

## Thermodynamic Limit of Correlation Functions in a System of Gravitating Fermions

Bernhard Baumgartner

Institut für theoretische Physik der Universität Wien, Wien, Austria

**Abstract.** We show that the correlation functions in a system of gravitating fermions converge as tempered distributions in the thermodynamic limit, if the system is not at the point of phase-transition. The densities converge to the density of the Thomas-Fermi-theory and are not correlated in the limit.

### I. Introduction

It has been shown by P. Hertel et al. ([1, 2]) that non-relativistic gravitating fermions have a kind of thermodynamic limit and that in the limit the system is governed by temperature-dependent Thomas-Fermi- (T.F.-) equations. What is unusual in this limit is the dependence of parameters on the particle-number  $N$ : the system is confined to a region, the linear dimensions of which vary as  $N^{-1/3}$  and the temperature is set proportional to  $N^{4/3}$  or the energy proportional to  $N^{7/3}$ , if one works with the microcanonical ensemble.) The free energy divided by  $N^{7/3}$  has then a definite finite limit when  $N$  tends to infinity. To make things conceptually simpler and to obtain a certain similarity to the usual thermodynamic formulas, we transform the Hamiltonian

$$H_N = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2/2m - \kappa \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} \quad (1)$$

with the unitary transformation

$$x \mapsto N^{-1/3}x, p \mapsto N^{1/3}p \quad (2)$$

and divide it by  $N^{4/3}$ .

The resulting Hamiltonian,

$$\tilde{H}_N = N^{-2/3} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2/2m - \kappa/N \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} \quad (3)$$

with Dirichlet boundary-conditions in  $L^2(V)$ , ( $V$  does not depend on  $N$ ) serves to define a canonical ensemble with temperature  $\beta^{-1}$  (which is also  $N$ -independent).

We thus obtain a sequence of ensembles, for which there exists the “thermodynamic” limit of the free energy per particle

$$f(N, \beta) = -(\beta N)^{-1} \log \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \tilde{H}_N). \quad (4)$$

In this paper we investigate the corresponding thermodynamic limit of the local density and correlation-functions.

## II. The Strategy

The density at a point  $\varrho(x)$  cannot be obtained as an expectation value of an operator, it is only a quadratic form [3]. Therefore, we shall deal with integrated densities

$$\varrho(w) = \int d^3x \varrho(x) w(x), \quad w \text{ is any test-function in } \mathcal{L}(R^3). \quad (5)$$

We normalize the density so that its integral over all space equals 1. In a system with  $N$  particles, (5) is then the expectation value of the operator

$$\hat{\varrho}_N(w) = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N w(x_i). \quad (6)$$

With the help of the symmetrized product of  $n$  test functions

$$S(x_1 \dots x_n) = n!^{-1} \sum_{\text{Permutations } p(\alpha)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^n w_\alpha(x_{p(\alpha)}) \quad (7)$$

we define the operator for integrated correlation functions:

$$\hat{\varrho}_N(w_1 \dots w_n) = N^{-n} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} S(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}). \quad (8)$$

All operators of the form (6) or (8) are bounded. Their equilibrium values can therefore be written as derivatives of concave functions ([4, 5]):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\varrho}_N(w_1 \dots w_n) \rangle_\beta &= \text{Tr} \{ \hat{\varrho}_N(w_1 \dots w_n) \exp(-\beta \tilde{H}_N) \} \exp \beta N f(N, \beta, 0) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} f(N, \beta, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$f(N, \beta, \lambda) = -(\beta N)^{-1} \log \text{Tr} \exp[-\beta(\tilde{H}_N + \lambda N \hat{\varrho}_N(w_1 \dots w_n))]. \quad (10)$$

The basic concept we shall follow is to show that the thermodynamic limit exists for  $f(N, \beta, \lambda)$  and the limit of derivatives equals the derivative of the limiting function  $\phi(\beta, \lambda)$  (which is also concave in  $\lambda$ ).

## III. The Thomas-Fermi-Equations

Following [1], we have to approximate  $V(x, y) = \kappa/|x-y|$  by  $V_s(x, y) = \kappa(1 - \exp(-s|x-y|)/|x-y|)$ , then we have to divide the Volume  $V$  into cells  $A_a (a=1 \dots g)$  and to approximate again  $V_s(x, y)$  from below by potentials  $V_{s,g}(x, y)$ ,

which are constant in each pair of cells. In the same manner we define  $S_{s,g}(x_1 \dots x_n)$  to be step functions, which are constant in each  $n$ -tuple of cells and approximate  $S(x_1 \dots x_n)$ .  $S_s$  can be taken equal to  $S$ .

In analogy to (3) and (4) we define:

$$H_{N,\sigma}(\lambda) = N^{-2/3} \sum p_i^2 / 2m - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j} V_\sigma(x_i, x_j) + \lambda N^{-n+1} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} S_\sigma(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}), \quad (11)$$

$$f_\sigma(N, \beta, \lambda) = -(\beta N)^{-1} \log \text{Tr} \exp[-\beta H_{N,\sigma}(\lambda)]. \quad (12)$$

The index  $\sigma$  stands for either  $s$ ,  $g$  or  $s$  or none.

Without complications, the results of [1] for  $\lambda=0$  may be generalized:

$$\exists \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_{s,g}(N, \beta, \lambda) = \phi_{s,g}(\beta, \lambda), \quad (13)$$

$$\exists \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f(N, \beta, \lambda) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{s,g}(\beta, \lambda). \quad (14)$$

$\phi_{s,g}$  is the free energy of the T.F.-theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\sigma = \mu_\sigma + \int_V d^3x \varrho_\sigma(x) \left[ \frac{1}{2} U_\sigma(x) - \lambda(n-1)/n S_\sigma(x) \right] \\ - \beta^{-1} \int_V d^3x \int d^3p (2\pi)^{-3} \log \{ 1 + \exp[-\beta(p^2/2m - \mu_\sigma(x))] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$U_\sigma(x) = \int_V V_\sigma(x, y) \varrho_\sigma(y) d^3y, \quad (16)$$

$$S_\sigma(x) = n \int_{V^{n-1}} S_\sigma(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \prod_{i=2}^n \varrho_\sigma(x_i) d^3x_i. \quad (17)$$

The  $\varrho$ 's and  $\mu$ 's are solutions of T.F.-equations:

$$\mu_\sigma(x) = \mu_\sigma + U_\sigma(x) - \lambda S_\sigma(x), \quad (18)$$

$$\varrho_\sigma(x) = \int d^3p (2\pi)^{-3} \{ 1 + \exp[\beta(p^2/2m - \mu_\sigma(x))] \}^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

The value of the constant  $\mu_\sigma$  has to be chosen such that

$$\int_V \varrho_\sigma(x) d^3x = 1.$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\sigma(\varrho, \beta, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{V \times V} d^3x d^3y \varrho(x) V_\sigma(x, y) \varrho(y) + \lambda \int_{V^n} S_\sigma(x_1 \dots x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \varrho(x_i) d^3x_i \\ + \int_V d^3x \varrho(x) \mu(x) - \beta^{-1} \int_V d^3x \int d^3p (2\pi)^{-3} \log \{ 1 + \exp[-\beta(p^2/2m - \mu(x))] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$\mu(x)$  is here a function of  $\varrho(x)$ , implicitly defined by Equation (19). One verifies easily the validity of the variational principle:

$$\phi_\sigma(\beta, \lambda) = \min_{\varrho \in \Omega} \Psi_\sigma(\varrho, \beta, \lambda), \quad (21)$$

$$\Omega = \{ \varrho \in L^1(V) : \varrho(x) \geq 0, \int_V \varrho(x) d^3x = 1 \}.$$

**IV. Behavior of the T.F.-Theory When  $g$  and  $s$  Tend to Infinity**

Our aim is to show that  $\phi(\beta, \lambda) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{s,g}(\beta, \lambda)$ . The proof follows [2], but we have to be careful about technicalities, since rotational invariance is broken by  $S_\sigma(x_1 \dots x_n)$ .

As functions of  $x$ , all  $V_\sigma(x, y)$  are in a uniformly bounded set in  $L^p(V)$  ( $p < 3$ ):

$$\|V_{s,g}(x, y)\|_p \leq \|V_s(x, y)\|_p \leq \|\kappa|x - y|^{-1}\|_p \leq \|\kappa|x|^{-1}\|_p =: c_p. \tag{22}$$

The  $U_\sigma(x)$  are convex combinations of the  $V_\sigma(x, y)$ ; hence, its  $p$ -norms are also bounded by  $c_p$ . The  $S_\sigma$ 's are bounded in all  $L^p$ 's by some constant  $k$ .

It is more difficult to find a bound to the set of constants  $\mu_\sigma$ . We study the function

$$g(\beta, \mu) = \int d^3p (2\pi)^{-3} (1 + \exp \beta(p^2 - \mu))^{-1}. \tag{23}$$

With this function, (19) can be written as  $\varrho_\sigma(x) = (2m)^{3/2} g(\beta, \mu_\sigma(x))$ .  $g(\beta, \mu)$  has the properties:

$$0 < \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} g(\beta, \mu) \leq \beta g(\beta, \mu) \quad (\text{monotonicity in } \mu), \tag{24}$$

$$g(\beta, \mu) = \beta^{-3/2} g(1, \beta\mu) \leq \theta(1 - \beta\mu)\beta^{-3/2} g(1, 1) + \theta(\beta\mu - 1)(6\pi^2)^{-1} (\mu^{3/2} + \frac{3}{2}\mu\beta^{-3/2} + \frac{3}{2}\beta^{-3/2}). \tag{25}$$

Because of the  $L^p$ -boundedness of the potentials, there exists a number  $b$  such that

$$\int_V d^3x \theta(|\mu_\sigma(x) - \mu_\sigma| - b) < v/2 \left( v = \int_V d^3x \right).$$

And with  $A_\sigma = \{x: \varrho_\sigma(x) > (2m)^{3/2} g(\beta, \mu_\sigma - b)\}$ , this implies

$$\int_{V - A_\sigma} d^3x < v/2.$$

We can define  $\hat{\mu}$  by  $\frac{1}{2}v(2m)^{3/2} g(\beta, \hat{\mu} - b) = 1$ , and the inequality  $\mu_\sigma < \hat{\mu}$  follows from the monotonicity (24) and

$$1 = \int_V \varrho_\sigma(x) d^3x > \int_{A_\sigma} \varrho_\sigma(x) d^3x > \frac{1}{2}v(2m)^{3/2} g(\beta, \mu_\sigma - b).$$

Up to now we know that all  $\mu_\sigma(x)$  form a bounded set in each  $L^p$  if  $p < 3$ . (25) tells us that  $\varrho(\mu)$  does not grow stronger than  $\mu^{3/2}$  so that the  $\varrho_\sigma(x)$  are in a bounded set in every  $L^{2p/3}$ :

$$q < 2 \Rightarrow \exists d_q: \|\varrho_\sigma(x)\|_q \leq d_q. \tag{27}$$

Now we use Hölders inequality:

$$\max_{x \in V} \left| \int V_\sigma(x, y) \varrho_\sigma(y) d^3y \right| \leq \max_{x \in V} \|V_\sigma(x, y)\|_p \|\varrho_\sigma(y)\|_q \leq c_p d_q, \tag{28}$$

where the following relations must hold:

$$2 < p < 3, 3/2 < q < 2, 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

This gives a uniform  $L^\infty$ -bound for all densities:

$$|\varrho_\sigma(x)| \leq (2m)^{3/2} g(\beta, \hat{\mu} + c_p d_q + k). \quad (29)$$

To be able to make statements about convergence, we define, in addition to  $U_{s,g}(x)$ , functions  $W_{s,g}(x) = \int_V d^3y V_s(x, y) \varrho_{s,g}(y)$  and

$$T_{s,g}(x) = n \int_{V^{n-1}} S(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \prod_{i=2}^n \varrho_{s,g}(x_i) d^3x_i,$$

which are uniformly continuous:

$$|\vec{\nabla} W_{s,g}(\vec{x})| = \left| \int_V d^3y \varrho_{s,g}(y) \nabla_x V_s(x, y) \right| \leq \|\varrho_{s,g}\|_\infty \int_V |V(1/|x|)| d^3x = \text{const} < \infty. \quad (30)$$

They therefore form two conditionally compact sets (theorem of Ascoli), and have pointwise converging subsequences as  $g \rightarrow \infty$ , which is also true for the  $U_{s,g}$ , since

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} |U_{s,g}(x) - W_{s,g}(x)| = 0,$$

and equally for the  $S_{s,g}$ .

The same applies to the limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . The densities also have a converging subsequence (say to  $\tilde{\varrho}$ ) since they are well behaved functions of the  $U_\sigma(x)$  and  $S_\sigma(x)$ .

To see that the limits are again solutions of T.F.-equations we observe that the  $\Psi_\sigma$ 's are continuous as functionals over  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and converge pointwise as  $g \rightarrow \infty$  and  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{s,g}(\varrho_{s,g}, \beta, \lambda) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_s(\varrho_s, \beta, \lambda) = \Psi(\tilde{\varrho}, \beta, \lambda). \quad (31)$$

On the other hand one certainly has:

$$\min_{\varrho \in \Omega} \Psi(\varrho, \beta, \lambda) \geq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\varrho \in \Omega} \Psi_{s,g}(\varrho, \beta, \lambda), \quad (32)$$

so that the minimum is attained at  $\tilde{\varrho}$ :

$$\Phi(\beta, \lambda) = \Psi(\tilde{\varrho}, \beta, \lambda) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{s,g}(\beta, \lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f(N, \beta, \lambda). \quad (33)$$

## V. Taking the Derivative

In order to be able to apply Theorem A2 of the appendix, we have to make sure that the  $\tilde{\varrho} = \tilde{\varrho}(\lambda)$  vary continuously with  $\lambda$  at  $\lambda=0$ . We can use the same considerations for the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  as for  $g \rightarrow \infty$  and  $s \rightarrow \infty$ : there are converging subsequences  $\tilde{\varrho}(\lambda_i) \rightarrow \tilde{\varrho}(0)$  as  $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ . This implies continuity, if  $\tilde{\varrho}(0)$  is unique. We claim the following

**Theorem.** *If  $\beta$  is not the critical value  $\beta_c$ , then the solutions of the T.F.-Equations (18) and (19) in a spherical volume and at  $\lambda=0$  are rotation invariant and unique.*

*Proof.* We characterize classes  $K_m$  of densities  $\varrho$  by real-valued functions  $m(r)$ :

$$K_m = \left\{ \varrho \in \Omega : \int_V \theta(r - \varrho(x)) d^3x = m(r) \forall r \right\}. \quad (34)$$

Every term of the form  $\int_V F(\varrho(x))d^3x$  is a functional, which depends only on the class  $K_m$  of  $\varrho$ . In  $\Psi(\varrho, \beta, \lambda)$  only the term  $\int_{V \times V} d^3x d^3y \varrho(x)V(x-y)\varrho(y)$  is not of this form. But this term is minimized by the unique spherically symmetric and decreasing function in  $K_m$  [6]. (We specify now that  $V$  shall have the form of a sphere.) For spherically symmetric functions one knows that the solution of (18), (19) at  $\lambda=0$  are unique ( $\tilde{\varrho}(0)=\varrho_{TF}$ ) unless the system is at the critical point  $\beta_c$ , where a phase-transition occurs [2]. Q.e.d.

In the last step we use (9), (33), Griffith's Lemma [7] and Theorem A2. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \hat{\varrho}_N(w_1 \dots w_n) \rangle_\beta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} f(N, \beta, \lambda)|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Phi(\beta, \lambda)|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Psi(\varrho_{TF}, \beta, \lambda)|_{\lambda=0} = \prod_{i=1}^n \int \varrho_{TF}(x)w_i(x)d^3x, \quad \text{if } \beta \neq \beta_c. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

This means that the expectation values of the density converge as tempered distributions to the T.F.-density and are not correlated in the limit. A related result for the T.F.-theory of atoms ([8, 9]) suggests that the densities converge as distributions over the test-function space  $L^{5/2}(V)$ .

**Appendix: Two Theorems on Concave Functions**

**Theorem A1.** *Let a concave function  $f(\lambda)$  be defined by a variational principle:*

$$f(\lambda) = \min_{\varrho \in \Omega} F(\varrho, \lambda)$$

*and such that the minimum is attained at some  $\varrho_\lambda$ :*

$$f(\lambda) = F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda).$$

*Furthermore, we suppose that the partial derivatives*

$$F'(\varrho, \lambda_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F(\varrho, \lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}$$

*exist for all pairs  $(\varrho, \lambda_0)$ . Then the following relation holds:*

$$f'_+(\lambda) \leq F'(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda) \leq f'_-(\lambda).$$

$f'_+(f'_-)$  is the right- (left-) hand-side derivative, which exists, since  $f$  is concave [10]. □

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} f'_+(\lambda) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1/\varepsilon)[F(\varrho_{\lambda+\varepsilon}, \lambda+\varepsilon) - F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda)] \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1/\varepsilon)[F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda+\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda)] \\ &= F'(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1/\varepsilon)[F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda) - F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda-\varepsilon)] \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1/\varepsilon)[F(\varrho_\lambda, \lambda) - F(\varrho_{\lambda-\varepsilon}, \lambda-\varepsilon)] = f'_-(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem A2.** *If it is possible to attach a topology to  $\Omega$  such that  $F'(q, \lambda)$  is a continuous function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  and if there exists a path of minimizing  $q_\lambda$ , continuous at  $\lambda_0$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is differentiable at  $\lambda_0$  and*

$$f'(\lambda_0) = F'(q_{\lambda_0}, \lambda_0).$$

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is concave, for  $x > y$  the relations  $f'_+(x) \leq f'_-(x) \leq f'_+(y)$  hold. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and with Theorem A1:

$$F'(q_{\lambda_0+\varepsilon}, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon) \leq f'_-(\lambda_0 + \varepsilon) \leq F'(q_{\lambda_0}, \lambda_0) \leq f'_-(\lambda_0) \leq f'_+(\lambda_0 - \varepsilon) \leq F'(q_{\lambda_0-\varepsilon}, \lambda_0 - \varepsilon).$$

The difference between the right- and left-hand-side tends to zero with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  because of the continuity. Q.e.d.

*Acknowledgements.* This work has been done as part of a doctoral thesis at the “Institut für theoretische Physik” at the university of Vienna. It is a pleasure to express my gratitude to Prof. W. Thirring, from whom I learned mathematical physics, to my colleagues, and to Prof. P. Hertel for uncountable useful discussions.

## References

1. Hertel, P., Narnhofer, H., Thirring, W.: Commun. math. Phys. **28**, 159—167 (1972)
2. Hertel, P., Thirring, W.: Thermodynamic Instability of a System of Gravitating Fermions. In: Dürr, H.P. (Ed.): Quanten und Felder. Braunschweig: Vieweg 1971
3. Thirring, W.: Vorlesungen über mathematische Physik T7: Quantenmechanik. Lecture notes
4. Narnhofer, H., Thirring, W.: Acta Phys. Austr. **41**, 281—297 (1975)
5. Maison, H.D.: Commun. math. Phys. **22**, 166—172 (1971)
6. Lichtenstein, L.: Math. Z. **3**, 8—10 (1918)
7. Griffiths, R.B.: J. Math. Phys. **5**, 1215—1222 (1964)
8. Baumgartner, B.: The Thomas-Fermi-Theory as Result of a Strong-Coupling-Limit. To be published
9. Thirring, W.: Vorlesungen über mathematische Physik T8: Quantenmechanik großer Systeme. Lecture notes
10. Roberts, A., Varberg, D.: Convex Functions. London: Academic Press 1973

Communicated by G. Gallavotti

Received November 7, 1975

