

Characterization and Uniqueness of Distinguished Self-Adjoint Extensions of Dirac Operators

M. Klaus* and R. Wüst**

Departments of Physics and Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA

Abstract. Distinguished self-adjoint extensions of Dirac operators are characterized by Nenciu and constructed by means of cut-off potentials by Wüst. In this paper it is shown that the existence and a more explicit characterization of Nenciu's self-adjoint extensions can be obtained as a consequence from results of the cut-off method, that these extensions are the same as the extensions constructed with cut-off potentials and that they are unique in some sense.

In the Hilbert space $H := (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^4$ the minimal Dirac operator of a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle with non-zero rest mass under the influence of a potential $q: \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($\mathbb{R}_+^3 := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$) q measurable, is given by

$$T := (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta + q) \upharpoonright D_0,$$

$$D_0 := (C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^3))^4$$

(cf. [2, 6, 10] for more details).

We consider Coulomb like potentials q , i.e. potentials q with

$$\mu := \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |xq(x)| < \infty.$$

Then T is essentially self-adjoint if $\mu < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3}$ (cf. [6]) and in general not essentially self-adjoint if $\mu > \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3}$.

But as long as $\mu < 1$, physically distinguished self-adjoint extensions of T still exist:

By means of cut-off potentials we have shown in [8–10], that for q semi-bounded from above (or from below) and $\mu < 1$

$$\tilde{T} := T^* \upharpoonright (D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-\frac{1}{2}}))^{\perp}$$

is a self-adjoint extension of T (cf. the appendix for not semibounded potentials).

* On leave from Universität Zürich, Schöneberggasse 9, CH-8001 Zürich. Supported by Swiss National Science Foundation

** On leave from Technische Universität Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 135, D-1000 Berlin

1 For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by r^α the closure of the multiplication operator

$$R_\alpha: D_0 \rightarrow H, \quad u(x) \rightarrow |x|^\alpha u(x) \quad (u \in D_0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3).$$

The multiplication operators $q, r^\alpha q$ are defined analogously

The physical interpretation of this operator \tilde{T} is that all states in $D(\tilde{T})$ have finite potential energy. Obviously, \tilde{T} is the unique self-adjoint extension of T with this property (cf. the introduction in [4]). For if S is a symmetric extension of T with $D(S) \subset D(r^{-1/2})$, then $S \subset \tilde{T}$ (cf. proof of the theorem).

If q is not necessarily semibound, but still $\mu < 1$ holds, Schmincke proved in [7] by another method that \tilde{T} is self-adjoint (cf. Kalf [1] that \tilde{T} is closed).

Finally, if

$$T_{00} := (\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta) \upharpoonright D_0 ,$$

$$T_0 := \overline{T_{00}}$$

denotes the operator of the free particle and $\mu < 1$ is satisfied by q , Nenciu [4] showed that there exists a unique self-adjoint extension $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$ of T such that

$$D(\tilde{\tilde{T}}) \subset D(|T_0|^{1/2})$$

where the inclusion can be interpreted as the fact that only states with finite kinetic energy are in the domain of $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$.

We show in this paper that in the case of semibounded potentials q with $\mu < 1$ the existence and uniqueness of such a self-adjoint operator $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$ is an easy consequence of the results in [10] and that $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$ is explicitly given and equal to \tilde{T} .

The results are still valid without the assumption of semiboundedness of q as we sketch in the appendix. But, since the case where $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} q(x) = -\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow 0} q(x) = \infty$ has no physical interest we restricted ourselves in [9, 10] and in the main part of this paper on semibounded potentials.

Theorem. *Let $q: \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function, semibounded from above or from below and*

$$\mu := \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |xq(x)| < 1 .$$

Denote

$$\tilde{T} := T^* \upharpoonright (D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2}))$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{T}} := T^* \upharpoonright (D(T^*) \cap D(|T_0|^{1/2}))$$

(T, T_0 as above).

Then $\tilde{T}, \tilde{\tilde{T}}$ are self-adjoint and

$$\tilde{T} = \tilde{\tilde{T}} . \tag{1}$$

Moreover, \tilde{T} and $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$ are the unique self-adjoint extensions of T with domain contained in $D(r^{-1/2})$ or $D(|T_0|^{1/2})$, resp.

First, we prove the following

Proposition. *Under the assumptions and with the notations of the theorem*

$$D(\tilde{T}) \subset D(|T_0|^{1/2}) \tag{2}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\{q_t\}_{t>0}$ be a family of bounded cut-off potentials as in [10, Theorem 4] and

$$T_t := \overline{T_0 + q_t} \quad (t > 0).$$

Then $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ has a strong resolvent limit which is self-adjoint and equal to \tilde{T} [8, 10].

The multiplication operators rq_t ($t > 0$) are uniformly bounded and

$$s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{rq_t} = \overline{rq}. \tag{3}$$

$r^{-1/2}$ and r^{-1} are relatively bounded with respect to T_0 and so with respect to $|T_0|$ (cf. [9, Lemma 3] with $q=0$, or [10, Lemma 3] and [2, §V.5.4]).

$r^{-1/2}$ is also relatively bounded with respect to \tilde{T} . For, if $u \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{T})$ then there exists a family $\{u_t\}_{t>0}$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_t = u$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T_t u_t = \tilde{T}u$. But then $\{r^{-1/2}u_t\}_{t>0}$ is weakly convergent to $r^{-1/2}u$ (see the 2nd step in the proof of the theorem in [9]), thus $\|r^{-1/2}u\| \leq \underline{\lim} \|r^{-1/2}u_t\|$. By Lemma 3 in [9] we have

$$(1 - \mu) \|r^{-1/2}u_t\| \leq \|T_t u_t\| + 2\|u_t\| \quad (t > 0)$$

and so

$$(1 - \mu) \|r^{-1/2}u\| \leq \|\tilde{T}u\| + 2\|u\| \quad (u \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{T})). \tag{4}$$

Moreover, 0 is in the resolvent set of T_0 , T_t ($t > 0$) and \tilde{T} (cf. [10]). Therefore the following operators are everywhere defined and bounded:

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-1}T_0^{-1}, \quad (r^{-1}T_0^{-1})^* &= \overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}}, \\ r^{-1}|T_0|^{-1}, \\ r^{-1/2}|T_0|^{-1/2}, \quad (r^{-1/2}|T_0|^{-1/2})^* &= \overline{|T_0|^{-1/2}r^{-1/2}}, \\ \text{(cf. [5, Theorem X.18])} \\ r^{-1/2}T_0^{-1}, \quad (r^{-1/2}T_0^{-1})^* &= \overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1/2}}, \\ r^{-1/2}\tilde{T}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Using (3), (5), the strong resolvent convergence of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and the second resolvent equation we have for $u \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\tilde{T}^{-1} - T_0^{-1} + \overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}}\overline{rq}\tilde{T}^{-1})u\| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(-T_0^{-1}q_t T_t^{-1} + \overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}}\overline{rq}\tilde{T}^{-1})u\| = \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}}\| (\|\overline{rq_t}\| \|T_t^{-1}u - \tilde{T}^{-1}u\| \\ &\quad + \|(\overline{rq_t} - \overline{rq})\tilde{T}^{-1}u\|) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the representation

$$\tilde{T}^{-1} = T_0^{-1} - \overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}}\overline{rq}\tilde{T}^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

For $u \in H$ and $v \in D_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}rq\tilde{T}^{-1}u}, T_{00}v) - (\overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1/2}rqr^{-1/2}\tilde{T}^{-1}u}, T_{00}v) \\ & = (\overline{rq\tilde{T}^{-1}u}, r^{-1}v) - (r^{-1/2}\overline{rq\tilde{T}^{-1}u}, r^{-1/2}v) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{00}D_0$ is dense in H ,

$$\overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1}rq\tilde{T}^{-1}} = \overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1/2}rqr^{-1/2}\tilde{T}^{-1}}. \quad (7)$$

The Eqs. (6) and (7) together with the inclusion

$$R(T_0^{-1}) = D(T_0) = D(|T_0|) \subset D(|T_0|^{1/2})$$

show that it is sufficient for (2) to prove

$$R(\overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1/2}}) \subset D(|T_0|^{1/2}). \quad (8)$$

But by the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators (cf. [5, VII])

$$|T_0|^{1/2}T_0^{-1}|T_0|^{1/2}$$

is a densely defined and bounded operator, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^{-1} & = |T_0|^{-1/2}|T_0|^{1/2}T_0^{-1}|T_0|^{1/2}|T_0|^{-1/2} \\ & = |T_0|^{-1/2}\overline{|T_0|^{1/2}T_0^{-1}|T_0|^{1/2}}|T_0|^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and with (5)

$$\overline{T_0^{-1}r^{-1/2}} = |T_0|^{-1/2}\overline{|T_0|^{1/2}T_0^{-1}|T_0|^{1/2}}\overline{|T_0|^{-1/2}r^{-1/2}},$$

which proves (8).

Proof of the Theorem. $r^{-1/2}$ is relatively bounded with respect to $|T_0|^{1/2}$, therefore

$$D(|T_0|^{1/2}) \subset D(r^{-1/2}).$$

Together with the proposition this inclusion gives

$$\begin{aligned} D(\tilde{\tilde{T}}) & = (D(T^*) \cap D(|T_0|^{1/2})) \subset (D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2})) \\ & = D(\tilde{T}) = (D(T^*) \cap D(\tilde{T})) \subset (D(T^*) \cap D(|T_0|^{1/2})) = D(\tilde{\tilde{T}}), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1).

The self-adjointness of \tilde{T} is proved in [10]. Finally, since every symmetric extension of T is a restriction of T^* and therefore every symmetric extension S of T with $D(S) \subset D(r^{-1/2})$ or $D(S) \subset D(|T_0|^{1/2})$ has to be a restriction of \tilde{T} or $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$ resp., \tilde{T} and $\tilde{\tilde{T}}$ are the unique self-adjoint extensions with these properties.

Appendix

We sketch the way how the results can be proved in the case of a non-semibounded potential q by means of a double cut-off procedure.

Let $q: \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function and

$$\mu := \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |xq(x)| < 1 .$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(\tau)}(x) &:= \min \{ \tau, q(x) \} && (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3, \tau > 0) \\ q_t^{(\tau)}(x) &:= \max \{ -t, q^{(\tau)}(x) \} && (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3, \tau > 0, t > 0) \\ T_t^{(\tau)} &:= T_0 + q_t^{(\tau)} && (t, \tau > 0) \\ T^{(\tau)} &:= T_{00} + q^{(\tau)} && (\tau > 0) \\ T &:= T_{00} + q . \end{aligned}$$

For each $\tau > 0$ we can apply Theorem 4 in [10], which shows that the strong graph limit $\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}$ of the family $\{T_t^{(\tau)}\}_{t>0}$ exists, is equal to the strong resolvent limit of $\{T_t^{(\tau)}\}_{t>0}$ [8], that

$$\tilde{T}^{(\tau)} = T^{(\tau)} * \uparrow (D(T^{(\tau)} *) \cap D(r^{-1/2}))$$

and $\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}$ is a self-adjoint extension of $T^{(\tau)}$.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}) &= \text{constant} \quad (\tau > 0) , \\ \overline{\tilde{T}^{(\tau)} - \tilde{T}^{(\tau')}} &= \overline{q^{(\tau)} - q^{(\tau')}} \quad (\tau, \tau' > 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}u\| \geq \frac{1}{1+\mu} \sqrt{1-\mu^2} \|u\| \quad (u \in D(\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}), \tau > 0)$$

(cf. [10, Theorem 5]).

This allows us to apply the convergence theorem [8], and [10, Theorem 1] a second time, now with respect to the family $\{\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}\}_{\tau>0}$. Thus, the strong graph limit

$$\hat{T} := g - \lim T^{(\tau)}$$

exists, is a self-adjoint extension of T and has at least the spectral gap $\left(-\frac{1}{1+\mu} \sqrt{1-\mu^2}, \frac{1}{1+\mu} \sqrt{1-\mu^2}\right)$ (see [3] for a best possible result). Since (4) holds for $\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}$ uniformly in $\tau > 0$, the argument in the second step of the proof of the theorem in [9] shows

$$D(\hat{T}) \subset D(r^{-1/2}) . \tag{9}$$

By definition of \hat{T} , for every $u \in D(\hat{T})$

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{t,n}^{(\tau)} , \\ \hat{T}u &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_t^{(\tau)} u_{t,n}^{(\tau)} \end{aligned}$$

hold with a suitable family $\{u_{t,n}^{(\tau)}\}_{\substack{\tau > 0 \\ t > 0 \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}}$ in D_0 .

Then the method in the first step of the proof of the theorem in [9] allows us to show that

$$D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2}) \subset D(\hat{T}) .$$

From this and (9)

$$\hat{T} = T^* \upharpoonright (D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2})) =: \tilde{T}$$

follows.

To get the results of the theorem in this paper for a nonsemibounded potential q , we only need to show that (4) and (6) are still true for the above operator \tilde{T} . (4) can be proved in the same way, because it is true for $\tilde{T}^{(\tau)}$, uniformly in $\tau > 0$. (6) follows immediately from the fact that the multiplication operators $rq_t^{(\tau)}$ ($t > 0$, $\tau > 0$) are uniformly bounded in t , $\tau > 0$ that

$$s\text{-}\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{rq_t^{(\tau)}} = \overline{rq}$$

and

$$s\text{-}\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T_t^{(\tau)^{-1}} = s\text{-}\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}^{(\tau)^{-1}} = \tilde{T}^{-1} .$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank B. Simon for stimulating discussions and Princeton University for her kind hospitality.

References

1. Kalf, H.: The Virial theorem in relativistic quantum mechanics. *J. Funct. Anal.* **21**, 389–396 (1976)
2. Kato, T.: *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1966
3. Klaus, M., Wüst, R.: Spectral properties of Dirac operators with singular potentials. (to appear)
4. Nenciu, G.: Self-adjointness and invariance of the essential spectrum for Dirac operators defined as quadratic forms. *Commun. math. Phys.* **48**, 235–247 (1976)
5. Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of modern mathematical physics, Vol. I and II*. New York: Academic Press 1972 and 1975
6. Schmincke, U.-W.: Essential self-adjointness of Dirac operators with a strongly singular potential. *Math. Z.* **126**, 71–81 (1972)
7. Schmincke, U.-W.: Distinguished self-adjoint extensions of Dirac operators. *Math. Z.* **129**, 335–349 (1972)
8. Wüst, R.: A convergence theorem for self-adjoint operators applicable to Dirac operators with cut-off potentials. *Math. Z.* **131**, 339–349 (1973)
9. Wüst, R.: Distinguished self-adjoint extensions of Dirac operators constructed by means of cut-off potentials. *Math. Z.* **141**, 93–98 (1975)
10. Wüst, R.: Dirac operators with strongly singular potentials. *Math. Z.* **152**, 259–271 (1977)

Communicated by J. Ginibre

Received August 21, 1978