

ON THE EXTERIOR NEUMANN PROBLEM WITH CRITICAL GROWTH

J. CHABROWSKI

Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland
St. Lucia 4072, Qld, Australia

(Submitted by: Takashi Suzuki)

Abstract. In this paper we investigate the solvability of the nonlinear Neumann problem (1.1) involving a critical Sobolev nonlinearity in an exterior domain. We examine the common effect of the shape of the graph of the weight function, the mean curvature of the boundary and a nonlinear perturbation of lower order on the existence of solutions of problem (1.1).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and put $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^N - \bar{\Omega}$. In this paper we apply variational methods to investigate the existence of a solution of the exterior Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= Q(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u + \lambda f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega^c, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $N \geq 3$, $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is a critical Sobolev exponent and Q is a positive continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}^c$.

We impose the following assumption on the nonlinearity f :

- (f_1) $f \in C(\bar{\Omega}^c \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f(x, 0) = 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}^c$. Since we are looking for nonnegative solutions, we may assume that $f(x, s) = 0$ for $s \leq 0$ and $x \in \Omega^c$.
- (f_2) We choose $R_\circ > 0$ so that $\Omega \subset B(0, R_\circ)$ and put $\Omega_R = \Omega^c \cap B(0, R)$ for $R \geq R_\circ$. We assume that for every $R \geq R_\circ$ there exist a constant $\theta_R \in [2, 2^*)$ and constants $a_R, b_R > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, s)| \leq a_R s^{\theta_R - 1} + b_R$$

for every $x \in \Omega_R$ and $s \geq 0$.

Accepted for publication: August 2005.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35B33, 35J65, 35Q55.

(f_3) There exist constants $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2^*)$ such that

$$f(x, s) \leq c_1(x)s^{r_1-1} + c_2(x)s^{r_2-1}$$

for every $s \geq 0$ and $x \in \Omega^c$, where $c_i \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_i}}(\Omega^c)$, $i = 1, 2$.

(f_4) There exist constants $\mu \in (1, 2^*)$, $2 < \tau < 2^*$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\tau}f(x, s)s - F(x, s) \geq c_3(x)s^\mu$$

for every $x \in \Omega^c$ and $s \geq 0$, where $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$ and $c_3 \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-\mu}}(\Omega^c)$.

Assumption (f_4) replaces the usual Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition when we apply the mountain-pass principle. Throughout this paper we assume that the limit

$$Q(\infty) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x)$$

exists and $Q(\infty) > 0$. Since $f(x, 0) = 0$, $u \equiv 0$ is a solution of problem (1.1). Therefore, our objective is to find a nontrivial solution.

Example. We now give an example of a function satisfying (f_1), \dots , (f_4).

Let A and B be continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}^c$ such that $A \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_1}}(\Omega^c)$, $A(x) > 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}^c$, $B(x) < 0$ for $|x| \geq R$ for some $R > 0$ with $\Omega \subset B(0, R)$ and $2 < p < r_1 < 2^*$. We define

$$f(x, s) = \begin{cases} A(x)s^{r_1-1} + B(x)s^{p-1} & \text{for } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $p < r_1$, condition (f_2) holds with $\theta_R = r_1$ for every $R > 0$ such that $\Omega \subset B(0, R)$. Condition (f_3) holds with $c_1(x) = A(x)$, $c_2(x) = B^+(x)$ and $r_2 = p$, where $a^+ = \max(0, a)$. If $p < \tau < r_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau}f(x, s)s - F(x, s) &= \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{r_1}\right)A(x)s^{r_1} + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{p}\right)B(x)s^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{p}\right)B^+(x)s^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (f_4) is satisfied with $c_3(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{p}\right)B^+(x)$. Finally, we point out that

$$F(x, s) \geq as^{p-1} \quad \text{on } \Omega_R$$

with $a = \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_R} B(x)$. This condition will be used in Section 3. Since we do not assume any growth condition on $B(x)$ for large $|x|$ (or an integrability

condition), the variational functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x)|u|^{2^*} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} F(x, u) dx$$

is not well defined on $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$.

The main results of this paper are Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 in which we establish the existence of a solution of problem (1.1) under two different assumptions on the shape of the graph of the coefficient Q with the non-linearity satisfying conditions $(f_1), \dots, (f_4)$. The main point in the proof of these theorems is the use of the mountain-pass principle and the Palais-Smale condition. These ideas are presented in Proposition 3.3 which also gives a general existence result for problem (1.1). In fact, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are direct consequences of Proposition 3.3.

If $Q \equiv 1$ on Ω^c and $f(x, s) = -\lambda s$, $\lambda > 0$, some existence results can be found in [11] and [8]. The extension of these results to the case when Q is not constant is given in [5]. Solutions in these papers are sought as minimizers of the variational problem for $\lambda \geq 0$

$$S(\Omega^c, Q, \lambda) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega^c} (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx; u \in H^1(\Omega^c) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x)|u|^{2^*} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

If $\lambda = 0$ the space $H^1(\Omega^c)$ in the above definition is replaced by the Sobolev space

$$D^{1,2}(\Omega^c) = \left\{ u; \nabla u \in L^2(\Omega^c) \text{ and } u \in L^{2^*}(\Omega^c) \right\}.$$

The norm in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ is given by $\|u\|_{D^{1,2}} = \|\nabla u\|_2$. We recall that $H^1(\Omega^c)$ is a Sobolev space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^1} = \left(\int_{\Omega^c} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows from [8] that

$$0 < S(\Omega^c, 1, \lambda) \leq \frac{S}{2^{\frac{\lambda}{N}}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant defined by

$$S = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx; u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Moreover, if $S(\Omega^c, 1, \lambda) < \frac{S}{2^{\frac{1}{N}}}$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$, then $S(\Omega^c, 1, \lambda)$ is achieved.

If u is a minimizer then $S(\Omega^c, 1, \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}u$ is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u &= |u|^{2^*-2}u \text{ in } \Omega^c, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

These are the least energy solutions of this problem. If Q is not constant, then $S(\Omega^c, Q, \lambda)$ with $\lambda \geq 0$ is achieved if

$$S(\Omega^c, Q, \lambda) < \min \left\{ \frac{S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}} Q_m^{\frac{N-2}{N}}}, \frac{S}{Q_M^{\frac{N-2}{N}}}, \frac{S}{Q(\infty)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

(see [5]), where

$$Q_M = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}^c} Q(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_m = \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} Q(x).$$

Conditions guaranteeing (1.3) can be found in the paper [5]. In general these conditions depend on the shape of the graph of Q and the mean curvature at some points of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Motivated by the paper [9] we extend the above results to problem (1.1). Since the equation in (1.1) contains a lower-order term, a constrained minimization is not applicable. As we mentioned earlier, under assumptions $(f_1), \dots, (f_4)$ the variational functional corresponding to problem (1.1) is not well defined on the space $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. Therefore, we look for a solution in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ in the sense of distributions. We recall that $u \in D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ is a solution of (1.1) in the sense of distributions if

$$\int_{\Omega^c} \nabla u \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |u|^{2^*-2} u \phi \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} f(x, u) \phi \, dx \quad (1.4)$$

for every $\phi \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega}^c)$. (Here we denote by $C_0^1(\bar{\Omega}^c)$ the space of continuously differentiable functions with compact supports in $\bar{\Omega}^c$.) To obtain a solution of (1.1) we consider problem (1.1) with truncated nonlinearity f . Following the paper [9], a solution will be obtained as a suitable limit of a sequence of “almost critical points” of the mountain-pass type of approximating functionals.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider an approximating problem. This technical result will be applied in Sections 3 and 4 to obtain, under various assumptions on Q , the existence of solutions to problem (1.1).

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 functional on a Banach space X . We recall that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for ϕ at level c (a $(PS)_c$ sequence for short) if $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\phi'(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ in X^* . Finally, we say

that the functional ϕ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level c ($(PS)_c$ condition for short) if each $(PS)_c$ sequence is relatively compact in X .

Throughout this paper we denote a strong convergence by “ \rightarrow ” and a weak convergence by “ \rightharpoonup ”. The norms in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$.

2. APPROXIMATING PROBLEM

In this section we consider the following semi-linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega^c \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $g \in C(\bar{\Omega}^c \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the following two conditions:

(g_1) For every $R > R_o$ there exist constants $a_R, b_R > 0$ such that

$$|g(x, s)| \leq a_R |s|^{2^*-1} + b_R$$

for all $x \in \Omega_R$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where Ω_R is a set defined in Section 1.

We put

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega^c} G(x, u) dx,$$

where $G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, s) ds$. Under assumption (g_1) $I(u)$ is not well defined on $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. We now introduce a stronger condition

(g_2) There exist a constant $a > 0$ and $b \in C_o(\bar{\Omega}^c)$ such that

$$|g(x, s)| \leq a |s|^{2^*-1} + b(x)$$

for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}^c$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Here we denote by $C_o(\bar{\Omega}^c)$ the space of continuous functions with compact supports in $\bar{\Omega}^c$.

It is clear that under assumption (g_2) the functional I is of class C^1 on $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. Finally, we impose the following condition on g :

(g_3) Let $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\Omega \subset B(0, n_o)$. For every $n \geq n_o$ there exists a function $g_n \in C(\bar{\Omega}^c \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (g_2) such that $g(x, s) = g_n(x, s)$ for every $x \in \Omega_n := \Omega^c \cap B(0, n)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We define variational functionals

$$I_n(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega^c} G_n(x, u) dx,$$

where $G_n(x, t) = \int_0^t g_n(x, s) ds$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $g \in C(\bar{\Omega}^c \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies (g_1) and (g_3) . Then every bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ such that $I'_n(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ has a weakly convergent subsequence to a solution of problem (2.1).*

Proof. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere on Ω^c . It is easy to check that for every compact set $K \subset \bar{\Omega}^c$ there exist $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $M = M(K) > 0$ such that

$$\int_K |g_n(x, u_n)|^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}} dx \leq M \quad (2.2)$$

for every $n \geq n_o$. Indeed, we select $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $K \subset B(0, n_o)$. Then $g_n(x, u_n(x)) = g(x, u_n(x))$ for $n \geq n_o$ and $x \in K$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}$, we easily derive (2.2) using (g_2) . Let $\phi \in C^1_0(\bar{\Omega}^c)$. We choose $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\text{supp } \phi \subset \Omega_{n_o}$. Then $g_n(x, s) = g(x, s)$ for every $x \in \text{supp } \phi$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq n_o$. By virtue of (g_1) we have

$$|g_n(x, s)\phi| \leq |\phi|(a_{n_o}|s|^{2^*-1} + b_{n_o}).$$

Estimate (2.2) applied to $\bar{\Omega}_{n_o}$ shows that $g_n(x, u_n(x))\phi(x)$ is uniformly integrable in $L^1(\Omega^c)$. Thus by Vitali's theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c} g_n(x, u_n(x))\phi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega^c} g(x, u(x))\phi(x) dx.$$

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$, we see that u is a solution of (1.1) in the sense of distributions. \square

3. APPLICATION OF THE MOUNTAIN-PASS PRINCIPLE

In order to apply the mountain-pass principle to problem (1.1) we consider the sequence of functionals $I_{\lambda,n}(u)$ on $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ defined as follows. Let Φ be a function in $C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \Phi(x) \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^N , $\Phi(x) = 1$ on $B(0, 1)$ and $\Phi(x) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N - B(0, 2)$. We put $\Phi_n(x) = \Phi(\frac{x}{n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We choose $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\Omega \subset B(0, n_o)$ and put $f_n(x, s) = f(x, s)\Phi_n(x)$ for $n \geq n_o$. For every $n \geq n_o$ we consider a functional $I_{\lambda,n}$ on $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by

$$I_{\lambda,n}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x)|u^+|^{2^*} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} F_n(x, u) dx,$$

where $F_n(x, t) = \int_0^t f_n(x, s) ds$. It is clear that $I_{\lambda,n}$ is C^1 on $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that f satisfies (f_1) , (f_2) and (f_3) . Then*

- (a) if $1 < r_j \leq 2$ for $j = 1, 2$, then there is a $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$, there exist constants $\kappa > 0$ and $\rho_o > 0$ (independent of n) such that

$$I_{\lambda,n}(u) \geq \kappa \text{ for } \|u\|_{D^{1,2}} = \rho_o.$$

- (b) if $2 < r_j < 2^*$ for $j = 1, 2$, assertion (a) is true for every $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. It follows from (f₃) and the Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda,n}(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega^c} Q|u^+|^{2^*} dx \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{r_1 S_o^{\frac{r_1}{2}}} \|c_1\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{r_1}{2}} - \frac{\lambda}{r_2 S_o^{\frac{r_2}{2}}} \|c_2\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_2}} \left(\int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{r_2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_o = S(\Omega^c, 1, 0)$ (see (1.2)). If $1 < r_j \leq 2$ for $j = 1, 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda,n}(u) &\geq \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q_M}{2^* S_o^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2^*-2} \right] \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{r_1 S_o^{\frac{r_1}{2}}} \|c_1\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_1}} \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{r_1} - \frac{\lambda}{r_2 S_o^{\frac{r_2}{2}}} \|c_2\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_2}} \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{r_2}. \end{aligned}$$

First we choose $\rho_o > 0$ so that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q_M \rho_o^{2^*-2}}{2^* S_o^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} > \frac{1}{4}.$$

We then select $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ so that

$$\lambda \left(\frac{\|c_1\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_1}} \rho_o^{r_1}}{r_1 S_o^{\frac{r_1}{2}}} + \frac{\|c_2\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_2}} \rho_o^{r_2}}{r_2 S_o^{\frac{r_2}{2}}} \right) \leq \frac{\rho_o^2}{8}$$

for every $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$. The result follows with $\kappa = \frac{\rho_o^2}{8}$. In the case $2 < r_j < 2^*$ for $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda,n} &\geq \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q_M}{2^* S_o^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2^*-2} - \frac{\lambda}{r_1 S_o^{\frac{r_1}{2}}} \|u\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{r_1-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\lambda}{r_2 S_o^{\frac{r_2}{2}}} \|c_2\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-r_2}} \|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{r_2-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\rho_o > 0$ small enough we obtain the assertion (b). \square

To proceed further with the verification of the mountain-pass geometry of $I_{\lambda,n}$, we need the additional assumption:

(f₅) There exist a bounded subset $\Omega_* \subset \bar{\Omega}^c$, $|\Omega_*| > 0$, constants $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < q < 2^*$ such that

$$F(x, s) \geq a|s|^q$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \Omega_*$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\Omega \cup \Omega_* \subset B(0, n_o)$. Suppose that (f₁), (f₂), (f₃) and (f₅) hold. Then for every $\lambda > 0$ there exists $w \in D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ such that $I_{\lambda,n}(w) < 0$, $\|w\|_{D^{1,2}} > \rho_o$ for every $n \geq n_o$.*

Proof. We choose $w \in D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ with $\text{supp } w \subset \Omega_*$ and $\|w\|_{D^{1,2}} > 0$. Then for every $t > 0$ we have

$$I_{\lambda,n}(tw) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega_*} |\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{Q_* t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_*} |w|^{2^*} dx - at^q \lambda \int_{\Omega_*} |w|^q dx,$$

where $0 < Q_* = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_*} Q(x)$. Taking $t > 0$ sufficiently large the result follows. \square

We shall use the following version of the concentration-compactness principle [3], [7]. Let $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. We define two quantities which measure the loss of mass at infinity of the sequence $\{u_m\}$. We put

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c \cap (|x| > R)} |u_m|^{2^*} dx \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c \cap (|x| > R)} |\nabla u_m|^2 dx. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from the Sobolev inequality that

$$S\nu_\infty^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

The concentration-compactness principle can be formulated in the following way. Let $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ be such that $|u_m|^{2^*} \rightharpoonup \nu$ and $|\nabla u_m|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu$ weakly in the sense of measure. Then there exist numbers $\nu_j > 0$, $\mu_j > 0$ and points $x_j \in \Omega^c \cup \partial\Omega$, $j \in J$, where J is an at most countable set, such that

$$\nu = |u|^{2^*} + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j} + \nu_\infty \delta_\infty \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\mu = |\nabla u|^2 + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j} + \mu_\infty \delta_\infty. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover, if $x_j \in \Omega^c$, then

$$S\nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_j \quad (3.6)$$

and if $x_j \in \partial\Omega$, then

$$\frac{S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}\nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_j, \quad (3.7)$$

and $\sum_{j \in J} \nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} < \infty$. We point out here that the symbol δ_∞ plays the role of the delta Dirac at infinity; that is,

$$\int_{\Omega^c} d\nu = \int_{\Omega^c} |u|^{2^*} dx + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j + \nu_\infty.$$

For every $n \geq n_\circ$ we define the mountain-pass level (see [2])

$$c_{\lambda,n} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma} I_{\lambda,n}(u),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = w\}$, and w is a function from Lemma 3.2. If f satisfies $(f_1), (f_2), (f_3)$ and (f_5) , then Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 hold. It is well known that each $c_{\lambda,n}$ generates a Palais-Smale sequence. Therefore for every $n \geq n_\circ$ there exists $\{u_j^n\} \subset D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ such that

$$I_{\lambda,n}(u_j^n) \rightarrow c_{\lambda,n} \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\lambda,n}(u_j^n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D^{1,2}(\Omega^c) \quad \text{as} \quad j \rightarrow \infty.$$

We put

$$S_\infty = \min \left\{ \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ(\infty)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that $(f_1), \dots, (f_5)$ hold and let $\bar{\lambda}$ be a constant determined by Lemma 3.1.*

(i) *If $1 < r_j \leq 2$ for $j = 1, 2$, $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ and*

$$c_{\lambda,n} \leq d_\lambda < S_\infty \quad (3.8)$$

for $n \geq n_\circ$ and some constant d_λ independent of n , then problem (1.1) possesses a solution.

(ii) *If $2 < r_j < 2^*$ for $j = 1, 2$, and if for every $\lambda > 0$ there exists a constant $d_\lambda > 0$ satisfying (3.8) for $n \geq n_\circ$, then problem (1.1) has a solution.*

Proof. Since $\kappa \leq c_{\lambda,n} \leq d_\lambda$ we may assume that $c_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\lambda,n} \in [\kappa, d_\lambda]$. For a given $0 < \epsilon$ with $c_\lambda + \epsilon < S_\infty$ we can find $n_1 \geq n_\circ$ such that $c_{\lambda,n} \in$

$(c_\lambda - \epsilon, c_\lambda + \epsilon)$ for $n \geq n_1$. Now for every $n \geq n_1$, there exists $u_n = u_{j_n}^n$ such that

$$c_\lambda - \epsilon \leq I_{\lambda,n}(u_n) \leq c_\lambda + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|I'_{\lambda,n}(u_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.9)$$

In the next step we show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. Using assumption (f_4) we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda,n}(u_n) &= \frac{1}{\tau} \langle I'_{\lambda,n}(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |u_n^+|^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} f_n(x, u_n) u_n - F_n(x, u_n)\right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |u_n^+|^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} c_3(x) |u_n|^\mu \Phi_n dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |u_n^+|^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{S_\circ^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \|c_3\|_{\frac{2^*}{2^*-\mu}} \|u_n\|_{2^*}^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.9) we also have

$$I_{\lambda,n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\tau} \langle I'_{\lambda,n}(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq C(1 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of n . This combined with (3.10) shows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. It now follows from Proposition 2.1 that (up to a subsequence) $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ and u is a solution of (1.1). We may also assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega^c)$ for $2 \leq p < 2^*$. It remains to show that $u \not\equiv 0$. Testing $I_{\lambda,n}(u_n)$ with u_n^- we see that $u_n^- \rightarrow 0$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $u \equiv 0$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ must concentrate. Applying the concentration-compactness principle we obtain points x_j , numbers $\nu_j > 0$, $\mu_j > 0$, $j \in J$ such that (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) hold. Let ψ_δ , $\delta > 0$ be a family of smooth functions concentrating at x_i , x_i being fixed. It then follows from (f_3) that

$$\int_{\Omega^c} \psi_\delta |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega^c} \nabla u_n u_n \nabla \psi_\delta dx \leq \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) \psi_\delta |u_n^+|^{2^*} dx$$

$$+ \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} c_1(x) |u_n|^{r_1} \psi_\delta dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} c_2(x) |u_n|^{r_2} \psi_\delta dx.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we derive

$$\mu_i \leq Q(x_i) \nu_i. \quad (3.11)$$

If $\nu_i > 0$ with $x_i \in \Omega^c$, then by (3.6) and (3.11) we obtain

$$\nu_i \geq \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(x_i)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i \geq \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(x_i)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\infty &> \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda,n}(u_n) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [I_{\lambda,n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\tau} \langle I'_{\lambda,n}(u_n), u_n \rangle] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |u_n^+|^{2^*} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega^c} c_3(x) |u_n|^\mu \Phi_n dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Since $c_3 \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-\mu}}(\Omega^c)$ and $\mu \in (1, 2^*)$, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c} c_3(x) |u_n|^\mu dx = 0.$$

Hence, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.13) and using (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\infty &> \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda,n}(u_n) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \mu_i + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) Q(x_i) \nu_i \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(x_i)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(x_i)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \geq \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Using (3.7) and (3.11) in a similar manner we rule out the concentration of $\{u_n\}$ at the boundary points of Ω . Finally, we show that a concentration of $\{u_n\}$ cannot occur at infinity. For each $R > R_\circ$ we define a function $\Phi_R \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\Phi_R(x) = 0$ on $B(0, R)$, $\Phi(x) = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^N - B(0, R+1)$ and $0 \leq \Phi(x) \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^N . It then follows from (f₃) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_{\lambda,n}(u_n), u_n \Phi_R \rangle &\geq \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n|^2 \Phi_R dx + \int_{\Omega^c} \nabla u_n \nabla \Phi_R u_n dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega^c} \Phi_R Q(x) |u_n|^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} \Phi_R c_1(x) |u_n|^{r_1} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} \Phi_R c_2(x) |u_n|^{r_2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

It is easy to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c} c_i(x) |u_n|^{r_i} \Phi_R dx = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Using the Hölder inequality and the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\Omega^c)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n| |\nabla \Phi_R| |u| dx &\leq \frac{C}{R} \left(\int_{\Omega_{R+1} - \Omega_R} u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R} |B(0, R+1) - B(0, R)|^{\frac{1}{N}} \left(\int_{\Omega_{R+1} - \Omega_R} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of R and n . Hence

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla u_n| |\nabla \Phi_R| |u_n| dx = 0.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.14) and then $R \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce that $\mu_\infty \leq Q(\infty)\nu_\infty$. This combined with (3.3) yields $\nu_\infty \geq \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(\infty)^{\frac{N}{2}}}$ and $\mu_\infty \geq \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(\infty)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. By an argument similar to that used above we arrive at the inequality $S_\infty > \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(\infty)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

4. VERIFICATION OF INEQUALITY (3.8)

To apply Proposition 3.3 we need conditions guaranteeing the validity of the inequality $c_{\lambda,n} \leq d_\lambda < S_\infty$. To verify this inequality we use a family of functions

$$U_{\epsilon,y}(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $U(x) = \frac{c_N}{(N(N-2)+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$ and $c_N > 0$ is a constant depending on N .

The function U (which is called an instanton) satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta U = U^{2^*-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. If $y = 0$ we write $U_{\epsilon,0}$.

We only consider the cases: $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$ and $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. One can also obtain some existence results in the third case, however under additional assumptions on the behaviour of $Q(x)$ for large $|x|$ as in [5], and we omit the details.

We commence with the case $Q_m \leq 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Q_M$. In this case we have

$$S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

Let $Q_m = Q(y)$ for some $y \in \partial\Omega$. For simplicity we assume $y = 0$. We assume in condition (f_5) that the set Ω_* has the form $\Omega_* = \Omega^c \cap B(0, r_o)$. Let ψ be a function in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(0, \frac{r_o}{2})$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N - B(0, r_o)$ and $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^N . We need the following estimate ([1], [5])

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla(U_\epsilon \psi)|^2 dx)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(\int_{\Omega^c} |\psi U_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2} + \begin{cases} A_N H(0) \epsilon \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon) & N = 3, \\ A_N H(0) \epsilon + a_N \epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}) & N = 4, \\ A_N H(0) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) & N \geq 5, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $A_N > 0$ and $a_N > 0$ are constants depending on N . Here $H(y)$ denotes the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at $y \in \partial\Omega$, when viewed from inside Ω .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $Q_M \leq 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Q_m$, $N \geq 5$, $Q(y) = Q_m$ for some $y \in \partial\Omega$, with $H(y) < 0$ when viewed from inside Ω , and*

$$|Q(x) - Q(y)| = o(|x - y|) \quad \text{for } x \text{ near } y.$$

Suppose that $(f_1), \dots, (f_5)$ hold and that, in assumption (f_5) , $\Omega_ = \Omega^c \cap B(0, r_o)$ and $\frac{N}{N-2} < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$.*

- (i) *If $1 < r_j \leq 2$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$, then problem (1.1) possesses a solution.*
- (ii) *If $2 < r_j < 2^*$ for $j = 1, 2$, then problem (1.1) has a solution for every $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. We assume that $y = 0$ and choose $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\Omega \cup \Omega_* \subset B(0, n_o)$. Then $F_n(x, s) = F(x, s)$ for $x \in \Omega_*$, $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq n_o$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ small enough there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\lambda, n}(t_\epsilon \psi U_\epsilon) \\ &= \max_{t \geq 0} \left[\frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla(\psi U_\epsilon)|^2 dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |\psi U_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx - \int_{\Omega^c} F(x, t\psi U_\epsilon) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < q < 2^*$, it is easy to see that $k \leq t_\epsilon \leq K$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ small, where $0 < k < K$ are constants independent of ϵ . Moreover, we have

$$I_{\lambda, n}(t_\epsilon \psi U_\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{(\int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla(U_\epsilon \psi)|^2 dx)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(\int_{\Omega^c} Q |\psi U_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + \lambda |a| K^q \int_{\Omega^c} |\psi U_\epsilon|^q dx. \quad (4.2)$$

For the second term of the right-hand side of this inequality we have

$$\int_{\Omega^c} |\psi U_\epsilon|^q dx = o(\epsilon)$$

because $\frac{N}{N-2} < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$. We now observe that

$$\int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |\psi U_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx = Q(0) \int_{\Omega^c} |\psi U_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx + o(\epsilon).$$

Hence the result follows from (4.2) and (4.1) in both cases (i) and (ii). \square

We now consider the case $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}$. This occurs if $Q_M > 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Q_m$.

Moreover, we assume that Q_M is attained at some point of Ω^c .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $Q_M > 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Q_m$ and let $y \in \Omega^c$ be such that $Q(y) = Q_M$ and $N \geq 5$. Suppose that $(f_1), \dots, (f_5)$ hold and that, in assumption (f_5) , $\Omega_* = B(0, r) \subset \Omega^c$ for some $r > 0$, $\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2} < q < 2^*$ and $a > 0$. Moreover, assume that $|Q(x) - Q(y)| = o(|x - y|)$ for x near y .*

- (i) *If $1 < r_j \leq 2$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$, then problem (1.1) has a solution.*
- (ii) *If $2 < r_j < 2^*$ for $j = 1, 2$, then problem (1.1) has a solution for every $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. Let ψ be a $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ function such that $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(y, \frac{r}{2})$, $\psi(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N - B(0, r)$ and $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. As in Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda, n}(t_\epsilon U_{\epsilon, y} \psi) & \tag{4.3} \\ &= \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, n}(t U_{\epsilon, y} \psi) \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{(\int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla(\psi U_{\epsilon, y})|^2 dx)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(\int_{\Omega^c} Q |\psi U_{\epsilon, y}|^{2^*} dx)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \lambda a K \int_{\Omega^c} |\psi U_\epsilon|^q dx \end{aligned}$$

with $0 < k < t_\epsilon < K$ for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla(\psi U_{\epsilon, y})|^2 dx & \leq \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla U_{\epsilon, y}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla \psi| |\nabla U_{\epsilon, y}| U_{\epsilon, y} dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega^c} U_{\epsilon, y}^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \leq S^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega^c} Q(x) |\psi U_{\epsilon, y}|^{2^*} dx = Q(y) S^{\frac{N}{2}} + o(\epsilon).$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega^c} |\psi U_{\epsilon,y}|^q dx \geq c\epsilon^{N-\frac{q(N-2)}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad N - \frac{q(N-2)}{2} < 1$$

for some $c > 0$, the result follows from (4.3) by taking $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. \square

We now apply Theorems 4.1, 4.2 to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = Q(x)u^{2^*-1} + \lambda a(x)u^{q-1} & \text{in } \Omega^c, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

We assume that

- (A) a is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}^c$, $a(x_o) > 0$ for some $x_o \in \bar{\Omega}^c$ and $a^+ \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-q}}$ for some $1 < q < 2^*$.

In this case $f(x, s) = a(x)s^{q-1}$ for $s \geq 0$. Assumption (f_4) holds with $c_1(x) = a^+(x)$, $r = q$ and $c_2(x) \equiv 0$. If we choose $q < \tau < 2^*$, then (f_4) is satisfied with $c_3(x) = (\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{q})a^+(x)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $Q_M \leq 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}}Q_m$, $Q(\bar{x}) = Q_M$ for some $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$, $\frac{N}{N-2} < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$, $N \geq 5$. Suppose that $|Q(x) - Q(\bar{x})| = o(|x - \bar{x}|)$ for x near \bar{x} and $H(\bar{x}) < 0$, when viewed from inside Ω .*

- (i) *If $\frac{N}{N-2} < q \leq 2$, then there exists a $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that problem (4.4) has a solution for $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$.*
(ii) *If $2 < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$, then problem (4.4) with $\lambda a(x)$ replaced by $a(x)$ has a solution.*

We now consider the case $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $Q_M > 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}}Q_m$, $N \geq 5$ and $Q_M = Q(\bar{x})$ for some $\bar{x} \in \Omega^c$ with $a(\bar{x}) > 0$. Suppose that $\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2} < q < 2^*$ and that*

$$|Q(x) - Q(\bar{x})| = o(|x - \bar{x}|) \quad \text{for } x \text{ close to } \bar{x}.$$

Then problem (4.4) with $\lambda a(x)$ replaced by $a(x)$ has a solution.

We now assume that $a(x) < 0$ on Ω^c . In this case $f(x, s) = a(x)s^{q-1}$ for $s \geq 0$ satisfies (f_2) . Condition (f_3) holds with $c_1 = c_2 \equiv 0$. If we choose $\max(2, q) < \tau$, then

$$\frac{1}{\tau} f(x, s)s - F(x, s) = \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{q}\right)a(x) > 0,$$

so (f_4) is satisfied with $c_3 \equiv 0$. Let $\Omega_* \subset \Omega^c$ be a bounded domain. Then

$$F(x, s) \geq \bar{a}s^q \text{ for } x \in \Omega_* \text{ and } s \geq 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\bar{a} = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_*} a(x)$. Hence (f_5) holds. Since $a(x) < 0$ on Ω^c , the mountain-pass geometry for the corresponding truncated functional holds for every $\lambda > 0$. Therefore we consider problem (4.4) with $\lambda a(x)$ replaced by $a(x)$; that is,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= Q(x)u^{2^*-1} + a(x)u^{q-1} \text{ in } \Omega^c, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ } u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.1 implies the following existence result for problem (4.6). In this theorem we use condition (4.6) with $\Omega_* = B(y, r)$ for some $y \in \partial\Omega$ and $r > 0$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $Q_M \leq 2^{\frac{2}{N-2}}Q_m$, $N \geq 5$, $Q(y) = Q_m$ for some $y \in \partial\Omega$ with $H(y) < 0$ when viewed from inside Ω . Suppose that*

$$|Q(x) - Q(y)| = o(|x - y|) \text{ for } x \text{ close to } y.$$

If $\frac{N}{N-2} < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$, then problem (4.6) admits a solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi and G. Mancini, *The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with critical nonlinearity*, A tribute in honour of G. Prodi, Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (1991), 9–25.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., 14 (1990), 349–381.
- [3] J. Chabrowski, “Weak convergence methods for semilinear elliptic equations,” World Scientific (1999).
- [4] J. Chabrowski and P. Girão, *On the exterior Neumann problem involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, Top. Methods in Nonlin. Analysis, 23 (2004), 33–43.
- [5] J. Chabrowski and B. Ruf, *On the critical Neumann problem with weight in exterior domains*, Nonlinear Analysis, 54 (2003), 143–163.
- [6] J. Chabrowski and M. Willem, *Least energy solutions of a critical Neumann problem with weight*, Calc. Var., 15 (2002), 421–431.
- [7] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, The limit case*, Revista Math. Iberoamericana, 1 No. 1 and No. 2 (1985), 145–201 and 45–120. 1–20.
- [8] X.B. Pan and X.F. Wang, *Semilinear Neumann problem in exterior domains*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA 31 (1998), 791–821.
- [9] Elves A. de B. e Silva and Sérgio H.M. Soares, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, Nonlinear Analysis, 43 (2001), 1–20.
- [10] X.J. Wang, *Neumann problems of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, J. Diff. Equations, 93 (1993), 247–281.
- [11] Z.Q. Wang, *On the existence of positive solutions for semilinear Neumann problem in exterior domains*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 17 (1992), 1309–1325.