

VIABILITY OF BOUNDARY OF THE VIABILITY KERNEL

PATRICK SAINT-PIERRE

Ceremade, Université Paris-Dauphine

Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris cedex 16, France

(Submitted by G. Da Prato)

Abstract. Viability theory allows the study of the dynamics of a system described through a set-valued map F and for which we look for trajectories which remain in a subset K . These are called *viable solutions* of the system. When F is upper semicontinuous, viability theorems state that, under some assumptions, for any initial state x_0 , there exists a solution starting at x_0 and this solution is viable in K if and only if K is a viability domain; i.e., $\forall x \in K, F(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$. When K is not a viability domain, we study the largest viability domain of K , $Viab_K(F)$. We prove that its boundary enjoys the property of local viability at any point of the interior of K .

1. Introduction and definitions. If we know numerous results about local or global existence of solutions to differential inclusions and their viability in a subset (see Haddad [9], Aubin [2], [4], [5], Frankowska [4], [8]), and their approximation (see Aubin [6], Saint-Pierre [13], [14]), we are faced with new questions when we study the set of solutions, the target problem or the invariant and viability domains of a subset K associated to a system described by the differential inclusion:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in F(x(t)), & \text{for almost all } t \geq 0, \\ x(0) = x_0 \in K, \\ x(t) \in K, & \forall t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where F is a set-valued map defined from a closed subset K of a finite dimensional vector space X to X and $K \subset \text{Dom}(F)$, a closed subset of X .

We denote by $S_F(X)$ the set of solutions to the differential inclusion:

$$\dot{x}(t) \in F(x(t)), \quad \text{for almost all } t \geq 0, \quad x(0) = x; \quad (2)$$

$$S_F(X) = \{x(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt}dt) : x(\cdot) \text{ is a solution of (2)}\},$$

where $W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt}dt)$ is the set of absolutely continuous functions defined by

$$\{x(\cdot) \in L^1(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt}dt) : \dot{x}(\cdot) \in L^1(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt}dt)\}$$

Received for publication November 1990.

AMS Subject Classifications: 34A, 34D99, 54C65, 93C15.

supplied with the topology for which any sequence $x_n(\cdot)$ converges to $x(\cdot)$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} i) & x_n(\cdot) \text{ converges uniformly on any compact } [0, T] \text{ to } x(\cdot), \\ ii) & \dot{x}_n(\cdot) \text{ converges weakly in } L^1(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt} dt) \text{ to } \dot{x}(\cdot). \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The solutions we are looking for are those which are viable; i.e., which remain always in K . We want to investigate the subset of initial points in K from which there exists at least one viable solution.

Definition 1.1. (Viability Properties). A subset M is locally viable under F (or enjoys the local viability property for the map F) if for any initial point $x_0 \in M$, there exist $T > 0$ and at least one solution to the differential inclusion (1), viable in M ; i.e., $x(t) \in M, \forall t \in [0, T]$.

A subset M is viable under F (or enjoys the global viability property for the map F) if for any initial point $x_0 \in M$, there exists at least one solution issued from x_0 and viable in $M, \forall t \in [0, +\infty)$.

Let $T_K(x)$ be the contingent cone¹ of K at a point x .

Definition 1.2. (Viability Domain). A subset M is a viability domain of F if

$$\forall x \in M, \quad F(x) \cap T_M(x) \neq \emptyset. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1.3. (Marchaud map). A set-valued map F from X to Y is a Marchaud map if

$$\begin{cases} \forall x \in Y, \quad F(x) \text{ is a non empty convex compact set;} \\ F \text{ is upper semicontinuous;} \\ F \text{ has linear growth; i.e., } \exists c > 0 \quad \|F(x)\| \leq c(\|x\| + 1), \quad \forall x \in X. \end{cases}$$

If the set-valued map F is a Marchaud map, a subset K enjoys the global viability property if and only if K is a viability domain of F . (See Haddad [9].)

We can define now the viability kernel of a subset K .

Definition 1.4. (Viability Kernel). Let K be a subset of the domain of a set-valued map F . The viability kernel of K under F is the largest subset of K enjoying the global viability property and we denote it by $Viab_F(K)$.

We recall the following characterisation of the viability kernel:

If $\mathcal{K} \subset W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt} dt)$ is the closed subset of functions viable in K , then

$$Viab_F(K) = \{x \in K \text{ such that } S_F(x) \cap \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since $Viab_F(K)$ is the largest subset of K enjoying the global viability property, any solution of (1) starting from any initial point $x_0 \in K \setminus Viab_F(K)$ never meets the viability kernel $Viab_F(K)$.

Moreover any solution of (1) which is not in $Viab_F(K)$ must leave K in a finite time.

¹the contingent cone at a point z to a set $K \subset X$ is defined by:
 $T_K(z) := \{w \in X \text{ such that } \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d_K(z+hw)}{h} = 0\}$

Let us consider the boundary $\partial Viab_F(K)$ of $Viab_F(K)$. When the set valued map F is Marchaud and Lipschitzian, the set $S_F(x)$ of solutions starting from any point $x \in \partial Viab_F(K) \cap \overset{\circ}{K}$ is locally contained in $\partial Viab_F(K)$ (see Quincampoix [11] Theorem 3.1). Quincampoix has proved a “semi-permeability” property of the boundary $\partial Viab_F(K)$ of the viability kernel $Viab_F(K)$. This means that for any $x_0 \in K$, every solution viable in K starting from the boundary of the viability kernel remains in the viability kernel.

Our purpose in this paper is to show that, when F is a Marchaud set-valued map, the boundary of the viability kernel is viable under F ; that is to say that, for any $x \in \partial Viab_F(K)$, there exists a solution which remains in $\partial Viab_F(K)$. But there may also exist solution which enters the interior of the viability kernel:

$$S_F(\partial Viab_F(K)) \cap W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; \partial Viab_F(K), e^{-bt} dt) \neq \emptyset.$$

For any function $x(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt} dt)$ we define the *egress time* of $x(\cdot)$ on ∂K :

$$\theta_K(x(\cdot)) = \inf_{t \geq 0} \{t \text{ such that } x(t) \notin \overset{\circ}{K}\},$$

and the *exit time* of $x(\cdot)$ from K :

$$\tau_K(x(\cdot)) = \inf_{t \geq 0} \{t \text{ such that } x(t) \notin K\};$$

and for any point $x \in K$, we consider the time functions defined from X to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$:

$$\theta_K^\flat(x) = \inf_{x(\cdot) \in S(x)} \{\theta_K(x(\cdot))\}; \quad \tau_K^\sharp(x) = \sup_{x(\cdot) \in S(x)} \{\tau_K(x(\cdot))\}.$$

The function $\theta_K^\flat(\cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous and the function $\tau_K^\sharp(\cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous from K to $[0, +\infty)$ (see Aubin [6], Picard [10]). We always have

$$\forall x \in K, \quad \theta_K^\flat(x) \leq \tau_K^\sharp(x).$$

2. Properties of the viability kernel. The viability kernel enjoys many properties and we recall some of them we are interested in (see Aubin [6], Picard [10])

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F : X \rightsquigarrow X$ be a Marchaud set-valued map and K a closed subset of $Dom(F)$. Then the following properties hold:*

- $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i) \quad Viab_F(K) = \{x \in X \text{ such that } \tau_K^\sharp(x) = +\infty\}, \\ ii) \quad \text{if } K \text{ and } Graph(F) \text{ are convex then } Viab_F(K) \text{ is convex,} \\ iii) \quad \text{if } \partial K \text{ is viable, then } K \text{ is viable.} \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$

Other properties of the viability kernel which may be useful for a numerical approach of the viability kernel are the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F : X \rightsquigarrow X$ be a Marchaud set-valued map and K a closed subset contained in $\text{Dom}(F)$. Then*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Let } K_1 \text{ be such that } \text{Viab}_F(K) \subset K_1 \subset K; \text{ then} \\ \text{Viab}_F(K_1) = \text{Viab}_F(K). \end{array} \right. \tag{6}$$

Proposition 2.2 (M. Quincampoix & P. Saint-Pierre [12]). *Let $F : X \rightsquigarrow X$ be a Marchaud set-valued map and K a closed subset contained in $\text{Dom}(F)$. Then*

$$\text{If } X \setminus K \text{ is connected then } X \setminus \text{Viab}_F(K) \text{ is connected.} \tag{7}$$

3. Properties of the boundary of the viability kernel. We need now to define another concept of tangent cone.

Definition 3.1. (Dubovitsky-Milliutin cone). The Dubovitsky-Milliutin tangent cone $D_K(x)$ to K at x is defined as follows:

$$D_K(x) = \{v \in X \text{ such that } \exists \alpha > 0, \exists \epsilon > 0 : x +]0, \alpha[(v + \epsilon B) \subset K\}.$$

It is quite easy to verify that, for any $x \in \partial K$, the Dubovitsky-Milliutin tangent cone $D_K(x)$ is the complement of the contingent cone $T_{X \setminus K}(x)$:

$$\forall x \in \partial K, \quad D_K(x) = X \setminus T_{X \setminus K}(x).$$

In particular, since $D_K(x) \subset T_K(x)$, for any $x \in \partial K$, we have $D_K(x) \cup T_{X \setminus K}(x) \subset T_K(x) \cup T_{X \setminus K}(x)$ and then

$$\forall x \in \partial K, \quad T_K(x) \cup T_{X \setminus K}(x) = X. \tag{8}$$

For any $x \in \partial K$, we characterize the contingent cone at x to the boundary ∂K thanks to the Quincampoix' theorem (see [11]):

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a closed subset of X and $X \setminus K$ the closure of its complement. Then*

$$\forall x \in \partial K, \quad T_{\partial K}(x) = T_K(x) \cap T_{X \setminus K}(x)$$

and we can deduce the following property:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $x \in \partial K$, $v \in T_K(x)$ and $\hat{v} \in T_{X \setminus K}(x)$. Then the segment $[v, \hat{v}] = \{\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)\hat{v} : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ satisfies:*

$$[v, \hat{v}] \cap T_{\partial K}(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof: From (8) we have

$$(T_K(x) \cap [v, \hat{v}]) \cup (T_{X \setminus K}(x) \cap [v, \hat{v}]) = [v, \hat{v}].$$

On the other hand, since $T_K(x)$ and $T_{X \setminus K}(x)$ are closed,

$$(T_K(x) \cap [v, \hat{v}]) \cap (T_{X \setminus K}(x) \cap [v, \hat{v}]) \neq \emptyset;$$

otherwise the closed interval $[v, \hat{v}]$ could be partitioned into two closed subintervals, which is impossible. The result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F : X \rightsquigarrow X$ a Marchaud set-valued map and K a closed subset of X contained in $\text{Dom}(F)$ with non-empty interior. Then for all $x \in \partial \text{Viab}_F(K) \cap \overset{\circ}{K}$ there exists $x(\cdot) \in S(x)$ such that*

$$\forall t < \theta_K(x(\cdot)), \quad x(t) \in \partial \text{Viab}_F(K).$$

Proof: Set $K_\infty = \text{Viab}_F(K)$. We have to prove that, from any point $x \in \partial K_\infty \cap \overset{\circ}{K}$, there exists a viable solution which remains on ∂K_∞ as long as it belongs to $\overset{\circ}{K}$; that is, $\partial K_\infty \cap \overset{\circ}{K}$ is locally viable. From the local viability theorem, this holds if and only if

$$\forall x \in \partial K_\infty \cap \overset{\circ}{K}, \quad F(x) \cap T_{\partial K_\infty}(x) \neq \emptyset. \tag{9}$$

From Theorem 3.1, property (9) is equivalent to

$$\forall x \in \partial K_\infty \cap \overset{\circ}{K}, \quad F(x) \cap T_{K_\infty}(x) \cap T_{\overset{\circ}{K}}(x) \neq \emptyset. \tag{10}$$

Let us assume $\overset{\circ}{K}_\infty \neq \emptyset$. If not, we would have $\partial K_\infty = K_\infty$ and the theorem becomes obvious.

Let $x_0 \in \partial K_\infty \cap \overset{\circ}{K}$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $B(x_0, 2\eta) \subset \overset{\circ}{K}$. We prove first that $F(x_0) \cap T_{K_\infty}(x_0) \neq \emptyset$. Since $x_0 \in \partial K_\infty \subset K_\infty$, from the definition of the viability kernel, there exists at least one solution $x_1(\cdot) \in S_F(x_0)$ viable in K_∞ . Then the necessary condition of the local viability theorem implies that

$$\exists y_1 \in F(x_0) \cap T_{K_\infty}(x_0) \neq \emptyset.$$

To prove now that $F(x_0) \cap T_{X \setminus K_\infty}(x_0) \neq \emptyset$, we need to show the following results:

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\tau_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\forall x \in B(x_0, \eta), \quad \theta_K^b(x) \geq \tau_0. \tag{11}$$

Proof: We have to prove that any solution starting from $B(x_0, \eta)$ and reaching the boundary of K in a finite time, i.e., such that $\theta_K^b(x) < +\infty$, stays in the interior of K during at least the nonempty time interval $[0, \tau_0]$. Since $B(x_0, 2\eta) \subset K$, it is sufficient to prove this for any solution starting from $B(x_0, \eta)$ and reaching the boundary of $B(x_0, 2\eta)$.

Let $x(\cdot)$ be any one solution in $S_F(x)$ such that $\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))$ is finite. Since for all $t > 0$ we have

$$x(t) = x + \int_0^t \dot{x}(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

in particular

$$\int_0^{\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))} \dot{x}(\sigma) d\sigma = x(\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))) - x.$$

Since F is compact valued, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in B(x_0, 2\eta)} \sup_{z \in F(x)} \|z\| \leq c < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\|x(\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))) - x\| \leq c \times \theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot)).$$

But $x(\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))) \in \partial B(x_0, 2\eta)$; thus $\|x(\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))) - x\| > \eta$ and then

$$\begin{cases} \forall x \in B(x_0, \eta), \forall x(\cdot) \in S_F(x) \text{ such that } \theta_K(x(\cdot)) < +\infty, \\ \theta_K(x(\cdot)) \geq \theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot)) \geq \frac{\eta}{c} = \tau_0. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Obviously, (12) holds even if $\theta_{B(x_0, 2\eta)}(x(\cdot))$ is equal to $+\infty$.

In particular, if $x \in B(x_0, \eta) \setminus K_\infty$, any solution $x(\cdot) \in S_F(x)$ encounters the boundary of K at a finite time: $\theta_K(x(\cdot)) < +\infty$; and then we have:

$$\forall x \in B(x_0, \eta) \setminus K_\infty, \quad +\infty > \theta_K^b(x) \geq \tau_0.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For all $x \in B(x_0, \eta) \setminus K_\infty$, for all $x(\cdot) \in S_F(x)$, the following property holds:*

$$\forall t \in [0, \theta_K^b(x)[, x(t) \notin K_\infty. \tag{13}$$

Proof: Assertion (13) is true; otherwise, if t_1 is the time when $x(\cdot)$ reaches ∂K_∞ , we could build a new solution $\tilde{x}(\cdot)$ such that

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \begin{cases} x(t), & \forall t \leq t_1 \\ x_1(t - t_1), & \forall t \geq t_1, \end{cases}$$

where $x_1(\cdot)$ is a viable solution starting at $x(t_1) \in K_\infty$. Then x should belong to K_∞ , which is impossible.

Let us consider now a sequence $x_n \in B(x_0, \eta) \setminus K_\infty$, which converges to x_0 . Since $x_n \notin K_\infty$, $\theta_K^b(x_n)$ is finite and from Lemma 3.1 strictly positive. Since the solution map $S_F(\cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous, the map $x \rightsquigarrow \theta_K^b(x)$ is lower semicontinuous and from Lemma 3.1,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N : \forall n \geq N, 0 < \tau_0 \leq \theta_K^b(x_0) \leq \theta_K^b(x_n) + \epsilon < +\infty.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.2

$$\forall t < \tau_0, \quad x_n(t) \notin K_\infty.$$

Then, since F is a Marchaud map, $\dot{x}_n(\cdot)$ is bounded and the family $x_n(\cdot)$ is equicontinuous in $W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt} dt)$.

From the compactness of the set of solutions (see Aubin-Cellina [1]) there exists a subsequence of solutions which converges in $W^{1,1}(0, +\infty; X, e^{-bt} dt)$ to a solution $x_2(\cdot) \in S_F(x_0)$ such that

$$\forall t < \tau_0, \quad x_2(t) \in \overline{X \setminus K_\infty};$$

and by the necessary condition of the local viability theorem at x_0 , this implies that

$$y_2 = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x_2(t) - x_0}{t} \in F(x_0) \cap T_{X \setminus K_\infty}(x_0),$$

which ends the proof that $F(x_0) \cap T_{X \setminus K_\infty}(x_0)$ is not empty.

We apply Corollary 3.1, since there exist $y_1 \in T_{K_\infty}(x_0)$, $y_2 \in T_{X \setminus K_\infty}(x_0)$, there exists $y_0 \in [y_1, y_2] \cap T_{\partial K_\infty}(x_0)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ since both y_1 and y_2 belong to the convex set $F(x_0)$:

$$y_0 \in F(x_0) \cap T_{\partial K_\infty}(x_0) \neq \emptyset.$$

From Theorem 3.2 we deduce immediately the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $F : X \rightsquigarrow X$ be a Marchaud set-valued map and K a closed subset of X contained in $\text{Dom}(F)$ with non-empty interior.*

If $\text{Viab}_F(K) \subset \overset{\circ}{K}$, then its boundary is viable:

$$\partial \text{Viab}_F(K) = \text{Viab}_F(\partial \text{Viab}_F(K)).$$

That is to say that for any x_0 in $\partial \text{Viab}_F(K)$, there exists at least one solution which is viable in $\partial \text{Viab}_F(K)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Aubin and A. Cellina, "Differential Inclusions," Springer, Heidelberg, 1984.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin and F.H. Clarke, *Monotone invariant solutions to differential inclusions*, J. London Math. Soc., 16 (1977), 357–366.
- [3] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, "Applied Nonlinear Analysis," Wiley-Interscience, 1984.
- [4] J.-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, "Set-Valued Analysis," Birkhauser, 1990.
- [5] J.-P. Aubin, *Contingent derivatives of set-valued maps and existence of solutions to nonlinear and differential inclusions*, Advances in Mathematics, Supplementary studies, Ed. L. Nachbin, 160–232, 1981.
- [6] J.-P. Aubin, "Viability Theory," to appear.
- [7] M. Falcone and P. Saint-Pierre, *Slow and quasi slow solutions of differential inclusions*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, 11 (1987), 367–377.
- [8] H. Frankowska, "Set Valued Analysis and Control Theory," Birkhauser, to appear.
- [9] G. Haddad, *Monotone viable trajectories for functional differential inclusions*, J. Diff. Eq., 42, (1981), 1–24.
- [10] B. Picard, These, 1990.
- [11] M. Quincampoix, *Differential inclusions and target problems*, Submitted for publication.
- [12] M. Quincampoix and P. Saint-Pierre, *Properties of the viability kernel*, to appear.
- [13] P. Saint-Pierre, *Numerical approximation of slow solutions to differential inclusions*, Applied Math. Opt., to appear.
- [14] P. Saint-Pierre, *Solving methods for multivalued equations*, submitted for publication.