

On the Probabilistic Structure of Quasi-free States of a Clifford Algebra

M. Sirugue-Collin

Université de Provence, U.E.R de Physique, Marseille, and Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS,
F-13274 Marseille Cedex 2, France

M. Sirugue

Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, F-13274 Marseille Cedex 2, France

Abstract. We prove that the correlation functions of a non-relativistic Fermi field given by a quasi-free state are directly related to the values of the characteristic function of a probability measure over the phase space of a classical spin system.

Introduction

In the past few years probabilistic methods have been proved to be very useful in constructive field theory and especially for the study of Schwinger functions. Indeed the Schwinger functions of a Bose field are symmetric functions of their arguments, hence they can be the moments of a probability measure; moreover for the free Bose field the Wick theorem leads to a gaussian measure [1].

However for fermions and except for some results [2, 3], the situation is less clear, even for the free Fermi field, since the Schwinger functions are completely antisymmetric with respect to their arguments. Consequently it is a priori impossible to repeat for fermions what has been done for bosons.

Our aim with this note is to present an attempt to bypass this difficulty. Namely what we prove is that, given a quasi-free state over a Clifford algebra, the correlation functions are, up to a trivial factor, the values of the characteristic function of a probability measure over the phase space of a classical spin system. [Theorem (2.26).]

Moreover we show that this theorem is the analogue of what can be done for boson systems.

2. Quasi-free States as Given by the Characteristic Function of a Probability Measure

To fix the notations we repeat some definitions and results which can be found in an extended form in [4].

\mathfrak{H} is a real separable Hilbert space of even or infinite dimension with a symmetric, real-valued, positive-definite, scalar product S . The Clifford algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{H}, S)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by the $b(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}$, which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad b(\alpha\varphi + \beta\psi) = \alpha b(\varphi) + \beta b(\psi), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{H},$$

$$(2.2) \quad b(\varphi)^* = b(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathfrak{H},$$

$$(2.3) \quad b(\varphi)^2 = S(\varphi, \varphi)\mathbb{1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

A quasi-free state over $\overline{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{H}, S)}$ is a state of $\overline{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{H}, S)}$ which satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \omega(b(\varphi)) = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathfrak{H},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \omega(b(\varphi_1)b(\varphi_2)\dots b(\varphi_n)) \\ = \sum_{i=2}^n (-1)^i \omega(b(\varphi_1)b(\varphi_i))\omega(b(\varphi_2)\dots b(\varphi_{i-1})\dots b(\varphi_n)),$$

$\forall \varphi_i \in \mathfrak{H}$; namely it satisfies the Wick theorem. As a consequence it is completely defined by its two-point function:

$$(2.6) \quad \omega(b(\varphi)b(\psi)) = S(\varphi, \psi) + iS(A\varphi, \psi), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

where A is a (real) linear operator on \mathfrak{H} such that

$$(2.7) \quad S(A\varphi, \psi) = -S(\varphi, A\psi), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{H},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|A\| \leq 1.$$

Vice-versa, given a real linear operator A on \mathfrak{H} which satisfies (2.7) and (2.8), then there exists a quasi-free state ω_A of $\overline{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{H}, S)}$ whose two-point function is given by (2.6).

Let $A = |A|J$ be the polar decomposition of A which satisfies (2.7) and (2.8), then J satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad J^2 = -\mathbb{1},$$

$$(2.10) \quad S(J\varphi, \psi) = -S(\varphi, J\psi), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

In what follows we shall restrict ourselves to those A 's for which $|A|$ has a pure point spectrum. This is not a too severe restriction since in each quasi-equivalence class of ω_A there exists a quasi-free state $\omega_{A'}$ for which $|A'|$ has a pure point spectrum (see e.g. [5–7]).

Consequently there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_i, f_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ of \mathfrak{H} such that

$$(2.10) \quad J e_i = f_i, \quad J f_i = -e_i,$$

$$(2.11) \quad |A| e_i = \text{th}(\theta_i) e_i,$$

$$(2.12) \quad |A| f_i = \text{th}(\theta_i) f_i,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{or} \quad +\infty.$$

We shall restrict ourselves to $\theta_i < \infty$, which is possible if we consider the ω_A up to quasi-equivalence.

Moreover using the result of [8] ω_A is a product state, namely

$$(2.14) \quad \omega_A(b(x_{i_1})b(y_{i_1})b(x_{i_2})b(y_{i_2})\dots b(x_{i_p})b(y_{i_p})) \\ = \omega_A(b(x_{i_1})b(y_{i_1}))\omega_A(b(x_{i_2})b(y_{i_2}))\dots\omega_A(b(x_{i_p})b(y_{i_p})),$$

where x_{i_j}, y_{i_j} belong to the space generated by (e_{i_j}, f_{i_j}) and all i_j are distinct.

Now we shall give another description of the Clifford algebra which is convenient for what follows in the sense that it bears a strong similarity to the algebra of commutation relations [9, 10]. We shall not be systematic in order to make clear the correspondance between the two descriptions.

Let $2N$ (resp. ∞) be the real dimension of \mathfrak{H} and G the group of subsets of a set with N elements (resp. the set of finite subsets of the set of natural integers) endowed with the symmetric difference as product; this group has been already considered by Ginibre in [11]. If $g \in G \times G$:

$$(2.15) \quad g = ((i_1, \dots, i_p), (j_1, \dots, j_q)),$$

one defines (one can assume $i_1 < i_2 \dots < i_p, j_1 < j_2 \dots < j_q$):

$$(2.16) \quad \delta_g = i^{(p+q)(p+q-1)/2} b(e_{i_1}) \dots b(e_{i_p}) b(f_{j_1}) \dots b(f_{j_q}).$$

The factor $i^{(p+q)(p+q-1)/2}$ is such that δ_g is self-adjoint. Then it is not difficult to realize that:

$$(2.17) \quad \delta_g \delta_{g'} = \xi(g, g') \delta_{g * g'}, \quad \forall g, g' \in G \times G,$$

where $g * g'$ stands for the product within $G \times G$ and ξ is an exponent of $G \times G$, i.e. defines a central extension of $G \times G$.

Let us specialize to the case where the real dimension of \mathfrak{H} is two. Let (e, f) be the orthonormal basis which diagonalizes $|A|$. $G \times G$ is the group of subsets of a set with two elements $\{1, 2\}$ and isomorphic to $S_2 \times S_2$ (S_2 is the group of two elements)

$$(2.18) \quad G \times G = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\},$$

then

$$(2.19) \quad \delta_\emptyset = \mathbb{1}, \quad \delta_{\{1\}} = b(e), \quad \delta_{\{2\}} = b(f), \quad \delta_{\{1, 2\}} = ib(e)b(f).$$

From which the Clifford algebra generated by $b(e), b(f)$ appears as the group algebra of the central extension of $S_2 \times S_2$ by an exponent ξ which one can easily calculate from (2.19).

Let us consider on this Clifford algebra [resp. on $\overline{A(G \times G, \xi)}$] the state ω_A (resp. the state ϱ_A) such that:

$$(2.20) \quad \varrho_A(\delta_\emptyset) = \omega_A(\mathbb{1}) = 1,$$

$$(2.21) \quad \varrho_A(\delta_{\{1\}}) = \varrho_A(\delta_{\{2\}}) = \omega_A(b(e)) = \omega_A(b(f)) = 0,$$

$$(2.22) \quad \varrho_A(\delta_{\{1, 2\}}) = i\omega_A(b(e)b(f)) = -\text{th}(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

One can formulate the following lemma:

Lemma (2.23). ϱ_A as a function of the group $S_2 \times S_2$ is the characteristic function of a probability measure on the dual group $\hat{S}_2 \times \hat{S}_2$.

Indeed \hat{S}_2 has two elements σ_1 and σ_2 (σ_1 is the trivial character) so that $\hat{S}_2 \times \hat{S}_2 = \{(\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_1), (\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_2), (\sigma_2, \bar{\sigma}_1), (\sigma_2, \bar{\sigma}_2)\}$; one can write

$$(2.24) \quad \varrho_A(\delta_g) = \sum_{\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j} \mu_A(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j)(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j)(g), \quad \forall g \in S_2 \times S_2$$

and $(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j)(g)$ is the value of the character $(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j) \in \hat{S}_2 \times \hat{S}_2$ on g .

An easy computation shows that

$$(2.25) \quad \mu_A(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j) = e^{-\theta}/4ch(\theta) \quad \text{if } i=j,$$

$$(2.26) \quad \mu_A(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_j) = e^{+\theta}/4ch(\theta) \quad \text{if } i \neq j;$$

this measure is of Gibbsian form, namely $\mu_A = Z^{-1} e^{-H}$, and H is of ferromagnetic type (see e.g. [11]).

If we gather this result with formula (2.14) we have the following theorem.

Theorem (2.26). *Let ω_A be a quasi-free state of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{H}, S)}$ ($\dim \mathfrak{H} = \infty$) such that if $A = |A|J$ is the polar decomposition of A then $|A|$ has a purely discrete spectrum.*

Let $(e_i, f_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,n,\dots}$ be the orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{H} which diagonalizes $|A|$ and such that $Je_i = f_i$; let G be the group of finite subsets of the set of natural integers equipped with the symmetric difference as product; then there exists a probability measure μ_A on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_A(b(e_{i_1})b(e_{i_2})\dots b(e_{i_p})b(f_{j_1})\dots b(f_{j_q})) \\ & = (-i)^{(p+q)(p+q-1)/2} (-1)^\delta \int_{\hat{G} \times \hat{G}} d\mu_A(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})((i_1 \dots i_p), (j_1 \dots j_q)) \end{aligned}$$

where one has assumed that $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p, j_1 < \dots < j_q$. $(-1)^\delta$ is the parity of the permutation which brings $i_1 \dots i_p j_1 \dots j_q$ to k_1, \dots, k_{p+q} ($k_r = i_r$ or j_r) and $k_1 \leq k_2, \dots, \leq k_{p+q}$. $(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})((i_1, \dots, i_p), (j_1, \dots, j_q))$ denotes the value of the character $(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})$ of $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ on an element $((i_1 \dots i_p), (j_1, \dots, j_q))$ of $G \times G$.

There is an analogue of this formula for bosons; indeed let us consider a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with canonical (quantum) variables p and q ; let Ω be its ground state; then an obvious calculation shows that:

$$(2.27) \quad (\Omega | e^{i(\lambda p + \mu q)} \Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\mu_\Omega(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) e^{-i(\lambda \hat{u} + \mu \hat{v})},$$

where

$$(2.28) \quad d\mu_\Omega(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) = \left[\int \int d\hat{u} d\hat{v} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\hbar\omega} \mathcal{H}(\hat{u}, \hat{v})\right) \right]^{-1} e^{-\frac{2}{\hbar\omega} \mathcal{H}(\hat{u}, \hat{v})} d\hat{u} d\hat{v},$$

and $\mathcal{H}(\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ is the classical Hamiltonian.

Let us make some further remarks: another proof of the theorem would be to use the fact that ω_A is non zero on a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{H}, S)$; namely the one generated by the $ib(e_i)b(f_i)$; hence its restriction to this abelian subalgebra is the Fourier transform of a probability measure over the space of its characters. The proof can be completed by using Theorem (2.14) in [12]. This shows that the situation we have here is more general than the one in formula (2.27) where the essential point is that a Gaussian is a positive type function on \mathbb{R}^2 and on the Weyl group.

On the other hand it is clear that \hat{G} is isomorphic to a classical spin system (see [11]); in this sense we can say that the classical spin system is the analogue

of the classical phase space for the Fermi system we have considered. Moreover the measure of Theorem (2.26) is of Gibbsian form for a ferromagnetic interaction between the classical spins.

References

1. See e.g. Guerra, F.: In: Constructive quantum field theory (ed. G. Velo, A. Wightman). Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973
2. Berezin, F. A.: The method of second quantization. New York: Academic Press 1966
3. Gross, L.: J. Funct. Anal. **10**, 52 (1972)
Frohlich, J., Osterwalder, K.: Helv. Phys. Acta **47**, 781 (1974)
4. Manuceau, J., Rocca, F., Sirugue, M., Verbeure, A.: In: Cargèse lectures in physics (ed. D. Kastler). New York: Gordon and Breach 1970
5. Rideau, G.: Commun. math. Phys. **9**, 229 (1968)
6. Powers, R., Stormer, E.: Commun. math. Phys. **16**, 1 (1970)
7. Manuceau, J., Verbeure, A.: Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **16**, 87 (1971)
8. Manuceau, J., Rocca, F., Testard, D.: Commun. math. Phys. **12**, 43 (1969)
9. Segal, I. E.: Math. Scand. **13**, 31 (1963)
10. Slawny, J.: On factor representations and the C^* -algebra of C.C.R. Preprint 1971
11. Ginibre, J.: In: Cargèse lectures in physics (ed. D. Kastler). New York: Gordon and Breach 1970
12. Beaume, R., Manuceau, J., Pellet, A., Sirugue, M.: Commun. math. Phys. **38**, 29 (1974)

Communicated by H. Araki

Received September 19, 1975; in revised form January 21, 1976

