

RENORMALIZED VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES AND HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES

SATYANAD KICHENASSAMY

Laboratoire de Mathématiques (UMR 6056)
CNRS & Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne
Moulin de la Housse, B.P. 1039, F-51687 Reims Cedex 2, France

(Submitted by: Haim Brezis)

Abstract. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain on which Hardy's inequality holds. We prove that $[\exp(u^2) - 1]/\delta^2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ if $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, where δ denotes the distance to $\partial\Omega$. The corresponding higher-dimensional result is also given. These results contain both Hardy's and Trudinger's inequalities, and yield a new variational characterization of the maximal solution of the Liouville equation on smooth domains, in terms of a renormalized functional. A global H^1 bound on the difference between the maximal solution and the first term of its asymptotic expansion follows.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Hardy's and Trudinger's inequalities. Let Ω be an arbitrary domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$; let $\delta(x)$ denote the distance of x from $\partial\Omega$.

If Ω is bounded with Lipschitz boundary, and $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, the generalized Hardy's inequality states that

$$\left\| \frac{u}{\delta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq H \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The optimal value of the “Hardy constant” H , as well as possible generalizations and improvements of this inequality, have been the object of much attention, see [13, 15, 17]. Hardy's inequality arises naturally in several variational problems of recent interest, as well as in the proof of decay estimates [3, 7].

On the other hand, if $N = 2$, Trudinger's inequality implies that $\exp(u^2) - 1$ is integrable. This suggests our first result:

Accepted for publication: February 2006.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35J60, 35J20, 46E35.

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be such that Hardy's inequality holds. Then, for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,*

$$[\exp(u^2) - 1]/\delta^2 \in L^1(\Omega).$$

This theorem will be derived from a result of independent interest:¹

Theorem 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an arbitrary domain with $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Then, if $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, and $q > 2$,*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^q}{\delta^2} \right)^{1/q} \leq \Sigma_q \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{u^2}{\delta^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\Sigma_q = O(q^{1/2+1/q})$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1. These results admit natural generalizations to higher dimensions, which are stated and proved in Section 2. Theorem 2 may be considered as trivially true if $\partial\Omega = \emptyset$ with the convention that $\delta \equiv +\infty$ in this case. For background results on Trudinger's inequality, see [20, 16, 1, 4]; we merely note that our argument is closer to Trudinger's than to Moser's, because the distance function does not transform in a convenient manner under symmetrization.

Remark 2. No regularity or boundedness assumptions on Ω are required. This is somewhat surprising in view of the fact [1, page 120] that elements of $H^1(\Omega)$ are not necessarily L^q for $q > 2$, if Ω is unbounded and with finite volume. Note that for domains with thin "ends" at infinity, δ is very small, and the right-hand side of our inequality is not equivalent to the H^1 norm.

In the situation of Theorem 1, we find in particular that

$$\frac{e^{2u} - 1 - 2u}{\delta^2} \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.1)$$

As an application of this result, we present next a variational characterization of the maximal solution of an elliptic problem with monotone nonlinearity. The question of constructing a renormalized energy in this context was raised by H. Brezis last year in the Nonlinear Analysis Seminar of Paris VI.

1.2. A renormalized energy for boundary blow-up. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2+\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$. The distance function $\delta(x)$ is of class $C^{2+\alpha}$ near and up to the boundary, but is only Lipschitz over Ω in

¹The Lebesgue measure dx is understood in all integrals in this paper.

general. It is therefore convenient to introduce a function $d(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, which coincides with $\delta(x)$ near $\partial\Omega$, and is positive inside Ω .²

Consider the maximal solution u_Ω of the Liouville equation

$$-\Delta u + 4e^{2u} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

in Ω . It is known that u_Ω is the supremum of all solutions of the Dirichlet problem with smooth boundary data, and that it is equivalent to $-\ln(2d)$ near the boundary.³

Even though the equation is formally the Euler-Lagrange equation derived from the Lagrangian $L[u] := |\nabla u|^2 + 4e^{2u}$, a direct variational approach is impossible, because $L[u_\Omega] \notin L^1(\Omega)$. Nevertheless, Fuchsian Reduction [8, 9, 11] enables one to decompose u_Ω into an explicit singular part and a C^1 function:

$$u_\Omega = v + w,$$

where the following properties hold

- (P1) $w \in C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$;
- (P2) $w = O(d)$ as $d \rightarrow 0$;
- (P3) $e^v = O(1/d)$ as $d \rightarrow 0$;
- (P4) $r[v] := -\Delta v + 4e^{2v} = O(1/d)$ as $d \rightarrow 0$.

One may, for instance, take $v = -\ln(2d)$ [9].

For $\phi \in H_0^1$, let us define

$$R[\phi, v] := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 4e^{2v}[e^{2\phi} - 1 - 2\phi] + 2r[v]\phi, \quad (1.3)$$

which is well-defined thanks to equation (1.1), properties (P3-P4), and Hardy's inequality. We then have a variational characterization of u_Ω :

Theorem 3. *The infimum*

$$\text{Inf}\{R[\psi - v, v] : \psi \in v + H_0^1(\Omega)\}$$

is attained precisely for $\psi = u_\Omega$.

Since v is given, this provides a characterization of u_Ω .

Remark 3. The result may be stated equivalently as follows: if $\phi \in H_0^1$,

$$R[\phi, v] \geq R[w, v], \quad (1.4)$$

²One may simply take $d = F(\delta)$ for an appropriate F .

³For background results and applications, see *e.g.* [2, 6, 12, 9, 14, 18]. We merely recall that if Ω is simply connected, e^{-u} is the “mapping radius,” or “conformal radius” function of Ω .

with equality if and only if $\phi = w$.

As a consequence of the variational characterization, we derive a new global *a priori* bound on u_Ω :

Corollary 4. *The maximal solution u_Ω of Liouville's equation satisfies*

$$\|u_\Omega + \ln(2d)\|_{H_0^1} \leq 2H\|\Delta d\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

1.3. Organization of the paper. Section 2 states and proves two results (Theorems 5 and 6), of which Theorems 1 and 2 are special cases. Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 are both proved in Section 3. The proofs of Section 2 require the construction of a partition of unity with special properties, carried out in Section 4. Comments on the rationale leading to the renormalized functional are given as concluding remarks.

2. HARDY-TRUDINGER INEQUALITIES

In this section, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an arbitrary domain with $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. The N -dimensional analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 are stated in Section 2.1, and proved in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 respectively.

2.1. A synthesis of Hardy's and Trudinger's inequalities. Let $N' = N/(N-1)$ and define

$$\Phi_N(u) := \sum_{k \geq N-1} \frac{|u|^{kN'}}{k!},$$

and, for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$M_p(u) := \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \frac{|u|^p}{\delta^p} \right)^{1/p}.$$

We prove:

Theorem 5. *If $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$, there are constants c_1 and c_2 , which only depend on the dimension N , such that, for any $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ with $M_N(u) = 1$,*

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\Phi_N(u/c_1)}{\delta^N} \leq c_2.$$

Remark 4. Note that no smoothness or boundedness assumptions on Ω are required, and that $M_N(u)$ is not necessarily equivalent to the $W_0^{1,N}$ norm. This result implies Theorem 2.

Remark 5. If Ω is bounded and Lipschitz, Hardy’s inequality holds, and we claim that $\Phi_N(u)$ is integrable for any $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$: write $u = f + g$ where f is smooth with compact support; since $(|f| + |g|)^{kN'} \leq 2^{kN'}(|f|^{kN'} + |g|^{kN'})$, we have $\Phi_N(f + g) \leq \Phi_N(2f) + \Phi_N(2g)$. The result follows if g is small in $W_0^{1,N}$. For $N = 2$ and $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we recover Theorem 1.

2.2. An auxiliary result. Let $1 \leq p \leq N$, $p^* = Np/(N - p)$ if $p < N$ (resp. $p^* = +\infty$ if $p = N$). We prove:

Theorem 6. *If $N \geq 2$, Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $1 \leq p < q \leq p^*$, there is a constant $\Sigma_q(N, p)$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{\delta^N} \right)^{1/q} \leq \Sigma_q \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{\delta^{N-p}} + \frac{|u|^p}{\delta^N} \right)^{1/p}$$

for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Remark 6. *In general, the right-hand side may be infinite. If $p = N$ and Hardy’s inequality holds in Ω , the right-hand side is finite for $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

2.3. Proof of Theorem 6. Step 1: Partition of unity. Denote by $Q(x, s)$ the cube of center x and side s . We prove in Section 4 that there is a smooth partition of unity $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ in Ω with the following properties:

- (PU1) For every k , ϕ_k is supported in a cube $Q_k = Q(x_k, s_k) \subset \Omega$.
- (PU2) For every k , $0 \leq \phi_k \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \phi_k| \leq c_3/s_k$, where c_3 only depends on N .
- (PU3) There are two positive constants λ and μ such that, on $\text{supp } \phi_k$, $\lambda \leq \delta/s_k \leq \mu$.
- (PU4) There is a number P which only depends on the dimension N , such that, for every $x \in \Omega$, at most P among the numbers $\phi_k(x)$ are non-zero.

Simple consequences of these properties are:

- (1) For every $q \geq 1$, $\sum_k \phi_k^q \leq 1$.
- (2) $\sum_k \chi_{Q_k} \leq P(N)$, where χ_{Q_k} denotes the characteristic function of Q_k .
- (3) $(\sum_k \phi_k)^q \leq P^q \sum_k \phi_k^q$. Indeed, for any x , $\phi_k(x) \neq 0$ for at most P values of k , so that $(\sum_k \phi_k(x))^q \leq P^q \max_k \phi_k(x)^q$.

We also need an elementary observation: for any collection of nonnegative numbers b_k , and any $r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_k b_k^r \leq \left(\sum_k b_k \right)^r. \tag{2.1}$$

This may be seen for finite sequences by induction, starting from the inequality: $x^r + y^r \leq (x + y)^r$. Recall also that $(x + y)^r \leq 2^r(x^r + y^r)$.

Step 2: Decomposition of u . For any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{\delta^N} &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_k u \phi_k \right|^q \delta^{-N} \\ &\leq P^q \sum_k \int_{Q_k} |u \phi_k|^q \delta^{-N} \leq P^q \sum_k (\lambda s_k)^{-N} \|u \phi_k\|_{L^q(Q_k)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Write $Q(s)$ for $Q(0, s)$, and let $S_q = S_q(N, p)$ denote the norm of the embedding of $W_0^{1,p}(Q(1))$ into $L^q(Q(1))$. If $v \in W_0^{1,p}(Q(s))$, one finds, applying the Sobolev inequality to $v(sx) \in W_0^{1,p}(Q(1))$,

$$\|v\|_{L^q(Q(s))} \leq S_q s^{\frac{N}{q} + 1 - \frac{N}{p}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(Q(s))}. \quad (2.2)$$

It follows that, for every k ,

$$(\lambda s_k)^{-N} \|u \phi_k\|_{L^q(Q_k)}^q \leq S_q^q \lambda^{-N} s_k^{q(p-N)/p} \|\nabla(u \phi_k)\|_{L^p(Q_k)}^q.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{\delta^N} \right)^{p/q} &\leq (PS_q)^p \left(\sum_k \lambda^{-N} s_k^{q(p-N)/p} \|\nabla(u \phi_k)\|_{L^p(Q_k)}^q \right)^{p/q} \\ &\leq (PS_q \lambda^{-N/q})^p \sum_k s_k^{p-N} \|\nabla(u \phi_k)\|_{L^p(Q_k)}^p \\ &\leq (2PS_q \lambda^{-N/q})^p \sum_k s_k^{p-N} \left[\|\phi_k \nabla u\|_{L^p(Q_k)}^p + \|u \nabla \phi_k\|_{L^p(Q_k)}^p \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we used equation (2.1) to obtain the second inequality. Now,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_k s_k^{p-N} \phi_k^p |\nabla u|^p \leq \mu^{N-p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{\delta^{N-p}},$$

and

$$\sum_k s_k^{p-N} \|u \nabla \phi_k\|_{L^p(Q_k)}^p \leq c_3^p \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_k \chi_{Q_k}(x) \right) \frac{|u|^p}{s_k^N} \leq P c_3^p \mu^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{\delta^N}.$$

We have therefore the desired inequality, with

$$\Sigma_q = 2PS_q(N, p) \lambda^{-N/q} [\mu^{N-p} + P c_3^p \mu^N]^{1/p}. \quad (2.3)$$

This completes the proof.

2.4. **Proof of Theorem 5.** We now consider the case $p = N$, so that $p^* = +\infty$, and q can take arbitrarily large values. From [5, Lemma 7.12 and equation (7.37)], it follows that

$$S_q(N, N) \leq (\omega_N q)^{1-1/N+1/q} \text{ if } q \geq N.$$

If $q \geq N - 1$, we have $N'q \geq N$, and therefore,

$$S_{N'q}(N, N)^{N'q} \leq (N'q\omega_N)^{q+1} \text{ if } q \geq N - 1.$$

For any $c_1 > 0$, we therefore find

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{q \geq N-1} \frac{|u|^{N'q}}{q! c_1^{N'q} \delta^N} \leq c_2 := \sum_{q \geq N-1} \lambda^{-N} N' \omega_N \left(\frac{N' \omega_N A}{c_1^{N'}} \right)^q \frac{q^q}{(q-1)!},$$

where $A = \{2P[1 + P(c_3\mu)^N]^{1/N}\}^{N'}$. The series defining c_2 converges if $c_1^{N'} > e\omega_N N' A$.

This completes the proof.

3. VARIATIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SOLUTIONS WITH BOUNDARY BLOW-UP

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.** Let $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. We wish to prove inequality (1.4). First observe that since $u_{\Omega} = v + w$ solves Liouville's equation,

$$\Delta w = -\Delta v + 4e^{2v} + 4e^{2v}(e^{2w} - 1) = r[v] + 4e^{2v}(e^{2w} - 1). \tag{3.1}$$

It follows from (P2-P4) that

$$\Delta w = O(1/d).$$

Since $w \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$, we have, for any $\psi \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\int_{d>\varepsilon} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w = - \int_{d=\varepsilon} \psi(\nabla w \cdot \nabla d) ds - \int_{d>\varepsilon} \psi \Delta w.$$

Letting first $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and then approximating ϕ by ψ in the H_0^1 norm, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla w + \int_{\Omega} \phi \Delta w = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Using equation (3.1), we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla w + 4e^{2v}(e^{2w} - 1)\phi + r[v]\phi = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Since

$$R[\phi + w, v] - R[w, v] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla w + 4e^{2v}[e^{2w+2\phi} - e^{2w} - 2\phi] + 2r[v]\phi,$$

we find

$$R[\phi + w, v] - R[w, v] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 4e^{2(v+w)}(e^{2\phi} - 1 - 2\phi), \quad (3.4)$$

which is manifestly nonnegative, and vanishes precisely if $\phi = 0$. Q.E.D.

Remark 7. Since $v + w = u_{\Omega}$ and $r[u_{\Omega}] = 0$, the right-hand side of equation (3.4) is equal to $R[\phi, u_{\Omega}]$.

3.2. Proof of Corollary 4. Property (P4) and Hardy's inequality ensure that there is a constant $K[v]$ such that

$$-\int_{\Omega} 2r[v]\phi \leq K[v]\|\phi\|_{H_0^1}.$$

Expressing that $R[w, v] \leq R[0, v] = 0$, we find

$$\|w\|_{H_0^1} \leq K[v].$$

If $v = -\ln(2d)$, one finds $r[-\ln(2d)] = (\Delta d)/d$. Hölder's and Hardy's inequalities yield $K[v] \leq 2H\|\Delta d\|_{L^2}$. Since $w = u_{\Omega} - v$, the announced *a priori* H^1 bound on u_{Ω} follows.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTITION OF UNITY

We construct the partition of unity used in the proof of Theorem 6; the basic ideas go back to Whitney [21], and many variants may be found in the literature, see e.g. [19, 15].

First of all, choose two constants η and η' such that

$$\eta/\sqrt{N} > \eta' > 1.$$

Recall that $Q(x, s)$ is the closed cube of center x and side s . For any $\sigma > 0$, we write $Q_{\sigma}(x, s)$ for $Q(x, \sigma s)$. The following observation will be useful: for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$\delta(x) > \frac{s}{2}\sqrt{N} \Rightarrow Q(x, s) \subset \Omega \Rightarrow \delta(x) > \frac{s}{2}.$$

Conversely,

$$\delta(x) \leq \frac{s}{2} \Rightarrow Q(x, s) \not\subset \Omega \Rightarrow \delta(x) \leq \frac{s}{2}\sqrt{N}.$$

4.1. Covering by dyadic cubes. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \mathcal{F}_k denote the family of closed cubes of the form

$$[0, 2^{-k}]^N + (m_1, \dots, m_N)2^{-k},$$

where the m_j are signed integers. The cubes in \mathcal{F}_{k+1} are obtained by dyadic subdivision of the cubes in \mathcal{F}_k ; in particular, for any k , every $Q(x, s) \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ is included in a unique cube $\tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{s}) \in \mathcal{F}_k$, with $\tilde{s} = 2s$. Since Q is obtained by dyadic division of \tilde{Q} , \tilde{x} must be a vertex of \tilde{Q} ; it follows that $|x - \tilde{x}| = \frac{1}{2}s\sqrt{N}$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}_k$. Define a set $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{F}$ as follows: $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ if and only if

$$Q_\eta \subset \Omega \text{ and } \tilde{Q}_\eta \not\subset \Omega. \tag{4.1}$$

\mathcal{F} is not empty since $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ by assumption.

Lemma 7. Ω is the union of the cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. Let $y \in \Omega$. Consider the set of numbers k for which there is a cube $Q(x, 2^{-k}) \in \mathcal{F}_k$ which contains y and which satisfies $Q_\eta \subset \Omega$. This set is not empty: if k is large enough, we have $\delta(x) \geq \delta(y) - \frac{1}{2}2^{-k}\sqrt{N} > \frac{1}{2}2^{-k}\eta\sqrt{N}$, and $Q_\eta \subset \Omega$. It is bounded below because $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let k_0 be the smallest integer in that set, and consider a cube Q with the above property with $k = k_0$. Since k_0 is minimal, $\tilde{Q}_\eta \not\subset \Omega$. Therefore, $y \in Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, as desired. \square

Since, for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, $Q \subset Q_{\eta'} \subset \Omega$, we have *a fortiori*

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q_{\eta'}.$$

4.2. Properties of the cube decomposition. We now prove that the covering of Ω by the cubes $Q_{\eta'}$ has the additional property that, on each of them, the function δ is comparable to the side of Q :

Lemma 8. *There are positive constants c_4 and c_5 , independent of Ω , such that, if $Q(x, s) \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $y \in Q_{\eta'}$, then*

$$c_4 \leq \frac{\delta(y)}{s} \leq c_5.$$

Proof. Since $Q_\eta \subset \Omega$, $\delta(x) > \eta s/2$. If $y \in Q_{\eta'}$, $\delta(y) \geq \delta(x) - \frac{1}{2}\eta' s\sqrt{N} > \frac{1}{2}(\eta - \eta'\sqrt{N})s$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\eta - \sqrt{N}\eta') < \frac{\delta(y)}{s}.$$

To establish an upper bound, we first estimate $\delta(x)/s$. Since $Q(x, \eta s) \subset \Omega$ and $\tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, 2\eta s) \not\subset \Omega$, $\delta(x) > \frac{1}{2}\eta s$ and $\delta(\tilde{x}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(2\eta s)\sqrt{N}$. Therefore,

$$\delta(x) \leq \delta(\tilde{x}) + |x - \tilde{x}| \leq (\eta + \frac{1}{2})s\sqrt{N}.$$

Therefore, if $Q(x, s) \in \mathcal{Q}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta < \frac{\delta(x)}{s} \leq (\eta + \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

We now estimate $\delta(y)$:

$$\delta(y) \leq \delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\eta' s\sqrt{N} \leq (\eta + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta')s\sqrt{N}.$$

It follows that $\delta(y)/s$ lies between positive bounds which do not depend on Ω , as desired. \square

4.3. Finite intersection property. The cubes $Q_{\eta'}$ are not disjoint; nevertheless, two such cubes may intersect only if the ratio of their sides lies between fixed bounds; this implies that a given cube may only intersect finitely many others. More precisely,

Lemma 9. *There is a constant c_6 , independent of Ω , such that, if $Q(x, s)$ and $Q'(x', s')$ belong to \mathcal{Q} , and if $Q(x, \eta' s) \cap Q'(x', \eta' s') \neq \emptyset$, with $s < s'$, necessarily*

$$s < s' < c_6 s.$$

Furthermore, there is a number P , independent of Ω , such that given $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, there are at most P cubes $Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $Q_{\eta'} \cap Q'_{\eta'} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $y \in Q_{\eta'} \cap Q'_{\eta'}$. We have $|x - x'| \leq |x - y| + |y - x'| \leq \frac{1}{2}(s + s')\eta'\sqrt{N}$. Since Q and Q' both belong to \mathcal{Q} , inequality (4.2) yields $\delta(x') > \frac{1}{2}\eta s'$ and $\delta(x) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \eta)s\sqrt{N}$. Since δ is 1-Lipschitz,

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta s' < \delta(x') \leq \delta(x) + |x - x'| \leq [(\frac{1}{2} + \eta + \frac{1}{2}\eta')s + \frac{1}{2}\eta' s']\sqrt{N}.$$

It follows that $s' < c_6 s$ with

$$c_6 = \frac{2\eta + 1 + \eta'}{\eta - \eta'\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{N}.$$

Therefore, if $s = 2^{-k}$ and $s' = 2^{-k'}$, we have $|k - k'| \leq J_1 := \ln c_6 / \ln 2$. On the other hand, $|x - x'| \leq \frac{1}{2}(s + s')\eta'\sqrt{N} \leq J_2 s$, with $J_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + c_6)\eta'\sqrt{N}$. Scaling the cubes by the factor $1/s$, and taking x as the origin of coordinates, we are led to the question: “how many cubes $Q(x', 2^j)$ can one find subject

to the restrictions: $|j| \leq J_1$ and $|x'| \leq J_2$?" The answer is a finite number P , which depends only on N , η and η' . \square

4.4. Constructing the partition of unity. Take a smooth function $\varphi(x)$ with support in $Q(0, \eta')$, equal to 1 on $Q(0, 1)$, and such that $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all x . Consider

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{Q=Q(x_Q, s_Q) \in \mathcal{Q}} \varphi\left(\frac{x - x_Q}{s_Q}\right).$$

Since the cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ cover Ω , $\psi(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Since any point belongs to at most P of the cubes $Q_{\eta'}$, we have $\psi(x) \leq P$ for all $x \in \Omega$. It follows that the functions $\phi_Q(x) := \varphi((x - x_Q)/s_Q)/\psi(x)$ form a partition of unity, and are supported in the cubes $Q_{\eta'}$.

We now prove that properties (PU1–4) of Section 2.3 hold. (PU1) and (PU2) are immediate. If $x \in Q_{\eta'}$, Lemma 8 ensures that $\delta(x)/s_Q$ is bounded above and below by positive bounds which only depend on N . This proves property (PU3). Finally, since $\varphi((x - x_Q)/s_Q)$ is supported in $Q'_{\eta'}$, we find, thanks to Lemma 9, that (PU4) holds.

This completes the construction of the partition of unity.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The boundary blow-up problem appears at first sight to be beyond the reach of critical-point theory. We have shown that it is in fact equivalent to the minimization of a convex functional in H_0^1 .

How is this functional related to the usual functional $E[u] := \int_{\Omega} L[u]$? Take $v = -\ln(2d)$ to fix ideas. Even though $E[u]$ is infinite for $u = u_{\Omega}$, it is easy to see that, if ϕ is smooth and sufficiently flat near the boundary, $\tilde{E}[\phi] := \int_{\Omega} L[\phi + v] - L[v]$ is well-defined. But this does not provide a satisfactory variational principle for two reasons: (i) $u_{\Omega} - v$ is not very flat at the boundary: it is only $O(d)$; (ii) $\tilde{E}[\phi]$ is not well-defined if ϕ is merely $O(d)$ (indeed, the term $2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla v$ is not necessarily integrable). However, subtracting $\int_{\Omega} 2 \operatorname{div}(\phi \nabla v)$ from $\tilde{E}[\phi]$, and using the equation satisfied by v , one recovers an expression equivalent to our functional R . Note that the integral of this divergence term is not zero, even if ϕ is smooth, because ∇v blows up at the boundary.

Similar ideas are applicable in other situations, as soon as one can decompose the singular solution into the sum $v + w$ of an explicit singular function, and a remainder of controlled regularity. Fuchsian reduction provides a systematic procedure for achieving this decomposition.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, "Sobolev Spaces," Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] C. Bandle and M. Flucher, *Harmonic radius and concentration of energy; hyperbolic radius and Liouville's equations $\Delta U = e^U$ and $\Delta U = U^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$* , SIAM Review, 38 (1996), 191–238.
- [3] H. Brezis and M. Marcus, *Hardy's inequalities revisited*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (Ser. 4), 25 (1997), 217–237.
- [4] H. Brezis and S. Wainger, *A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities*, Commun. PDE, 5 (1990), 773–789.
- [5] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, "Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type," Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [6] J.B. Keller, *On solutions of $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10 (1957), 503–510.
- [7] S. Kichenassamy, *Applications of the division theorem in H^s* , J. of Dynamics and Diff. Eq., 8 (1996), 407–425.
- [8] S. Kichenassamy, *Régularité du rayon hyperbolique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, sér. 1, 338 (2004), 13–18.
- [9] S. Kichenassamy, *Boundary blow-up and degenerate equations*, J. Funct. Anal., 215 (2004), 271–289.
- [10] S. Kichenassamy, *Boundary behavior in the Loewner-Nirenberg problem*, J. Funct. Anal., 222 (2005), 98–113.
- [11] S. Kichenassamy, *Recent progress on boundary blow-up*, in: *Elliptic and Parabolic Problems : A Special Tribute to the Work of Haïm Brezis*, C. Bandle et H. Berestycki (eds.) Prog. Nonl. Differ. Eqs. and Their Appl., Vol. 63, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2005, pp. 329–341.
- [12] C. Loewner and L. Nirenberg, *Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations*, in: *Contributions to Analysis*, Ahlfors L. et al. (Eds.), Acad. Press, 1974, pp. 245–272.
- [13] M. Marcus, V.G. Mizel, and Y. Pinchover, *On the best constant for Hardy's inequality in \mathbb{R}^n* , Trans. AMS., 350 (1998), 3237–3255.
- [14] M. Marcus and L. Véron, *Uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of solutions with boundary blow-up for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Ann. IHP (Analyse Non Linéaire), 14 (1997), 237–274.
- [15] V.G. Maz'ja, "Sobolev Spaces," Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [16] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality of N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 20 (1971), 1077–1092.
- [17] B. Opic and A. Kufner, "Hardy-type Inequalities," Pitman Research Notes in Math., Vol. 219, Longman 1990.
- [18] R. Osserman, *On the inequality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific J. Math., 7 (1957), 1641–1647.
- [19] E.M. Stein, "Harmonic Analysis," Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [20] N.S. Trudinger, *On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech., 17 (1967), 473–483.
- [21] H. Whitney, *Analytic extension of differentiable functions defined in closed sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1934), 63–89.