

MULTIVALUED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON CLOSED SETS

KLAUS DEIMLING

FB 17 der Universität, Warburger Str. 100, D-4790 Paderborn (FRG)

Abstract. Let X be a Banach space, $D \subset X$ closed, $J = [0, a] \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $F : J \times D \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ a multivalued map. We consider the initial value problem

$$u' \in F(t, u) \quad \text{a.e. on } J, \quad u(0) = x_0 \in D. \quad (1)$$

Solutions of (1) are understood to be a.e. differentiable with $u' \in L^1_X(J)$ such that $u(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t u'(s) ds$ on J and (1) is satisfied. We first give sufficient conditions for existence of solutions in the autonomous case $F(t, x) = F(x)$ and indicate relations to the fixed problem $x \in F(x)$. We concentrate on upper semicontinuous F with compact convex images and give counter-examples if F is lower semicontinuous or the $F(x)$ are not convex. Then the time-dependent problem (1) is considered by reduction to the autonomous case. Finally we prove existence of solutions which are monotone with respect to a preorder or Lyapaunov-like functions. Since we allow $\dim X = \infty$, we find it necessary to exploit Zorn's Lemma. As a by-product of this approach we achieve considerable simplification of proofs or improvement of some results known for $\dim X < \infty$.

1. Preliminaries. All concepts not discussed in detail in the sequel can be found in several places, e.g. in [5], [6]. First of all, it is easy to see that a necessary condition for $u' \in F(u)$, $u(0) = x_0$ to have a solution for every $x_0 \in D$ is given by $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D where

$$T_D(x) = \{y \in X : \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \lambda^{-1} \rho(x + \lambda y, D) = 0\} \text{ with } \rho(z, D) = \inf_D |z - x|. \quad (2)$$

In case D has nonempty interior $\overset{0}{D}$ this is only a condition at the boundary ∂D , since $T_D(x) = X$ for $x \in \overset{0}{D}$. If D is closed and convex we have $T_D(x) = \overline{\{\lambda(y - x) : \lambda \geq 0, y \in D\}}$.

In the majority of applications the "multis" F are *upper semicontinuous (usc)*, i.e., $F^{-1}(A) = \{x \in D : F(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed whenever $A \subset X$. F is said to be *lower semicontinuous (lsc)*, if $F^{-1}(V)$ is open whenever $V \subset X$ is, and *continuous* if F is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Since our main interest is in $\dim X = \infty$

Received June 30, 1987.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classifications: 34A60, 34G20, 47H10.

we also need, say, a condition of compactness type like $\alpha(F(B)) \leq k\alpha(B)$ for some $k \geq 0$ and all bounded $B \subset D$, where $\alpha(B) = \inf\{d > 0 : B = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i \text{ for some } m \text{ and } B_i \text{ with } \text{diam}(B_i) \leq d\}$ is Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness and $F(B) = \bigcup_{x \in B} F(x)$; see e.g. §7.3 of [6] for properties of $\alpha(\cdot)$. Much depends also on properties of the set $F(x)$. We assume that $F(x)$ is closed convex; in connection with the α -estimate this then means compact convex, since $\alpha(F(x)) \leq k\alpha(\{x\}) = 0$.

Without convexity of $F(x)$ there may be no solution. A known counter-example is $D = \overline{B}_1(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ (the closed unit ball), $F(x) = \{(-1, 0), (1, 0)\}$ and $x_0 = (0, 1)$, in which case $T_D(x) = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0\}$ for $|x| = 1$, hence $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D .

Let us finally recall that $u : J \rightarrow X$ is (Bochner-) integrable iff $|u(\cdot)| \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(J)$ and u is strongly measurable, i.e., the a.e. limit of a sequence of step functions. The Banach space of equivalence classes of such u will be denoted by $L^1_X(J)$. The Bochner integral has the usual properties, say $(\int_0^t w(s) ds)' = w(t)$ a.e. for $w \in L^1_X(J)$. A sufficient condition for weak compactness (= weak sequential compactness) in $L^1_X(J)$ is given by Theorem 2 in [8], namely

Lemma 1. Consider $L^1_X(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ with $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let $K \subset X$ be weakly compact convex. Then $\tilde{K} = \{u \in L^1_X(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) : u(\omega) \in K \text{ a.e.}\}$ is weakly compact.

2. The Autonomous Problem. Our basic existence theorem for upper semicontinuous F is:

Theorem 1. Let X be a Banach space, $D \subset X$ closed, $F : D \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ usc, $F(x)$ closed convex for all $x \in D$, $\alpha(F(B)) \leq k\alpha(B)$ for some $k \geq 0$ and all bounded $B \subset D$, $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D and

$$\|F(x)\| = \sup\{|y| : y \in F(x)\} \leq c(1 + |x|) \text{ on } D \text{ for some } c > 0. \quad (3)$$

Then $u' \in F(u)$, $u(0) = x_0 \in D$ has a solution on \mathbf{R}_+ .

Proof: Evidently it is enough to show that a solution exists on $J = [0, a]$ for some $a > 0$. Given $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ with $\epsilon_0 < 1$ sufficiently small, we consider an approximate solution $v : J \rightarrow X$ such that

$$v(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t v'(s) ds \text{ and } D \cap \overline{B}_\eta(v(t)) \neq \emptyset \text{ on } J, v(a) \in D \quad (4)$$

$$v'(t) \in F(D \cap \overline{B}_\eta(v(t))) + \overline{B}_\epsilon(0) \text{ a.e. on } J \quad (5)$$

where $\eta = \epsilon(1 + d)$ with d such that $F(D \cap \overline{B}_\eta(v(t))) \subset \overline{B}_d(0)$ on J . Notice first that if we let $\varphi(t) = |v(t)|$ then $\varphi(0) = |x_0|$ and

$$\varphi'(t) \leq c(1 + \epsilon d + \epsilon) + \epsilon + c\varphi(t) \text{ a.e. on } J,$$

hence $\varphi(t) \leq (|x_0| + 2 + 1/c)e^{ct} + \epsilon de^{ct}$, and therefore we find the desired d by choosing ϵ_0 such that $c\epsilon_0(1 + e^{c\epsilon_0}) < 1$. Now, the existence of a v satisfying (4), (5) can be shown by

means of Zorn's Lemma as follows. We find $y \in F(x_0) \cap T_D(x_0)$, $\delta \in (0, \epsilon]$ and $z \in D$ such that $|x_0 + \delta y - z| \leq \delta \epsilon$. Let $v(t) = x_0 + (z - x_0)t/\delta$ on $[0, \delta]$. Then

$$v' = (z - x_0)/\delta \in \overline{B}_\epsilon(y) \subset F(x_0) + \overline{B}_\epsilon(0) \text{ and } |v(t) - x| \leq \eta \text{ on } [0, \delta],$$

hence $M = \{(v, \delta) : \delta \in (0, a], v : [0, \delta] \rightarrow X \text{ satisfies (4), (5) on } J = [0, \delta]\} \neq \emptyset$. We introduce the partial ordering $(v_1, \delta_1) \leq (v_2, \delta_2)$ iff $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$ and $v_2|_{[0, \delta_1]} = v_1$. It is clear that every chain has an upper bound, and therefore M has a maximal element (v^*, δ^*) . Then necessarily $\delta^* = a$, since otherwise we can extend v^* beyond δ^* by means of a segment constructed as before, with $(v^*(\delta^*), \delta^*)$ instead of $(x_0, 0)$.

Now, consider $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0+$ and v_n satisfying (4), (5) on $J = [0, a]$ with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$. Then (v_n) is bounded and equicontinuous, since Lipschitz of constant $d + 1$. The function $\varphi(t) = \alpha(\{v_n(t) : n \geq 1\})$ is a.c. with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &\leq 2\alpha(\{v'_n(t) : n \geq p\}) \\ &\leq 2\alpha(F(\{y_n(t) : n \geq p\})) + 4\epsilon_p \text{ (with } |y_n - v_n|_\infty \leq \eta_n) \\ &\leq 2k\varphi(t) + 4\epsilon_p(k(d+1) + 1) \text{ a.e. ,} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

hence $\varphi(t) = 0$ on J , since $p \geq 1$ was arbitrary. Thus, (v_n) is relatively compact in $C_X(J)$ with max-norm $|\cdot|_0$, and therefore we may assume $|v_n - v|_0 \rightarrow 0$ for some $v \in C_D(J)$. Consequently

$$v'_n(t) \in F(D \cap \overline{B}_\delta(v(t))) + \overline{B}_\delta(0) \text{ a.e. on } J \text{ for all } n \geq n_\delta, \quad (7)$$

hence $v'_n \in C_\delta = \{u \in L^1_X(J) : u(t) \in K_\delta(t) \text{ a.e.}\}$ for $n \geq n_\delta$, where $K_\delta(t)$ is $\overline{\text{conv}}$ of the right hand side in (7). Since C_δ is closed convex, hence weakly closed, we only have to show that (v'_n) is relatively weakly compact. Indeed, we may then assume $v'_n \rightharpoonup w \in L^1_X(J)$, hence $v_n(t) = x_0 + \int_J \chi_{[0,t]} v'_n ds$ yields $v(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t w(s) ds$ on J and therefore $v' = w$ a.e., consequently $v' \in C_\delta$ for all $\delta > 0$ and therefore $v'(t) \in F(v(t))$ a.e., since F is usc and $F(y)$ is compact convex for all $y \in D$.

To see that (v'_n) has a weakly convergent subsequence, consider $K = \overline{\text{conv}}F(v(J))$ which is compact convex. Let $R : X \rightarrow K$ be a retraction and $w_n = R \circ v'_n$. By Lemma 1 we may assume $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ and, given $\epsilon > 0$, we find $\eta = \eta(\epsilon)$ such that $|R(x) - x| \leq \epsilon$ on $K + \overline{B}_\eta(0)$. For $\delta \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ we have K_δ , introduced behind (7), in $K + \overline{B}_\eta(0)$, hence $|w_n(t) - v'_n(t)| \leq \epsilon$ a.e. on J for all $n \geq n(\epsilon)$, and therefore $v'_n \rightharpoonup w$.

Remarks. 1. Theorem 1 for closed bounded convex D and reflexive X is Lemma 1 in [11], the proof of which was based on Alaoglu's theorem instead of Lemma 1.

2. Theorem 1 can be used to prove the following general fixed point theorem, Theorem 1 in [7]: "If D is closed bounded convex, $G : D \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is usc and α -condensing (i.e., $\alpha(G(B)) < \alpha(B)$ for $B \subset D$ with $\alpha(B) > 0$), $G(x)$ is closed convex and $G(x) \cap (x + T_D(x)) \neq \emptyset$ on D (i.e., G is weakly inward) then G has a fixed point". The proof given in [7] was possible without knowing Theorem 1 since approximate solutions for $u' \in G(u) - u$, $u(0) = x \in D$ are sufficient for this purpose.

3. A case much simpler than Theorem 1 was considered in [12]. The main Theorem 3.1 of which says that a solution exists if D and $F(x)$ are weakly compact convex, $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$

on D and F is used in the weak topology on D and X , very restrictive conditions. The proof is very easy, since application of Lemma 1 is obvious and v_n can be chosen as polygons

$$v_n(t) = x_i + (x_{i+1} - x_i)(t - ih)/h \text{ on } [ih, ih + h] \text{ with } h = a/n,$$

due to the fact that $hF(x) + x_i - x$ has a zero x_{i+1} if $x_i \in D$. Clearly, knowing the fixed point theorem from Remark 2, one can also use these polygons to prove Theorem 1 for closed bounded convex D , since $G = hF + x_i$ is α -condensing for small h and $(G(x) - x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D is obvious. However, this is at most interesting if one can prove the fixed point result without relying on differential equations, which is not the case so far, even for singlevalued maps F .

4. Of course “ $\alpha(F(B)) \leq k\alpha(B)$ ” can be replaced by “ $\alpha(F(B)) \leq \varphi(\alpha(B))$,” where $\varphi : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is continuous, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\rho' = \varphi(\rho)$, $\rho(0) = 0$ has only the trivial solution; see e.g. Theorem 2.2 in [5].

5. In case F is lsc we also have to assume that the $F(x)$ are closed convex; see the counter-example in section 2. However, the following counter-example shows that Theorem 1 is wrong if we replace usc by lsc, even if D is closed bounded convex. Another example where neither D nor the $F(x)$ are convex was given in [3].

Counter-Example. As a slight modification of the last example in [11], let $D = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x| \leq 1, x_2 \geq 0\}$, consider the triangle Δ with vertices $(-1, 1)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(0, 2)$, let $x_0 = (0, 1)$, define $G(x_0) = \{(1, 1)\}$ and

$$G(x) = \Delta \cap \bar{B}_{r(x_2)}((0, 1 + r(x_2))) \text{ with } r(x_2) = (1 - x_2)^{-1} \text{ for } x_0 \neq x \in D,$$

and let $F(x) = G(x) - x$. It is easy to see that F is lsc, $F(x)$ is compact convex and $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D . But $u' \in F(u)$, $u(0) = x_0$ has no solution, since otherwise $u'_2 \geq 1 - u_2$ a.e., and $u_2(0) = 1$, hence $u_2(t) \geq 1$ and therefore $u(t) \equiv x_0$, contradicting $x_0 \notin G(x_0)$. This example shows also that the fixed point theorem in Remark 2 becomes wrong if we replace usc by lsc.

6. In case D is compact, appropriate finite open coverings of D allow the construction of approximate solutions as polygons; see e.g. the finite-dimensional reference [1]. In the situation of Theorem 1 the polygons may break down, i.e., it is not clear whether they exist on a fixed interval for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore we used Zorn's Lemma, which is more elegant anyway.

3. The Time-Dependent Problem. Given $F : J \times D \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $J = [0, a] \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $D \subset X$ closed, we try to find a solution of (1) by reduction to the autonomous case. For this purpose it is convenient to extend F by means of $F(t, x) = F(a, x)$ for $t \geq a$. So, consider $X_0 = \mathbf{R} \times X$ with $|(\tau, x)| = |\tau| + |x|$, let $D_0 = \mathbf{R}_+ \times D$ and $F_0 : D_0 \rightarrow 2^{X_0} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be defined by $F_0(\tau, x) = \{1\} \times F(\tau, x)$. Obviously

$$(1, y) \in F_0(\tau, x) \cap T_{D_0}(\tau, x) \text{ iff } y \in F(\tau, x) \cap T_D(x).$$

Therefore we assume

$$F(t, x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ on } J \times D \tag{8}$$

and consider $u' \in F_0(u)$ a.e., on \mathbf{R}_+ , $u(0) = (0, x_0)$. Evidently

$$\|F(t, x)\| \leq c(1 + |x|) \text{ on } J \times D \quad (9)$$

is good enough to get reduction to the case of bounded F_0 as in the proof to Theorem 1. Finally, let $\alpha_0(\cdot)$ be the $\alpha(\cdot)$ for X_0 . Since the corresponding approximate solutions can now be chosen of the form $\bar{v}_n(t) = (\tau_n(t), v_n(t))$ with $|\tau_n(t) - t| \leq \epsilon_n t$ on J and since $\alpha_0(\{\bar{v}_n(t) : n \geq 1\}) \geq \alpha(\{v_n(t) : n \geq 1\})$, it is also easy to see that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(F(J_{t,\tau} \times B)) \leq k(t)\alpha(B) \text{ a.e. on } J, \text{ with } J_{t,\tau} = [t - \tau, t + \tau] \cap J \quad (10)$$

for all bounded $B \subset D$ and some $k \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(J)$ is sufficient to get $\alpha_0(\{\bar{v}_n(t) : n \geq 1\}) = 0$ on J . Therefore Theorem 1 implies

Theorem 2. *Let X be a Banach space, $D \subset X$ closed, $J = [0, a] \subset \mathbf{R}$, $F : J \times D \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ usc, $F(t, x)$ compact convex for all $(t, x) \in J \times D$. Suppose also that F satisfies (8), (9) and (10). Then (1) has a solution on J .*

Remarks. 7. Even if F is nice, the α -estimates for the sections $F(t, \cdot)$ may be bad, also for singlevalued F . An estimate more careful than (6) shows that it is enough to have $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(F(J_{t,\tau} \times B)) \leq \varphi(t, \alpha(B))$ for appropriate φ such that $\varphi(t, \cdot)$ is continuous and increasing and $\rho' = \varphi(t, \rho)$, $\rho(0) = 0$ has only the trivial solution; see e.g. the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [5].

8. By the method of proof we had to assume that F is jointly usc. In case we have no constraints, i.e., $D = X$, it is easy to weaken this condition. In fact, Theorem 2 with $D = X$ remains true if we replace “ F usc” by “ $F(t, \cdot)$ is usc and $F(\cdot, x)$ has a strongly measurable selection”, c in (9) is a function from $L^1_{\mathbf{R}_+}(J)$ and (10) (or the estimate mentioned in Remark 7) is true with $J_{t,\tau} = [t - \tau, t] \cap J$. This is Theorem 4.4 in [14] proved by means of tedious arguments. Therefore it is worth to show that the result can be obtained by the usual fixed point approach as follows.

First, if $v \in C_X(J)$, then approximation by step functions shows that $F(\cdot, v(\cdot))$ also admits a strongly measurable selection w (we write $w \in F(\cdot, v(\cdot))$ for short); see e.g. Lemma 1.2 in [13]. Since (9) yields a priori bounds, let

$$K = \left\{ v \in C_X(J) : v(0) = x_0; |v(t)| \leq \psi_1(t) \text{ and } |v(t) - v(s)| \leq \left| \int_s^t \psi_2(s) ds \right| \right\}$$

with $\psi_1 \in C_{\mathbf{R}}(J)$ and $\psi_2(t) = c(t)(1 + \psi_1(t))$ such that the multi G , defined by

$$G(v) = \left\{ u \in C_X(J) : u(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t w(s) ds \text{ on } J, w \in F(\cdot, v(\cdot)) \right\},$$

maps K into $2^K \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Since K is equicontinuous we have $\alpha_0(B) = \max_j \alpha(B(t))$ for $B \subset K$, where $\alpha_0(\cdot)$ is the $\alpha(\cdot)$ for $C_X(J)$ and $B(t) = \{v(t) : v \in B\}$. Now, consider the usual sequence $K_0 = K$, $K_{n+1} = \overline{\text{conv}} G(K_n)$ and $K_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n$. Let $\rho_n(t) = \alpha(K_n(t))$ and $\gamma(t) = \alpha(G(K_n)(t))$. Then it is easy to see that $\gamma'(t) \leq \varphi(t, \rho_n(t))$ a.e., for φ from Remark 7, hence $\rho_{n+1}(t) \leq \int_0^t \varphi(s, \rho_n(s)) ds$ on J . Since ρ_n converge uniformly to ρ this means

$\rho(t) \leq \int_0^t \varphi(s, \rho(s)) ds$, hence $\rho = 0$ and therefore K_∞ is compact convex. Application of Lemma 1 shows that $G : K_\infty \rightarrow 2^{K_\infty} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is usc and the $G(v)$ are compact convex, hence the fixed point theorem from Remark 2 applies trivially.

It is, however, not clear whether this kind of reasoning can be used to generalize Theorem 2.

9. Since we have used α -estimates to get relative compactness of the sequence of approximate solutions, $F(t, x)$ is not allowed to be unbounded, say a subspace or a cone. In case $\dim X < \infty$, results for such F have been obtained recently in [10].

4. Monotone Solutions. In the study of stability and some economical models, one is naturally lead to consider so-called "monotone" solutions of (1); see e.g. [1] and the references given there. By the idea of proof given in the previous sections, it is easy to extend the existence theorem given in [1] for such solutions, from \mathbf{R}^n to an arbitrary X and to F satisfying growth condition (9). Since reduction to the autonomous case is as easy as in section 3, we consider only

$$u' \in F(u), \quad u(0) = x_0 \in D. \quad (11)$$

Given $x \in D$, $y \in T_D(x)$, $V : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ and $W : \text{graph}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, we let

$$D_+V(x)(y) = \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+, z \rightarrow y} \lambda^{-1}(V(x + \lambda z) - V(x))$$

and try to find a solution of (11), called monotone w.r. to V and W in [1], such that

$$V(u(t)) - V(u(s)) + \int_s^t W(u(\tau), u'(\tau)) d\tau \leq 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a. \quad (12)$$

Theorem 3. *Let X , D and F be as in Theorem 1 with " $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D " replaced by*

$$F(x) \cap \{y \in T_D(x) : D_+V(x)(y) + W(x, y) \leq 0\} \neq \emptyset \text{ on } D, \quad (13)$$

where $V : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ and $W : D \times \overline{\text{conv}} F(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ are continuous and $W(x, \cdot)$ is convex for every $x \in D$. Then (11) has a solution on \mathbf{R}_+ satisfying (12).

Proof: It is again enough to find such a solution on $J = [0, a]$ for some $a > 0$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small as in the proof of Theorem 1, we find $v : J \rightarrow X$ satisfying (4), (5) and

$$V(y_1(t)) - V(y_2(s)) + \int_s^t W(w(\tau)) d\tau \leq \epsilon a \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a \quad (14)$$

with functions $y_1 : J \rightarrow D$, $y_2 : [0, a] \rightarrow D$ and $w : J \rightarrow \text{graph}(F)$ such that

$$y_1(t) \in \overline{B}_\eta(v(t)) \text{ on } J \text{ and } y_1(a) = v(a), \quad y_2(t) \in \overline{B}_\eta(v(t)) \text{ on } [0, a] \quad (15)$$

$$w(t) \in \overline{B}_\eta((v(t), v'(t))) \text{ a.e. on } J. \quad (16)$$

To see this, notice that by (13) we find $y \in F(x_0)$, $\delta \in (0, \epsilon]$ and z with $x + \delta z \in D$ and $|z - y|$ sufficiently small such that

$$V(x_0 + \delta z) - V(x_0) + \delta W(x_0, y) \leq \epsilon \delta.$$

Hence (14)–(16) hold for $a = \delta$, $v(t) = x_0 + \delta z$, $y_1(t) = x_0 + \delta z$, $y_2(t) = x_0$ and $w(t) = (x_0, y)$. Therefore we consider the partial ordering defined by $(y_1, y_2, w, v, \delta) \leq (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \bar{w}, \bar{v}, \bar{\delta})$ iff $\delta \leq \bar{\delta}$ and the restriction of $(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \bar{w}, \bar{v})$ to $[0, \delta]$ coincides with (y_1, y_2, w, v) . Zorn's Lemma applies and yields a maximal element $(y_1^*, y_2^*, w^*, v^*, \delta^*)$. If $\delta^* < a$, we can extend, choosing in particular $y_1^*(t) = v(\delta^*) + \bar{\delta}z$ on $(\delta^*, \delta^* + \bar{\delta}]$ and $y_2^*(t) = v(\delta^*)$ on $[\delta^*, \delta^* + \bar{\delta}]$. This yields a contradiction, since this way all conditions are satisfied on $[0, \delta^* + \bar{\delta}]$; notice, for example, that for $s < \delta^* < t$

$$\begin{aligned} V(y_1^*(t)) - V(y_2^*(s)) + \int_s^t W(w^*(\tau)) d\tau &\leq \\ &\leq \epsilon \bar{\delta} + V(y_2^*(\delta^*)) - V(y_1^*(\delta^*)) + \epsilon \delta^* \\ &= \epsilon(\delta^* + \bar{\delta}) \text{ since } y_2^*(\delta^*) = y_1^*(\delta^*) = v(\delta^*). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider again $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0+$ and the corresponding v_n . We may assume $|v_n - u|_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $v'_n \rightarrow u'$ in $L^1_X(J)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some solution of (11). By (16) we also have $w_{n2} \rightarrow u'$, hence, by Mazur's Theorem (see e.g. Theorem II, 5.2 in [4]), a sequence of convex combinations $\sum_{k=n}^{m_n} \alpha_k w_{k2}$ converges strongly and therefore a.e. (without loss of generality) to u' . Since $w_k(t) \in \text{graph}(F)$, we have $W(u(t), w_{k2}(t)) \leq W(w_k(t)) + \epsilon$ on J for all $k \geq k_\epsilon$ and, since the $W(u(t), \cdot)$ are convex, it is clear that (14) for each k implies (12).

Remark 10. Theorem 3 with $V = W = 0$ is Theorem 1. Other interesting V and W can be found in [1]. Condition (13) is again necessary in the sense that if a solution satisfying (12) exists for every $x_0 \in D$, then (13) holds.

In case $W = 0$, condition (12) is the simplest example for a monotonicity concept based on a preorder \leq on $D \times D$; i.e. \leq is reflexive and transitive. By consideration of $P(x) = \{y \in D : y \leq x\}$, it is clear that such a preorder can be described equivalently by a multi $P : D \rightarrow 2^D \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $x \in P(x)$ on D and $y \in P(x)$ implies $P(y) \subset P(x)$. For example, $P(x) = \{y \in D : V_i(y) \leq V_i(x) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}$ with given functions $V_i : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ plays a role in some economical models. In this respect one is interested in solutions of (11) satisfying $u(t) \in P(u(s))$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$. For this problem we have:

Theorem 4. Let X , D and F be as in Theorem 1 with “ $F(x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset$ on D ” replaced by

$$F(x) \cap T_{P(x)}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{on } D, \quad (17)$$

where $P : D \rightarrow 2^D \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ has closed graph, $x \in P(x)$ on D and $y \in P(x)$ implies $P(y) \subset P(x)$. Then (11) has a solution on \mathbf{R}_+ satisfying $u(t) \in P(u(s))$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$.

Proof: This time we find an approximate solution $v : J \rightarrow X$ satisfying (4), (5) and $y_1(t) \in P(y_2(s))$ for $0 \leq s < t \leq a$, with $y_1 : J \rightarrow D$ such that $y_1(t) \in \bar{B}_\eta(v(t))$ on J and $y_1(a) = v(a)$, and $y_2 : [0, a) \rightarrow D$ such that $y_2(t) \in \bar{B}_\eta(v(t))$ for $t < a$; notice that the $P(x)$ are closed, and that we can start with $v(t) = x_0 + (z - x_0)t/\delta$ with $z \in P(x_0)$, $y_1(t) = z$ and $y_2(s) = x_0$. As $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0+$, v_n and therefore y_{in} , converge to a solution u . Since

$(y_{2n}(s), y_{1n}(t)) \in \text{graph}(P)$ and the latter is closed, we have $(u(s), u(t)) \in \text{graph}(P)$, i.e., $u(t) \in P(u(s))$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq a$.

Remarks. 11. For $\dim X < \infty$ a typical finite-dimensional proof for the existence of a local solution was given in [9] under the additional restrictive assumption that P be lsc. It is clear that (17) is again necessary in the sense of Remark 10.

12. Among others the papers [1], [9] have also been reproduced in [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] J.P. Aubin, *Contingent derivatives of set-valued maps and existence of solutions to nonlinear inclusions and differential inclusions*, Advances in Mathematics, Supplementary studies (L. Nachbin ed.), Vol. 7A, pp. 150-232, Academic Press 1981.
- [2] J.P. Aubin & A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer-Verlag 1984.
- [3] A. Bressan, *Solutions of lower semicontinuous differential inclusions on closed sets*, Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova 69, (1983), 99-107.
- [4] M.M. Day, *Normal Linear Spaces*, 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag 1973.
- [5] K. Deimling, *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Lect. Notes Math. 596, Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [6] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag 1985.
- [7] K. Deimling, *Fixed points of weakly inward multis*, J. Nonl. Anal. 10 (1986), 1261-1262.
- [8] J. Diestel, *Remarks on weak compactness in $L_1(\mu, X)$* , Glasgow Math. J. 18 (1977), 87-91.
- [9] G. Haddad, *Monotone trajectories of differential inclusions and functional differential inclusions with memory*, Israel J. Math. 39 (1981), 83-100.
- [10] C.J. Himmelberg & F.S. Van Vleck, *Existence of solutions for generalized differential equations with unbounded right-hand side*, J. Diff. Eqs. 61 (1986), 295-320.
- [11] Hu Schouchuan, K. Deimling & J. Prüss, *Fixed points of weakly inward multivalued maps*, J. Nonl. Anal. 10 (1986), 465-469.
- [12] N.S. Papageorgiou, *Flow invariance and viability for differential inclusions*, Applicable Anal. 21 (1986), 235-243.
- [13] A.A. Tolstonogov, *On the structure of the solution set for differential inclusions in a Banach space*, Math. USSR Sbornik 46 (1983), 1-15.
- [14] A.A. Tolstonogov & I.A. Finogenko, *On solutions of a differential inclusion with lower semicontinuous non-convex right-hand side in a Banach space*, Math. USSR Sbornik 53 (1986), 203-231.