

An Example of Absence of Turbulence for any Reynolds Number

Carlo Marchioro*

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma I “La Sapienza”, Piazzale A. Moro 5,
I-00185 Roma, Italy

Abstract. We consider a viscous incompressible fluid moving in a two-dimensional flat torus. We show a particular external force f_0 for which there is a globally attractive stationary state for any Reynolds number R . Moreover, for any fixed R , this stability property holds also for a neighbourhood of f_0 .

We consider a viscous incompressible fluid moving in a two-dimensional flat torus. The Navier-Stokes equations governing the motion are

$$\frac{\partial \underline{u}}{\partial t} + (\underline{u} \cdot \nabla) \underline{u} = -\nabla p + \underline{f} + \nu \Delta \underline{u}, \quad \underline{u}(0) = \underline{u}_0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\int_{T^2} \underline{u} dx = 0, \quad \int_{T^2} \underline{f} dx = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$T^2 = [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi], \quad \underline{x} \equiv (x, y) = x\epsilon_1 + y\epsilon_2 \in T^2,$$

where $\underline{u}(x, t)$ is the velocity, $p(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ the pressure, $\nu > 0$ the viscosity, $\underline{f}(x)$ the external force. All functions involved are periodic in x, y of period 2π .

In our problem we fix a time scale and we assume as a reasonable Reynolds number

$$R = \sup_{x \in T^2} |\underline{f}(x)|/\nu.$$

In general the behavior of the solutions depends on R : if R is small there exists a stationary state stable and attractive. When R increases this state loses its stability and, for large R , the motion becomes chaotic. This fact is related with the turbulence. (On this subject there is a lot of literature: see for instance [1].)

In this paper we want to show particular forces $f_0(x)$ for which the stationary state remains attractive for every Reynolds number R . These forces are not

* Research partially supported by Italian CNR and Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione

completely exceptional in the sense that they have a neighbourhood (depending on R) for which this stability property holds.

We assume \underline{f} smooth

$$\underline{f} = \underline{f}_0 + \underline{f}_1, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{f}_0 = & c_1[v(A_1 \cos y + A_2 \sin y) + (A_3 \cos x + A_4 \sin x)(-A_1 \sin y + A_2 \cos y)] \\ & + c_2[v(A_3 \cos x + A_4 \sin x) + (A_1 \cos y + A_2 \sin y)(-A_3 \sin x + A_4 \cos x)], \\ & A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We define

$$R_0 = |A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3| + |A_4|, \quad (6)$$

$$r_1 = \int_{T^2} |\underline{f}_1|^2 / v^2 \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (7)$$

$$r_2 = \int_{T^2} F_1^2 / \gamma^2 \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$F_1 = \partial_x f_{1,x} - \partial_y f_{1,x}. \quad (9)$$

The result of this paper is stated in the following theorem:

Theorem. *For any R_0 , there exist $\varepsilon_1(R_0) > 0$, $\varepsilon_2(R_0) > 0$ such that for any $r_1 < \varepsilon_1$, $r_2 < \varepsilon_2$ there is a stable stationary state which attracts exponentially each solution.*

More precisely we put

$$\mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{v}, \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ is the stationary state.

Then

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{T^2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{exponentially.} \quad (11)$$

Proof. For sake of simplicity we first give the proof for $\underline{f}_1 = 0$. Then we consider the general case.

When the external force reduces to \underline{f}_0 the stationary state is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \equiv \mathbf{u}_0 = & c_1(A_1 \cos y + A_2 \sin y) + c_2(A_3 \cos x + A_4 \sin x), \\ p = & \text{const.} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We introduce the vorticity

$$\omega = \partial_x u_y - \partial_y u_x. \quad (13)$$

Equation (1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega = F + \nu \Delta \omega, \quad (14)$$

where

$$F = \partial_x f_y - \partial_y f_x. \quad (15)$$

For the stationary state \bar{u} ,

$$\bar{\omega} \equiv \omega_0 = -A_3 \sin x + A_4 \cos x + A_1 \sin y - A_2 \cos y \quad (16)$$

We define

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \int_{T^2} \delta^2 dX, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\delta = \omega - \bar{\omega}. \quad (18)$$

We study the variation in time of E and N . By a direct computation we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E = - \int_{T^2} v_x v_y (-A_1 \sin y + A_2 \cos y - A_3 \sin x + A_4 \cos x) dX - \nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla \underline{v})^2 dX, \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = - \int_{T^2} v_x v_y (-A_1 \sin y + A_2 \cos y - A_3 \sin x + A_4 \cos x) dX - \nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 dX. \quad (20)$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} (N - E) = -\nu \int_{T^2} [(\nabla \delta)^2 - (\nabla \underline{v})^2] dX. \quad (21)$$

We study the right-hand side of (21) and we show that

$$\int_{T^2} [(\nabla \delta)^2 - (\nabla \underline{v})^2] dX \geq 4(N - E). \quad (22)$$

To prove this inequality, we develop v_x, v_y in Fourier series

$$\begin{aligned} v = & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} \cos mx \cos ny + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{mn} \cos mx \sin ny \\ & + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{mn} \sin mx \cos ny + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{mn} \sin mx \sin ny. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Condition (3) and Eq. (2) give

$$\begin{aligned} a_{00} = 0; \quad ma_{x,mn} = nd_{y,mn}; \quad mb_{x,mn} = -nc_{y,mn}; \\ mc_{x,mn} = -nf_{y,mn}; \quad md_{x,mn} = na_{y,mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_{mn}|^2 + |b_{mn}|^2 + |c_{mn}|^2 + |d_{mn}|^2) \\ & + \pi^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_{y,m0}^2 + c_{y,m0}^2) + \pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{x,0n}^2 + b_{x,0n}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In a similar way we compute the other term in (22),

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(ma_{y,mn} + nd_{x,mn})^2 \\
&\quad + (-mb_{y,mn} + nc_{x,mn})^2 + (mc_{y,mn} - nb_{x,mn})^2 \\
&\quad + (md_{y,mn} + na_{x,mn})^2] + \pi^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 (a_{y,m0}^2 + c_{y,m0}^2) \\
&\quad + \pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 (a_{x,0n}^2 + b_{x,0n}^2), \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{T^2} (\nabla v)^2 dX = 2N, \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 dX &= \pi^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m^2 + n^2) \{(ma_{y,mn} + nd_{x,mn})^2 \\
&\quad + (-mb_{y,mn} + nc_{x,mn})^2 + (mc_{y,mn} - nb_{x,mn})^2 \\
&\quad + (md_{y,mn} + na_{x,mn})^2\} + 2\pi^2 \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^4 (a_{y,m0}^2 + c_{y,m0}^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^4 (a_{x,0n}^2 + b_{x,0n}^2) \right\}. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T^2} [(\nabla \delta)^2 - (\nabla v)^2] dX &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m^2 + n^2 - 1) \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(m + \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 (a_{y,mn}^2 + b_{y,mn}^2 + c_{y,mn}^2 + d_{y,mn}^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(n + \frac{m}{n} \right)^2 (a_{x,mn}^2 + b_{x,mn}^2 + c_{x,mn}^2 + d_{x,mn}^2) \right] \\
&\quad + 2\pi^2 \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 (m^2 - 1) (a_{y,m0}^2 + c_{y,m0}^2) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 (n^2 - 1) (a_{x,0n}^2 + b_{x,0n}^2) \right], \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
N - E &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(m + \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 - 1 \right] (a_{y,mn}^2 + b_{y,mn}^2 + c_{y,mn}^2 + d_{y,mn}^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(n + \frac{m}{n} \right)^2 - 1 \right] (a_{x,mn}^2 + b_{x,mn}^2 + c_{x,mn}^2 + d_{x,mn}^2) \right\} \\
&\quad + \pi^2 \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m^2 - 1) (a_{y,m0}^2 + c_{y,m0}^2) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 1) (a_{x,0n}^2 + b_{x,0n}^2) \right]. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

A comparison between (29) and (30) gives inequality (22).

We put (22) in (21), we observe that $N - E \geq 0$, and we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} (N - E) \leq -4\nu(N - E). \tag{31}$$

A second inequality can be obtained by (19) controlling its right-hand side. We have

$$\int_{T^2} (\nabla v)^2 dx \geq 2E. \quad (32)$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= a_{x,01} \cos y + b_{x,01} \sin y + \varphi(x, y), \\ v_y &= a_{y,10} \cos x + c_{y,10} \sin x + \psi(x, y), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\int_{T^2} \varphi^2 dx \leq 2(N - E), \quad (34)$$

$$\int_{T^2} \psi^2 dx \leq 2(N - E). \quad (35)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E &\leq R_0 \left[\left(\int_{T^2} \psi^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{T^2} v_x^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{T^2} \varphi^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{T^2} v_y^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\quad - 2\nu E \leq 4R_0(N - E)^{1/2} E^{1/2} - 2\nu E. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Differential inequality (31) and (36) are linear in $(N - E)^{1/2}$ and $E^{1/2}$, can be easily solved, and give the statement of the theorem.

General Case. First we discuss the stationary state. We prove that

$$\sup_{x \in T^2} |\bar{u}| = H_1 < \infty, \quad (37)$$

$$\int_{T^2} |\bar{u}|^2 dx = H_2 < \infty, \quad (38)$$

$$\sup_{x \in T^2} |\bar{\omega}| = \sup_{x \in T^2} |\partial_x \bar{u}_y - \partial_y \bar{u}_x| = H_3 < \infty. \quad (39)$$

In fact

$$\int_{T^2} \bar{\omega} [-(\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\omega} + F + \nu \Delta \bar{\omega}] dx = 0, \quad (40)$$

hence

$$\nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla \bar{\omega})^2 dx = \int_{T^2} \bar{\omega} F dx \leq c_1 H_3 \left[\int_{T^2} F^2 dx \right]^{1/2}. \quad (41)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\int_{T^2} (\nabla \bar{\omega})^2 dx \geq c_2 \left(\int_{T^2} |\nabla \bar{\omega}| dx \right)^2 \geq c_3 H_3^2. \quad (42)$$

So

$$H_3 \leq c_4 \left(\int_{T^2} F^2 / \nu^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (43)$$

From now on we indicate with c_i a numerical constant.

Equation (37) is a consequence of (43) and (27). Equation (38) can be proved in a similar way using (1).

Now we put

$$\bar{u} = u_0 + u_1; \quad u_1 = \hat{u}_0 + \hat{u}, \quad (44)$$

where u_0 is defined in (12).

We consider the Fourier development of u_1 . \hat{u}_0 is given by the first terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_0 &= \hat{a}_{10} \cos x + \hat{a}_{01} \cos y + \hat{b}_{01} \sin y + \hat{c}_{10} \sin x, \\ \operatorname{div} \hat{u}_0 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and \hat{u} contains all remaining terms.

We note that

$$\sup_{x \in T^2, i, j} |\partial_i \hat{u}_{0j}| = G < \infty, \quad (46)$$

as we can see by (38) and the explicit form of \hat{u}_0 .

Moreover

$$\sup_{x \in T^2} |\hat{u}| = D_1, \quad (47)$$

$$\sup_{x \in T^2} |\hat{\eta}| = \sup_{x \in T^2} |\partial_x \hat{u}_y - \partial_y \hat{u}_x| = D_2, \quad (48)$$

and D_1, D_2 go to zero when r_1, r_2 vanish.

We prove (48).

$$\int_{T^2} u_1 \cdot [-(\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} + \underline{f} + \nu \Delta \bar{u}] dX = 0. \quad (49)$$

Hence

$$\nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla u_1)^2 dX = \int_{T^2} u_1 \cdot \underline{f}_1 dX - \int_{T^2} [u_1 \cdot (u_1 \cdot \nabla) u_0] dX. \quad (50)$$

For the vorticity we obtain

$$\int_{T^2} \eta [-(\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\omega} + F + \nu \Delta \bar{\omega}] dX = 0, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\eta = \partial_x u_{1y} - \partial_y u_{1x}. \quad (52)$$

Hence

$$\nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla \eta)^2 dX = - \int_{T^2} \eta (u_1 \cdot \nabla) \omega_0 dX + \int_{T^2} \eta F_1 dX. \quad (53)$$

We subtract (50) from (53):

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \int_{T^2} [(\nabla \eta)^2 - (\nabla u_1)^2] dX &= \int_{T^2} [\eta F_1 - u_1 \cdot \underline{f}_1] dX \\ &\leq c_5 (2R_0 + G + H_3) \left[\left(\int_{T^2} F_1^2 dX \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{T^2} \underline{f}_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

hence

$$\int_{T^2} (\nabla \hat{\eta})^2 dX \leq c_6 (2R_0 + G + H_3) (r_1^{1/2} + r_2^{1/2}), \quad (55)$$

and then

$$D_2 \leq c_7 [(2R_0 + G + H_3)(r_1^{1/2} + r_2^{1/2})]^{1/2}. \quad (56)$$

So (48) is proved. Equation (47) is a consequence of (56) and (27).

We consider now the non equilibrium problem. By a direct computation

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = - \int_{T^2} v \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) u \, dX - \nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla v)^2 \, dX, \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = - \int_{T^2} \delta(v \cdot \nabla) \omega \, dX - \nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 \, dX. \quad (58)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(N - E) &= - \int_{T^2} [\delta(v \cdot \nabla) \hat{\eta} - v \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) \hat{u}] \, dX - \nu \int_{T^2} [(\nabla \delta)^2 - (\nabla v)^2] \, dX \\ &= \int_{T^2} [\hat{\eta}(v \cdot \nabla) \delta - \hat{u}_x(v_x \partial_x v_x + v_y \partial_y v_x) \\ &\quad - \hat{u}_y(v_x \partial_x v_y + v_y \partial_y v_y)] \, dX - \nu \int_{T^2} [(\nabla \delta)^2 - (\nabla v)^2] \, dX. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Using (47), (48), (27), and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(N - E) &\leq c_8 D_2 E^{1/2} \left[\int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 \, dX \right]^{1/2} + c_9 D_1 E^{1/2} N^{1/2} - 4(v - c_8 D_2)(N - E) \\ &\quad - c_8 D_2 \int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 \, dX + 2c_8 D_2 N \\ &\leq c_{10}(D_1 + D_2)N - 4(v - c_8 D_2)(N - E). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

We divide v as in (44),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{T^2} \delta(v \cdot \nabla) (\omega_0 + \hat{\eta}_0) \, dX \right| &= \left| \int_{T^2} v \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) (u_0 + \hat{u}_0) \, dX \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{T^2} v_x v_y [\partial_y (u_{0x} + \hat{u}_{0x}) + \partial_x (u_{0y} + \hat{u}_{0y})] \, dX \right| \\ &\leq c_{11}(R_0 + G)E^{1/2}(N - E)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt} &\leq c_{11}(R_0 + G)E^{1/2}(N - E)^{1/2} + c_8 D_2 E^{1/2} \left[\int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 \, dX \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad - \nu \int_{T^2} (\nabla \delta)^2 \, dX \leq c_{11}(R_0 + G)N^{1/2}(N - E)^{1/2} - 2(v - c_8 D_2)N. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

When D_1, D_2 are small enough differential inequalities, (60) and (62) imply $N \rightarrow 0$ and $(N - E) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially. For a proof we note that the more difficult case is realized when the equality is reached. We combine the two equations so obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[N + \alpha(N - E)] &= \alpha c_{10}(D_1 + D_2)N + c_{11}(R_0 + G)N^{1/2}(N - E)^{1/2} \\ &\quad - 2(v - c_8 D_2)[N + 2\alpha(N - E)]. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

We can choose $\alpha > 0$ such that $\exists \gamma > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} [N + \alpha(N - E)] \leq \alpha c_{10} (D_1 + D_2) [N + \alpha(N - E)] - \gamma [N + \alpha(N - E)]$$

for

$$v > c_8 D_2. \quad (64)$$

For D_1, D_2 small enough the theorem is proved. \square

In conclusion, we have proved that this model has no turbulence for a particular force f_0 . Moreover, for any fixed R , the stability property remains valid for a neighborhood of f_0 . Of course this does not exclude that for fixed $f \neq f_0$ and large R chaotic motion may appear. For instance, for truncated Navier-Stokes equation numerical studies proved that our model with a convenient force, although simple and without boundary, can produce a rich phenomenology [2].

Remark. The same result of Theorem 1 can be obtained in an asymmetric flat torus $[0, L] \times [0, 2\pi]$ when $L \leq 2\pi$ and $f = c_1 v (A_1 \cos y + A_2 \sin y)$ $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The proof is similar to the previous one. Note that with our technique the condition $L \leq 2\pi$ is essential for the nonnegative definiteness of $N - E$.

References

1. Joseph, D.D.: Stability of fluid motion. Vol. I, II. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1976
2. Boldrighini, C., Franceschini, V.: A five-dimensional truncation of the plane incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **64**, 159 (1979)
 Franceschini, V., Tebaldi, C.: Sequences of infinite bifurcations and turbulence in a five model truncation of the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Stat. Phys.* **21**, 707 (1979)
 Franceschini, V., Tebaldi, C.: A seven model truncation of the plane incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Stat. Phys.* **25**, 397 (1981)
 Riela, G.: A new six mode truncation of Navier-Stokes equation on a two dimensional torus: a numerical study. *Nuovo Cimento* **69B**, 245 (1982)
 Franceschini, V., Tebaldi, C., Zironi, F.: Fixed point behaviour of N mode truncated Navier-Stokes equations as N increases. *J. Stat. Phys.* **35**, 387 (1984)
 Franceschini, V., Tebaldi, C.: Truncation to 12, 14, and 18 modes of the Navier-Stokes equations on a two dimensional torus. *Meccanica* (in press)

Communicated by J. L. Lebowitz

Received October 31, 1985

Note added in proof. For $L < 2\pi$ it is possible to show a set of attractive stationary states of size and radius of attraction independent of R . The proof will be given elsewhere.