

A BILINEAR AIRY-ESTIMATE WITH APPLICATION TO GKDV-3

AXEL GRÜNROCK

Fachbereich Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachbereich Mathematik
Bergische Universität Wuppertal
Gaußstraße 20, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

(Submitted by: Gustavo Ponce)

Abstract. The Fourier restriction norm method is used to show local wellposedness for the Cauchy-Problem

$$u_t + u_{xxx} + (u^4)_x = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0 \in H_x^s(\mathbf{R}), \quad s > -\frac{1}{6}$$

for the generalized Korteweg-deVries equation of order three, for short gKdV-3. For real-valued data $u_0 \in L_x^2(\mathbf{R})$ global wellposedness follows by the conservation of the L^2 norm. The main new tool is a bilinear estimate for solutions of the Airy-equation.

The purpose of this note is to establish local wellposedness of the Cauchy-Problem

$$u_t + u_{xxx} + (u^4)_x = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0 \in H_x^s(\mathbf{R}), \quad s > -\frac{1}{6}$$

for the generalized Korteweg-deVries equation of order three, for short gKdV-3. So far, local wellposedness of this problem is known for data $u_0 \in H_x^s(\mathbf{R})$, $s \geq \frac{1}{12}$. This was shown by Kenig, Ponce and Vega in 1993; see Theorem 2.6 in [4]. Here we extend this result to data $u_0 \in H_x^s(\mathbf{R})$, $s > -\frac{1}{6}$. A standard scaling argument suggests that this is optimal (up to the endpoint). For real-valued data $u_0 \in L_x^2(\mathbf{R})$ we obtain global wellposedness by the conservation of the L^2 norm.

By the Fourier restriction norm method introduced in [1] and further developed in [5] and [2] matters reduce to the proof of the estimate

$$\|\partial_x \prod_{i=1}^4 u_i\|_{X_{s,b'}} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \|u_i\|_{X_{s,b}} \quad (0.1)$$

Accepted for publication: May 2005.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35Q53.

for suitable values of s, b and b' . Here the space $X_{s,b}$ is the completion of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}} = \left(\int d\xi d\tau \langle \tau - \xi^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}u(\xi, \tau)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform in both variables. The main new tool for the proof of (0.1) is the following bilinear Airy-estimate:

Lemma 1. *Let I^s denote the Riesz potential of order $-s$ and let $I_-^s(f, g)$ be defined by its Fourier transform (in the space variable):*

$$\mathcal{F}_x I_-^s(f, g)(\xi) := \int_{\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi} d\xi_1 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^s \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi_1) \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi_2).$$

Then we have

$$\|I_-^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-t\partial^3} u_1, e^{-t\partial^3} u_2)\|_{L_{xt}^2} \leq c \|u_1\|_{L_x^2} \|u_2\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Proof. We will write for short \hat{u} instead of $\mathcal{F}_x u$ and $\int_* d\xi_1$ for $\int_{\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi} d\xi_1$. Then, using Fourier-Plancherel in the space variable we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I_-^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-t\partial^3} u_1, e^{-t\partial^3} u_2)\|_{L_{xt}^2}^2 \\ &= c \int d\xi |\xi| dt \left| \int_* d\xi_1 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{it(\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3)} \hat{u}_1(\xi_1) \hat{u}_2(\xi_2) \right|^2 \\ &= c \int d\xi |\xi| dt \int_* d\xi_1 d\eta_1 e^{it(\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3 - \eta_1^3 - \eta_2^3)} (|\xi_1 - \xi_2| |\eta_1 - \eta_2|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \hat{u}_i(\xi_i) \overline{\hat{u}_i(\eta_i)} \\ &= c \int d\xi |\xi| \int_* d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \delta(\eta_1^3 + \eta_2^3 - \xi_1^3 - \xi_2^3) (|\xi_1 - \xi_2| |\eta_1 - \eta_2|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \hat{u}_i(\xi_i) \overline{\hat{u}_i(\eta_i)} \\ &= c \int d\xi |\xi| \int_* d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \delta(3\xi(\eta_1^2 - \xi_1^2 + \xi(\xi_1 - \eta_1))) \\ &\quad \times (|\xi_1 - \xi_2| |\eta_1 - \eta_2|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \hat{u}_i(\xi_i) \overline{\hat{u}_i(\eta_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use $\delta(g(x)) = \sum_n \frac{1}{|g'(x_n)|} \delta(x - x_n)$, where the sum is taken over all simple zeros of g , in our case:

$$g(x) = 3\xi(x^2 + \xi(\xi_1 - x) - \xi_1^2)$$

with the zeros $x_1 = \xi_1$ and $x_2 = \xi - \xi_1$, hence $g'(x_1) = 3\xi(2\xi_1 - \xi)$, respectively $g'(x_2) = 3\xi(\xi - 2\xi_1)$. So the last expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & c \int d\xi |\xi| \int_* d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \frac{1}{|\xi| |2\xi_1 - \xi|} \delta(\eta_1 - \xi_1) (|\xi_1 - \xi_2| |\eta_1 - \eta_2|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \hat{u}_i(\xi_i) \overline{\hat{u}_i(\eta_i)} \\ & + c \int d\xi |\xi| \int_* d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \frac{1}{|\xi| |2\xi_1 - \xi|} \delta(\eta_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)) \\ & \quad \times (|\xi_1 - \xi_2| |\eta_1 - \eta_2|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \hat{u}_i(\xi_i) \overline{\hat{u}_i(\eta_i)} \\ & = c \int d\xi \int_* d\xi_1 \prod_{i=1}^2 |\hat{u}_i(\xi_i)|^2 + c \int d\xi \int_* d\xi_1 \hat{u}_1(\xi_1) \overline{\hat{u}_1(\xi_2)} \hat{u}_2(\xi_2) \overline{\hat{u}_2(\xi_1)} \\ & \leq c \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \|u_i\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\hat{u}_1 \hat{u}_2\|_{L_\xi^1}^2 \right) \leq c \prod_{i=1}^2 \|u_i\|_{L_x^2}^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [2] we get the following

Corollary 1. *Let $b > \frac{1}{2}$. Then the following estimate holds true:*

$$\|I^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(u, v)\|_{L_{xt}^2} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{0,b}} \|v\|_{X_{0,b}}.$$

In the next lemma, some well-known Strichartz-type estimates for the Airy equation are gathered in terms of $X_{s,b}$ norms:

Lemma 2. *For $b > \frac{1}{2}$ the following estimates are valid:*

- i) $\|u\|_{L_t^p(\dot{H}_x^{s,q})} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{0,b}}$, whenever $0 \leq s = \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}$,
- ii) $\|u\|_{L_t^p(L_x^q)} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{0,b}}$, whenever $0 < \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Quotation/proof: Theorem 2.1 in [3] gives in the case of the Airy-equation

$$\|e^{-t\partial^3} u_0\|_{L_t^p(\dot{H}_x^{s,q})} \leq c \|u_0\|_{L_x^2},$$

provided $0 \leq s = \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}$. Now Lemma 2.3 in [2] is applied to obtain

$$\|u\|_{L_t^p(\dot{H}_x^{s,q})} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{0,b}}, \quad b > \frac{1}{2} \tag{0.2}$$

for the same values of s, p and q . From this ii) follows by Sobolev's embedding theorem (in the space variable). Especially we have

$$\|u\|_{L_{xt}^s} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{0,b}}, \quad b > \frac{1}{2},$$

which, interpolated with the trivial case, gives

$$\|u\|_{L_{xt}^4} \leq c\|u\|_{X_{0,b}}, \quad b > \frac{1}{3}.$$

Now let us see how to replace $\dot{H}_x^{s,q}$ by $H_x^{s,q}$ in (0.2) in the endpoint case, i. e. $s = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{4}$, $q = \infty$: Using the projections $p = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\}} \mathcal{F}_x$ and $P = Id - p$ we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^4(H_x^{\frac{1}{4},\infty})} \leq \|Pu\|_{L_t^4(H_x^{\frac{1}{4},\infty})} + \|pu\|_{L_t^4(H_x^{\frac{1}{4},\infty})} =: I + II.$$

For I we use (0.2) to obtain

$$I \leq c\|I^{-\frac{1}{4}} J^{\frac{1}{4}} Pu\|_{X_{0,b}} \leq c\|u\|_{X_{0,b}},$$

while for II by Sobolev's embedding theorem we get

$$II \leq c\|pu\|_{L_t^4(H_x^{\frac{1}{2}+,4})} \leq c\|pu\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2}+,b}} \leq c\|u\|_{X_{0,b}}.$$

This gives i) in the endpoint case, from which the general case follows by interpolation with Sobolev's embedding theorem (in the time variable). \square

Remark. The endpoint case in ii) is also valid - see e.g. Lemma 3.29 in [4] - but we shall not make use of this here.

Now we are prepared to prove the crucial nonlinear estimate:

Theorem 1. For $0 \geq s > -\frac{1}{6}$, $-\frac{1}{2} < b' < s - \frac{1}{3}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$ the estimate (0.1) is valid.

Proof. Writing $f_i(\xi, \tau) = \langle \tau - \xi^3 \rangle^{b'} \langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}u_i(\xi, \tau)$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_x \prod_{i=1}^4 u_i\|_{X_{s,b'}} \\ &= c \left\| \langle \tau - \xi^3 \rangle^{b'} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\xi| \int dv \prod_{i=1}^4 \langle \tau_i - \xi_i^3 \rangle^{-b'} \langle \xi_i \rangle^{-s} f_i(\xi_i, \tau_i) \right\|_{L_{\xi,\tau}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $dv = d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_3 d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_3$ and $\sum_{i=1}^4 (\xi_i, \tau_i) = (\xi, \tau)$. Now the domain of integration is divided into the regions A, B and $C = (A \cup B)^c$, where in A we assume $|\xi_{max}| \leq c$. (Here ξ_{max} is defined by $|\xi_{max}| = \max_{i=1}^4 |\xi_i|$, similarly ξ_{min} .) Then for the region A we have the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left\| \int dv \prod_{i=1}^4 \langle \tau_i - \xi_i^3 \rangle^{-b'} f_i(\xi_i, \tau_i) \right\|_{L_{\xi,\tau}^2} \\ &= c \left\| \prod_{i=1}^4 J^s u_i \right\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \|J^s u_i\|_{L_{x,t}^s} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \|u_i\|_{X_{s,b}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step Lemma 2 , part ii), with $p = q = 8$ was applied.

Besides $|\xi_{max}| \geq c (\Rightarrow \langle \xi_{max} \rangle \leq c|\xi_{max}|)$ we shall assume for the region B that

- i) $|\xi_{min}| \leq 0.99|\xi_{max}|$ or
- ii) $|\xi_{min}| > 0.99|\xi_{max}|$, and there are exactly two indices $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $\xi_i > 0$.

Then the region B can be split again into a finite number of subregions, so that for any of these subregions there exists a permutation π of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with

$$|\xi| \langle \xi \rangle^s \prod_{i=1}^4 \langle \xi_i \rangle^{-s} \leq c|\xi_{\pi(1)} + \xi_{\pi(2)}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\xi_{\pi(1)} - \xi_{\pi(2)}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi_{\pi(3)} \rangle^{-\frac{3s}{2}} \langle \xi_{\pi(4)} \rangle^{-\frac{3s}{2}}.$$

Assume $\pi = id$ for the sake of simplicity now. Then we get the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \langle \tau - \xi^3 \rangle^{b'} \int d\nu |\xi_1 + \xi_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi_3 \rangle^{-\frac{3s}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \langle \xi_4 \rangle^{-\frac{3s}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \langle \tau_i - \xi_i^3 \rangle^{-b} f_i(\xi_i, \tau_i) \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2} \\ & = c \left\| (I^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(J^s u_1, J^s u_2))(J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_3)(J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_4) \right\|_{X_{0, b'}}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the latter expression, we fix some Sobolev and Hölder exponents:

- i) $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{2} - b'$ so that $L_t^{q_0}(L_x^2) \subset X_{0, b'}$,
- ii) $\frac{2}{p} = \frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{2} = -b'$,
- iii) $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + b'$ so that by Lemma 2 $\|J^{\frac{1}{p}} u\|_{L_t^p(L_x^q)} \leq c\|u\|_{X_{0, b'}}$,
- iv) $\epsilon = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{3s}{2} > \frac{1}{q}$ (since $s > \frac{1}{3} + b'$) so that $H_x^{\epsilon, q} \subset L_x^\infty$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (I^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(J^s u_1, J^s u_2))(J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_3)(J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_4) \right\|_{X_{0, b'}} \\ & \leq c \left\| (I^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(J^s u_1, J^s u_2))(J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_3)(J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_4) \right\|_{L_t^{q_0}(L_x^2)} \\ & \leq c \left\| I^{\frac{1}{2}} I_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(J^s u_1, J^s u_2) \right\|_{L_{xt}^2} \left\| J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_3 \right\|_{L_t^p(L_x^\infty)} \left\| J^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_4 \right\|_{L_t^p(L_x^\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 0.1 the first factor can be controlled by $c\|u_1\|_{X_{s,b}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,b}}$, while for the second we have the upper bound

$$c\|J^{-\frac{3s}{2}+\epsilon}J^s u_3\|_{L_t^p(L_x^q)} = c\|J^{\frac{1}{p}}J^s u_3\|_{L_t^p(L_x^q)} \leq c\|u_3\|_{X_{s,b}}.$$

The third factor can be treated in precisely the same way. So for the contributions of the region B we have obtained the desired bound.

Finally we consider the remaining region C : Here the $|\xi_i|$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, are all very close together and $\geq c < \xi_i >$. Moreover, at least three of the variables ξ_i have the same sign. Thus for the quantity $c.q.$ controlled by the expressions $< \tau - \xi^3 >$, $< \tau_i - \xi_i^3 >$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we have in this region:

$$c.q. := \left| \xi^3 - \sum_{i=1}^4 \xi_i^3 \right| \geq c \sum_{i=1}^4 < \xi_i >^3 \geq c < \xi >^3$$

and hence, since $s > \frac{1}{3} + b'$ is assumed,

$$|\xi| < \xi >^s \prod_{i=1}^4 < \xi_i >^{-s} \leq c(< \tau - \xi^3 >^{-b'} + \sum_{i=1}^4 < \tau_i - \xi_i^3 >^{-b'} \chi_{C_i}),$$

where in the subregion C_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, the expression $< \tau_i - \xi_i^3 >$ is dominant. The first contribution can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left\| \int d\nu \prod_{i=1}^4 < \tau_i - \xi_i^3 >^{-b} f_i(\xi_i, \tau_i) \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2} \\ &= c \left\| \prod_{i=1}^4 J^s u_i \right\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \|J^s u_i\|_{L_{x,t}^s} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \|u_i\|_{X_{s,b}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2, part ii). For the contribution of the subregion C_1 we take into account that

$$< \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 > = \max\{< \tau - \xi^3 >, < \tau_i - \xi_i^3 >, 1 \leq i \leq 4\},$$

which gives

$$< \tau - \xi^3 >^{b+b'} |\xi| < \xi >^s \prod_{i=1}^4 < \xi_i >^{-s} \leq c < \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 >^b.$$

So, for this contribution we get the upper bound

$$c \left\| < \tau - \xi^3 >^{-b} \int d\nu < \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 >^b \prod_{i=1}^4 < \tau_i - \xi_i^3 >^{-b} f_i(\xi_i, \tau_i) \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} f_1 \prod_{i=2}^4 J^s u_i \right\|_{X_{0,-b}} \leq c \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} f_1 \prod_{i=2}^4 J^s u_i \right\|_{L_{xt}^{\frac{8}{7}}} \\ &\leq c \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} f_1 \right\|_{L_{xt}^2} \prod_{i=2}^4 \|J^s u_i\|_{L_{x,t}^8} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \|u_i\|_{X_{s,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the dual version of the L^8 -Strichartz estimate, Hölder and the estimate itself. For the remaining subregions C_i the same argument applies. \square

Corollary 2. For $s \geq 0$, $-\frac{1}{2} < b' < -\frac{1}{3}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$ the estimate (0.1) holds true.

Proof. For $s = 0$ this is contained in the above theorem, while for $s > 0$ one only has to use $\langle \xi \rangle \leq c \prod_{i=1}^4 \langle \xi_i \rangle$. \square

Acknowledgement. I want to thank Professor Hartmut Pecher for numerous helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations*, GAFA, 3 (1993), 107–156 and 209–262.
- [2] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi, and G. Velo, *On the Cauchy-Problem for the Zakharov-System*, J. of Functional Analysis, 151 (1997), 384–436.
- [3] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 40 (1991), 33–69.
- [4] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Wellposedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, CPAM, 46 (1993), 527–620.
- [5] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation*, J. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 9 (1996), 573–603.