

Stochastic Operators, Information, and Entropy

Jürgen Voigt

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, D-8000 München 2,
Federal Republic of Germany

Abstract. For a stochastic operator U on an L_1 -space, i.e. U is linear, positive, and norm preserving on the positive cone of L_1 , it is shown that U decreases relative information between two nonnegative L_1 -functions. Furthermore it is shown that the following properties of U are closely related: U is energy decreasing (energy preserving), U is H -decreasing, where H is Boltzmann's H -functional, and the Maxwell distributions are fixed points of U .

The aim of this note is to prove some properties of stochastic operators on L_1 -spaces. In Sect. 1 we show that a stochastic operator decreases relative information between two nonnegative L_1 -functions. Such a property was known for special cases.

In Sect. 2 we show that, for a stochastic operator U , certain properties are equivalent. If α is a function on the measure space defining the energy and H is Boltzmann's H -functional, then, for instance, it is shown that U is energy decreasing and H -decreasing if and only if all "Maxwell distributions" $\exp(-\kappa\alpha)$ ($\kappa \geq 1$) are invariant under U . These properties are also equivalent to the property that U is energy preserving and leaves one "Maxwell distribution" $\exp(-\alpha)$ fixed.

In [13], the author proves the H -theorem for Boltzmann type equations $u' = Tu + J(u)$ in $L_1(\mu)$, for some measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. The required conditions are posed in abstract form on the strongly continuous semigroup $(U(t); t \geq 0)$ of "free motion" generated by T , and on the "collision operator" J separately. In applications, $U(t)$ should be expected to be a stochastic operator for each $t \geq 0$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.5, one can obtain relations between some of the conditions for $(U(t))$; this is discussed in [13, remarks preceding Proposition 3.1]. As an example we consider $\Omega = D \times \mathbb{R}^3$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is open (and has suitable boundary), μ is Lebesgue measure, and T is an operator associated with the differential expression $-\zeta \cdot \text{grad}_x$ and a

suitable boundary condition. The corresponding initial boundary value problem in $L_1(\mu)$ is treated in [14]. With α defined by $\alpha(x, \xi) := 1 + |\xi|^2$ ($x \in D$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$), the problem to find boundary conditions such that the corresponding semigroup satisfies the conditions required in [13] is discussed in [14, Sect. 9]. In this discussion, the equivalence of the conditions in Theorem 2.1 suggests that only rather restricted boundary conditions come into question.

The author is indebted to H. Spohn for suggesting Theorem 1.1.

1. A Convexity Theorem

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. By $L_1(\mu)$ we denote the space of *real valued* integrable functions, by $L_1(\mu)_+ := \{f \in L_1(\mu); f \geq 0\}$ its positive cone. For $f, g \in L_1(\mu)_+$ we define the *information of f with respect to g* by

$$H(f|g) := \int (f \ln f - f \ln g) d\mu. \quad (1.1)$$

(We set $0 \ln 0 = 0$, $x \ln 0 = -\infty$ for $x > 0$.) For the introduction of this quantity we refer to [5]. The following remarks recall that we always have $-\infty < H(f|g) \leq \infty$. The elementary inequality

$$x \ln x - x \geq x \ln y - y \quad (1.2)$$

($x, y \geq 0$) implies $f(w) \ln f(w) - f(w) \ln g(w) - f(w) + g(w) \geq 0$ ($w \in \Omega$), $H(f|g) \geq \|f\| - \|g\| > -\infty$. If in particular $\|f\| = \|g\|$, then $H(f|g) \geq 0$. Furthermore, since equality in (1.2) holds if and only if $x = y$, we obtain $H(f|g) = \|f\| - \|g\|$ if and only if $f = g$.

Let $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be measure spaces, and $U: L_1(\mu_1) \rightarrow L_1(\mu_2)$ a linear operator. U is called *positive* if $U(L_1(\mu_1)_+) \subset L_1(\mu_2)_+$. (This implies that U is continuous; cf. [11, II, Theorem 5.3, p. 84].) U is called *stochastic* if U is positive and $\|Uf\| = \|f\|$ holds for all $f \in L_1(\mu_1)_+$ (cf. [11, III, Def. 8.8, p. 191]; in [4, Def. 11.7.4, p. 353], such operators are called *transition operators*). We note that, for a stochastic operator U , we have $\int Uf d\mu_2 = \|Uf^+\| - \|Uf^-\| = \|f^+\| - \|f^-\| = \int f d\mu_1$ for all $f \in L_1(\mu_1)$.

1.1. Theorem. *Let $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be measure spaces. Let $U: L_1(\mu_1) \rightarrow L_1(\mu_2)$ be a stochastic operator. Then*

$$H(Uf|Ug) \leq H(f|g) \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all $f, g \in L_1(\mu_1)_+$.

1.2. Remark. A statement similar to Theorem 1.1, for completely positive trace-preserving maps on the trace class operators on a Hilbert space, can be found in [6]; cf. also [12]. For special situations, Theorem 1.1 is known. We refer to [5, Chap. 2, Theorem 4.1, p. 19] for the case that U is the operator forming conditional expectations, and to [7, Sect. 2.3], where our Corollary 1.5 is proved if $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a discrete finite measure space. \square

1.3. Lemma. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Then there exists a compact Hausdorff space S and an isometric algebra isomorphism $J: L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow C(S)$ ($L_\infty(\mu)$ and $C(S)$ real valued). If $I = \bar{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$ is such that $\mu(\{w \in \Omega; f(w) \notin I\}) = 0$, then*

$$J(\gamma \circ f) = \gamma \circ (Jf). \tag{1.4}$$

Proof. Since the complex valued $L_\infty(\mu; \mathbb{C})$ is a commutative B^* -algebra with unit (cf. [4, Definition 1.15.3, p. 22]), there exists a compact Hausdorff space S , and an isometric B^* -algebra isomorphism $\tilde{J}: L_\infty(\mu; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C(S; \mathbb{C})$ (cf. [4, Theorem 4.22.1, p. 157]). Then $J := \tilde{J}|_{L_\infty(\mu)}$ has the asserted properties.

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I$, then $\lambda 1 - f$ is invertible in $L_\infty(\mu)$, therefore $\lambda 1 - Jf$ is invertible in $C(S)$, and this implies $Jf(s) \neq \lambda$ for all $s \in S$. Without restriction we may assume that I is compact. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials $(p_k; k \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $\max\{|p_k(t) - \gamma(t)|; t \in I\} \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). Now, $p_k \circ f \rightarrow \gamma \circ f$ in $L_\infty(\mu)$, $p_k \circ Jf \rightarrow \gamma \circ Jf$, and $J(p_k \circ f) = p_k \circ (Jf)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) imply (1.4). \square

For the validity of (1.4) in a related situation we refer to [3, Theorem 4.6.18, p. 274].

1.4. Lemma. *Let S_1, S_2 be compact Hausdorff spaces. Let $R: C(S_1) \rightarrow C(S_2)$ be a positive linear operator, $R1 = 1$. Let $I = \bar{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and convex. If $\varphi \in C(S_1)$ is such that $\varphi(S_1) \subset I$, then $R\varphi(S_2) \subset I$, and*

$$\gamma \circ (R\varphi) \leq R(\gamma \circ \varphi). \tag{1.5}$$

Proof. Let $s \in S_2$. Then a positive linear functional R_s on $C(S_1)$ is defined by $R_s\varphi := (R\varphi)(s)$ ($\varphi \in C(S_1)$), and, by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a positive Borel measure μ_s on S_1 such that $\int \varphi d\mu_s = R_s\varphi$ ($\varphi \in C(S_1)$) (cf. [10, Theorem 2.14, p. 40]). By $R_s(1) = 1$, we have $\mu_s(S_1) = 1$. Jensen's inequality (cf. [10, Theorem 3.3, p. 61]) now implies $\gamma(\int \varphi d\mu_s) \leq \int (\gamma \circ \varphi) d\mu_s$, which can be written as $\gamma(R\varphi(s)) \leq R(\gamma \circ \varphi)(s)$. Since this is true for all $s \in S_2$, we have (1.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We define the function $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\gamma(t) := t \ln t$ (recall $0 \ln 0 = 0$). γ is continuous and convex. Furthermore we note that, for $t_1 \geq 0$, $t_2 > 0$, we have

$$t_2 \gamma\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right) = t_1 \ln t_1 - t_1 \ln t_2. \tag{1.6}$$

(i) In the first step we are going to prove (1.3) under the additional assumption that there is $c > 0$ such that $f \leq cg$. Let $g \in L_1(\mu_1)_+$ be fixed, and define $\Omega'_2 := \{w_2 \in \Omega_2; Ug(w_2) \neq 0\}$. We define a linear operator $R': L_\infty(\mu_1) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu_2|\Omega'_2)$ by

$$R'\varphi := \frac{1}{Ug} U(\varphi g).$$

Then we have $R'\varphi \geq 0$ for $\varphi \geq 0$, $R'1 = 1$. Let $J_1: L_\infty(\mu_1) \rightarrow C(S_1)$, $J_2: L_\infty(\mu_2|\Omega'_2) \rightarrow C(S_2)$ be the algebra isomorphisms whose existence was shown in Lemma 1.3. Then the operator $R := J_2 R' J_1^{-1}$ obviously has the properties

required in Lemma 1.4.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_\infty(\mu_1) & \xrightarrow{R'} & L_\infty(\mu_2|\Omega'_2) \\ \downarrow J_1 & & \downarrow J_2 \\ C(S_1) & \xrightarrow{R} & C(S_2) \end{array}$$

For $\varphi \in L_\infty(\mu_1)_+$ we have $J_1\varphi \geq 0$, and Lemma 1.4 implies $\gamma \circ (RJ_1\varphi) \leq R(\gamma \circ J_1\varphi)$, or $\gamma \circ (J_2R'\varphi) \leq J_2R'J_1^{-1}(\gamma \circ J_1\varphi)$. Applying J_2^{-1} and using (1.4), we obtain $\gamma \circ (R'\varphi) \leq R'(\gamma \circ \varphi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \circ \left(\frac{1}{Ug} U(\varphi g) \right) &\leq \frac{1}{Ug} U((\gamma \circ \varphi)g), \\ (Ug) \left(\gamma \circ \left(\frac{1}{Ug} U(\varphi g) \right) \right) &\leq U((\gamma \circ \varphi)g). \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Now let $f \in L_1(\mu_1)_+$ be such that there exists $c > 0$ such that $f \leq cg$. Then there exists $\varphi \in L_\infty(\mu_1)_+$ such that $f = \varphi g$, and for each φ with these properties we have $(\gamma \circ \varphi)g = f \ln f - f \ln g$. [This is trivial for those $w_1 \in \Omega_1$ for which $g(w_1) = 0$ holds; otherwise it follows from (1.6).] From (1.7) we therefore obtain

$$Uf \ln Uf - Uf \ln Ug \leq U(f \ln f - f \ln g) \tag{1.8}$$

on Ω'_2 , where we have transformed the expression resulting on the left hand side with the aid of (1.6). From $f \ln f - f \ln g = (\gamma \circ \varphi)g$ we obtain $|f \ln f - f \ln g| \leq \|\gamma \circ \varphi\|_\infty g$, which implies $U(f \ln f - f \ln g)|_{\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega'_2} = 0$. Since we also have $Uf|_{\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega'_2} = 0 = Ug|_{\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega'_2}$, we obtain (1.8) on all of Ω_2 . Now, integrating (1.8), we obtain

$$H(Uf|Ug) \leq \int_{\Omega_2} U(f \ln f - f \ln g) d\mu_2 = H(f|g).$$

(ii) In this step we assume $f|_{[g=0]} = 0$ μ_1 -almost everywhere. Defining $f_k := f \wedge kg$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), we have $f_k \leq f_{k+1}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L_1(\mu_1)$, and from part (i) we obtain

$$H(Uf_k|Ug) \leq H(f_k|g). \tag{1.9}$$

If $H(f|g) = \infty$, then (1.3) is trivially true. Assume now $H(f|g) < \infty$. We define $\Omega'_1 := [f \leq g]$, $\Omega''_1 := [f > g]$. Then we have $(f_k \ln f_k - f_k \ln g)|_{\Omega'_1} = (f \ln f - f \ln g)|_{\Omega'_1}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). For $w \in \Omega''_1$ we have

$$0 \leq f_k(w) \ln f_k(w) - f_k(w) \ln g(w) = f_k(w) \ln \frac{f_k(w)}{g(w)} \nearrow f(w) \ln \frac{f(w)}{g(w)} \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

The dominated convergence theorem therefore implies

$$H(f|g) = \lim H(f_k|g). \tag{1.10}$$

As an increasing sequence, the sequence (Uf_k) converges to Uf μ_2 -almost everywhere. From (1.2) we obtain $Uf_k \ln Uf_k - Uf_k \ln Ug - Uf_k + Ug \geq 0$, and therefore Fatou's lemma implies

$$\begin{aligned} H(Uf|Ug) - \|Uf\| + \|Ug\| &\leq \liminf (H(Uf_k|Ug) - \|Uf_k\| + \|Ug\|), \\ H(Uf|Ug) &\leq \liminf H(Uf_k|Ug). \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

From (1.9), (1.10), (1.11) we obtain (1.3).

(iii) If the assumption made in (ii) is not satisfied, i.e. if $\mu_1(\{w \in \Omega_1; g(w)=0, f(w) \neq 0\}) > 0$, then $H(f|g) = \infty$, from the definition, and (1.3) is trivially satisfied. \square

Theorem 1.1 becomes especially interesting if the ‘‘reference quantity’’ g is a fixed point of U .

1.5. Corollary. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Let $U: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ be a stochastic operator. Let $g \in L_1(\mu)_+$ be a fixed point of U (i.e. $Ug = g$). Then, for all $f \in L_1(\mu)_+$, one has*

$$H(Uf|g) \leq H(f|g). \tag{1.12}$$

Proof. This is a trivial consequence of Theorem 1.1. \square

We now fix the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Furthermore, we assume that a measurable function $\alpha: \Omega \rightarrow [\alpha_0, \infty)$ (for some $\alpha_0 > 0$) is given. The L_1 -norm in $L_1(\alpha\mu)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. We shall always assume the condition

$$(\alpha 1) \quad \exp(-\alpha) \in L_1(\mu)$$

[where $\exp(-\alpha)(w) := \exp(-\alpha(w))(w \in \Omega)$]. For $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$ we define the *negative entropy of f* by

$$H(f) := \int f \ln f d\mu. \tag{1.13}$$

We note that always $-\infty < H(f) \leq \infty$, because of

$$H(f) = H(f|\exp(-\alpha)) - \|f\|_\alpha. \tag{1.14}$$

1.6. Corollary. *Let $(\alpha 1)$ be satisfied. Let $U: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ be a stochastic operator. Assume that $\exp(-\alpha)$ is a fixed point of U .*

(a) *Then $H(Uf) + \|Uf\|_\alpha \leq H(f) + \|f\|_\alpha$ for all $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$ such that $Uf \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$.*

(b) *If $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$ is such that $Uf \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$ and $\|Uf\|_\alpha \geq \|f\|_\alpha$, then $H(Uf) \leq H(f)$ holds.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 1.5 and (1.14). \square

2. Properties of H -Decreasing Stochastic Operators

In this section, we fix the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. As in Sect. 1, let $\alpha: \Omega \rightarrow [\alpha_0, \infty)$ (for some $\alpha_0 > 0$) be a measurable function satisfying $(\alpha 1)$.

We define the σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha := \{\alpha^{-1}(B); B \subset [0, \infty) \text{ Borel set}\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ on Ω . $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}$ is σ -finite, since the sequence $A_j := \{w \in \Omega; \alpha(w) \leq j\}$ ($j \in \mathbf{N}$) satisfies $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, $\Omega = \bigcup A_j$, $\mu(A_j) \leq e^j \|\exp(-\alpha)\| < \infty$. For $f \in L_1(\mu)$ we define the signed measure μ_f on \mathcal{A}_α by $\mu_f(A) := \int_A f d\mu$ ($A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$). Then μ_f is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}$, and the Radon-Nikodym theorem implies the existence of a unique $M_\alpha f \in L_1(\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha})$ such that

$$\int_A M_\alpha f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu \quad (2.1)$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ (cf. [10, Theorem 6.9, p. 122 as well as the remarks on p. 124]). M_α is the operator which, to each $f \in L_1(\mu)$, assigns the *conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{A}_α* . The operator $M_\alpha: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow L_1(\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha})$ is stochastic. If $L_1(\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha})$ is canonically embedded in $L_1(\mu)$, and, accordingly, M_α is considered as an operator in $L_1(\mu)$, then $M_\alpha^2 = M_\alpha$ holds.

2.1. Theorem. *Let $(\alpha 1)$ be satisfied. Let $U: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ be a stochastic operator. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $U(L_1(\alpha\mu)) \subset L_1(\alpha\mu)$, and $\|Uf\|_\alpha \leq \|f\|_\alpha$, $H(Uf) \leq H(f)$ for all $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$.
- (b) $\exp(-\kappa\alpha)$ is a fixed point of U for all $\kappa \geq 1$ (or equivalently, for all $\kappa > 0$ such that $\exp(-\kappa\alpha) \in L_1(\mu)$).
- (c) $Uf = f$ for all $f \in L_1(\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha})$ (or expressed differently, $UM_\alpha = M_\alpha$).
- (d) $UM_\alpha = M_\alpha = M_\alpha U$.
- (e) $U(L_1(\alpha\mu)) \subset L_1(\alpha\mu)$, $\|Uf\|_\alpha = \|f\|_\alpha$ for all $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$, and $\exp(-\alpha)$ is a fixed point of U .

We note that, if $\kappa' > 0$ is such that $\exp(-\kappa'\alpha) \in L_1(\mu)$, then $\exp(-\kappa\alpha) \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$ for all $\kappa > \kappa'$. Therefore $(\alpha 1)$ implies $\exp(-\kappa\alpha) \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$ for all $\kappa > 1$.

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need some preparation.

2.2. Lemma (“Lemma of Gibbs”). *Let $\exp(-\alpha) \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, and assume that $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$ satisfies*

$$\|f\| \geq \|\exp(-\alpha)\|, \quad \|f\|_\alpha \leq \|\exp(-\alpha)\|_\alpha, \quad H(f) \leq H(\exp(-\alpha)).$$

Then $f = \exp(-\alpha)$.

Proof (compare [2, p. 25], [8, p. 549]). The assumptions imply

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|f\| - \|\exp(-\alpha)\| &\leq H(f|\exp(-\alpha)) = H(f) + \|f\|_\alpha \leq H(\exp(-\alpha)) + \|\exp(-\alpha)\|_\alpha \\ &= H(\exp(-\alpha)|\exp(-\alpha)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus $H(f|\exp(-\alpha)) = \|f\| - \|\exp(-\alpha)\|$, which implies $f = \exp(-\alpha)$. \square

2.3. Lemma. *Let $(\alpha 1)$ be satisfied. Then the set $\{\exp(-\kappa\alpha); \kappa \geq 1\}$ is total in $L_1(\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha})$ (i.e. the linear span of $\{\dots\}$ is dense).*

Proof. On the σ -algebra \mathcal{A}' of Borel sets of $[0, \infty)$ we define the image μ' of μ under α , $\mu'(B) := \mu(\alpha^{-1}(B))$ ($B \in \mathcal{A}'$). Obviously $\mu'(B) < \infty$ for each compact set

$B \subset [0, \infty)$. The operator $J: L_1(\mu') \rightarrow L_1(\mu|\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$, $Jf := f' \circ \alpha$ ($f' \in L_1(\mu')$), defines an isometric isomorphism (cf. [9, Chap. 15.2, Proposition 1, p. 318]).

For $\kappa \geq 1$ we define $f'_\kappa: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f'_\kappa(t) := e^{-\kappa t}$. Then $f'_\kappa \circ \alpha = \exp(-\kappa\alpha)$, and therefore $f'_\kappa \in L_1(\mu')$. Now, the assertion is equivalent to the statement that $\{f'_\kappa; \kappa \geq 1\}$ is total in $L_1(\mu')$; we are going to prove the latter statement.

Because of $f'_1 \in L_1(\mu')_+$, $\mu'' := f'_1 \mu'$ is an integrable measure on $[0, \infty)$. The operator $J': L_1(\mu') \rightarrow L_1(\mu'')$, $J'f'(t) := e^t f'(t)$ ($t \geq 0$), is an isometric isomorphism, and we have $J'f'_\kappa = f''_\kappa$, where $f''_\kappa(t) := e^{-(\kappa-1)t}$ ($t \geq 0$), for all $\kappa \geq 1$. So it remains to show that $A := [f''_\kappa; \kappa \geq 1]$ (=linear subspace of $L_1(\mu'')$ generated by $\{f''_\kappa; \kappa \geq 1\}$) is dense in $L_1(\mu'')$. Obviously, A is a subalgebra of $C([0, \infty])$ (\cong space of continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ converging at ∞), and A separates the points of $[0, \infty]$ and contains the function $1 = f''_1$. Therefore, A is $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -dense in $C([0, \infty])$, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem ([1, Chap. X, Sect. 4.2, Theorem 3, p. 36]). Because of $\mu''([0, \infty]) < \infty$, $(C([0, \infty]), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \hookrightarrow (L_1(\mu''), \|\cdot\|)$ exists and is continuous, and $C([0, \infty])$ is dense in $L_1(\mu'')$. This shows that A is dense in $L_1(\mu'')$. \square

2.4. Lemma. *Let (α1) be satisfied. If $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, then we have $M_\alpha f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, $M_\alpha(\alpha f) = \alpha M_\alpha f$. Further, if $f \in L_1(\mu)_+$ and $M_\alpha f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, then $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$.*

Proof. The bounded \mathcal{A}_α -measurable function α^{-1} can be approximated uniformly by a sequence (ξ_n) of \mathcal{A}_α -simple functions. The obvious equalities $\xi_n M_\alpha f = M_\alpha(\xi_n f)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) imply $\alpha^{-1} M_\alpha f = M_\alpha(\alpha^{-1} f)$ ($f \in L_1(\mu)$). If $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, then $M_\alpha f = M_\alpha(\alpha^{-1} \alpha f) = \alpha^{-1} M_\alpha(\alpha f)$ implies the first assertion. To show the second assertion, let χ_n be the indicator function of the set $\{w \in \Omega; \alpha(w) \leq n\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $M_\alpha(\chi_n f) = \chi_n M_\alpha f$, $\int \chi_n(\alpha f) d\mu = \int \alpha M_\alpha(\chi_n f) d\mu = \int \chi_n \alpha M_\alpha f d\mu \rightarrow \int \alpha M_\alpha f d\mu$, and $\alpha f \in L_1(\mu)$ follows from the monotone convergence theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $\kappa' > 0$ be such that $\exp(-\kappa'\alpha) \in L_1(\mu)$, and let $\kappa > \kappa'$. Then $\exp(-\kappa\alpha) \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$. If, in Lemma 2.2, α is replaced by $\kappa\alpha$, then for $f := U \exp(-\kappa\alpha)$ the assumptions are satisfied, and $f = \exp(-\kappa\alpha)$ follows. From $\exp(-\kappa\alpha) \rightarrow \exp(-\kappa'\alpha)$ ($\kappa \rightarrow \kappa' +$) we obtain $U \exp(-\kappa'\alpha) = \exp(-\kappa'\alpha)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). From $U \exp(-\kappa\alpha) = \exp(-\kappa\alpha)$ ($\kappa \geq 1$) and Lemma 2.3 we obtain $Uf = f$ for all $f \in L_1(\mu|\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). First we show that $f \in L_1(\mu)_+$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, $f|_A = 0$ implies $Uf|_A = 0$. If $\mu(A) < \infty$, then $\chi_A \in L_1(\mu|\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$, $f = \lim(f \wedge j\chi_A)$, $Uf = \lim U(f \wedge j\chi_A)$, and from $0 \leq U(f \wedge j\chi_A) \leq U(j\chi_A) = j\chi_A$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) we obtain $Uf|_A = 0$. In the case $\mu(A) = \infty$ there exists an increasing sequence (A_j) in \mathcal{A}_α , $\bigcup A_j = A$, $\mu(A_j) < \infty$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$). We have $f = \lim \chi_{A_j} f$, and from $U(\chi_{A_j} f)|_A = 0$ and $Uf = \lim U(\chi_{A_j} f)$ we obtain $Uf|_A = 0$.

Now, let $f \in L_1(\mu)$. If $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, then the fact shown in the preceding paragraph implies $\chi_A U(\chi_A f) = U(\chi_A f)$, $(1 - \chi_A) U((1 - \chi_A)f) = U((1 - \chi_A)f)$, which in turn implies $\chi_A Uf = \chi_A U(\chi_A f) + \chi_A U((1 - \chi_A)f) = U(\chi_A f)$,

$$\int_A Uf d\mu = \int_\Omega U(\chi_A f) d\mu = \int_\Omega \chi_A f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu.$$

Since this equality holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, the definition of M_α implies $M_\alpha f = M_\alpha Uf$. This shows $M_\alpha = M_\alpha U$.

(d) \Rightarrow (e). If $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, then $M_\alpha U f^\pm = M_\alpha f^\pm \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$ implies $U f^\pm \in L_1(\alpha\mu)$, by Lemma 2.4. For $f \in L_1(\alpha\mu)_+$, Lemma 2.4 implies

$$\|Uf\|_\alpha = \|\alpha Uf\| = \|M_\alpha(\alpha Uf)\| = \|\alpha M_\alpha Uf\| = \|\alpha M_\alpha f\| = \|M_\alpha(\alpha f)\| = \|\alpha f\| = \|f\|_\alpha.$$

From $\exp(-\alpha) \in L_1(\mu|\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ we obtain $U \exp(-\alpha) = U M_\alpha \exp(-\alpha) = M_\alpha \exp(-\alpha) = \exp(-\alpha)$.

(e) \Rightarrow (a). This was proved in Corollary 1.6(b). \square

2.5. Proposition. *Assume that*

$$(\alpha 2) \quad \exp(-\kappa\alpha) \in L_1(\mu) \quad \text{for all } \kappa > 0$$

holds. Let $U: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ be a stochastic operator satisfying one (and therefore all) of the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Then $\|Uf\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ holds for all $f \in L_1(\mu) \cap L_\infty(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $f \in L_1(\mu) \cap L_\infty(\mu)$, without restriction $f \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 1$. Let $f_k := f \wedge \exp(-\alpha/k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $f_k \nearrow f$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) in $L_1(\mu)$. This implies $Uf_k \nearrow Uf$ in $L_1(\mu)$, in particular $Uf_k \rightarrow Uf$ μ -almost everywhere. From (b) of Theorem 2.1 we have $Uf_k \leq U \exp(-\alpha/k) = \exp(-\alpha/k) \leq 1$, $\|Uf_k\|_\infty \leq 1$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). This implies $\|Uf\|_\infty \leq 1$. \square

References

1. Bourbaki, N.: Topologie générale. Chaps. 5-10. Paris: Hermann 1974
2. Carleman, T.: Problèmes mathématiques dans la théorie cinétique des gaz. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksells 1957
3. Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.T.: Linear operators, Part I: General theory. New York: Interscience Publishers 1958
4. Hille, E., Phillips, R.S.: Functional analysis and semi-groups. Providence, R.I.: Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 1957
5. Kullback, S.: Information theory and statistics. New York: Wiley 1959
6. Lindblad, G.: Commun. Math. Phys. **40**, 147-151 (1975)
7. Moreau, M.: J. Math. Phys. **19**, 2494-2498 (1978)
8. Morgenstern, D.: J. Rational Mech. Anal. **4**, 533-555 (1955)
9. Royden, H.L.: Real analysis. New York: Macmillan Publ. Co. 1968
10. Rudin, W.: Real and complex analysis. New York: McGraw-Hill 1966
11. Schaefer, H.H.: Banach lattices and positive operators. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1974
12. Umegaki, H.: Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **14**, 59-85 (1962)
13. Voigt, J.: The H -theorem for Boltzmann type equations. J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear)
14. Voigt, J.: Functional analytic treatment of the initial boundary value problem for collisionless gases. Habilitationsschrift, Universität München 1981

Communicated by E. Lieb

Received February 17, 1981