

**STRONG CONVERGENCE OF BOUNDED SEQUENCES  
OF SOLUTIONS OF POROUS MEDIUM EQUATIONS**

GARY M. LIEBERMAN

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

(Submitted by: E. DiBenedetto)

**Abstract.** We show that smooth solutions of porous medium equations satisfy a simple  $L^2$  gradient estimate on sets where the solution itself is small. Along with known continuity estimates for solutions and an estimate on second derivatives of smooth solutions, this estimate allows us to show that approximating smooth solutions of a porous medium equation converge strongly to the weak solution.

When studying degenerate parabolic equations, it is often useful to approximate the solutions by solutions of approximating, nondegenerate equations. Under reasonable assumptions the solutions of the approximating problems will converge weakly to the solution of the degenerate equation. Here we describe a situation in which the convergence is actually strong.

We consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(u)_t &\ni \Delta u + g(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u &= \varphi \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \cup \bar{\Omega} \times \{0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

where  $\beta$  is a maximal monotone graph,  $\Omega$  is a smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g$  and  $\varphi$  are given continuous functions. If  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  are sufficiently smooth (for example,  $C^2$ ) and if  $\beta^{-1}$  is a continuous function, then (0.1) has a unique weak solution. Moreover, if  $(\beta_i)$  is a sequence of  $C^1$ , strictly increasing functions which converges  $\beta$  in the sense of maximal monotone graphs with  $\beta'_i$  strictly positive and if  $(g_i)$  is a sequence of  $C^1$  functions which converges uniformly to  $g$ , then there is a unique classical solution  $u_i$  to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(u_i)_t &= \Delta u_i + g_i(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u_i &= \varphi \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \cup \bar{\Omega} \times \{0\} \end{aligned} \quad (0.1i)$$

for each  $i$ . Under suitable additional conditions on  $\beta$  and  $\varphi$ , it is easy to check that  $(Du_i)$  converges to  $Du$  weakly in  $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . Typical such conditions

---

Received for publication October 1997.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35K65, 35B05, 35B45.

are that  $\beta(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$  for some positive constant  $p$  and  $\varphi = 0$ . Our goal here is to show that  $(Du_i)$  converges strongly to  $Du$ . The primary tool is a new gradient estimate for solutions of the approximating problems. This estimate seems to have some independent interest. We prove the estimate in Section 1 and then study the strong convergence in Section 2.

**1. The gradient estimate.** For the model case  $\beta(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ , we know that it is possible to estimate the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  norm (for any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ) on the set  $\{|u| > \varepsilon\}$  for any positive  $\varepsilon$  with the estimate depending on  $\varepsilon$ . In this section we obtain a gradient estimate on the set  $\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}$ .

We shall assume in this section that  $\beta$  is  $C^2$  and that  $\beta'$  is uniformly bounded from above and below by positive constants; however, the size of these constants will not enter into our estimates. We also suppose that  $g \in C^1$ . These assumptions guarantee that  $u$  is a classical solution of (0.1).

In the special case that  $\varphi = 0$ , our estimate takes the following simple form.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose that  $u$  is a classical solution of (0.1) with  $\varphi = 0$  and set*

$$c_1 = |\Omega|(\sup |\beta(u)| + T \sup |g|). \quad (1.1)$$

*Then for any constant  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , there is a constant  $C(\alpha)$  such that*

$$\int_{\{|u| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sup |u|}\right)^\alpha \left(\int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |Du|^2 dX + c_1 \sup |u|\right) \quad (1.2)$$

*for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \sup |u|)$ .*

**Proof.** Define

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } 0 \leq s < \varepsilon \\ 2\varepsilon - s & \text{if } \varepsilon \leq s < 2\varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } 2\varepsilon \leq s \end{cases}$$

and extend  $f$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}$  as an odd function. We then multiply the differential equation for  $u$  by  $f(u)$  and define

$$F(s) = \int_0^s \beta'(\sigma) f(\sigma) d\sigma$$

to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F(u(x,T)) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(u(x,0)) dx + \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} f'(u) |Du|^2 dX \\ = \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} g(X) f(u) dX. \end{aligned}$$

Now we note that  $s \geq 0$  implies that

$$0 \leq F(s) \leq \varepsilon \int_0^s \beta'(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \varepsilon\beta(s),$$

and  $s < 0$  implies that

$$0 \geq F(s) \geq -\varepsilon|\beta(s)|.$$

It follows that  $|F(u)| \leq \varepsilon \sup |\beta(u)|$  and hence,

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} f'(u)|Du|^2 \, dX \leq c_1\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, the definition of  $f$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} f'(u)|Du|^2 \, dX &= \int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \, dX - \int_{\{\varepsilon<|u|<2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \, dX \\ &= 2 \int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \, dX - \int_{\{|u|<2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \, dX. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\omega$  defined by

$$\omega(\varepsilon) = \int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \, dX$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\omega(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2}\omega(2\varepsilon) + \frac{c_1}{2}\varepsilon,$$

and a standard iteration scheme then gives

$$\omega(\varepsilon) \leq C(\alpha) \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sup |u|} \right)^\alpha \omega(\sup |u|) + c_1\varepsilon^\alpha (\sup |u|)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Since

$$\omega(\sup |u|) = \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |Du|^2 \, dX,$$

this inequality easily implies (1.1).  $\square$

For nonzero boundary data, we shall use some fairly strong regularity assumptions. Specifically, we assume that

$$\partial\Omega \in C^{1,1}, \tag{1.3a}$$

$$\varphi \text{ is independent of time,} \tag{1.3b}$$

$$\varphi \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega}). \tag{1.3c}$$

It then follows from the boundary gradient estimates of Kamynin and Khimchenko [3] (see also [5, Corollary 10.5]) that there is a constant  $K$  determined only by  $\Omega$ ,  $\sup |g|$ , and  $\varphi$  such that

$$|u - \varphi| \leq Kd, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $d$  denotes distance to the boundary of  $\Omega$ . Therefore,

$$Kd \leq u - \varphi + 2Kd \leq 3Kd \tag{1.5a}$$

and

$$\left\{d < \frac{\varepsilon}{3K}\right\} \subset \{|u - \varphi + 2Kd| < \varepsilon\} \subset \left\{d < \frac{\varepsilon}{K}\right\}. \tag{1.5b}$$

Since  $|Dd| = 1$  almost everywhere, it follows that

$$\int_{\{|u - \varphi + 2Kd| < \varepsilon\}} |D(\varphi - 2Kd)|^2 dX \leq c_2\varepsilon \tag{1.6}$$

for some positive constant  $c_2$  determined only by  $K$ ,  $T$ ,  $\sup |D\varphi|$ , and  $\Omega$ . With this information, we can derive an estimate for  $Du$  near  $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose that  $u$  is a classical solution of (0.1) and that  $\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (1.3). Then there is a constant  $C(\alpha)$  such that*

$$\int_{\{d < \varepsilon/3K\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sup |u|}\right)^\alpha \left(\int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |Du|^2 dX + (c_1 + c_2) \sup |u|\right) \tag{1.7}$$

for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \sup |u|)$

**Proof.** Now we use the test function  $f(u - \varphi + 2Kd)$  in place of  $f(u)$  in the proof of Lemma 1.1 and we define

$$U(X) = \int_0^{u(X)} f(\sigma - \varphi(x) + 2Kd(x))\beta'(\sigma) d\sigma, \bar{u} = u - \varphi + 2Kd$$

to see that

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} f'(\bar{u})D\bar{u} \cdot Du dX = \int_{\Omega} U(x, 0) dx - \int_{\Omega} U(x, T) dx + \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} gf(\bar{u}) dX.$$

As before,  $|U| \leq \varepsilon \sup |\beta(u)|$ , so

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} f'(\bar{u})D\bar{u} \cdot Du dX \leq c_1\varepsilon.$$

Writing  $I$  for the integral in this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= 2 \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX - 2 \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < \varepsilon\}} D(\varphi - 2Kd) \cdot Du dX \\
 &\quad - \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < 2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX + \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < 2\varepsilon\}} D(\varphi - 2Kd) \cdot Du dX.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $C(\delta)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\geq 2(1 - \delta) \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX - (1 + \delta) \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < 2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX \\
 &\quad - C(\delta) \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < \varepsilon\}} |D(\varphi - 2Kd)|^2 dX,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence, if  $\delta \leq 1/2$ , we have

$$\int_{\{|\bar{u}| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq \frac{1 + \delta}{2(1 - \delta)} \int_{\{|\bar{u}| < 2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX + (C(\delta)2c_2 + c_1)\varepsilon.$$

We now take  $\delta$  so small that

$$\frac{1 + \delta}{2(1 - \delta)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(1+\alpha)/2}$$

and then iterate to conclude that

$$\int_{\{|\bar{u}| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq C(\alpha) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sup |u|}\right)^\alpha \left(\int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |Du|^2 dX + (c_1 + c_2) \sup |u|\right).$$

Combining this inequality with (1.5b) yields (1.7).  $\square$

Our final lemma gives an interior gradient estimate for  $u$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *Suppose that  $u$  is a classical solution of (0.1) and that  $\Omega$  satisfies (1.3a). Then for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there are positive  $C(\alpha)$  and  $c_3(\Omega, T)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\{|u| < \varepsilon, d > \delta\}} |Du|^2 dX \tag{1.8} \\
 &\leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sup |u|}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\sup |u|}{\delta}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |Du|^2 dX + (c_1 + c_3) \sup |u|\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any constants  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  in the interval  $(0, \sup |u|)$ .

**Proof.** Set  $\zeta = \max\{1, d/\delta\}$  and use the test function  $f(u)\zeta^2$  to infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u)(x, T)\zeta^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(u)(0, T)\zeta^2 dx = \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |Du|^2 f' \zeta^2 + 2Du \cdot D\zeta f \zeta dX,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \zeta^2 dX - \int_{\{\varepsilon < |u| < 2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \zeta^2 dX \\ \leq c_1 \varepsilon + \eta \int_{\{|u| < 2\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \zeta^2 dX + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\eta} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |D\zeta|^2 dX \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\eta > 0$ . Since  $\partial\Omega$  is Lipschitz, there is a constant  $c_3(\Omega, T)$  such that

$$\int_{\{d < \delta\}} |D\zeta|^2 dX \leq c_3/\delta,$$

and hence

$$\omega(\varepsilon) = \int_{\{|u| < \varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 \zeta^2 dX$$

satisfies the inequality

$$2\omega(\varepsilon) - \omega(2\varepsilon) \leq c_1 \varepsilon + 2\eta\omega(2\varepsilon) + \frac{c_3 \varepsilon^2}{\delta \eta}.$$

Since  $\delta \leq \sup |u|$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \sup |u|$ , it follows that

$$\omega(\varepsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \eta\right)\omega(2\varepsilon) + C(\eta)(c_1 + c_3) \frac{\sup |u|}{\delta}.$$

The proof is completed by taking  $\eta$  so small that

$$\frac{1}{2} + \eta \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(1+\alpha)/2}$$

and then iterating.  $\square$

The preceding two lemmata allow us to conclude a result on uniform integrability over sets on which  $|u|$  is small, similar to Lemma 1.1.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose  $u$  is a classical solution of (0.1) and that  $\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (1.3). Then for any  $\eta \in (0, 1/2)$ , there is a positive constant  $C_0$  determined only by  $K$  and  $\eta$  such that*

$$\int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX < C_0 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sup |u|} \right)^\eta \left( \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |Du|^2 dX + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3) \sup |u| \right) \quad (1.9)$$

for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** First, choose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  so that  $\eta = \alpha^2/(1 + \alpha)$ . Then set

$$K_1 = C(\alpha) \left( \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |Du|^2 dX + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3) \sup |u| \right),$$

and let  $\delta \leq \sup |u|$  be a positive constant to be further determined. With  $\bar{\delta} = \delta/\sup |u|$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon/\sup |u|$ , Lemma 1.2 implies that

$$\int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon, d<\delta\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq K_1 (3K\bar{\delta})^\alpha,$$

and Lemma 1.3 implies that

$$\int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon, d\geq\delta\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq K_1 (\bar{\varepsilon})^\alpha / (3K\bar{\delta}).$$

Adding these two inequalities gives

$$\int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq K_1 c(K) [\bar{\varepsilon}^\alpha / \bar{\delta} + \bar{\delta}^\alpha].$$

Now we take  $\delta$  so that the two terms in square brackets are equal. In other words,  $\bar{\delta}^{1+\alpha} = \bar{\varepsilon}^\alpha$ , and hence,

$$\int_{\{|u|<\varepsilon\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq K_1 c(K) \bar{\varepsilon}^{\alpha - \alpha/(1+\alpha)}.$$

The proof is completed by noting that  $\alpha - \alpha/(1 + \alpha) = \eta$ .  $\square$

**2. Strong convergence results.** From Theorem 1.4, it is a simple matter to find sufficient conditions for our convergence results. As an immediate consequence, we have the following result when  $\beta$  is a power function.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (1.3). Suppose that  $(u_i)$  is a sequence of solutions to the problems (0.1i) with  $\beta_i(z) = (z^2 + i^{-2})^{p/2} \operatorname{sgn} z$  for some positive constant  $p$  with  $(g_i)$  a sequence of continuous functions converging uniformly to some limit function  $g$ . Then there is a subsequence  $(u_{i(m)})$  converging strongly in  $L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))$  to the solution of (0.1) with  $\beta(z) = |z|^{p-1}z$ .*

**Proof.** First, we use the uniform continuity of the family  $(u_i)$  [6] to infer that there is a subsequence which converges uniformly to some limit function  $u$ . In addition, a simple calculation shows that we can extract a further subsequence  $(u_{i(m)})$  so that  $(Du_{i(m)})$  converges weakly to  $Du$  in  $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . To see that this convergence is actually strong, we first note that the constants  $C_0, c_1, c_2$ , and  $c_3$  in Theorem 1.4 corresponding to  $u_i$  and  $\beta_i$  (and any fixed  $\eta$ ) are independent of  $i$ . In addition, by taking  $i(1)$  sufficiently large, we may assume that  $\frac{1}{2} \sup |u| \leq \sup |u_{i(m)}| \leq 2 \sup |u|$  for all  $m$ . In particular, choosing  $\eta = 1/4$ , it follows that there is a constant  $K_1$ , independent of  $i$ , such that

$$C_0(\sup |u_{i(m)}|)^{-1/4} \left( \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |Du_{i(m)}|^2 dX + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3) \sup |u_{i(m)}| \right) \leq K_1.$$

Now fix a positive  $\delta$  and then let  $\varepsilon$  be so small that  $K_1\varepsilon^{1/4} \leq \delta/4$ . Then there is a positive integer  $M$  such that  $|u_{i(m)} - u| < \varepsilon/3$  for  $m \geq M$ . Hence,  $\{|u| < 2\varepsilon/3\} \subset \{|u_{i(m)}| < \varepsilon\}$  and  $\{|u| \geq 2\varepsilon/3\} \subset \{|u_{i(m)}| \geq \varepsilon/3\}$  for  $m \geq M$ . Then Theorem 1.4 gives

$$\int_{\{|u| < 2\varepsilon/3\}} |Du_{i(m)}|^2 dX \leq \delta/4,$$

so the weak convergence of  $Du_{i(m)}$  to  $Du$  gives

$$\int_{\{|u| < 2\varepsilon/3\}} |Du|^2 dX \leq \delta/4$$

and hence,

$$\int_{\{|u| < 2\varepsilon/3\}} |Du_{i(m)} - Du|^2 dX \leq \delta/2$$

for  $m \geq M$ . On the other hand, since  $\beta'_{i(m)}(u_{i(m)})$  is uniformly continuous on  $\Sigma(\delta) = \{|u| \geq 2\varepsilon/3\}$ , the linear theory gives a uniform bound on  $[Du_{i(m)}]_{\beta, \Sigma(\delta)}$ . Hence, there is a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$\int_{\{|u| \geq 2\varepsilon/3\}} |Du_{i(m)} - Du|^2 dX \leq \delta/2$$



for  $m \geq N$ . Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $(Du_{i(m)})$  converges strongly to  $Du$  in  $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ .  $\square$

Note that the only important properties of our approximation for  $\beta$  are that  $\beta'$  is nonzero, continuous, and finite except at  $z = 0$  and that the sequence of approximating solutions  $(u_i)$  is equicontinuous. By taking full advantage of this information along with known estimates, it is possible to show the strong convergence when  $\beta$  is in a much more general class of functions.

To consider this more general class, we begin with an integral estimate for solutions of linear parabolic equations.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a$  be a uniformly positive, bounded function on  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , let  $h \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$ , and set  $D = \Omega \times (0, T)$ . Suppose that  $u \in W_2^{2,1}$  is a strong solution of*

$$u_t = a\Delta u + h \text{ in } D \tag{2.1}$$

with  $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$  on  $\Omega$  for some  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Let

(a) *If the restriction of  $u$  to  $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$  is independent of  $t$ , then*

$$\int_D a(\Delta u)^2 \, dX \leq \int_D \frac{1}{a} h^2 \, dX + \int_\Omega |Du_0|^2 \, dx. \tag{2.2a}$$

(b) *If  $\eta \in C(\bar{D})$  with  $\eta_t$  and  $D\eta$  in  $L^\infty(D)$  and if  $\eta = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D \eta^2 a(\Delta u)^2 \, dX &\leq 10 \left( \int_D \frac{1}{a} \eta^2 h^2 \, dX + \int_D a(Du \cdot D\eta)^2 \, dX \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \left( \int_\Omega \eta^2 |Du_0|^2 \, dx + \int_D \eta \eta_t |Du|^2 \, dX \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2b}$$

**Proof.** Following the argument in Lemma 10 of [1], we multiply the differential equation by  $\Delta u$  and integrate by parts to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D a(\Delta u)^2 + h\Delta u \, dX &= \int_D \Delta u u_t \, dX \\ &= - \int_D Du \cdot Du_t \, dX = -\frac{1}{2} \int_D (|Du|^2)_t \, dX \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |Du_0|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \times \{T\}} |Du|^2 \, dx \end{aligned}$$

provided  $Du_t \in L^2(D)$ . A simple approximation argument shows that

$$\int_D a(\Delta u)^2 + h\Delta u \, dX = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |Du_0|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \times \{T\}} |Du|^2 \, dx$$

under our hypotheses and (2.2a) follows immediately.

For part (b), we multiply the differential equation by  $\eta^2 \Delta u$  and find that

$$\int_D \eta^2 \Delta u u_t dX = \int_D a(\Delta u)^2 \eta^2 dX + \int_D \eta^2 h(\Delta u) dX.$$

On the other hand, integration by parts yields

$$\int_D \eta^2 \Delta u u_t dX = -2 \int_D \eta u_t Du \cdot D\eta dX + \int_D \eta \eta_t |Du|^2 dX - \frac{1}{2} \int_D (\eta^2 |Du|^2)_t dX.$$

Since

$$\int_D (\eta^2 |Du|^2)_t dX = \int_{\Omega \times \{T\}} \eta^2 |Du|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |Du_0|^2 dx,$$

we infer (2.2b) by combining these equalities and using Cauchy's inequality.

We are now ready to prove our general result on strong convergence.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (1.3), let  $\beta$  be a maximal monotone graph on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded on bounded subsets, and let  $(\beta_i)$  be a sequence of  $C^2$ , strictly increasing functions converging to  $\beta$  in the sense of maximal monotone graphs. Let  $(g_i)$  be a family of uniformly bounded functions which converge a.e to  $g$ , and let  $u_i$  be the solution of (0.1i). Suppose also that a uniform modulus of continuity is known for the family  $(u_i)$ , and that there is a constant  $\eta \in (0, 1/2)$  such that for any  $\zeta > 0$ , there are positive constants  $\lambda(\zeta)$  and  $\Lambda(\zeta)$  along with a finite family of intervals  $(I_j^{(\zeta)})_{j=1}^J$  such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^J l(I_j^{(\zeta)})^\eta < \zeta, \tag{2.3a}$$

and

$$\lambda(\zeta) \leq \beta'_i(s) \leq \Lambda(\zeta) \tag{2.3b}$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_{j=1}^J I_j^{(\zeta)}$  and all  $i$ . Suppose finally that  $\beta^{-1}$  is continuous. Then  $u_i \rightarrow u$  uniformly for the solution  $u$  of (0.1), and  $Du_i \rightarrow Du$  strongly in  $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ .

*Proof.* As noted in Section 1, the sequence  $(u_i)$  is uniformly bounded and hypothesis (i) implies that this sequence is equicontinuous, and  $(Du_{i(m)})$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2$ . Hence there is a subsequence  $(u_{i(m)})$  which converges uniformly to a limit function  $u$  with  $(Du_{i(m)})$  converging weakly

in  $L^2$  to  $Du$ ; hence  $u$  solves (0.1). Since the solution of (0.1) is unique (see [4, Lemma 1.3]),  $u_i \rightarrow u$  uniformly.

Now let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary and take  $M_1$  so large that  $i \geq M_1$  implies that  $\sup |u_i| \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup |u|$ . Then, we note that Theorem 1.4 can be applied to  $u_i - r$  for any constant  $r$ . Thus there is a constant  $C_1$  (independent of  $\delta$ ,  $r$ , and  $i$ ) such that

$$\int_{\{|u_i - r| < \varepsilon\}} |Du_i|^2 dX \leq C_1 \varepsilon^\eta \tag{2.4}$$

for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Now let  $(I_j)$  be the finite collection of intervals corresponding to  $\zeta = \delta/8C_1$ , and write  $r_j$  for the center of  $I_j$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $r_j$  and  $\varepsilon$  by  $2l(I_j)$  in (2.4), we see that

$$\int_{\{|u_i - r_j| < 2l(I_j)\}} |Du_i|^2 dX \leq 2^\eta C_1 l(I_j)^\eta.$$

Now choose  $M_2$  so that  $i \geq M_2$  implies  $|u_i - u| < \frac{1}{2} \min_j l(I_j)$ . As before, we have

$$\int_{\{|u - r_j| < (3/2)l(I_j)\}} |Du_i|^2 dX \leq C_1 [2l(I_j)]^\eta,$$

and hence, if  $(3/2)I_j$  denotes the interval centered at  $r_j$  with length  $\frac{3}{2}l(I_j)$ , then

$$\int_{\{u \in \cup (3/2)I_j\}} |Du_i|^2 dX \leq 4^\eta C_1 \sum l(I_j)^\eta < \delta/4. \tag{2.5a}$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_{\{d < c(\delta)\}} |Du_i|^2 dX \leq \delta/4 \tag{2.5b}$$

for some positive constant  $c(\delta)$ . Now we write  $E$  for the subsets of  $\{d \geq c(\delta)\}$  on which  $u \notin \cup (3/2)I_j$  and  $E'$  for the subset of  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  on which  $u \notin \cup I_j$ . Since  $\overline{E}$  is compact, we can cover  $E$  by finitely many cylinders  $Q_1, \dots, Q_K$ , such that  $2Q_k \subset E'$  for each  $k$ . (Here if  $Q$  is the cylinder

$$Q = \{X : |x - x_0| < r, t_0 - r^2 < t < t_0\},$$

then

$$2Q = \{X : |x - x_0| < 2r, t_0 - 4r^2 < t < t_0\} \cap \Omega \times (0, T).$$

From Lemma 2.2 along with the  $L^2$  Schauder theory for elliptic equations and a suitable cutoff function  $\eta$ , we infer a uniform bound on  $\|D^2 u_i\|_{2, Q_k}$ . It

follows from the Rellich compactness theorem (or by interpolation between the  $L^2$  bound on  $D^2u_i$  and the  $L^2$  convergence of  $u_i$ ) that  $(Du_i)$  is Cauchy in  $L^2(Q_k)$  and hence in  $L^2(E)$ . Therefore, we can find  $M_3$  such that  $i, k \geq M_3$  implies

$$\int_E |Du_i - Du_k|^2 dX < \delta/4.$$

Combining this estimate with (2.5a,b), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |Du_i - Du_k|^2 < \delta.$$

provided  $i, k \geq \max\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ . Hence,  $(Du_i)$  is Cauchy in  $L^2$ , so  $Du_i \rightarrow Du$  strongly in  $L^2$ .  $\square$

Note that, if we remove the hypothesis that  $\beta^{-1}$  is continuous, then we only conclude that some subsequence  $(u_{i(k)})$  of  $(u_i)$  converges uniformly to  $u$  with  $Du_{i(k)} \rightarrow Du$  in  $L^2$ .

We illustrate this theorem with some examples. The important element of these examples is the uniform modulus of continuity for  $u_i$ , and our examples are based on structure conditions which imply this equicontinuity.

Our first example comes from [6]. We define

$$S(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r > 0 \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } r = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } r < 0, \end{cases}$$

and we suppose that  $\beta = \nu S + b$  for some nonnegative constant  $\nu$  and some locally absolutely continuous function  $b$  with  $b(0) = 0$ . We also suppose that  $b'$  is bounded away from zero and infinity uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . (In other words, if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , there is a positive constant  $p(K)$  such that  $1/p(K) < b' < p(K)$  a.e on  $K$ .) We now define  $S_i$  by

$$S_i(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r > 1/i \\ ir & \text{if } |r| \leq 1/i \\ -1 & \text{if } r < -1/i, \end{cases}$$

and then take  $\beta_i$  to be a mollification of  $\nu S_i + b + 1/i$  with mollification parameter less than  $1/i$ . In other words, for some nonnegative function  $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  with support in the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 1$ , we set

$$\beta_i(r) = \int [\nu S_i(r + s/i) + b(r + s/i) + 1/i] \psi(s) ds.$$

A uniform modulus of continuity for  $(u_i)$  then follows from Theorem 1.1 of [6]. The intervals  $I_j^{(\zeta)}$  only need to contain the number 0, so the uniform upper and lower bounds on  $\beta'_i$  follow from the corresponding ones for  $\beta'$ .

As a second example, we suppose that  $\beta'$  is bounded from below by a positive constant and that  $g \equiv 0$ . The condition on  $\beta'$  means that there is a positive constant  $\gamma$  such that

$$\beta(s) - \beta(t) \geq \gamma[s - t] \quad (2.6)$$

whenever  $s > t$ . A uniform modulus of continuity for  $u$  follows from [2], and the modulus of continuity depends only on  $\sup |u|$ ,  $\sup |\beta(u)|$  and  $\gamma$ . Now we suppose that  $\beta'$  is unbounded on a sufficiently small set; specifically, we suppose that there is a constant  $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that, for any  $\zeta > 0$ , there is a finite collection of open intervals  $(I_j^{(\zeta)})$  such that  $|\beta'|$  is uniformly bounded off of the union of these intervals and

$$\sum l(I_j^{(\zeta)})^\eta < \zeta.$$

Taking  $\beta_i$  to be a mollification of  $\beta$  gives the desired result since condition (2.6) is preserved under mollification. (The condition  $g \equiv 0$  appears in [2], but the proof there should give a modulus of continuity as long as  $g \in L^\infty$ .)

Note that in both examples, the condition involving the intervals  $I_j^{(\zeta)}$  is similar to assuming that the set on which  $\beta'$  is infinite has Hausdorff dimension less than  $1/2$ . It would be of interest to see if our condition can be relaxed to this set having Hausdorff dimension less than  $1/2$ . The question of whether this hypothesis is needed is still open.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Aronson, M. G. Crandall, and L. A. Peletier, *Stabilization of solutions of a degenerate nonlinear diffusion problem*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **6** (1982), 1001-1002.
- [2] E. DiBenedetto and V. Vespi, *On the singular equation  $\beta(u)_t = \Delta u$* , *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **132** (1995), 247-309.
- [3] L. I. Kamynin and B. N. Khimchenko, *The principle of the maximum and boundary Lipschitz bounds for the solution of a second order elliptic-parabolic equation*, *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **15** (1974), 343-367 (Russian); English transl. in *Sib. Math. J.* **15** (1974), 242-260.
- [4] G. M. Lieberman, *Study of global solutions of parabolic equations via a priori estimates II. Porous medium equations*, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **1** (1994), 93-115.
- [5] G. M. Lieberman, *Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [6] P. E. Sacks, *Continuity of solutions of a singular parabolic equation*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **7** (1983), 387-409.