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- Due to a programming slip, the example in Remarks 2 , page 130 , is not a counterexample after all. Indeed, experiments suggest that Theorem 5.1 is true for all $\alpha>1 / 4$.
- Theorem 5.1 on page 129 is stated somewhat ambiguously. Strictly speaking, what we proved is that either $b_{3}$ is a smallest multiplier or else any smaller multiplier is one of the 6 vectors $b_{3}+e_{1} b_{1}+e_{2} b_{2}$, where $e_{i}=-1,0,1$ and $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \neq$ $( \pm 1,0)$. The possibilities $\left\|b_{3} \pm b_{1}\right\|=\left\|b_{3}\right\|$ can occur.
- Each of the last 4 lines of the table on page 130 contains one error: the sign should be changed in each second alternative. Also the table is to be interpreted as stating that at least one shortest multiplier will be of the type listed. There can be shortest multipliers not of these types.
- On page 131, Section 6, we omitted to state that a matrix similar to our $G(\gamma)$ is mentioned on page 156 of Geometric Algorithms and Combinatorial Optimization, by M. Grötschel, L. Lovász and A. Schrijver (Springer, Berlin, 1988).
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