

## Parity Operator and Quantization of $\delta$ -Functions

A. Grossmann

Centre de Physique Théorique, C.N.R.S., F-13274 Marseille Cedex 2, France

**Abstract.** In the Weyl quantization scheme, the  $\delta$ -function at the origin of phase space corresponds to the parity operator. The quantization of a function  $f(v)$  on phase space is the operator  $\int f(v/2)W(v)dvM$ , where  $M$  is the parity and  $W(v)$  the Weyl operator.

### Introduction

We are concerned here with the elementary problem of writing down an operator  $Q(f)$  which quantizes a function  $f$  on (flat) phase space. The existing solutions [1] (see also [2]) all involve, to the best of our knowledge, the performing of Fourier transforms. By contrast, our equation (10 bis) picks up local contribution from the classical function and also exhibits a rather unexpected role played by the parity operator.

### 1. Displaced Parity Operators

Let  $E$  be the phase space for  $v < \infty$  degrees of freedom, i.e. a  $2v$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , with a symplectic form  $\sigma(v, a) \cdot (a, v \in E)$ . Let  $v \rightarrow W(v)$  ( $v \in E$ ) be a Weyl system over  $E$ , i.e. a strongly continuous family of unitary operators acting irreducibly on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and satisfying

$$W(a)W(v) = e^{i\sigma(a, v)}W(a+v). \quad (1)$$

We have introduced the abbreviation

$$e^{i\sigma(a, v)} = e^{2i\pi\sigma(a, v)}. \quad (2)$$

The family  $W'(v) = W(-v)$  also satisfies (1). By the uniqueness theorem of von Neumann, there exists in  $\mathcal{H}$  a unitary operator  $M$ , determined up to a phase, and such that  $W(v)M = MW(-v)$  for every  $v \in E$ . Since  $M^2$  commutes with the irreducible family of operators  $W(v)$ , it is a number of modulus 1, which can be adjusted to 1 by a multiplication of a suitable number  $e^{i\theta}$  to  $M$ . Then  $M = M^*$  and  $M$  is determined up to a sign.

For every  $v \in E$ , define  $M(v) = W(v)M = MW(-v) = W(v/2)MW(-v/2)$ . Every  $M(v)$  is both unitary and self-adjoint.

The spectrum of every  $M(v)$  consists of the numbers  $\pm 1$ . The corresponding eigenspaces are ranges of the projection operators  $\frac{1}{2} W(a/2) (1 \pm M) W(-a/2)$ .

The operators  $M, W$  satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} W(a) W(b) &= e^a(b) W(a+b) \\ M(a) M(b) &= e^b(a) W(a-b) \\ W(a) M(b) &= e^a(b) M(a+b) \\ M(a) W(b) &= e^b(a) M(a-b) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$(a, b \in E)$ .

Notice that  $M(a) M(-a) = W(2a)$ .

### 2. Fourier Transform of the Family $W(a)$

The (symplectic) Fourier transform of a function  $f$  on  $E$  is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(v) = \int e^v(v_1) f(v_1) dv_1. \tag{4}$$

The invariant measure  $dv_1$  on  $E$  shall be normalized by the requirement that  $F^2 = 1$ . This, together with (2) allows us to write formulae where  $v$ , the number of degrees of freedom, does not appear explicitly.

**Theorem.** *The sign of  $M$  can be chosen so that, for every  $a \in E$  and all  $\Phi, \Psi$  in a dense linear subset  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$ , one has*

$$\int e^a(v) (\Phi, W(v)\Psi) dv = (\Phi, M(a)\Psi); \tag{5}$$

the integral on the l.h.s. of (5) is absolutely convergent. In shorthand,

$$\int e^a(v) W(v) dv = W(a)M. \quad \square \tag{6}$$

*Proof of (5).* We shall work in a special representation space  $\mathcal{H}$  which will simplify calculations, and will be used in forthcoming papers. Consider in  $L^2(E; dv)$  the unitary operators  $T^a: (T^a\Phi)(v) = \Phi(v-a)$  and  $E^a: (E^a\Phi)(v) = e^{2i\pi\sigma(a,v)}\Phi(v)$ . We have  $T^a E^b = e^a(b) E^b T^a$ ,  $F T^a = E^{-a} F$ ,  $F E^a = T^{-a} F$ ,  $M T^a = T^{-a} M$ ,  $M E^a = E^{-a} M$ . Here  $F$  is defined by (4) and  $(M\Phi)(v) = \Phi(-v)$ .

The operators

$$W^{\text{reg}}(a) = T^{-a} E^{-a} \tag{7}$$

satisfy (1) but act reducibly on  $L^2(E; dv)$ .

A closed invariant irreducible subspace of  $L^2(E; dv)$  may be constructed with the help of a  $\sigma$ -allowed complex structure on  $E$ , i.e. an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $J$  satisfying  $J^2 = -1$ ,  $\sigma(Ja, Jv) = \sigma(a, v)$  ( $a, v \in E$ ) and  $\sigma(a, Ja) > 0$  ( $a \in E, a \neq 0$ ). Define  $s(a, v) = \sigma(a, Jv)$  and  $h(a, v) = s(a, v) + i \sigma(a, v)$ . Now introduce  $\mathcal{H}$  as the set of continuously differentiable functions in  $L^2(E; dv)$  that satisfy the modified Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$(F^a\Phi)(v) + 2\pi s(a, v)\Phi(v) = i [(F^{Ja}\Phi)(v) + 2\pi s(Ja, v)\Phi(v)]$$

for all  $a \in E$ . Here

$$(V^a \Phi)(v) = \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \Phi(v + \lambda a) \right)_{\lambda=0}.$$

The scalar product in  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\int \bar{\Phi}(v) \Psi(v) dv$ .

Let  $\Omega(v) = e^{-\pi s(v, v)}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  consists exactly of the functions  $\Phi(v) = \Omega(v) \varphi(v)$  where  $\varphi$  belongs to the holomorphic representation space of Bargmann.

The operators  $W(a) = T^{-a} E^{-a}$  act irreducibly on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Consider in  $\mathcal{H}$  the family of coherent states

$$\Omega^a = W(a) \Omega = T^{-a} E^{-a} \Omega.$$

One has

$$W(a) \Omega^b = e^a(b) \Omega^{a+b}, \quad M \Omega^b = \Omega^{-b}.$$

Furthermore, the complex conjugate of  $\Omega^a(v)$  is  $\Omega^{\bar{a}}(a)$ , since

$$\Omega^{\bar{a}}(v) = \Omega(a) \Omega(v) e^{-2\pi h(a, v)}.$$

We have

$$F \Omega^a = F T^{-a} E^{-a} \Omega = T^a E^a F \Omega = \Omega^{-a} \quad (8)$$

since  $F \Omega = \Omega$ .

The linear span of the  $\Omega^a$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; so we have proved that  $F\Phi = M\Phi$  for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ . One obtains next from (8)

$$\int e^a(v) \Omega^v dv = \Omega^a \quad (9)$$

(in the sense, say, of pointwise convergence). Finally, (9) gives, for all  $a, c \in E$

$$\int e^a(b) W(b) db \Omega^c = \int e^a(b) e^b(c) \Omega^{b+c} db = e^c(a) \Omega^{a-c} = W(a) M \Omega^c$$

which proves (5) on the dense set of finite linear combinations of coherent states.

### 3. Weyl Quantization of $\delta$ -Functions

Given a function or distribution  $f$  on  $E$ , the Weyl quantization procedure consists in associating to it the operator  $Q(f)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , formally defined by

$$Q(f) = \int \tilde{f}(v) W(-v/2) dv. \quad (10)$$

One has  $Q(1) = 1$ , and

$$Q(T^a f) = W(a) Q(f) W^{-1}(a)$$

in agreement with the interpretation of  $W(a)$  as displacement operator.

We are interested in the quantization of  $\delta_a$ , the  $\delta$ -function located at the point  $a$  of phase space. The operator  $Q(\delta_a)$  is formally given by

$$Q(\delta_a) = \int e^{-a}(v) W(-v/2) dv. \quad (11)$$

It is claimed that

$$Q(\delta_a) = 2^{2\nu} W(a) M W(-a) = 2^{2\nu} W(2a) M. \quad (12)$$

The assertion (12) follows immediately from (5).

The expression (10) can now be supplemented by

$$Q(f) = 2^{2\nu} \int f(v) M(2v) dv = \int f(v/2) W(v) dv M \quad (10. bis)$$

involving the classical function  $f(v)$  itself rather than its symplectic Fourier transform. This is often rather useful: consider e.g. the equation  $Q(h) = Q(f)Q(g)$ . Simultaneous use of (10) and of (10. bis) allows us to relate in a simple way the supports of  $f, g, h, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h}$  which all have physical significance.

It is instructive to compute

$$Q(\delta_v) \Omega^b = 2^{2\nu} e^{-2\nu(b)} \Omega^{2\nu-b}.$$

Notice that  $2\nu - b$  is obtained from  $b$  through reflection at the point  $\nu$ . Consequently the expectation value  $(\Omega^b, Q(\delta_v) \Omega^b)$  is peaked at  $b = \nu$ , as it should physically.

As a final exercise, we look at (10. bis) in the  $x$ -representation, with  $\nu = 1$  and  $\hbar = 1$ . One has then

$$(W(x, p)\psi)(x') = e^{-\frac{1}{2}ixp} e^{ipx'} \psi(x' - x)$$

$$(M\psi)(x) = \psi(-x)$$

$$dv = (4\pi)^{-1} dx dp$$

$$\sigma(v, v') = (4\pi)^{-1} (px' - xp').$$

If  $f(v) = f(x, p)$  depends only on  $x$ , a trivial explicitation of (10. bis) gives  $(Q(f)\psi)(x') = f(x')\psi(x')$ .

## References

1. Pool, J. C. T.: J. Math. Phys. **7**, 66 (1966)
2. Grossmann, A., Loupias, G., Stein, E. M.: Ann. Inst. Fourier **18**, 343 (1968)

Communicated by H. Araki

Received October 29, 1975