

## OPTIMAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS WITH $C^N$ COEFFICIENTS

MASSIMO CICOGNANI  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Piazza di Porta S. Donato, 5, 40127 Bologna, Italy

FERRUCCIO COLOMBINI  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Via Buonarroti, 2, 56127 Pisa, Italy

(Submitted by: Reza Aftabizadeh)

**Abstract.** We deal with the Cauchy problem for a 2-evolution operator of Schrödinger type with  $C^N$  coefficients in the time variable,  $N > 2$ . We find the Levi conditions for well-posedness in Gevrey classes of index  $1/2 + N/4$ , which is the best possible, as we show by means of counterexamples.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the Cauchy problem in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\partial_t^2 u + A(t, D_x)u + \sum_{k=0}^3 B_k(t, x, D_x)u = 0, \quad t > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1,$$

$D_x = -i\nabla_x$ , where  $A$  is of order 4 and each  $B_k$  of order  $k$

$$A(t, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=4} a_\alpha(t) D_x^\alpha, \quad B_k(t, x, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} b_{\alpha,k}(t, x) D_x^\alpha. \quad (1.2)$$

Let us assume that  $A$  and  $B_3$  are real and that

$$A(t, \xi) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (1.3)$$

so we are dealing with a 2-evolution equation with real (Schrödinger-type) roots.

For a space  $X$  of functions  $v(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we say that the Problem (1.1) is well posed in  $X$  if for every  $u_0, u_1 \in X$  there is a unique solution  $u \in C^1([0, T]; X)$ .

---

Accepted for publication: March 2004.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35G10, 35J10, 35B30.

The natural spaces  $X$  of well-posedness will be in the scale of Sobolev-Gevrey type  $H^{\infty,\lambda,s}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \bigcap_{\mu} H^{\mu,\lambda,s}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $s > 1$ , with  $H^{\mu,\lambda,s}(\mathbf{R}^n) = e^{-\lambda\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} H^{\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $\langle D_x \rangle = (1 + |D_x|^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $H^{\mu}$  the usual Sobolev space.

For every  $t \in [0, T]$  we need well-defined operators  $B_k(t) : X \rightarrow X$ , so in (1.2) we assume  $b_{\alpha,k}(t, \cdot) \in \gamma^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , where  $\gamma^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$  denotes the Gevrey space of all functions  $v(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha v(x)| \leq CK^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^s$$

for some  $K, C > 0$  and every  $\alpha$  and  $x$ . Fixing  $K$  one obtains a Banach space taking the best constant  $C$  as the norm of  $v$ . Then  $\gamma^s$  has the natural topology of limit space as  $K$  tends to  $\infty$ .

In this paper we are concerned with the problem of determining the sharp  $C^N$  regularity of the coefficients  $a_\alpha$  and the related Levi conditions on the  $B_k$ 's in order to have a well-posed problem (1.1). Here  $N > 2$  is a real number, and for  $N = k + \chi$ ,  $k$  an integer and  $0 < \chi \leq 1$ ,  $C^N$  is a short notation for the space  $C^{k,\chi}$  of all functions  $a(t)$  such that  $\partial_t^k a$  is Hölder continuous of exponent  $\chi$  in  $[0, T]$ .

We focus on the case  $A(t, \xi) = 0$  for some  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\xi \neq 0$  in (1.3). Under the stronger assumption

$$A(t, \xi) \geq c|\xi|^4, \quad c > 0$$

one can allow less than  $C^1$  coefficients even for  $H^\infty$  well-posedness,  $H^\infty = \bigcap_{\mu} H^{\mu}$ , as we have shown in [3] (see also [2]).

Testing the equation

$$\partial_t^2 u + A(t, D_x)u = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

with  $a_\alpha \in C^N([0, T])$  we find well-posedness in  $H^{\infty,\lambda,s}$  for

$$s < s_0 := 1/2 + N/4. \tag{1.5}$$

For more general evolution equations,  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\partial_t^2 u + A(t, D_x)u = 0, \quad A(t, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2p} a_\alpha(t)\xi^\alpha \geq 0, \quad p \geq 1,$$

we prove that well-posedness holds for

$$s < 1/p + N/2p, \quad N > 2(p - 1), \tag{1.6}$$

which is in line with the weakly hyperbolic case  $p = 1$  of [4], and we give a counterexample to show that this bound for the Gevrey index  $s$  is optimal. In particular one can not expect  $H^\infty$  well-posedness with less than  $C^\infty$  coefficients.

Coming back to the case  $p = 2$ , adding lower-order terms

$$\sum_{k=0}^3 B_k(t, D_x)u$$

with coefficients depending only on  $t$  in (1.4), it is sufficient to assume  $b_{\alpha,3} \in C^1([0, T])$  and  $b_{\alpha,k} \in C^0([0, T])$ ,  $k \leq 2$ , but we need Levi conditions on  $B_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ ,

$$|B_k(t, \xi)| \leq C(A(t, \xi))^{\delta_k} |\xi|^{k-4\delta_k}, \quad \delta_k \geq k/4 - (4 - k)/2N \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$|\partial_t B_3(t, \xi)| \leq C(A(t, \xi))^\eta |\xi|^{3-4\eta}, \quad \eta \geq 3/4 - 3/2N \quad (1.8)$$

in order to maintain the sharp bound (1.5) for the Gevrey index of well-posedness.

In (1.7) for  $k = 1, 2$  and (1.8) one can replace  $A(t, \xi)$  with  $|\partial_t A(t, \xi)|$  taking suitable larger  $\delta_k$  and  $\eta$ .

All our results hold true in dimension of space  $n = 1$  if we let the coefficients  $b_{\alpha,k}$  in (1.2) depend also on the variable  $x$ .

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we consider  $p$ -evolution equations,  $p \geq 2$ , with coefficients depending only on time, and we show the way condition (1.6) arises by Fourier transform. We show also that this bound for  $s$  is optimal by means of counterexamples. In Section 3 we take  $p = 2$  and add lower-order terms under the Levi conditions (1.7) and (1.8). In Section 4 we let the coefficients of  $B_k$ ,  $k \leq 3$ , depend also on a space variable  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  using pseudo-differential calculus.

## 2. SHARP $C^N$ REGULARITY IN HOMOGENEOUS EQUATIONS OF ANY ORDER

For an integer  $p \geq 1$ , let us consider the operator  $L_0$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ :

$$L_0 = \partial_t^2 + A(t, D_x), \quad A(t, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2p} a_\alpha(t) D_x^\alpha. \quad (2.1)$$

We assume

$$a_\alpha \in C^N([0, T]; \mathbf{R}), \quad N > 2, \quad A(t, \xi) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (2.2)$$

and study the Cauchy problem

$$L_0 u = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1 \quad (2.3)$$

in Sobolev-Gevrey spaces

$$H^{\mu, \lambda, s}(\mathbf{R}^n) = e^{-\lambda \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} H^\mu(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad s > 1,$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_{\mu,\lambda,s} = \|e^{\lambda\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u\|_{\mu}.$$

Let  $u(t, x)$  be a solution of the equation  $L_0 u = 0$ . For the Fourier transform  $v(t, \xi)$  of  $u$  with respect to  $x$ , we define the energy

$$E_\varepsilon = |\partial_t v(t, \xi)|^2 + |\xi|^{2p}(a(t, \xi) + \varepsilon)|v(t, \xi)|^2, \quad a(t, \xi) = A(t, \xi)|\xi|^{-2p} \quad (2.4)$$

with  $\varepsilon = |\xi|^{-\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  to be chosen later. From

$$\partial_t^2 v(t, \xi) + |\xi|^{2p} a(t, \xi) v(t, \xi) = 0$$

we have

$$\partial_t E_\varepsilon \leq (|\partial_t a|/(a + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{1/2} |\xi|^p) E_\varepsilon, \quad (2.5)$$

which gives

$$E_\varepsilon(t, \xi) \leq E_\varepsilon(0, \xi) \exp\{t\varepsilon^{1/2} |\xi|^p + \int_0^t |\partial_\tau a(\tau, \xi)|/(a(\tau, \xi) + \varepsilon) d\tau\} \quad (2.6)$$

by Gronwall's inequality. From Lemma 1 of [4] we know that  $a^{1/N}$  is an absolutely continuous function of the variable  $t$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & t\varepsilon^{1/2} |\xi|^p + \int_0^t |\partial_\tau a(\tau, \xi)|/(a(\tau, \xi) + \varepsilon) d\tau \\ & \leq t\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} |\xi|^p + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{N}} \int_0^t |\partial_\tau a^{\frac{1}{N}}(\tau, \xi)| d\tau = t|\xi|^{p-\sigma/2} + |\xi|^{\sigma/N} \int_0^t |\partial_\tau a^{\frac{1}{N}}(\tau, \xi)| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The best choice  $\sigma = 2pN/(N + 2)$  of  $\sigma$  and (2.6) yield

$$E_\varepsilon(t, \xi) \leq E_\varepsilon(0, \xi) e^{w(t, \xi)}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$w(t, \xi) = |\xi|^{2p/(N+2)} \left( t + \int_0^t |\partial_\tau a^{1/N}(\tau, \xi)| d\tau \right).$$

This allows us to solve the Cauchy problem for  $L_0$  in Gevrey spaces provided that the Gevrey index  $s$  is related to the  $C^N$  regularity of  $A$  by the assumption

$$1 < s \leq s_0 := 1/p + N/2p. \quad (2.8)$$

In fact, we have proved the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $w(t, \xi)$  be as in (2.7), and let  $s$  be as in (2.8). Then for every  $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^2 C^j([0, T]; H^{\mu+p(2-j), \lambda, s})$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{-w(t, D_x)} u(t)\|_{\mu+2p/(N+2), \lambda, s}^2 + \|e^{-w(t, D_x)} \partial_t u(t)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 \\ & \leq C \left( \|u(0)\|_{\mu+p, \lambda, s}^2 + \|\partial_t u(0)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{-w(\tau, D_x)} L_0 u(\tau)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 d\tau \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$C > 0$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

**Remark 2.2.** For  $s < s_0$  the energy estimate (2.9) implies the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (2.3) in the space  $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} H^{\infty,\lambda,s}$ . In particular for every Cauchy data  $u_0, u_1 \in \gamma^s$  with compact support there is a solution  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \gamma^s)$ .

Also for  $s = s_0$  the Cauchy problem can be uniquely solved but the lifespan of the solution as a Gevrey function depends on the space of Cauchy data. For  $u_0, u_1 \in H^{\infty,\lambda^*,s_0}$  there is a unique solution  $u \in C^1([0, T^*]; \bigcup_{\lambda>0} H^{\infty,\lambda,s_0})$  with  $w(T^*, \xi) \leq \lambda^* \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s_0}$ .

Next we show that condition (2.8) is sharp. Let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 u(t, x) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x \in \mathbf{T} \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

taking now

$$L_0 = \partial_t^2 + a(t) D_x^{2p} \tag{2.11}$$

in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ , the torus of dimension 1.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let us take  $N > 2(p - 1)$  and let  $s_0 = 1/p + N/2p$ . There are a function  $a(t)$*

$$a \in C^N([0, 1]), \quad a(t) \geq 0 \tag{2.12}$$

*and two Cauchy data  $u_0, u_1 \in \gamma^s(\mathbf{T})$  for every  $s > s_0$  such that the Cauchy problem (2.10) does not have any solution in  $C^1([0, 1]; \mathcal{D}'_s(\mathbf{T}))$  for any  $s > s_0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'_s$  the space of all Gevrey ultradistributions of index  $s$ .*

**Proof.** Our construction is inspired by the examples in [5] and in [4]. Let us take a real, nonnegative,  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^\infty$  function  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau$  in a neighborhood of  $\tau = 0$  and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\tau) \cos^2 \tau \, d\tau = \pi.$$

Then, for every  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$  we define

$$\alpha(\tau) = 1 + 4\varepsilon\varphi(\tau) \sin 2\tau - 2\varepsilon \varphi'(\tau) \cos^2 \tau - 4\varepsilon^2 \varphi^2(\tau) \cos^4 \tau,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is fixed in such a way that  $1/2 \leq \alpha(\tau) \leq 3/2$ ,

$$\tilde{w}(\tau) = \cos \tau \exp\left(-\varepsilon\tau + 2\varepsilon \int_0^\tau \varphi(s) \cos^2 s \, ds\right), \quad w(\tau) = \tilde{w}(\tau)e^{\varepsilon\tau}.$$

So,  $\alpha(\tau)$  and  $\tilde{w}(\tau)$  are  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^\infty$  functions; let us now denote

$$M = \|\alpha'\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Furthermore,  $w$  is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$w''(\tau) + \alpha(\tau)w(\tau) = 0, \quad w(0) = 1, \quad w'(0) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Let now  $\beta(\tau)$  be a nonincreasing  $C^\infty$  function such that  $\beta(\tau) = 1$  for  $\tau \leq 0$ ,  $\beta(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau \geq 1$ . We use also four positive monotone sequences  $\{\delta_k\}$ ,  $\{\varrho_k\}$ ,  $\{\nu_k\}$ , and  $\{h_k\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_k &\rightarrow +\infty, \quad \nu_k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \delta_k \rightarrow 0, \quad \varrho_k \rightarrow 0; \quad \nu_k, h_k \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \delta_1 &\leq 1, \quad 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varrho_k = T < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Finally, let us define two families of intervals  $I_k$  and  $J_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , by setting

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= [t_k - \varrho_k/2, t_k + \varrho_k/2], \quad J_k = [t_k + \varrho_k/2, t_k + 3\varrho_k/2] \\ t_k &= \varrho_k/2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varrho_j \quad (t_1 = \varrho_1/2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Now we are ready to construct the coefficient  $a(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} \delta_k \alpha(4\pi\nu_k(t - t_k)/\varrho_k) & \text{for } t \in I_k \\ \delta_{k+1} + (\delta_k - \delta_{k+1})\beta((t - t_k)/\varrho_k - 1/2) & \text{for } t \in J_k \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq T. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

It is easy to see that  $a \in C^\infty([0, T])$ . Moreover, requiring

$$\delta_k \left( \frac{4\pi\nu_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^N = 1 \tag{2.17}$$

we obtain  $a \in C^N([0, 1])$ .

Now we define a solution  $u \in C^\infty([0, T]; \gamma^s(\mathbf{T}))$  for any  $s > s_0$  of  $Lu = 0$  and take  $u_0 = u(0, x)$  and  $u_1 = \partial_t u(0, x)$  as Cauchy data in (2.10). Let us set

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k(t) e^{ih_k x}. \tag{2.18}$$

We have

$$v_k''(t) + h_k^{2p} a(t) v_k(t) = 0; \tag{2.19}$$

hence, if we impose  $v_k(t_k) = 1$  and  $v_k'(t_k) = 0$  we have, thanks to (2.13),

$$v_k(t) = w(4\pi\nu_k(t - t_k)/\varrho_k), \quad t \in I_k, \tag{2.20}$$

provided that

$$h_k^{2p} = (4\pi\nu_k/\varrho_k)^2 \delta_k^{-1}. \tag{2.21}$$

In particular

$$v_k(t_k - \varrho_k/2) = e^{-2\pi\varepsilon\nu_k}, \quad v_k'(t_k - \varrho_k/2) = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

$$v_k(t_k + \varrho_k/2) = e^{2\pi\varepsilon\nu_k}, \quad v'_k(t_k + \varrho_k/2) = 0. \tag{2.23}$$

For

$$E_k(t) = |v'_k(t)|^2 + h_k^{2p} a(t) |v_k(t)|^2$$

from (2.22), for  $t \leq t_k - \varrho_k/2$  and taking (2.21) into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(t) &\leq E_k(t_k - \varrho_k/2) \exp\left[\int_0^{t_k - \varrho_k/2} |a'(t)|/a(t) dt\right] \\ &= h_k^{2p} \delta_k \exp\left[-4\pi\varepsilon\nu_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{I_j} |a'(t)|/a(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{J_j} |a'(t)|/a(t) dt\right]. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_j} |a'(t)|/a(t) dt &\leq 8\pi M \nu_j, \\ \int_{J_j} |a'(t)|/a(t) dt &= \log(1/\delta_{j+1}) - \log(1/\delta_j), \end{aligned}$$

so, finally, for  $t \leq t_k - \varrho_k/2$ , taking (2.17) into account, we obtain

$$E_k(t) \leq C \exp\left[-4\pi\varepsilon\nu_k + 8\pi M \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j + (N + 2) \log(\nu_k/\varrho_k)\right]. \tag{2.24}$$

Now we choose  $\varrho_k = (k + k_0)^{-2}$  in such a way that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varrho_k < 1/2$  and  $\nu_k = \mu^k$  with  $\mu$  an integer so large that

$$4\pi\varepsilon\nu_k > 8\pi M \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j + (N + 2) \log(\nu_k/\varrho_k) + \varepsilon\nu_k. \tag{2.25}$$

From (2.24), (2.17), and (2.25), we obtain

$$E_k(t) \exp(h_k^{1/s}) \leq C \exp[-\varepsilon\nu_k + (4\pi\nu_k/\varrho_k)^{(N+2)/2ps}],$$

and this expression goes to 0 for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $s > 1/p + N/2p$ .

So, for  $u$  defined by (2.18),  $u(0, x)$  and  $\partial_t u(0, x)$  are in  $\gamma^s(\mathbf{T})$  for any  $s > s_0$ . On the other hand, from (2.23) immediately it follows that  $u(t, \cdot)$  is not bounded in  $\mathcal{D}'_s(\mathbf{T})$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ , for any  $s > s_0$ .  $\square$

3. LEVI CONDITIONS

Let us now consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= 0, \quad t > 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for an operator  $L$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \partial_t^2 + A(t, D_x) + \sum_{k=0}^3 B_k(t, D_x) \\ A(t, D_x) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=4} a_\alpha(t) D_x^\alpha, \quad B_k(t, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} b_{\alpha,k}(t) D_x^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In this section we take the evolution degree  $p = 2$  only for simplicity's sake. We assume

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha &\in C^N([0, T]; \mathbf{R}), \quad N > 2, \quad A(t, \xi) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ b_{\alpha,3} &\in C^1([0, T]; \mathbf{R}), \quad b_{\alpha,k} \in C^0([0, T]; \mathbf{C}), \quad k \leq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We have proved in Section 2 that  $s_0 = 1/2 + N/4$  is the largest possible index of Gevrey well-posedness for the principal part  $L_0 = \partial_t^2 + A(t, D_x)$ . In order to reach it also for the full operator  $L$ , we assume that for  $\delta_k, \eta \geq 0$ ,  $a(t, \xi) = |\xi|^{-4} A(t, \xi)$ , and  $b_k(t, \xi) = |\xi|^{-k} B_k(t, \xi)$ , the lower-order terms satisfy the Levi conditions

$$|b_3(t, \xi)| \leq C a(t, \xi)^{\delta_3}, \quad \delta_3 > 3/4 - 1/2N, \tag{3.4}$$

$$|\partial_t b_3(t, \xi)| \leq C a(t, \xi)^\eta, \quad \eta \geq 3/4 - 3/2N, \tag{3.5}$$

$$|b_k(t, \xi)| \leq C a(t, \xi)^{\delta_k}, \quad \delta_k \geq k/4 - (4 - K)/2N, \quad k = 1, 2. \tag{3.6}$$

In particular we do not need any condition on  $B_1$  for  $s_0 \leq 2$  since we can take  $\delta_1 = 0$  in this case.

The Gevrey well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (3.1) for  $s < s_0$  is obtained by the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the operator  $L$  defined by (3.2) fulfill conditions (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), and let  $w(t, \xi)$  be as in (2.7) taking there  $p = 2$ . Let  $s \leq 1/2 + N/4$ .*

*Then there is  $\varrho > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^2 C^j([0, T]; H^{\mu+4-2j, \lambda, s})$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{-\varrho w(t, D_x)} u(t)\|_{\mu+4/(N+2), \lambda, s}^2 + \|e^{-\varrho w(t, D_x)} \partial_t u(t)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 \\ &\leq C \left( \|u(0)\|_{\mu+2, \lambda, s}^2 + \|\partial_t u(0)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{-\varrho w(\tau, D_x)} Lu(\tau)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 d\tau \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$C > 0$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

**Proof.** Only to have a simpler notation let us give the proof for  $u$  such that  $Lu = 0$ . Denoting by  $v(t, \xi)$  the Fourier transform of  $u(t, x)$  with respect to the space variable  $x$ , let us define

$$E(t, \xi) = |\partial_t v|^2 + (a(t, \xi) + \varepsilon)|\xi|^4 |v|^2 + b_3(t, \xi)|\xi|^3 |v|^2, \quad \varepsilon = |\xi|^{-4N/(N+2)}. \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.4) we have

$$E(t, \xi) \geq |\partial_t v|^2 + |\xi|^{8/(N+2)} |v|^2 / 2 \quad (3.9)$$

for large  $|\xi|$ . Since

$$\partial_t^2 v + |\xi|^4 a(t, \xi) v + \sum_{k=0}^3 |\xi|^k b_k(t, \xi) v = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

the function  $E$  satisfies

$$\partial_t E \leq \left( |\partial_t a + |\xi|^{-1} \partial_t b_3| / (a + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{1/2} |\xi|^2 + (a + \varepsilon)^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^2 |b_k| |\xi|^{k-2} \right) E, \quad (3.11)$$

so from (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\partial_t E \leq \left( |\partial_t a| / (a + \varepsilon) + 2\rho |\xi|^{1/s_0} \right) E \quad (3.12)$$

for some  $\rho > 1$  and large  $|\xi|$ , which gives

$$E_\varepsilon(t, \xi) \leq E_\varepsilon(0, \xi) e^{2\rho w(t, \xi)} \quad (3.13)$$

by Gronwall's inequality as in (2.7), taking there  $p = 2$ . The energy estimate (3.7) follows from (3.13) and (3.9).  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** In (3.5) and (3.6) one can replace  $a$  with  $|\partial_t a|$  taking now  $\eta, \delta_k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\eta(N-1)/N \geq 3/4 - 3/2N, \quad \delta_k(N-1)/N \geq k/4 - (4-K)/2N, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (3.14)$$

Using the Hölder inequality and Lemma 1 of [4] in

$$\int_0^t |\partial_\tau a(\tau, \xi)|^\eta / (a(\tau, \xi) + \varepsilon) d\tau$$

and

$$\int_0^t |\partial_\tau a(\tau, \xi)|^{\delta_k} / (a(\tau, \xi) + \varepsilon)^{1/2} d\tau,$$

the energy estimate (3.7) holds with

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t, \xi) &= |\xi|^{1/s_0} (t + t^{1-\eta} h(t, \xi)^\eta + \sum_{k=1}^2 t^{1-\delta_k} h(t, \xi)^{\delta_k}), \\
 h(t, \xi) &= \int_0^t |\partial_\tau a^{1/N}(\tau, \xi)| d\tau.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.15}$$

**Remark 3.3.** The conditions (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) can be slightly weakened in several directions.

For instance, in (3.5) and (3.6) one can replace the constant  $C$  by a positive function  $\lambda(t, \xi)$  such that

$$\sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_0^T \lambda(t, \xi) dt < +\infty.$$

Furthermore, in the case  $a(0, \xi) = 0$  for some  $\xi \neq 0$ , we obtain the weaker conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 |t^{2(1-q)} b_3(t, \xi)| &\leq C (t^{2(1-q)} a(t, \xi))^{\delta_3}, \\
 |t^{2(1-q)} \partial_t b_3(t, \xi)| &\leq \lambda(t, \xi) (t^{2(1-q)} a(t, \xi))^\eta, \\
 |t^{1-q} b_k(t, \xi)| &\leq \lambda(t, \xi) (t^{2(1-q)} a(t, \xi))^{\delta_k}, \quad k = 1, 2
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $q \in (0, 1)$  by choosing

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon(t, \xi) &= |\xi|^{-4N/(N+2)} t^{-2(1-q)}, \quad t > |\xi|^{-2N(N+2)^{-1}(1-q)^{-1}}, \\
 \varepsilon(t, \xi) &= 1, \quad t < |\xi|^{-2N(N+2)^{-1}(1-q)^{-1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

in (3.8).

The following example shows that the exponents  $\delta_k$  in (3.4) and (3.6) are optimal:

**Example.** For a fixed  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ , and positive integers  $h$  and  $\ell$ , let us consider the operator

$$L = \partial_t^2 + t^{2h} D_x^4 + t^\ell D_x^k, \quad (h + 1)/(\ell + 2) > 2/k$$

in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ . From the above Remark 3.3 we obtain Gevrey well-posedness for

$$s < s_0 = \frac{2h - \ell}{k(h + 1) - 2(\ell + 2)},$$

taking there  $\lambda(t, \xi) = t^{q-1}$ . In [1] it is shown that the Cauchy problem for  $L$  is not well posed for  $s > s_0$ . There the author generalizes the famous weakly hyperbolic example

$$L = \partial_t^2 + t^{2h} D_x^2 + t^\ell D_x$$

treated in [6].

#### 4. SPACE VARIABLE

In this section, using pseudo-differential calculus, we prove an energy inequality in spaces  $H^{\mu,\lambda,s}$  in order to solve the Cauchy problem for an operator  $L$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$  with coefficients of lower-order terms depending also on a space variable  $x$  of dimension 1.

Let  $S^m$  denote the usual space of symbols  $p(x, \xi)$  of order  $m$  such that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}, \quad |p|_{m,\ell} := \sup_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq \ell} \sup_{x,\xi} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+|\alpha|}, \tag{4.1}$$

and for  $s > 1$ ,  $A > 0$ , let  $S_A^{m,s}$  denote the subspace of all symbols  $p \in S^m$  such that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha A^{|\beta|} \beta!^s \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|},$$

$$|p|_{m,\ell,A,s} := \sup_{|\alpha| \leq \ell, \beta \geq 0} \sup_{x,\xi} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| A^{-|\beta|} \beta!^{-s} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+|\alpha|}. \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, let  $S^{m,s}$  denote the limit space  $S^{m,s} = \bigcup_{A>0} S_A^{m,s}$ . Operators with symbol in  $S^{m,s}$  are continuous in spaces  $H^{\mu,\lambda,s}$ . In fact, denoting

$$p_\Lambda(x, D_x) = e^\Lambda p(x, D_x) e^{-\Lambda}, \quad \Lambda(D_x) = \lambda \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s},$$

we have

**Proposition 4.1.** [7]. *Let  $p \in S_A^{m,s}$ . There is  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(A, s) > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  the symbol of  $p_\Lambda$  has the asymptotic expansion*

$$p_\Lambda = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \alpha!^{-1} (\partial_\xi^\alpha e^\Lambda) D_x^\alpha p(x, \xi) e^{-\Lambda} + r_M^{(\Lambda)}, \quad r_M^{(\Lambda)} \in S^{m-(M+1)(1-1/s),s}, \tag{4.3}$$

$M \geq 0$ , and for every  $\ell$  there is  $\ell'$  such that

$$|p_\Lambda|_{m,\ell} \leq C |p|_{m,\ell',A,s} \tag{4.4}$$

with  $C > 0$  independent of  $p$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ .

Now let us consider the Cauchy problem in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= 0, \quad t > 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for an operator  $L$ ,

$$L = \partial_t^2 + a(t) D_x^4 + \sum_{k=0}^3 b_k(t, x) D_x^k, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{4.6}$$

with  $a(t)$  and  $b_3(t, x)$  real valued and such that

$$a \in C^N([0, T]), \quad N > 2, \quad a(t) \geq 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$b_3 \in C^1([0, T]; \gamma^s), \quad b_k \in C^0([0, T]; \gamma^s), \quad k \leq 2, \quad s \leq s_0 = 1/2 + N/4, \tag{4.8}$$

$$|\partial_x^\beta b_3(t, x)| \leq C^{|\beta|+1} \beta a(t)^{\delta_3}, \quad \delta_3 > 3/4 - 1/2N, \tag{4.9}$$

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_t b_3(t, x)| \leq C^{|\beta|+1} \beta a(t)^\eta, \quad \eta \geq 3/4 - 3/2N, \tag{4.10}$$

$$|\partial_x^\beta b_k(t, x)| \leq C^{|\beta|+1} \beta a(t)^{\delta_k}, \quad \delta_k \geq k/4 - (4 - K)/2N, \quad k = 1, 2 \tag{4.11}$$

for  $\eta, \delta_k \in [0, 1]$ .

From the above assumptions on the coefficients of  $L$  we have the factorization

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (\partial_t - i\omega D_x^2 - i\gamma D_x)(\partial_t + i\omega D_x^2 + i\gamma D_x) + r\omega \langle D_x \rangle^2, \\ \omega(t, \xi) &= (a(t) + \langle \xi \rangle^{-\sigma})^{1/2}, \quad \sigma = 4N/(N + 2), \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}, \\ \gamma(t, x, \xi) &= 2^{-1} b_3(t, x) \omega^{-1}(t, \xi) \in C^0([0, T]; S^{0,s}), \\ r(t, x, \xi) &= p(t, x, \xi) a'(t) \omega^{-2}(t, \xi) + q(t, x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s_0}, \\ p, q &\in C^0([0, T]; S^{0,s}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Notice that  $\omega$  is of order 0 but  $\partial_\xi \omega$  is of order  $-\sigma/2 - 1$ . Furthermore, we can take an elliptic symbol  $m(t, x, \xi) \in C^0([0, T]; S^{0,s})$  by (4.9) such that

$$m(t, x, \xi) = (2 + b_3(t, x) \xi^{-1} \omega^{-2}(t, \xi))^{-1}, \quad |\xi| \text{ large} \tag{4.13}$$

so for a given scalar function  $u(t, x)$  we can define the vector

$$U = {}^t (u_1, u_2)$$

by

$$u_1 = (\partial_t + i\omega D_x^2 + i\gamma D_x)u, \quad u_2 = \omega \langle D_x \rangle^2 u + imu_1 \tag{4.14}$$

in order to make the scalar equation  $Lu = 0$  equivalent to a  $2 \times 2$  system  $SU = 0$  with

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \partial_t + K(t, x, D_x), \\ K(t, x, \xi) &= D(t, x, \xi) + P(t, x, \xi) a'(t) \omega^{-2}(t, \xi) + Q(t, x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s_0}, \\ D(t, x, \xi) &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\omega(t, \xi) \xi^2 - i\gamma(t, x, \xi) \xi & 0 \\ 0 & i\omega(t, \xi) \xi^2 + i\gamma(t, x, \xi) \xi \end{pmatrix}, \\ P &= (p_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2}, \quad Q = (q_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2}, \quad p_{jk}, q_{jk} \in C^0([0, T]; S^{0,s}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Since  $a(t) = 0$  implies  $a'(t) = 0$  for  $t \in (0, T)$ , we have

$$|a'(t)|\omega^{-2} = |a'(t)|(a(t) + \langle \xi \rangle^{-\sigma})^{-1} \leq \alpha(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/s_0}, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\alpha(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } a(t) = 0, \quad \alpha(t) = |a'(t)|a(t)^{1/N-1} \quad \text{for } a(t) \neq 0,$$

where  $\alpha \in L^1(0, T)$  by Lemma 1 of [4]. Let us define

$$w(t, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \left( t + \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau \right). \quad (4.17)$$

We have

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $S$  be as in (4.15),  $\alpha$  as in (4.16), and  $w$  as in (4.17). There are  $\lambda_0, \varrho > 0$  such that for every  $U \in C^0([0, T]; H^{\mu+2, \lambda, s}) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{\mu, \lambda, s})$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\varrho w(t, D_x)} U(t)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 &\leq C \left( \|U(0)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{-\varrho w(\tau, D_x)} S U(\tau)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 d\tau \right), \\ C > 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T^*, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

with  $T^* + \int_0^{T^*} \alpha(\tau) d\tau \leq \lambda/\varrho$ .

**Proof.** Let us denote  $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \lambda \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \varrho w(t, \xi)$ ,  $V = e^\Lambda U$ , and  $F = e^\Lambda S U$ . We have to prove

$$\|V(t)\|_\mu^2 \leq C \left( \|V(0)\|_\mu^2 + \int_0^t \|F(\tau)\|_\mu^2 d\tau \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T^*. \quad (4.19)$$

Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the scalar product in  $H^\mu$ . From Proposition 4.1, (4.15), and (4.16), for  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  and almost every  $t \in [0, T^*]$ , the absolutely continuous function  $\|V(t)\|_\mu^2$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d/dt \|V(t)\|_\mu^2 &= \\ &- 2\Re \left( DV(t) + \varrho(1 + \alpha(t)) \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s} V(t) + P_0 V(t) + Q_0 V(t) - F(t), V(t) \right), \\ P_0 &\in L^1([0, T]; S^{1/s_0}), \quad |P_0(t)|_{1/s_0, \ell} \leq C_\ell \alpha(t), \\ Q_0 &\in C^0([0, T]; S^{1/s}), \quad |Q_0(t)|_{1/s, \ell} \leq C_\ell \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

with  $C_\ell > 0$  independent of  $\varrho$  so that we can fix  $\varrho$  in order to make

$$\varrho(1 + \alpha(t)) \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s} + P_0 + Q_0$$

a positive operator. Since the symbol of  $D + D^*$  belongs to  $C^0([0, T]; S^0)$ , now (4.19) follows by Gronwall's method.  $\square$

Coming back to the scalar equation, from (4.18) and (4.14), we obtain

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $L$  in (4.6) satisfy the conditions from (4.7) to (4.11), and let  $\alpha$  be as in (4.16),  $w$  as in (4.17). There are  $\lambda_0, \varrho > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^2 C^j([0, T]; H^{\mu+4-2j, \lambda, s})$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ , we have*

$$\|e^{-\varrho w(t, D_x)} u(t)\|_{\mu+4/(N+2), \lambda, s}^2 + \|e^{-\varrho w(t, D_x)} \partial_t u(t)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 \leq C \left( \|u(0)\|_{\mu+2, \lambda, s}^2 + \|\partial_t u(0)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{-\varrho w(\tau, D_x)} Lu(\tau)\|_{\mu, \lambda, s}^2 d\tau \right), \quad (4.21)$$

$$C > 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T^*,$$

with  $T^* + \int_0^{T^*} \alpha(\tau) d\tau \leq \lambda/\varrho$ .

**Remark 4.4.** From (4.21) it follows that for  $s \leq s_0$  and  $u_0, u_1 \in H^{\infty, \lambda^*, s}$  there is a unique solution  $u \in C^1([0, T^*]; \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} H^{\infty, \lambda, s})$  of the Cauchy problem (4.5) with  $T^* + \int_0^{T^*} \alpha(\tau) d\tau \leq \lambda^*/\varrho$ . In particular for every  $u_0, u_1 \in \gamma^{s-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , with compact support there is a global solution  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \gamma^s)$  since  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  belong to  $H^{\infty, \lambda, s}$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Agliardi, *A generalization of an example by V. Ya. Ivrii*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, 39 (1993), 93–109.
- [2] M. Cicognani and R. Agliardi, *Operators of  $p$ -evolution with non regular coefficients in the time variable*, to appear in J. Differential Equations.
- [3] M. Cicognani and F. Colombini, *Sharp regularity of the coefficients in the Cauchy problem for a class of evolution equations*, Differential and Integral Equations, 16 (2003), 1321–1344.
- [4] F. Colombini, E. Jannelli, and S. Spagnolo, *Well-posedness in the Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problem for a nonstrictly hyperbolic equation with coefficients depending on time*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., 10 (1983), 291–312.
- [5] F. Colombini and S. Spagnolo, *An example of a weakly hyperbolic Cauchy problem not well posed in  $C^\infty$* , Acta Math., 148 (1982), 243–253.
- [6] V. Ja. Ivrii, *Conditions for correctness in Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators with characteristics of variable multiplicity*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Ž., 17 (1976), 1256–1270.
- [7] K. Kajitani and S. Wakabayashi, *Microhyperbolic operators in Gevrey classes*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 25 (1989), 169–221.