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Abstract: Let \( G_n = (A_n^-, A_n^+) \), \( n \geq 1 \), denote the gaps, \( M_n^\pm \) be the effective masses and \( \Sigma_n = [A_{n-1}^+, A_n^-], A_0^+ = 0 \), be the spectral bands of the Hill operator \( T = -d^2/dx^2 + V(x) \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( V \) is a 1-periodic real potential from \( L^2(0,1) \). Let the length gap \( L_n = |G_n| \), \( h_n \) be the height of the corresponding slit on the quasimomentum domain and \( A_n = n^2(2n-1) - |\Sigma_n| > 0 \) be the band reduction. Let \( l_n = \sqrt{A_n^+} - \sqrt{A_n^-}, n \geq 1 \), denote the gap length for the operator \( \sqrt{T} \geq 0 \). Introduce the sequences \( L = \{L_n\}, h = \{h_n\}, I = \{I_n\}, A = \{A_n\}, M^\pm = \{M_n^\pm\} \) and the norms \( \|f\|_n^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{2n} m^2 f_n^2, m \geq 0 \). The following results are obtained: i) The estimates of \( \|K\|_2, \|\tilde{f}\|_1, \|f\|_1, \|d\|_1 \) in terms of \( \|M^\pm\|_2 \), ii) identities for the Dirichlet integral of quasimomentum and integral of potentials and so on, iii) the generation of i), ii) for more general potentials.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the Hill operator \( T = -d^2/dx^2 + V(x) \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( V \) is a 1-periodic real potential from \( L^1(0,1) \). It is well known that the spectrum of \( T \) is absolutely continuous and consists of intervals \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \ldots \). Here \( \Sigma_n = [A_{n-1}^+, A_n^-], \ldots, A_1^+, A_n^- < A_{n-1}^+, \ n \geq 1, \) and let \( A_0^+ = 0 \). These intervals are separated by the gaps \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, \) where \( G_n = (A_n^-, A_n^+) \). If a gap degenerates, i.e. \( G_n = \emptyset \), then the corresponding segments \( \Sigma_n, \Sigma_{n+1} \) merge. Let \( \varphi(x, E), \vartheta(x, E) \) be the solutions of the equation

\[ -f'' + Vf = Ef, \quad E \in \mathbb{C}, \]  

(1.1)
satisfying \( \varphi'(0, E) = \vartheta(0, E) = 1 \) and \( \varphi(0, E) = \vartheta'(0, E) = 0 \). We define the Lyapunov function \( F(E) = (\varphi(1, E) + \vartheta(1, E))/2 \). The sequence \( A_0^+ < A_1^- \leq A_1^+ < \cdots \) is the spectrum of Eq. (1.1) with the periodic boundary conditions of period 2, i.e. \( f(x + 2) = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \). Here the equality means that \( A_n^- = A_n^+ \) is the double eigenvalue. We note that \( F(A_n^\pm) = (-1)^n, \ n \geq 1 \). The lowest eigenvalue \( A_0^+ \) is simple, \( F(A_0^+) = 1 \), and the corresponding eigenfunction has period 1. The eigenfunctions
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corresponding to \( A_n^\pm \) have period 1 when \( n \) is even and they are antiperiodic, \( f(x+1) = -f(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), when \( n \) is odd. Define a quasimomentum function (see [F, MO])

\[
k(w) = \arccos F(w^2), \quad w \in W = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup g_n.
\]

Here \( g_n = (a_n^-, a_n^+) = -g_n, \ n \geq 1, \) and \( a_n^\pm = \sqrt{A_n^\pm} > 0 \). The function \( k(w) \) is analytic and moreover \( k(w) \) is a conformal mapping from \( W \) onto a quasimomentum domain \( K = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup \gamma_n \), where \( \gamma_n = (\pi n + ih_n, \pi n - ih_n) \) is an excised slit with the height \( h_n = h_{-n} \geq 0, \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \), and \( h_0 = 0 \). Any non-degenerate (degenerate) slit \( \gamma_{\pm n} \) is connected in some way with the non-degenerate (degenerate) gap \( g_{\pm n} \) and the non-degenerate (degenerate) energy gap \( G_n \). Let \( w(k) \) be the inverse function for \( k(w) \) and \( E(k) = w(k)^2 \). With an edge of the gap \( G_n \) with the length \( L_n \) we associate the effective masses \( M_n^\pm = 0 \) if \( L_n = 0 \) and \( M_n^\pm = 1/E''(k(a_n^\pm)) \) if \( L_n \neq 0 \) and let \( M_0 = 1/E''(0) \) be the effective mass for the point zero. It is well known that if \( L_n \neq 0 \), then \( \pm M_n^\pm > 0 \) and moreover

\[
E(k) = A_n^\pm + \frac{(k - \pi n)^2}{2M_n^\pm} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad E \to A_n^\pm.
\]

We rewrite a potential \( V \) in the form \( V = V_0 + V_1 \), where \( V_0 = \int_0^1 V(x) \, dx \). The value \( V_0 \) is an important parameter for the Hill operator. For example for the Hill operator \( T_1 = -d^2/dx^2 + V_1(x) \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) the value \( V_0 \) is the distance between the zero and the beginning of the spectrum of \( T_1 \).

For a potential \( V \) we introduce the sequences \( L = \{L_n\}^\infty_{n=1}, \ h = \{h_n\}^\infty_{n=1}, \ l = \{l_n\}^\infty_{n=1} \), where \( l_n = |g_n| \). If a potential \( V = 0 \) then the corresponding spectral band \( \Sigma_n^0 = [\pi^2(n-1)^2, \pi^2n^2], \ n > 0, \) with the band length \( |\Sigma_n^0| = \pi^2(2n-1) \). It is well known that if \( V \neq 0 \), then \( |\Sigma_n| > |\Sigma_n^0| \), i.e. the band lengths are contracted [Mos]. We introduce the band reduction \( A_n = |\Sigma_n^0| - |\Sigma_n| \), the sequence \( A = \{A_n\}^\infty_{n=1} \), the real Hilbert spaces \( L^2_0(0,1) \) with the norm \( \|V\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 V(x)^2 \, dx \) and

\[
\ell_m^2 = \{f = \{f_n\}^\infty_{n=1} \quad \|f\|_m < \infty\},
\]

with the norm

\[
\|f\|_m^2 = \sum_{n>0} (2\pi n)^{2m} f_n^2, \quad m \geq 0, \ \ell_0^2 = \ell^2.
\]

We define the maps \( V \to h(V) = \{h_n\}, \ V \to l(V) = \{l_n\}, \ V \to M(V) = \{M_n\}, \ V \to L(V) = \{L_n\}, \ V \to A(V) = \{A_n\} \).

Let us describe the main results of the present paper.

i) Estimates \( \|V\|, \|L\|, \|l\|_1, \|h\|_1, \|A\| \) in terms of \( \|M^\pm\|_2 \) are obtained.

ii) Estimates \( h_n, L_n \) in terms of the effective mass \( M_n^\pm \) at fixed \( n \geq 1 \) are obtained.

iii) New identities are found.

iv) There is the extension of i)–iii) for other cases (finite band potentials, limit periodic potentials, etc.).

The estimates of type i) are important for the inverse problems of the Hill operator. First estimates (\( \|V\| \) in terms of \( \|h\|_1 \)) were obtained in the paper [MO] and in the book [M]. In the paper [GT2] (devoted to the inverse problem \( V \to L(V) = \{L_n\} \), by the direct method) the needed estimates \( \|V_1\| \) in terms of \( \|L\| \) were absent. Some estimates were found in the papers [KK1, KK2]. In
Estimates of Periodic Potentials of Effective Masses

[KK2] the inverse problems by the direct method for the mappings \( V \rightarrow h, V \rightarrow I, V \rightarrow L, V \rightarrow M^\pm \) were studied. The needed estimates for \( \| h \|_1, \| I \|_1, \| L \|, \| M^\pm \|_2 \) in terms of \( \| V \| \) and an inverse were obtained, with the exception of two estimates \( \| V \| \) both in terms of \( \| M^\pm \|_2 \) and \( \| L \| \). The various (and more precise) estimates in terms of \( \| V \| \) and an inverse were obtained in the paper [K3] both for periodic potentials and for more general ones. For example, the following double-sided estimates hold: max\{\( \| L \|, \| I \|_1, \| h \|_1 \)\} \( \leq 6 \| V \|_1 (1 + \| V \|_1^{1/3}) \) and an inverse \( \| V \| \leq 20 \omega (1 + \omega) \), where \( \omega = \min\{\| L \|, \| I \|_1, \| h \|_1 \} \). In [K4] the author obtains similar estimates for the Dirac operator. There are a lot of identities associated with the Hill operator, for example, the very useful identity is obtained in the paper [MO]:

\[
\int_0^1 u^2 \operatorname{Im} k(u) du/\pi = \int_0^1 V^2(x) dx/8, \quad w = u + iv, \quad k = p + iq.
\]

Some identities were found in the papers [KK1,K2]. A few new identities are found in the present paper, and the more interesting identities are presented in Theorem 2.3 (see (2.12)). This result is very useful for the Hill operator since we have the equalities for the norm of \( V \), the Dirichlet integral, some moments and so on. The possibilities of these identities are shown in [K3], where the estimates of \( \| L \|, \| h \|_1, \| I \|_1 \) in terms of \( \int_0^1 V(x)^2 dx \) and an inverse are obtained.

Let us shortly describe the proof. In order to prove i)–iv) we use and study conformal mappings ("effective energy") \( r(E) = k(\sqrt{E})^2, E \in C_+ \), where \( k \) is the quasi-momentum of the Hill operator. The function \( r(E) \) has the analytical continuation on the domain \( E = C \setminus \bigcup G_n \). It is important that the function \( r \) is the conformal mapping from \( E \) onto the domain \( \mathcal{R} = C \setminus \Gamma \), where \( \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_n \) and a parabolic slit \( \Gamma_n = \{ r = (\pi n + iq)^2, -h_n < q < h_n \} \), \( n \geq 1 \). That makes it possible to reformulate the problems for the differential operator as the problems of the conformal mapping theory. Then we should study the geometry properties of the conformal mapping from \( E \) onto a "parabolic comb" \( \mathcal{R} \). In this case we use the methods, estimates from [KK1,K1] and the identities from [K2]. First we shall get local estimates in Sect. 3. Then we obtain some identities and inequalities for the effective energy in Sect. 4. In the last Sect. 5 we shall get the main estimates for the conformal mappings and the main results for the Hill operator.

2. The Main Results

In this section we introduce the concepts and the facts needed to formulate the theorems and recall some results for the Hill operator. Recall that the potential \( V \) has the form \( V = V_0 + V_1 \), where \( \int_0^1 V_1(x) dx = 0 \) and \( V_0 \geq 0 \) is a constant since \( A_0^+ = 0 \). We introduce the sequence \( M = \{ M_n \}_1^\infty \), where \( M_n = \min |M_n^\pm| \). We present the main estimates on \( L, h, V, A \).

Theorem 2.1. Let \( V \in L^2(0,1) \). Then the following estimates:

\[
\| h \|_1 \leq 72 \pi M_0 \| M \|_2, \quad (2.1)
\]

\[
\| V_1 \| \leq \frac{8}{\pi} M_0^{1/2} \| h \|_1 \leq (24)^2 M_0^{3/2} \| M \|_2, \quad (2.2)
\]
\[ \|L\| \leq \frac{16}{\pi} M_0 \|h\|_1 \leq 2(24)^2 M_0^2 \|M\|_2, \quad (2.3) \]
\[ \|V\| \leq \sqrt{2}(24)^2 M_0^2 \|M\|_2, \quad (2.4) \]
\[ \|A\| \leq (72)^2 M_0^2 \|M\|_2, \quad (2.5) \]

hold.

Let us note that by (2.10) we have \( M_0 - 1/2 = -\sum_{n>0} (M_n^+ + M_n^-) \). Then \( M_0 - 1/2 \leq (1/\sqrt{6}) \|M^+ + M^-\|_1 \), since \( \sum_{n>0} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6 \). Hence by (2.1-5) we estimate \( \|A\|, \|V\|, \|L\|, \|h\|_1 \) in terms of \( \|M^+\|_2 \). Remark that these estimates are nonlinear. Now we present the main "linear" and local estimates. We define the sequence \( M^+ - M^- = \{M_n^+ - M_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty \), where \( M_n^+ - M_n^- \geq 0 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( V \in L^2(0,1) \). Then the following estimates:

\[
\max\{\|A\|, \|V\|, \|L\|, \|h\|_1\} \leq 8\pi \|M^+ - M^-\|_2, \quad (2.6)
\]
\[ \|V\| \leq 4\sqrt{6} M_0^{1/2} \|M^+ - M^-\|_2, \quad (2.7) \]
\[ h_n \leq 3\pi \sqrt{L_n |M_n^\pm|/2} \leq 6\pi^2 n(M_n^+ - M_n^-), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (2.8) \]
\[ L_n \leq (4\pi n)^2 (M_n^+ - M_n^-), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (2.9) \]

hold. Suppose that \( G_m \) is the first non-degenerate gap for some \( m \geq 1 \). Then \( M_0 \geq -M_m^- \).

The estimates (2.1-9) are new. It is interesting that some of them are linear. In the paper [KK2] there are the inverse estimates. For example,

\[ \|M^\pm\|_2 \leq 4\|V\| (1 + \|V\|)e^{3\|V\|}. \]

Then we are able to estimate \( \|V\| \) in terms of \( \|M^\pm\|_2 \) and the inverse. Let us note that in the proof of [KK2] the Bernstein inequality was used. Hence the last estimate is "exponentially overstated." Unfortunately it is not clear how to get a more exact estimate (without exponent).

Let us consider the more general case. At first we give some definitions and facts from the conformal mapping theory. We call the set \( K_+ = C_+ \setminus \bigcup \gamma_n \) the comb where \( \gamma_n = (p_n + ih_n, p_n - ih_n) \) is an excised slit with the height \( h_n \geq 0 \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( h_0 = 0 \). Here \( p_n \) is a strongly increasing sequence of real numbers such that \( p_n \to \pm \infty \) as \( n \to \pm \infty \). We call a conformal mapping \( k(w) \) from the upper halfplane \( C_+ \) onto some comb \( K_+ \) a general quasimomentum (GQ) if \( k(0) = 0 \) and \( k(iv) = iv(1 + o(1)) \) as \( v \to \infty \). It is well known that GQ \( k \) is a continuous function of \( w \in \bar{C}_+ \). [L]. In this case we introduce the sets

\[ \sigma_n = [a_{n-1}^+, a_n^-] = k^{-1}([p_{n-1}, p_n]), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

We call the variable \( w \) the momentum and \( \sigma = \bigcup \sigma_n \) the spectrum of the momentum. We also denote by \( g_n = (a_n^+, a_n^-) \) a gap in the spectrum of the momentum and let \( g = \bigcup g_n \). It is well known that the set \( \sigma \) can not be the spectrum of two different momentum (see [L]). Let us note that the function \( k(w) \) has the analytical continuation on the domain \( W = C \setminus \hat{g} \) by the formula \( k(\tilde{w}) = \tilde{k}(w), w \in W \). We denote
the length of the gap $g_n$ by $l_n$. We call GQ symmetric if $k(-w) = -k(w), w \in W$. For a symmetric GQ (SGQ) $k$ we define the energy $E = E(k) = w(k)^2$, where $w(k)$ is the inverse function for $k(w)$. If $k$ runs from $p_{n-1}$ to $p_n, n \geq 1$, then $E(k)$ runs the segment $\Sigma_n = [A_{n-1}^+, A_n^-]$, where $A_n^\pm = (a_n^\pm)^2, n \geq 1$. These segments are separated by the gap $G_n = (A_{n-1}^-, A_n^+), n \geq 1$, and let $G = \bigcup G_n, K = C \setminus \bigcup \gamma_n$. The function $E(k)$ is the conformal mapping from $K \cap \{\Re k > 0\}$ onto the energy domain $E = C \setminus G$. With an edge of the gap $G_n$ having the length $L_n$ we associate the effective masses

$$M_n^\pm = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } L_n = 0, \\ 1/E''(k(a_n^\pm)), & \text{if } L_n \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and for the beginning of the energy spectrum we define the effective mass $M_0 = 1/E''(0)$.

For SGQ $k$ we introduce “an effective energy” $r(E) = k(\sqrt{E})^2, E \in C_+$. The function $r(E)$ has the analytical continuation on the domain $E$. The function $r$ is the conformal mapping from $E$ onto the domain $\mathcal{R} = C \setminus \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_n$ and a parabolic slit $\Gamma_n = \{r = (p_n + iq)^2, -h_n < q < h_n, n \geq 1\}$. Let $k = p + iq, r = t + is$ and remark that $s(E) > 0, E \in C_+$. We introduce the moments

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi w^n q(w) \, dw, \quad S_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi E^n s(E) \, dE, \quad n \geq -2,$$

the real Hilbert spaces

$$\ell_m^2 = \{f = \{f_n\}^\infty_1, \|f\|_m < \infty\}, \quad \|f\|_m^2 = \sum_{n>0} (2p_n)^2 f_n^2, \quad m \geq 0, \quad \ell_0^2 = \ell^2,$$

the integrals

$$P_2^+ = \frac{1}{3\pi} \int q(t)^2 \, dt, \quad P_2^- = \frac{1}{3\pi} \int q(t)^3 \, dt,$$

and the Dirichlet integral for the function $f$ and the domain $C$

$$I_D(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int |f'(w)|^2 \, du \, dv, \quad w = u + iv.$$

Here and below an integral with no limits indicated denotes the integration over $R^d, d \geq 1$. Second, when we consider the functions $q(w), s(E)$ on the real line we take the functions $q(w) = q(w + i0), s(E) = s(E + i0)$. We have the following theorem about identities.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $V \in L^1(0, 1)$. Then the following identities:

$$I_D \left( \frac{k}{w} \right) = S_{-2} = 2M_0 - 1 = 2Q_{-2} + Q_{-2}^2 = -2 \sum_{n>0} (M_n^+ + M_n^-), \quad (2.10)$$

$$I_D(k - w) = S_{-1} = 2Q_0 = \int_0^1 V(x) \, dx = 2 \sum_{n>0} (A_n^+ M_n^+ + A_n^- M_n^-) \quad (2.11)$$
Let $V \in L^2(0,1)$. Then the following identities hold.

\[
\frac{1}{4} I_D(k^2 - E(k)) = S_0 = 2Q_2 - Q_0^2 = \int_0^1 V_1(x)^2 \frac{dx}{4}
\]

\[
= -\frac{4}{3} Q_0^2 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n>0} ((A_n^+)^2 M_n^+ + (A_n^-)^2 M_n^-)
\]

(2.12)

\[\text{All series converge absolutely.}\]

Let us show the relation $SGQ$ with the differential operator (see [PT]). We consider the Schrödinger operator $T_B = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $V$ is a real potential from the Besikovitch space $B_2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that the spectrum of $T_B$ is absolute continuous and consists of the intervals $\Sigma_n = [A_{n-1}^+, A_n^-]$, where $A_{n-1}^- < A_n^+ \leq A_n^-, n \geq 1$, and let $A_0^+ = 0$. In the spectrum there are the gaps $G_n = (A_n^-, A_n^+)$ with the length $L_n = |G_n|$. We introduce the domain $W = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup g_n$, where the interval $g_n = (a_n^-, a_n^+) = -\#_n = a_n^+ > 0$. Note that $SGQ k$ is defined uniquely as a conformal mapping from $W$ onto some domain (a comb) $K$ if $k(0) = 0$ and $k(iv) = iv(1 + o(1))$ as $v \to \infty$. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_B$ be the scalar product in $B_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then (see [S])

\[2Q_0 = V_0 = (V,1)_B = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-a}^a V(x) \frac{dx}{2a}, \quad a \to \infty, \tag{2.13}\]

\[8Q_2 = \|V\|^2_B = (V,V)_B = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-a}^a V(x)^2 \frac{dx}{2a}, \quad a \to \infty. \tag{2.14}\]

Let $V_1 = V - V_0$, then $\|V_1\|^2_B = 8Q_2 - 4Q_0^2$. For $GQ$ we define the function $w_1 = kw(k) - E(k)$ and let $h_+ = \sup h_n$. We have the following theorem on the identities.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $k$ be $SGQ$. Then

\[I_D\left(\frac{k}{w}\right) = S_{-2} = 2M_0 - 1 = 2Q_{-2} + Q_{-2}^2. \tag{2.15}\]

Let $Q_0 < \infty$. Then

\[I_D(k - w) = S_{-1} = 2Q_0. \tag{2.16}\]

Let $Q_2 < \infty$. Then

\[I_D(E - k^2) = S_0 , \tag{2.17}\]

\[2Q_2 = S_0 + Q_0^2 = I_D(w_1) - Q_0^2 = \frac{1}{2} (I_D(w_1) + S_0) = 2(P_2^+ + Q_0^2 - P_2^-) , \tag{2.18}\]

\[P_2^- = P_2^+ + Q_2 - S_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int (p(t) - t)^2 q(t) dt , \tag{2.19}\]

\[\frac{Q_0^2}{4Q_2} \leq h_+^2 \leq 2Q_0 = S_{-1} \leq 2\sqrt{2Q_2} . \tag{2.20}\]

Now we consider the estimates for $GQ$. We have the theorem.
Theorem 2.5. Let \( k \) be SGQ and \( Q_2 < \infty \). Then
\[
\|L\| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{16}{\pi} M_0 \|h\|_1, 2^7 9 M_0^2 \|M\|_2, 4 \|M^+ - M^-\|_2 \right\}, \tag{2.21}
\]
\[
2 \|h\|^2_1 \leq 9 \pi^2 \|L\| \|M\|_2, \tag{2.22}
\]
\[
S_0 \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|h\|_1 \|L\| \leq \min \{4^5 9 M_0^3 \|M\|^2_2, 12 \sqrt{2} \|M^+ - M^-\|^2_2\}, \tag{2.23}
\]
\[
Q_2 \leq M_0 S_0. \tag{2.24}
\]

Let us present the following "local estimates."

Theorem 2.6. i) Let \( k \) be SGQ and a cut \((p - ih, p + ih), p > 0\), correspond to the energy gap \( G = (A^-, A^+) \), \( L = |G| \), with the effective masses \( M^\pm \). Then
\[
q(\sqrt{E})^2 \leq 2L|M^\pm| \min \left\{ \frac{9\pi^2}{4}, \frac{|E - A^\pm|}{|E - A^\mp|} \right\}, \quad E \in G, \tag{2.25}
\]
\[
2h^2 \leq 9\pi^2 L|M^\pm|, \tag{2.26}
\]
\[
\frac{h^2}{2|m^\pm - m^\mp|^2} \leq L \leq 8p^2(\sqrt{m^+} + \sqrt{-m^-})^2. \tag{2.27}
\]

ii) Let \( k^{(1)}, k^{(2)} \), be two SGQ and \( \gamma^{(1)}_n < \gamma^{(2)}_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). Then \( |\Sigma^{(1)}_n| > |\Sigma^{(2)}_n|, |M^{(1)\pm}_n| < |M^{(2)\pm}_n| \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), and \( Q^{(1)}_0 < Q^{(2)}_0, S^{(1)}_0 < S^{(2)}_0 \).

iii) Suppose that \( \Sigma^{(2)}_n \subset \Sigma^{(1)}_n \) for all \( n > 0 \), and \( A^{(1)\pm}_m = A^{(2)\pm}_m \) for some \( m > 0 \) (or \( A^{(1)\pm}_m = A^{(2)\pm}_m \)). Then \( M^{(1)\pm}_m \leq M^{(2)\pm}_m \) (or \( |M^{(1)\pm}_m| \leq |M^{(2)\pm}_m| \)).

iv) Let \((0, A^+_1)\) be the first energy spectral band and \( M_0, M_1^- \) be the corresponding effective masses. Then \( M_0 \geq -M_1^- \).

By ii) of this theorem we see that if some height \( h_n \) increases then all spectral bands decrease, all effective masses and two moments increase.

3. The Local Properties of the Effective Energy

We shall consider the symmetric GQ, i.e. \( k(-w) = -k(w), w \in W \). Let \( E = \xi + i\eta, k = p + iq, w = u + iv, E = w^2, r = r(E) = k(\sqrt{E})^2 = t + is. \) We have
\[
r = (p + iq)^2 = t + is \in C_+, \quad t = p^2 - q^2, \quad s = 2pq \geq 0,
\]
if \( k = p + iq, \quad q > 0, \quad p > 0. \)

We have \( s(E) = \eta(1 + o(1)) \) as \( \eta \to \infty. \) Hence by the Herglotz theorem we obtain
\[
s(E) = \eta \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{s(y)}{|y - E|^2} \right), \quad E \in C_+. \tag{3.1}
\]
By (3.1) we get
\[ s'(\xi,0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{s(y) \, dy}{(y-E)^2} = r'(E) > 1, \quad E = \xi \in \Sigma = \mathbb{R} \setminus G. \quad (3.2) \]

Hence
\[ r^{(m)}(\xi) = \frac{m!}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{s(y) \, dy}{(y-E)^{m+1}}, \quad \xi \in \Sigma, \ m \geq 2, \quad (3.3) \]
\[ r^{(2m+1)}(\xi) > 0, \quad \xi \in \Sigma, \ m \geq 0, \quad (3.4) \]
and the function \( r'(\xi), \xi \in \Sigma_n, \) is convex upward. We present the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** i) Let \( k \) be SGQ and \( E \in C_+ \). Then
\[ r(E) = E + C + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s(y) \left( \frac{1}{y-E} - \frac{y}{1+y^2} \right) dy, \quad (3.5) \]
\[ C = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{s(y) \, dy}{y(1+y^2)}. \quad (3.6) \]

Let in addition \( S_{-1} < \infty \). Then
\[ r(E) = E - S_{-1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s(y) \, dy, \quad E \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.7) \]

ii) Let \( k \) be SGQ and a cut \((p+ih, p-ih)\) correspond to an energy gap \( G = (A^{-}, A^{+}), L = \lvert G \rvert \), and \( M^\pm \) be the corresponding effective masses. Then
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_G \left( \frac{1}{y-A^-} + \frac{1}{A^+ - y} \right) s(y) \, dy = L \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus G} \frac{s(y) \, dy}{(y-A^-)(y-A^+)} \right), \quad (3.8) \]
\[ q(\sqrt{E})^2 < 2L\lvert M^\pm \rvert \frac{|E-A^\pm|}{|E-A^\mp|}, \quad A^- < E < A^+, \quad (3.9) \]
\[ \frac{1}{3} hL < \int_{A^-}^{A^+} q(\sqrt{E}) \, dE \leq L \min \left\{ h, \ \pi \sqrt{\frac{L\lvert M^\pm \rvert}{2}} \right\}. \quad (3.10) \]

**Proof.** i) The similar formula of (3.5) for \( k(w) \) is proved in [MO]. The proof for \( r(E) \) is the same. By (3.5) we get (3.7).

ii) By (3.5) we have
\[ r(A^\pm) = A^\pm + C + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{y-A^\pm} - \frac{y}{1+y^2} \right) s(y) \, dy, \]
and by \( r(A^+) = r(A^-) \) we obtain (3.8).

In [KK1] there is the following estimate. Let a function \( f \) be harmonic and positive in the domain \( D = \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{C}_- \cup G \). Suppose that \( f(E)^2 = (A^+ - E)(2B + o(1)) \) as \( E \uparrow A^+ \). Then
\[ f(E)^2 < 2LB\frac{A^+ - E}{E - A^-}, \quad A^- < E < A^+. \]
We take $f = q(\sqrt{E})$. It is clear that $q(\sqrt{E}) > 0, E \in D$. By the definition of the effective masses we have $q(\sqrt{E})^2 = (A^+ - E)(2M^+ + o(1))$ as $E \uparrow A^+$. Hence we get (3.9). The proof for $M^-$ is the same.

We integrate the estimate (3.9) on the interval $G$ and we have

$$\int_G q(\sqrt{E})\,dE \leq \sqrt{2L|M^\pm|} \int_G \sqrt{\frac{A^+ - E}{E - A^-}}\,dE = \sqrt{2L|M^\pm|} \frac{\pi L}{2}.$$  

Moreover we have a simple estimate $\int_G q(\sqrt{E})\,dE \leq hL$ and then we get the second estimate in (3.10). We have to show the first one. The function $q(w), w \in (\sqrt{A^-}, \sqrt{A^+} )$ is convex (see [KK1]). Suppose that $q(a_0) = h$ for some $a_0 \in G$, and let $a_\pm \equiv A^\pm, q_\pm = h/(a_0 - a_\pm)$. Let us define the function

$$q_1 = \begin{cases} 
q_-(w - a_-) & \text{if } a_- < w < a_0, \\
q_+(w - a_+) & \text{if } a_0 < w < a_+.
\end{cases}$$

Then $q(w) \geq q_1(w), a_- < w < a_+$. Hence

$$\int_G q(\sqrt{E})\,dE \geq \int_{a_-}^{a_+} q_1(w)\,dw^2$$

$$= - \int_{a_-}^{a_+} q_1(w)w^2\,dw = - \int_{a_-}^{a_0} q_-w^2\,dw - \int_{a_0}^{a_+} q_+w^2\,dw$$

$$= \frac{h}{3}(a_+^2 - a_-^2 + a_0(a_+ - a_-)) \geq \frac{1}{3}hL,$$

and we get (3.10). □

By Lemma 3.1 we get the main local estimates for SGQ in the following

Corollary 3.2. Let $k$ be GQ and a cut $(p + ih, p - ih)$ correspond an energy gap $G = (A^-, A^+) = |G|$, and $M^\pm$ be the corresponding effective masses. Then

$$q(\sqrt{E})^2 < 2L|M^-M^+|^1/2, \quad A^- < E < A^+, \quad (3.11)$$

$$h^2 < \frac{(3\pi)^2}{2L|M^\pm|}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$L < 8p^2(\sqrt{M^+} + \sqrt{M^-})^2 \leq 4(2p)^2(M^+ - M^-). \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. By (3.9) we get (3.11) and by (3.10) we obtain (3.12).

Let $P = 2p(\sqrt{2LM^+} + \sqrt{2LM^-})$. Then by (3.8), (3.9) we have

$$L \leq \frac{P}{\pi} \int_G \frac{dE}{\sqrt{(E - A^-)(A^+ - E)}} = P,$$

and hence we get (3.13). □
We prove the following main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 2.6.** i) By (3.9), (3.12) we obtain (2.25), (2.26).

The estimate (2.27) follows from (3.11), (3.13).

ii) We introduce the function \( f(E) = \text{Im} \, E_2(r_1(E)), E \in C_+ \). This function is harmonic, non-negative in \( C_+ \) and continuous in \( C_+ \). Suppose the inequality

\[
 f(E) = \text{Im} \, E_1(r_2(E)) \geq \text{Im} \, E_2(r_2(E)) = \eta, \quad E = \xi + i\eta. \tag{3.14}
\]

Then we obtain \( \text{Im} \, E_1 \geq \text{Im} \, E_2 \) in the domain \( r_2(C_+) \). Moreover we have

\[
 E_1(r)' = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \text{Im} \, E_1(r) \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \text{Im} \, E_2(r) = E_2(r)', \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \ r \neq r_n \equiv p^2_n.
\]

Hence we obtain

\[
 |\Sigma_n^{(1)}| = \int_{r_{n-1}}^{r_n} E_1'(r) \, dr \geq \int_{r_{n-1}}^{r_n} E_2'(r) \, dr = |\Sigma_n^{(2)}|, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

Moreover we have for \( \alpha = 1, 2 \), that

\[
 E_\alpha - A_n^{(\alpha)+} = \int_{r_n}^{r} E_2'(r) \, dr = \frac{(r - r_n)^2}{(2p_n)^2 2M_n^{(2)+}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as} \ (r - r_n) \downarrow 0,
\]

hence we get \(|M_n^{(1)+}| \leq |M_n^{(2)+}| \). The proof for \( M_n^{(\alpha)-} \) is the same.

Let us prove (3.14). By the representation (2.15) we obtain that

\[
 r_m(E) = E(1 + o(1)), \quad E \in U(A) = \{E: \eta > A|\xi|\}, \quad |E| \rightarrow \infty.
\]

But for any \( A \) there exists a constant \( R = R(A) > 0 \) such that

\[
 r_m(U(A)) \supset \{E: |E| > R\} \cap U(2A), \quad m = 1, 2.
\]

Hence \( E_\alpha(r) = r(1 + o(1)), \ r \in U(2A), \ |r| \rightarrow \infty \), and

\[
 E_1(r_2(i\eta))/i\eta = [E_1'(r_2(i\eta))/(r_2(i\eta))][r_2(i\eta))/i\eta] \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as} \ \eta \rightarrow \infty.
\]

From this it follows that \( f(i\eta) = \eta(1 + o(1)) \), as \( \eta \rightarrow \infty \), and by the Herglotz theorem we obtain (3.14).

Using (4.1–3) and the maximum principle we get the estimates for \( Q_0, Q_2, S_0 \).

iii) By the maximum principle we have the inequality \( s_1(E) \leq s_2(E), \ E \in \mathbb{R} \).

Then by the definition of the effective mass we obtain

\[
 M_m^{(1)+} = \lim \frac{s_1(E)^2}{2(2p_n)^2 (A_m^{(1)+} - E)} \leq \lim \frac{s_2(E)^2}{2(2p_n)^2 (A_m^{(1)+} - E)} = M_m^{(2)+}, \quad \text{as} \ E \uparrow A_m^{(1)+}.
\]

iv) In [K1] there is the estimate \( k'(0) \geq \sqrt{-2M_1} \) and the equality \( k'(0)^2 = 2M_0 \). Then we get \( M_0 \geq -M_1^{-} \). \( \square \)
4. The Identities

In this section we find some identities which are basic for us. First we present the identity about \( S_{-1}, S_0, Q_2, Q_0, \ldots \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( k \) be SGQ and \( Q_{2m} < \infty \), for some \( m \geq 0 \). Then

\[
r(E) = E - S_{-1} - \frac{S_0}{E} - \frac{S_1}{E^2} - \cdots - \frac{S_{m-1} + o(1)}{E^m}, \quad \text{as } |E| \to \infty, \ |\eta| > \delta \epsilon,
\]

for some \( \delta > 0 \) and here

\[
S_{-1} = 2Q_0, \quad S_0 = 2Q_2 - Q_0^2,
\]

\[
S_1 = 2Q_4 - 2Q_0Q_2, \ldots, S_{m-1} = 2Q_{2m} - \sum_{0}^{m-1} Q_{2m-2-2p}Q_{2p}.
\]

**Proof.** We have the asymptotics (see [KK1])

\[
k(w) = \frac{w}{w - \frac{Q_0}{w} - \frac{Q_2}{w^3} - \cdots - \frac{Q_{2m} + o(1)}{w^{2m+1}}}, \quad \text{as } |w| \to \infty, \ w = u + iv, \ |u| > \epsilon v,
\]

for some \( \epsilon > 0 \). Hence we get

\[
r - E = (k + w)(k - w) = - (2 - Q_0 E^{-1} - Q_2 E^{-2} - \cdots - Q_{2m} E^{-m-1} - \cdots)
\]

\[
\times (Q_0 + Q_2 E^{-1} + \cdots + Q_{2m} E^{-m} + \cdots)
\]

\[
= -2Q_0 - (2Q_2 - Q_0^2)E^{-1} - 2(Q_4 - Q_0Q_2)E^{-2} - \cdots
\]

\[
- \left( 2Q_{2m} - \sum_{0}^{m-1} Q_{2m-2-2p}Q_{2p} + o(1) \right) E^{-m}.
\]

By (3.7) we get

\[
r(E) - E + S_{-1} = - \frac{1}{\pi E} \int \frac{s(y) \, dy}{1 - (y/E)}
\]

\[
= \frac{S_0}{E} - \frac{S_1}{E^2} - \cdots - \frac{S_{m-1} + o(1)}{E^m}, \quad \text{as } |E| \to \infty.
\]

If we compare these two asymptotics we get (4.2). \( \square \)

Later on in this section we need the following result.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( k \) be SGQ and finite gap. Then for any \( m \geq 0 \) the following asymptotics hold:

\[
w = k + \frac{P_0}{k^1} + \frac{P_2}{k^3} + \frac{P_4}{k^5} + \cdots + \frac{P_{2m} + o(1)}{k^{2m+1}}, \quad \text{as } |k| \to \infty,
\]

and here

\[
P_0 = Q_0, \quad P_2 = P_2^+ - P_2^- = Q_2 - Q_0^2, \ldots
\]
Proof. We have the finite number of gaps. Then \( g = \bigcup g_n \subset [-a, a] \) for some large \( a \). We take the function \( f(k) = w(k) - k \) and we get \( f(k) = o(k^{-1}) \), as \( |k| \to \infty \). The function \( f \) is analytic in the domain \( |k| > a \). Then for \( |k| > a \) we obtain

\[
f(k) = -\frac{1}{k2\pi i} \int_{|k|=a} \frac{f(t)\,dt}{1-t/k}
= -\frac{1}{k2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \int_{|k|=a} f(t)t^n \, dt \frac{1}{k^{1-n}} + \cdots = \frac{P_0}{k} + \frac{P_1}{k^2} + \frac{P_2}{k^3} + \cdots ,
\]

where

\[
|k|=a
\]

\[
P_n = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|k|=a} f(t)t^n \, dt .
\]

Integrating by paths we obtain

\[
P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i(n+1)} \int_{|k|=a} k^{n+1}(w) \, dw .
\]

Then

\[
P_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|k|=a} k(w) \, dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{g} (k(w) - \bar{k}(w)) \, dw = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g} q(w) \, dw = Q_0 ,
\]

and

\[
P_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i2} \int_{|k|=a} k(w)^2 \, dw = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{g} (k(w)^2 - \bar{k}^2(w)) \, dw = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g} p(w)q(w) \, dw .
\]

Moreover by \( k^3 = (p + iq)^3 = (p^3 - 3pq^2) + i(3p^2q - q^3) \), we get

\[
P_2 = \frac{1}{6\pi i} \int_{|k|=a} k(w)^3 \, dw = \frac{1}{6\pi i} \int_{g} (k(w)^3 - \bar{k}^3(w)) \, dw = \frac{1}{3\pi} \int_{g} (3p^2q - q^3) \, dw = P_2^+ - P_2^-,
\]

and so on. We get (4.3).

Now we find the asymptotics \( w(k) \) as \( |k| \to \infty \) in terms of \( Q_n, n > -1 \). Indeed we have got the asymptotics in terms of \( P_n, n \geq 0 \),

\[
w = k + P_0 k^{-1} + P_2 k^{-3} + P_4 k^{-5} + \cdots + (P_{2m} + o(1)) k^{-2m-1} , \text{ as } |k| \to \infty ,
\]

and there is the asymptotics in [KK1]

\[
k = w - \frac{Q_0}{w} - \frac{Q_2}{w^3} - \frac{Q_4}{w^5} - \cdots - \frac{Q_{2m} + o(1)}{w^{2m+1}} , \text{ as } |w| \to \infty ,
\]

If we compare two asymptotics we get (4.4). □
Remark that it is possible to get the asymptotics (4.3) for the more general case than a finite gap case. But in the present paper it is enough to obtain the needed identities (4.4).

We shall prove Theorem 2.4 in Lemma 4.3–5.

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that \( k \) be SGQ. Then we have (2.15), i.e.

\[
2M_0 - 1 = S_{-2} = (2 + Q_{-2})Q_{-2} = I_D\left(\frac{k}{w(k)}\right).
\]

(4.7)

Let in addition \( Q_0 < \infty \). Then we have (2.16), i.e.

\[
I_D(k - w) = 2Q_0 = S_{-1}.
\]

(4.8)

Moreover

\[
S_{-1}^2 = 4Q_0^2 \leq S_{-2}S_0 = (2M_0 - 1)S_0.
\]

(4.9)

**Proof.** By (3.2) and by \( r'(0) = 2M_0 \) at \( E = 0 \) we get

\[
2M_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{s(y) dy}{y^2} = 1 + S_{-2}.
\]

In [K1] we get \( 2M_0 = (1 + Q_{-2})^2 \). Then we have the next identity in (4.7). It is well known (see [Koo]) that \( I_D(w_{-1}) = S_{-2} \), and hence we get (4.7). In [KK1] there is the equality \( I_D(k - w) = 2Q_0 \). By (4.2) we have \( 2Q_0 = S_{-1} \) and by the Cauchy inequality we obtain \( S_{-1}^2 \leq S_0S_{-2} \) and by (4.7) we get (4.9). \( \square \)

We shall prove the identities for the case \( Q_2 < \infty \) in Theorem 2.4.

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose that \( k \) be SGQ and \( Q_2 < \infty \). Then we have (2.17–19), i.e.

\[
P_2^+ - P_2^- = Q_2 - Q_0^2,
\]

(4.10)

\[
P_2^- = P_2^+ + Q_2 - S_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int(p(t) - t)^2 q(t) dt,
\]

(4.11)

\[
\pi S_0 = \int_\mathbb{C}_+ |r(E)' - 1|^2 d\xi d\eta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{Re}k > 0} |(k^2 - w(k)^2)^2| dp dq = \int s(E) dE,
\]

(4.12)

\[
2Q_2 = S_0 + Q_0^2 = \frac{1}{2}(I_D(w_1) + S_0) = I_D(w_1) - Q_0^2.
\]

(4.13)

**Proof.** We proved (4.10) for the finite gap GQ in (4.4). Let us consider the general case. Introduce the set \( \sigma^N = \sigma \cup (-\infty, -N) \cup (N, \infty) \) and the variable corresponding to \( \sigma^N \) we denote by the upper index \( N \). It is well known (see [L]) that \( q^N(u) / q(u) \), \( |p^N(u)| / |p(u)| \), as \( N \to \infty \), \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). Then by Levy's Theorem we obtain \( Q_2^N / Q_0 \), \( Q_0^N / Q_2 \), \( P_2^N / P_2 \), as \( N \to \infty \). Then we get (4.10) for GQ.

By (4.2) and (4.10) we have (4.11).

By the Green formula we have

\[
\int_\mathbb{C}_+ |r(E)' - 1|^2 d\xi d\eta = \int_{\infty}^0 (s - \eta)(t - \xi)' d\xi d\eta = \int_0^\infty s(1 - t') d\xi = \int_0^\infty s(\xi) d\xi,
\]

and by symmetry we obtain (4.12).

We have the first equality in (4.2). In [KK1] there is the formula \( I_D(w_1) + S_0 = 4Q_2 \) and by (4.2) we obtain (4.13). \( \square \)
Now we obtain the estimates for general quasimomentum.

**Lemma 4.5.** i) Let \( k \) be \( \text{GQ} \) and \( Q_0 < \infty \). Then \( h_+^4 \leq 4Q_0^2 \leq 8Q_2 \) .

\[
\frac{Q_0^4}{4Q_2} \leq P_2^- \leq \frac{1}{3} h_+^2 Q_0 \leq \frac{2}{3} Q_0^2 ,
\]

\[
\frac{3Q_0^3}{4Q_2} \leq h_+^2 \leq 2Q_0 = S_{-1} \leq 2\sqrt{2Q_2} ,
\]

\[
P_2^+ + \frac{1}{3} Q_0^2 \leq Q_2 \leq P_2^+ + Q_0^2 ,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{16} \| l^2 \|_1 \leq P_2^+ \leq \frac{1}{2} S_0 + \frac{1}{18} h_+^4 ,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} p_n^2 h_n^4 l_n \leq P_2^+ \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} p_n^2 h_n^4 l_n .
\]

**Proof.** Let \( Q_0 < \infty \). First we consider the finite gap case. Suppose that \( h_+ = h_m \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). We have a simple domain \( K^{(m)} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma_m \). It is well known that in the finite gap case for the corresponding moments there is an inequality \( Q_0^{(m)} \leq Q_0 \) (see [J]). But we have exactly \( Q_0^{(m)} = h_m^2/2 \). Then we get \( h_+^2 = h_m^2 \leq 2Q_0 \).

Let us consider the general case.

Suppose that \( h_+ = h_m \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Introduce the set \( \sigma^{(N)} = \sigma \cup (-\infty, -N) \cup (N, \infty) \) and the variable corresponding to \( \sigma^{(N)} \) we denote by the upper index \( N \). Then by the finite gap case we get \( (h_+^{(N)})^2 \leq 2Q_0^{(N)} \). It is well known (see [L]) that \( q^{(N)}(u) \nearrow q(u) \), as \( N \to \infty \), \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). Then \( h_+^{(N)} \to h_+ \) and by Levy's Theorem we obtain \( Q_0^{(N)} \nearrow Q_0 \), as \( N \to \infty \). Then \( h_+^2 = \lim (h_+^{(N)})^2 \leq \lim 2Q_0^{(N)} = 2Q_0 \), as \( N \to \infty \). Hence we get \( h_+^2 \leq 2Q_0 \).

Let \( Q_2 < \infty \). Then by (4.2) we have (4.14).

By (4.2), (4.11) we get

\[
Q_0^2 = 2Q_2 - S_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int (t - p) t q dt \leq 2\sqrt{P_2^- Q_2} .
\]

Then we get \( Q_0^4 \leq 4P_2^- Q_2 \). By (4.14) we have

\[
P_2^- = \frac{1}{3\pi} \int q(t)^3 dt \leq \frac{h_+^2}{3\pi} \int q(t) dt = \frac{h_+^2 Q_0}{3} \leq \frac{2}{3} Q_0^2 ,
\]

and we get (4.15).

By (4.15) we have \( 3Q_0^4/Q_2 \leq 4h_+^2 Q_0 \) and by (4.14) we get the second estimate in (4.16). By (4.2) we have \( 2Q_0 = S_{-1} \) and by (4.14) we get the last estimate in (4.16).

By (4.10), (4.15) we have \( P_2^+ + Q_0^2 = Q_2 + P_2^- \leq Q_2 + (2/3)Q_0^2 \) and we get the first estimate in (4.17) and by (4.10) we obtain the second one.
By the definition of $P^+_2$ we have
\[ P^+_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int p(t)^2 q(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{g_n} \int p_n^2 q(t) \, dt , \tag{4.20} \]
and by $8 \int_{g_n} q(t) \, dt \geq \pi l_n^2$ (see [KK1]) we get $16P^+_2 \geq \|l\|^2$.

By (4.11), (4.15) we have
\[ 2P^+_2 + Q_0^2 = S_0 + 2P^- \leq S_0 + \frac{2}{3} h^2 Q_0 = S_0 + Q_0^2 + \frac{1}{9} h^4 , \]
then we have $2P^+_2 \leq S_0 + \frac{1}{9} h^4$.

By (4.20) and by $l_n h_n/2 \leq \int_{g_n} q(t) \, dt \leq l_n h_n$ (see [KK1]) we obtain (4.19).

5. The Estimates for SGQ and the Hill Operator

We prove the estimates for GQ. Let us introduce the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ in $\ell^2$ and a number $H_n = 2p_n h_n$, $n \geq 1$, and the sequence $H = \{H_n, n \geq 1\}$.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $k$ be SGQ and $Q_2 < \infty$. Then

\[ \frac{1}{16} \|L\|^2 \leq Q_2 \leq \frac{\|M\|^2}{S_0} , \tag{5.1} \]
\[ \frac{(H, L)}{3\pi} \leq S_0 \leq \frac{(H, L)}{\pi} \leq \frac{\|H\|\|L\|}{\pi} , \tag{5.2} \]
\[ S_0 \leq \frac{16}{\pi^2} M_0 \|H\|^2 \leq (4 \cdot 72)^2 M_0^2 \|M\|^2 / 2 , \tag{5.3} \]
\[ \|L\| \leq \frac{16}{\pi} M_0 \|H\| \leq 2(24)^2 M_0^2 \|M\|_2 , \tag{5.4} \]
\[ \|H\| \leq \frac{3\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \|L\|^{1/2} \|M\|_2^{1/2} \leq 72 \pi M_0 \|M\|_2 . \tag{5.5} \]

**Proof.** In [KK1] there is the first estimate of (5.1). By (4.2), (4.9) we have $2Q_2 + 3Q_0^2 = S_0 + 4Q_0^2 \leq S_0 + (2M_0 - 1)S_0 = 2M_0 S_0$, and then we get (5.1).

We have
\[ S_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{G_n} p_n q dE . \]
By (3.10) we get $h_n L_n/3 \leq \int_{G_n} q dE \leq h_n L_n$ and we obtain (5.2).

By (5.1) we have $\|L\|^2 \leq 16Q_2 \leq 16M_0 S_0 \leq 16M_0 \|H\|\|L\|/\pi$. Then we obtain $\pi \|L\| \leq 16M_0 \|H\|$ and by (3.12) we have $H^2 \leq 2(3\pi/2)^2 (2p_n)^2 M_n L_n$. Hence we get (5.3). Moreover we have the first estimate in (5.4) and by (5.3) we have (5.4).

By (5.2-4) we obtain (5.5). \qed

We prove "linear estimates".
Theorem 5.2. Let \( k \) be SGQ and \( Q_2 < \infty \). Then
\[
\|L\| \leq 4\|M^+ - M^-\|_2, \tag{5.6}
\]
\[
\|H\|^2 \leq 18\pi^2\|M\|_2\|M^+ - M^-\|_2, \tag{5.7}
\]
\[
S_0 \leq 12\sqrt{2}\|M\|_2^{1/2}\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^{3/2} \leq 12\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^2, \tag{5.8}
\]
\[
Q_2 \leq 12\sqrt{2}M_0\|M\|_2^{1/2}\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^{3/2} \leq 12M_0\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^2. \tag{5.9}
\]

Proof. By (3.13) we have \( L_n \leq 4(2p_n)^2(M_n^+ - M_n^-) \). Then we get (5.6).

By (5.4),(5.6) we get \( 2\|H\|^2 \leq 9\pi^2\|L\|\|M\|_2 \leq 36\pi^2\|M\|_2\|M^+ - M^-\|_2. \)

By (5.2),(5.6-7) we obtain
\[
S_0 \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\|H\|\|L\| \leq 12\sqrt{2}\|M\|_2^{1/2}\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^{3/2} \leq 12\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^2,
\]
and by (5.1),(5.8) we have
\[
Q_2 \leq M_0S_0 \leq 12\sqrt{2}M_0\|M\|_2^{1/2}\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^{3/2} \leq 12M_0\|M^+ - M^-\|_2^2. \]

Now we consider the case \( Q_0 < \infty \).

Theorem 5.3. Let \( k \) be SGQ and \( Q_0 < \infty \). Then
\[
Q_0 \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{uq(u)du}{p(u)} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_n L_n}{\pi p_n}, \tag{5.10}
\]
\[
Q_0 \leq 24\|M^+ - M^-\|_1^2, \tag{5.11}
\]
\[
Q_0 \leq \frac{128}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} (2p_n)|M_n^+ - M_n^-| \right)^2. \tag{5.12}
\]

Proof. Let the integral \( \pi J = \int uq(u)du/p(u) \). By (4.2) we get \( 2Q_0 = S_1 \), then
\[
Q_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \sqrt{\frac{uq(u)}{p(u)}} \sqrt{\frac{p(u)q(u)}{u}} \frac{du}{u} \leq J^{1/2}Q_0^{1/2},
\]
then we get \( Q_0 \leq J \). Hence
\[
Q_0 \leq \int \frac{uq(u)du}{\pi p(u)} = \int_0^\infty q(u)du^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q(u)du^2}{\pi p_n} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_n L_n}{\pi p_n}.
\]

By (2.26–27) we obtain
\[
2L_n h_n \leq 3\pi L_n^{3/2}\sqrt{|M_n^\pm|} \leq 3\pi L_n^{3/2}\sqrt{M_n^+ - M_n^-} \leq 24\pi (2p_n)^2(M_n^+ - M_n^-)^2.
\]

Then by (5.10) we have
\[
Q_0 \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_n L_n}{\pi p_n} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} 24(2p_n)^2(M_n^+ - M_n^-)^2 = 24\|M^+ - M^-\|_1^2.
\]

Moreover by (5.10),(2.27) we obtain
\[
Q_0 \leq h_+ \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{L_n}{\pi p_n} \leq h_+ \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{16p_n^2|M_n^+ - M_n^-|}{\pi p_n},
\]
and by \( h_+^2 \leq 2Q_0 \) we get (5.12). \( \square \)
Estimates of Periodic Potentials of Effective Masses

Let us consider the case of the Hill operator \( H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). In this case \( p_n = \pi n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \). In [MO] there are identities

\[
2Q_0 = \int_0^1 V(x) \, dx, \quad 8Q_2 = \| V \|^2 = \int_0^1 V(x)^2 \, dx , \tag{5.13}
\]

and by (4.2) we obtain

\[
S_0 = 2Q_2 - Q_0^2 = \frac{1}{4} \| V_1 \|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 V_1(x)^2 \, dx . \tag{5.14}
\]

We begin to prove the main theorems for the Hill operator.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** By (5.5) we get (2.1) and by (5.14), (5.3), (2.1) we have (2.2).

By (5.4), (2.1) we get (2.3).

By (5.1), (5.13–14), (2.2) we get (2.4).

In [K3] there is the estimate \( \| A \|^2 \leq \pi^2 \| V_1 \|^2 + 8\| L \|^2 \). Then by (2.2), (2.3) we obtain (2.5). □

Now we prove the next main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** By (5.6) we have \( \| L \| \leq 4\| M^+ - M^- \|_2 \).

By (5.8) we get \( \| V_1 \| \leq 4\sqrt{3}\| M^+ - M^- \|_2 \). By (5.7) we get \( \| h \|_1 \leq 3\pi\| M^+ - M^- \|_2 \).

In [K3] there is the estimate \( \| A \|^2 \leq \pi^2 \| V_1 \|^2 + 8\| L \|^2 \). Then by \( \| V_1 \| \leq 4\sqrt{3}\| M^+ - M^- \|_2 \) and \( \| L \| \leq 4\| M^+ - M^- \|_2 \) we obtain \( \| A \| \leq 8\pi\| M^+ - M^- \|_2 \). Hence we have (2.6).

By (5.9) we get \( \| V \|^2 \leq 96M_0\| M^+ - M^- \|^2_2 \) and then we get (2.7).

By (2.26–27) and \( p_n = \pi n \) we obtain (2.8–9).

By point iv) of Theorem 2.5 we obtain that \( M_0 \geq -M^- \) for the first non-degenerate gap \( G_m \). □

Now we remark that the proof of Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** By (2.15) and the simple equality \( M_0 + \sum_{n>0} (M^+_{n} + M^-_{n}) = 1/2 \) (see for example [KK1]) we have (2.10).

By (2.16) and \( Q_0 = \sum_{n>0} (A^+_{n}M^+_{n} + A^-_{n}M^-_{n}) \) (see [K2]) we have (2.11).

By (2.17–18), (5.14) and \( 6Q_2 = Q_0 + 2\sum_{n>0} ((A^+_{n})^2M^+_{n} + (A^-_{n})^2M^-_{n}) \) (see [K2]) we have (2.12).

In [K2] there is the proof that all series converge absolutely. □
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