguments in Cases 1 and 2, we obtain  $[\text{Sq}^{2^r} U] = 0$  modulo 0, that is,  $\text{Sq}^{2^r} U = 0$ . Since  $\text{Sq}^{2^r} U = w_{2^r}(\alpha)U$ , we conclude that  $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed by Propositions 5.1 and 5.3.

## References

- [1] J.F. Adams, On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one, *Ann. of Math.* **72** (1960), no. 1, 20–104.
- [2] M.F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **III**, Differential Geometry (1961), 7–38.
- [3] M. Fujii,  $K_O$ -groups of projective spaces, *Osaka J. Math.* **4** (1967), no. 1, 141–149.
- [4] F. Hirzebruch, *Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1956.
- [5] J. Milnor, Some consequences of a theorem of Bott, *Ann. of Math.* **68** (1958), no. 2, 444–449.
- [6] R. Tanaka, On the index and co-index of sphere bundles, *Kyushu J. Math.* **57** (2003), no. 2, 371–382.
- [7] R. Tanaka, A fiberwise analogue of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem for sphere bundles over a 2-cell complex II, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), no. 15, 2849–2855.
- [8] R. Tanaka, On trivialities of Stiefel-Whitney classes of vector bundles over highly connected complexes, *Topology Appl.* **155** (2008), no. 15, 1687–1693.
- [9] R. Tanaka, A Borsuk-Ulam type theorem for sphere bundles over stunted projective spaces, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009), no. 5, 932–938.
- [10] W.-t. Wu, Les  $i$ -carrés dans une variété grassmannienne, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **230** (1950), 918–920.

Ryuichi Tanaka [tanaka\\_ryuichi@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp](mailto:tanaka_ryuichi@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan