

Taylor's Theorem for Analytic Functions of Operators

Wesley E. Brittin and Walter Wyss

Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

Abstract. We discuss analytic functions on a Banach algebra into itself. In particular expressions for derivatives are given as well as convergent Taylor expansions.

Introduction

The problem of expansion of functions of non-commuting operators occurs in many branches of theoretical physics. Many formal schemes [1–5] have been used, but in very few cases [5] has convergence been established. We discuss a case for which convergence is established. Our approach follows in spirit the work [5] of Araki.

I. Analytic Functions of Operators and Derivatives

Let $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function in $G = \{z \mid |z| < \rho\}$. In the domain G , F has a convergent power series expansion

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n. \tag{1}$$

The n^{th} derivative $D^n F$ of F also has a convergent power series having the same domain of convergence as F .

Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra and denote by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{B})$ the Banach algebra of bounded linear maps L of \mathcal{B} into itself. The norm of $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is defined by $\|L\| = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\|LA\|}{\|A\|}$. We then define the Banach algebras $\mathcal{L}^n(\mathcal{B})$ iteratively by $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{L}^{n-1}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ let A_λ be the linear map from \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{B} defined by

$$A_\lambda B = AB - \lambda d_A B, \tag{2}$$

with

$$d_A B = [A, B] = AB - BA. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 1. *Let A_λ be defined as above. Then $\|A_\lambda\| \leq \|A\|$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\lambda\| &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\|A_\lambda B\|}{\|B\|} = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\|(1-\lambda)AB + \lambda BA\|}{\|B\|} \\ &\leq (1-\lambda)\|A\| + \lambda\|A\| = \|A\|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *For $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and n a positive integer, the following relations hold in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$*

$$1) \quad A^n - (A - d_A)^n = n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A, \quad (4)$$

$$2) \quad (A - d_A)^n = \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^n - n \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A, \quad (5)$$

$$3) \quad A^n = \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^n + n \int_0^1 d\lambda (1-\lambda) A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A. \quad (6)$$

Proof. First note that $Ad_A = d_A A$ as maps in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$. Then

$$1) \quad n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A = - \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} A_\lambda^n = A_0^n - A_1^n = A^n - (A - d_A)^n.$$

2) By partial integration we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^n &= \lambda A_\lambda^n \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda n A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A \\ &= (A - d_A)^n + n \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A. \end{aligned}$$

3) Combine 1) and 2).

Lemma 3. $A^n - (A - d_A)^n = d_{A^n},$ (7)

or, equivalently

$$(A - d_A)^n B = BA^n. \quad (8)$$

Proof. The lemma is true for $n=1$. By induction we then find that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{n+1} B - (A - d_A)^{n+1} B &= A^{n+1} B - (A - d_A) B A^n \\ &= A^{n+1} B - A B A^n + [A, B A^n] \\ &= A^{n+1} B - B A^{n+1} = d_{A^{n+1}} B. \end{aligned}$$

An analytic function F with radius of convergence ϱ gives rise to a map

$$F: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

by means of

$$F(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n A^n. \quad (9)$$

This map is defined for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ for which $\|A\| < \varrho$.

Lemma 4. For F analytic and $\|A\| < \varrho$ we have

$$F(A) - F(A - d_A) = d_{F(A)}, \quad (10)$$

or, equivalently for $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$F(A - d_A)B = BF(A). \quad (11)$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3 and the fact that $\|A - d_A\| \leq \|A\| < \varrho$.

It may be noted that for $F = \exp$ we recover the well-known result

$$\exp(-d_A) \cdot B = \exp(-A)B \exp(A). \quad (12)$$

Let $A(t) \in \mathcal{B}$ be a differentiable path in \mathcal{B} for $t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and for which $\|A(t)\| < \varrho$ and $\frac{d}{dt} A(t) \in \mathcal{B}$, $\forall t \in I$. Then $F(A(t))$ is a \mathcal{B} -valued function of t .

Theorem 1. The function $F(A(t))$ is differentiable and its derivative is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(A(t)) = \int_0^1 d\lambda DF(A_\lambda) \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right). \quad (13)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for powers of $A(t)$, i.e. to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} A(t)^n = \int_0^1 d\lambda n A_\lambda^{n-1} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right).$$

The statement is clearly valid for $n=1$, and by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} A^{n+1} &= A^n \frac{dA}{dt} + \frac{dA^n}{dt} A \\ &= A^n \frac{dA}{dt} + \left\{ n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) \right\} A \\ &= A^n \frac{dA}{dt} + n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} A \right) \\ &= A^n \frac{dA}{dt} + n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} (A - d_A) \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right). \end{aligned}$$

With the help of Equation (4) and Equation (5), the above expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} A^{n+1} &= (A - d_A)^n \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) + n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} A \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) \\
 &= \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^n \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) - n \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} d_A \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) \\
 &\quad + n \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^{n-1} A \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) \\
 &= \int_0^1 d\lambda \left\{ A_\lambda^n + n A_\lambda^{n-1} (A - \lambda d_A) \right\} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) \\
 &= (n+1) \int_0^1 d\lambda A_\lambda^n \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For an analytic function F we obtain Equation (13), since for $\|A(t)\| < \varrho$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ we have $\|A_\lambda(t)\| \leq \|A(t)\| < \varrho$, and hence absolute convergence of the respective power series.

Corollary 1. *For the function $F(A(t))$ of Theorem 1 with $A(t)$ twice differentiable and $\frac{d^2 A(t)}{dt^2} \in \mathcal{B}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2 F}{dt^2}(A(t)) &= \int_0^1 d\lambda DF(A_\lambda) \left(\frac{d^2 A}{dt^2} \right) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 d\lambda_1 \int_0^1 d\lambda_2 D^2 F(A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) \left(\frac{dA_{\lambda_1}}{dt}, \frac{dA}{dt} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where it is implied that $D^2 F(A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2})$ acts on $\frac{dA_{\lambda_1}}{dt}$ and the result of the λ_2 -integration acts then on $\frac{dA}{dt}$.

Proof. We have only to note that $\|A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}\| < \varrho$ and $\int_0^1 d\lambda_2 D^2 F(A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{B})$. This map is applied to $\frac{dA_{\lambda_1}}{dt}$ and yields a result in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{B})$, which after λ_1 -integration acts on $\frac{dA}{dt}$.

We now obtain a relation between the commutator of an analytic function $F(A)$ with an element of \mathcal{B} and the commutator of A with the same element.

Lemma 5. ₁

$$d_{F(A)} = \int_0^1 d\lambda DF(A_\lambda) d_A. \tag{15}$$

Proof. The extension of Lemma 2 to analytic functions yields

$$d_{F(A)} = F(A) - F(A - d_A) = \int_0^1 d\lambda DF(A_\lambda) d_A.$$

It may be noted that if we define the map

$$\frac{d_{F(A)}}{d_A} = \int_0^1 d\lambda DF(A_\lambda),$$

then the expression for the derivative [Eq. (13)] takes on the appearance of the chain-rule of elementary calculus, i.e.

$$\frac{dF(A)}{dt} = \frac{d_{F(A)}}{d_A} \frac{dA}{dt}.$$

Corollary 2. *For the special case that the tangent to the path $A(t)$ admits the following representation*

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = d_A H, \quad H \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (16)$$

We obtain the Heisenberg equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(A(t)) = [F(A(t)), H]. \quad (17)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F(A(t)) &= \int_0^1 d\lambda DF(A_\lambda) d_A H \\ &= d_{F(A)} H = [F(A(t)), H] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.

II. Taylor's Theorem for Analytic Functions

We now apply our results to find the Taylor expansion of $F(A + \lambda B)$ in powers of λ .

Theorem 2.

$$\begin{aligned} F(A + \lambda B) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \int_0^1 d\lambda_1 \dots \int_0^1 d\lambda_n D^n F(A_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n}) \\ &\quad \cdot (B_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1}}, B_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-2}}, \dots, B_{\lambda_1}, B) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with non-zero radius of convergence for $\|A + \lambda B\| < \varrho$.

Proof. Let $X(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$ then for $\|A + \lambda B\| < \varrho$, and because of Theorem 1 and the fact that $\frac{d^n X(\lambda)}{d\lambda^n} = 0$, $n \geq 2$, the required higher derivatives can be obtained.

Convergence is guaranteed from the facts that $\|A_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}\| \leq \|A\|$, $\|B_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}\| \leq \|B\|$, and that $\exists R > 0$ such that $F(z_1 + \lambda z_2)$ converges absolutely for $|z_1 + \lambda z_2| < \varrho$ and $|\lambda| < R$.

Formula 18 when applied to the function $\exp\{-it(H + \lambda V)\}$ yields the Feynman-Dyson series.

References

1. Magnus, W.: *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **7**, 649 (1954)
2. Wilcox, R.M.: *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 962 (1967)
3. Guenin, M.: *Helv. Phys. Acta* **41**, 439 (1968)
4. Bialyniki-Birula, I., Mielnick, B., Plebanski, J.: *Ann. Phys.* **51**, 187 (1969)
5. Araki, H.: *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **6**, 67 (1973)

Communicated by H. Araki

Received February 23, 1976