

for $t \geq x \geq x_0$, $\Psi_x^\pm(x, t)$ is continuous with respect to (x, t) , and

$$|\Psi_x^\pm(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - R_x^2} \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right). \quad (6.22)$$

Inserting (6.16) in (6.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^+(x) & \left[1 - \int_x^\infty (\Psi_x^-(x, u) - \Phi_x^-(x, u)) du \right] \\ & = F^-(x) \left[1 - \int_x^\infty (\Psi_x^+(x, u) - \Phi_x^+(x, u)) du \right], \quad x \geq x_0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

Since (6.21) and (6.22) together give:

$$\left| \int_x^\infty (\Psi_x^\pm(x, u) - \Phi_x^\pm(x, u)) du \right| \leq \frac{R_x}{1 - R_x}, \quad x \geq x_0, \quad (6.24)$$

we see, in view of (6.6b), that the second factor of each side of (6.23) does not vanish for $x \geq x_1$. Then, recalling (6.3a), we obtain:

$$[F^\pm(x)]^2 = \frac{1 - \int_x^\infty (\Psi_x^\pm(x, u) - \Phi_x^\pm(x, u)) du}{1 - \int_x^\infty (\Psi_x^\mp(x, u) - \Phi_x^\mp(x, u)) du}, \quad x \geq x_1. \quad (6.25)$$

$F^\pm(x)$ is completely determined by the condition (6.3b). Clearly, $F^\pm(x)$ is continuous for $x \geq x_1$ and has the bound:

$$|F^\pm(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(1 - 2R_x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad x \geq x_1. \quad (6.26)$$

Thus $A^\pm(x, t)$ given by (6.16) is continuous for $t \geq x \geq x_1$ and, because of the bound

$$|A^\pm(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(1 - R_x)(1 - 2R_x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right), \quad t \geq x \geq x_1, \quad (6.27)$$

$A^\pm(x, t)$ belongs to the class \mathfrak{A}_{x_1} . So, we have proved that the system of fundamental Eqs. (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3) has a unique solution $(A^+(x, t), A^-(x, t), F^+(x), F^-(x))$ for $\alpha \geq x_1$.

§ 2. Solution of the System of Equations (6.1) and (6.2)

Now, we suppose $\alpha \geq x_1$. Let $a^\pm(x, t)$ be a function belonging to the class \mathfrak{A}_α and $f^\pm(x)$ a function continuous and bounded for $x \geq \alpha$. If $(a^+(x, t), a^-(x, t), f^+(x), f^-(x))$ is a solution of the system of Eqs. (6.1)

and (6.2), i.e. if

$$a^\pm(x, t) = f^\mp(x) z^\pm(x+t) + \int_x^\infty a^\mp(x, u) z^\pm(u+t) du, \quad t \geq x \geq \alpha, \quad (6.28)$$

$$f^+(x) - f^-(x) = \int_x^\infty [a^-(x, t) - a^+(x, t)] dt, \quad x \geq \alpha, \quad (6.29)$$

the results obtained in § 1 are still valid up to and including (6.24) if $A^\pm(x, t)$ is replaced by $a^\pm(x, t)$, $F^\pm(x)$ by $f^\pm(x)$, x_0 and x_1 by α . This is true, in particular, for the formula (6.23) and hence

$$f^+(x) = \frac{f^-(x)}{F^-(x)} F^+(x), \quad x \geq \alpha. \quad (6.30)$$

Using (6.16), we conclude finally that there exists a unique function $U(x)$ defined and continuous for $x \geq \alpha$ such that

$$f^\pm(x) = U(x) F^\pm(x), \quad x \geq \alpha, \quad (6.31 a)$$

$$a^\pm(x, t) = U(x) A^\pm(x, t), \quad t \geq x \geq \alpha. \quad (6.31 b)$$

§ 3. Properties of the Solution ($A^+(x, t)$, $A^-(x, t)$, $F^+(x)$, $F^-(x)$)

From here on, I' is replaced by the stronger Assumption II' :

Assumption II' . $z^\pm(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable for $x \geq 0$, and there exist functions $\sigma_0(x)$, $\sigma_1(x)$ and $\sigma_2(x)$, positive and non-increasing for $x \geq 0$ such that

$$|z^\pm(x)| \leq \sigma_0\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty x \sigma_0(x) dx < \infty, \quad (6.32 a)$$

$$|z^{\pm'}(x)| \leq \sigma_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty x^2 \sigma_1(x) dx < \infty, \quad (6.32 b)$$

$$|z^{\pm''}(x)| \leq \sigma_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty x^2 \sigma_2(x) dx < \infty. \quad (6.32 c)$$

We set

$$\tilde{\sigma}_0(x) = \int_{2x}^\infty \sigma_1\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt, \quad (6.33 a)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1(x) = \int_{2x}^\infty \sigma_2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt. \quad (6.33 b)$$

Clearly, from Assumption II',

$$\int_0^{\infty} x \tilde{\sigma}_0(x) dx < \infty, \quad (6.34a)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} x \tilde{\sigma}_1(x) dx < \infty. \quad (6.34b)$$

Let us also set

$$S_x = \sup(\tilde{\sigma}_0(x), \sigma_0(x), R_x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (6.35)$$

There exists $x_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$S_x < 1 \quad \text{for } x \geq x_2. \quad (6.36)$$

We define x_3 by

$$x_3 = \sup(x_1, x_2). \quad (6.37)$$

It is then straightforward but tedious to prove:

a) $F^{\pm'}$ (x) exists and is continuous for $x \geq x_1$, and

$$|F^{\pm'}(x)| \leq C[\sigma_0(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x)], \quad x \geq x_1; \quad (6.38)$$

b) $F^{\pm''}$ (x) exists and is continuous for $x \geq x_3$, and

$$|F^{\pm''}(x)| \leq C[\sigma_0(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x) + \sigma_1(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)], \quad x \geq x_3; \quad (6.39)$$

c) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A^{\pm}(x, t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A^{\pm}(x, t)$ exist and are continuous for $t \geq x \geq x_1$,

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A^{\pm}(x, t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right], \quad t \geq x \geq x_1, \quad (6.40)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A^{\pm}(x, t) \right| \leq C \left[\tilde{\sigma}_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right], \quad t \geq x \geq x_1, \quad (6.41)$$

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} A^{\pm}(x, x) \right| \leq C[\tilde{\sigma}_0(x) + \sigma_1(x)], \quad x \geq x_1; \quad (6.42)$$

d) $\frac{\partial^2 A^{\pm}}{\partial x^2}(x, t)$ and $\frac{\partial^2 A^{\pm}}{\partial t^2}(x, t)$ exist and are continuous for $t \geq x \geq x_3$, and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} A^{\pm}(x, t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_2 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right], \quad t \geq x \geq x_3, \quad (6.43)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A^{\pm}(x, t) \right| \leq C \left[\tilde{\sigma}_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_2 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right], \quad t \geq x \geq x_3. \quad (6.44)$$

In Appendix D, we give some bounds useful in deriving the preceding results. Note that if

- a) $z^\pm(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable for $x \geq 0$,
- b) $z^\pm(\infty) = z^{\pm'}(\infty) = z^{\pm''}(\infty) = 0$,
- c) $z^{\pm''}(x)$ is bounded by a positive and non-increasing function $\sigma_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$ such that $\int_0^\infty x^3 \sigma_2(x) dx < \infty$, the Assumption II' is fulfilled, and moreover, it is possible to choose $\sigma_1(x)$ and $\sigma_0(x)$ in such a way that $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \equiv \sigma_1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_0 \equiv \sigma_0$. The bounds of § 3 take then a simpler form.

§ 4. Partial Differential Equations for $A^+(x, t)$, $A^-(x, t)$, $F^+(x)$ and $F^-(x)$

Let us define the functions $Q(x)$, $a^\pm(x, t)$ and $f^\pm(x)$ as follows:

$$Q(x) = \mp i \frac{F^{\pm'}(x)}{F^\pm(x)}, \quad x \geq x_3, \tag{6.45}$$

$$a^\pm(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} A^\pm(x, t) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A^\pm(x, t) \pm 2iQ(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A^\pm(x, t), \tag{6.46}$$

$t \geq x \geq x_3,$

$$f^\pm(x) = F^{\pm''}(x) - 2 \frac{d}{dx} A^\pm(x, x) \pm 2iQ(x)A^\pm(x, x), \quad x \geq x_3. \tag{6.47}$$

The above results show that $a^\pm(x, t)$ belongs to the class \mathfrak{U}_{x_3} and that $f^\pm(x)$ is continuous and bounded for $x \geq x_3$. We next apply the operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \pm 2iQ(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ to both sides of (6.1); by means of differentiation under the integral sign and of integrations by parts, it is not difficult to prove that $(a^+(x, t), a^-(x, t), f^+(x), f^-(x))$ is a solution of the equation (6.28) for $\alpha = x_3$. Using the identity obtained by differentiating twice both sides of (6.2), we find that the Eq. (6.29) is also true for $\alpha = x_3$. From the results in § 2, we conclude that there exists a function $U(x)$ defined and continuous for $x \geq x_3$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \pm 2iQ(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) A^\pm(x, t) = U(x)A^\pm(x, t), \quad t \geq x \geq x_3, \tag{6.48}$$

$$F^{\pm''}(x) - 2 \frac{d}{dx} A^\pm(x, x) \pm 2iQ(x)A^\pm(x, x) = U(x)F^\pm(x), \quad x \geq x_3. \tag{6.49}$$

It is easy to see from the formulas (6.45) and (6.49) with the help of the bounds established in § 3, that $Q(x)$ is continuously differentiable for

$x \geq x_3$, $U(x)$ is continuous for $x \geq x_3$ and that

$$|Q(x)| \leq C[\sigma_0(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x)], \quad x \geq x_3, \quad (6.50)$$

$$|Q'(x)| \leq C[\sigma_0(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x) + \sigma_1(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)], \quad x \geq x_3, \quad (6.51)$$

$$|U(x)| \leq C[\sigma_0(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x) + \sigma_1(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)], \quad x \geq x_3. \quad (6.52)$$

Hence, using the Assumption II':

$$\int_{x_3}^{\infty} x|Q(x)|dx < \infty, \quad (6.53)$$

$$\int_{x_3}^{\infty} x|Q'(x)|dx < \infty, \quad (6.54)$$

$$\int_{x_3}^{\infty} x|U(x)|dx < \infty. \quad (6.55)$$

§ 5. Construction of U and Q from Given z^+ and z^-

Given functions $z^+(x)$ and $z^-(x)$ satisfying the Assumption II', we propose to find potentials $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$, whose associated fundamental functions are precisely $z^+(x)$ and $z^-(x)$. The results obtained in § 4 are not sufficient for our purpose. We shall assume that they hold, not only for $x \geq x_3$ but also for $x \geq 0$; this will be proved in some particular cases in § 7. Then, from the important Theorem 4.2, we see that the Jost solution $f^\pm(k, x)$ corresponding to the potentials $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ defined by (6.49) and (6.45) is generated by the functions $A^\pm(x, t)$ and $F^\pm(x)$, themselves obtained from the data of z^+ and z^- by solution of (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3) for $\alpha=0$. Let $z_v^+(x)$ and $z_v^-(x)$ be the fundamental functions associated with the potentials $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$, which we also suppose satisfy the Assumptions III and IV. The generating functions $A^\pm(x, t)$ and $F^\pm(x)$ being unique for a given potential from Theorem 4.1, $z^\pm(y)$ and $z_v^\pm(y)$ are solutions of the same Volterra integral equation

$$z^\pm(y) = F^\pm\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)A^\pm\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) - \int_y^\infty F^\pm\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)A^\mp\left(\frac{y}{2}, v - \frac{y}{2}\right)z^\pm(v)dv, \quad (6.56)$$

$$y \geq 0,$$

derived from (5.17) by setting $t = x$, $v = x + u$, $y = 2x$. Since $A^\pm(x, t)$ belongs to the class \mathfrak{A} , and $F^\pm(x)$, $z^\pm(x)$, $z_v^\pm(x)$ are bounded, it is easy to prove that

$$z^\pm(x) \equiv z_v^\pm(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (6.57)$$

Therefore, with the assumptions made, there is one pair of potentials $(U(x), Q(x))$ which reproduces the input functions $z^+(x)$ and $z^-(x)$ as fundamental functions. $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are given by (6.49) and (6.45). The pair $(U(x), Q(x))$ is unique in the class \mathfrak{C} of potentials satisfying the Assumptions I, II, III and IV since the system of fundamental equations has been proved to hold in these conditions.

§ 6. Construction of U and Q from the Data of E_n^+ and $S^+(k)$ ($k > 0$)

Here, we suppose that the N^+ binding energies E_n^+ and the scattering matrix $S^+(k)$ ($k > 0$) are exactly known from collision experiments, and we apply the results of § 5 to construct the potentials $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ which reproduce E_n^+ and $S^+(k)$ ($k > 0$). We assume we are given N^+ numbers C_n^+ , N^- numbers C_n^- , N^- numbers E_n^- and a function $S^-(k)$ ($k > 0$); the quantities C_n^+ , N^- , C_n^- , E_n^- and $S^-(k)$ ($k > 0$) play the role of parameters, and may be chosen freely. We assume N^+ , N^- , E_n^+ , E_n^- , C_n^+ , C_n^- , $S^+(k)$ and $S^-(k)$ ($k > 0$), to be such that the input functions $z^+(x)$ and $z^-(x)$ ($x > 0$) are determined via the formulas (5.14), (3.14), (3.13), (5.19) and (5.18), and that they verify the conditions of § 5. We know then, from § 5, how to construct the unique pair of potentials $(U(x), Q(x))$ belonging to \mathfrak{C} which have $z^+(x)$ and $z^-(x)$ as associated fundamental functions. For $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ to reproduce E_n^+ and $S^+(k)$ ($k > 0$), it is sufficient that the constructed function $F^+(x)$ satisfies the relation (3.13 b), and that the following conditions be satisfied by the solution $(A^+(x, t), A^-(x, t), F^+(x), F^-(x))$ of the system of fundamental Eqs. (5.17), (5.20) and (5.21):

$$F^+(0) p^-(t) + \int_0^\infty A^+(0, u) p^-(u+t) du = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (6.58)$$

$$A^-(0, t) = F^+(0) s^-(t) + \int_0^\infty A^+(0, u) s^-(u+t) du, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (6.59 a)$$

$$- [F^-(0)]^2 A^+(0, -t) = F^+(0) s^-(t) + \int_0^\infty A^+(0, u) s^-(u+t) du, \quad t \leq 0. \quad (6.59 b)$$

(6.58), (6.59 a) and (6.59 b) are readily obtained by writing $f^+(k_n^+) = 0$ and $f^+(k) = S^+(k) f^-(-k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{R}$). Using the formula (5.17) for $x = 0$, it is easy to see that either of the two formulas (6.58) and (6.59 a) yields the other. Note that if the conditions (5.34), (3.22) and (3.21) hold, $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are real. If $S^+(k) = S^-(k) = S(k)$, $C_n^+ = C_n^- = C_n$ and $k_n^+ = k_n^- = k_n$, then $Q = 0$.

An important question has still to be solved. One would like to know how far one can vary the input parameters C_n^+ , N^- , C_n^- , E_n^- and $S^-(k)$ ($k > 0$) and still retain the existence of a pair $(U(x), Q(x))$ solution of the inverse problem. This question has been already solved for real U and $Q = 0$: we know [19] that, under very general conditions on E_n and $S(k)$, the only restriction on the numbers C_n for the inverse problem to be soluble is that they be positive. It should also be possible, by using similar arguments, to solve the question for real $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$. In general, we may expect many solutions to the problem.

§ 7. Specific Examples

In the real world E_n^+ and $S^+(k)$ ($k > 0$) must be found from collision experiments and E_n^- , N^- , C_n^+ , C_n^- , $S^-(k)$ ($k > 0$) are almost free parameters. In general they lead to complicated functions z^\pm and so to complicated integral equations. Here we start from simple functions z^\pm . In this way one can see how the method is applied without involving oneself in complicated numerical calculation.

First, we remark that there are cases in which our method of solution of the system of fundamental Eqs. (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3) holds for $a = 0$. In fact, if we have

$$R_{x=0} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad S_{x=0} < 1, \quad (6.60)$$

then the number x_3 may be chosen equal to zero in all the results of § 1, 2, 3, 4. If the conditions III and IV hold for the potentials $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ that we construct, we also obtain the results of § 5. This is the case if

$$R_{x=0} < \frac{1}{5}, \quad (6.61)$$

since the Jost function $f^\pm(k)$ constructed has then no zeros for $\text{Im } k \leq 0$.

We give now an exactly soluble example. We start from the following input functions:

$$z^+(t) = \alpha^+ e^{-pt}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \alpha^+ \in \mathbb{C}, \quad p > 0, \quad (6.62a)$$

$$z^-(t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (6.62b)$$

and we suppose a) or b):

$$\text{a) } \text{Im } \alpha^+ \neq 0, \quad (6.63)$$

$$\text{b) } \alpha^+ \text{ is real and } p > \alpha^+. \quad (6.64)$$

The system of fundamental Eqs. (5.17), (5.20) and (5.21) can be easily solved, with the solution

$$F^\pm(x) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^+}{p} e^{-2px}\right)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad (6.65)$$

$$A^+(x, t) = \alpha^+ e^{-p(x+t)} F^-(x), \quad t \geq x \geq 0, \quad (6.66)$$

$$A^-(x, t) = 0, \quad t \geq x \geq 0; \quad (6.67)$$

Hence:

$$Q(x) = -i\alpha^+ e^{-2px} [F^-(x)]^2, \quad x \geq 0, \quad (6.68)$$

$$U(x) = (2p\alpha^+ e^{-2px} + (\alpha^+)^2 e^{-4px}) [F^-(x)]^4, \quad x \geq 0, \quad (6.69)$$

$$f^-(k, x) = e^{-ikx} F^-(x), \quad x \geq 0, \quad (6.70)$$

$$f^+(k, x) = e^{-ikx} F^-(x) \{[F^+(x)]^2 k - ip\} [k - ip]^{-1}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad (6.71)$$

$$S^+(k) = [S^-(-k)]^{-1} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha^+}{p}\right) k - ip\right] (k - ip)^{-1}. \quad (6.72)$$

$f^-(k)$ never vanishes; $f^+(k)$ has a simple zero for $k = k_0$, k_0 being defined as

$$k_0 = ip \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^+}{p}\right)^{-1}; \quad (6.73)$$

therefore, if $p > \text{Re}\alpha^+$ there is no bound state corresponding to V^+ ; if $\text{Im}\alpha^+ \neq 0$, and $p < \text{Re}\alpha^+$, there is a bound state corresponding to V^+ and it is easily found that

$$C_0^- = -\alpha^+ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^+}{p}\right)^{-2}. \quad (6.74)$$

In both cases, it is easy to verify directly that the pair $(U(x), Q(x))$ is the only pair of potentials belonging to \mathfrak{C} which has the input functions $z^+(x)$ and $z^-(x)$ given by (6.62) as fundamental functions. Note that for real α^+ , $U(x)$ is real and $Q(x)$ is imaginary.

For appropriate values of the complex numbers α^+ and α^- , we can, more generally, construct the unique pair of potentials $(U(x), Q(x))$ belonging to \mathfrak{C} which has as fundamental functions

$$z^\pm(t) = \alpha^\pm e^{-pt}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad p > 0. \quad (6.75)$$

We can always choose α^+ and α^- in such a way that there is no bound

state. We give the principal results:

$$F^\pm(x) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^\pm}{p} e^{-2px} + \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{4p^2} e^{-4px}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^\mp}{p} e^{-2px} + \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{4p^2} e^{-4px}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad (6.76)$$

$$A^\pm(x, t) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{4p^2} e^{-4px}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left[F^\pm(x) \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{2p} e^{-3px} e^{-pt} + F^\mp(x) \alpha^\pm e^{-px} e^{-pt}\right], \quad t \geq x \geq 0, \quad (6.77)$$

$$Q(x) = \frac{-i(\alpha^+ - \alpha^-) e^{-2px} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{4p^2} e^{-4px}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{4p^2} e^{-4px} - \frac{\alpha^-}{p} e^{-2px}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{4p^2} e^{-4px} - \frac{\alpha^+}{p} e^{-2px}\right)}, \quad x \geq 0; \quad (6.78)$$

the form of $U(x)$ is more complicated ; let us only note that

$$U(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} C e^{-2px}. \quad (6.79)$$

Lastly, note that if $\alpha^+ = \overline{\alpha^-}$, $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are real, and that if $\alpha^+ = \alpha^-$, $Q(x)$ vanishes.

Acknowledgement. Professor P. C. Sabatier suggested this problem. We would like to thank him for many helpful discussions.

Appendix A.1

We want to estimate the behaviour of $\varphi^\pm(k, x)$ for large values of k . For this, we start from the Neumann series (2.6) and write the general term as follows:

$$\varphi_n^\pm(k, x) = \alpha_n^\pm(k, x) + \beta_n^\pm(k, x) + \gamma_n^\pm(k, x), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.1 a})$$

$$\gamma_n^\pm(k, x) = a_n^\pm(k, x) + b_n^\pm(k, x) + c_n^\pm(k, x), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.1 b})$$

where:

$$\alpha_0^\pm(k, x) = \frac{e^{ikx}}{2ik}, \quad \beta_0^\pm(k, x) = -\frac{e^{-ikx}}{2ik}, \quad (\text{A.2 a})$$

$$\gamma_0^\pm(k, x) = a_0^\pm(k, x) = b_0^\pm(k, x) = c_0^\pm(k, x) = 0, \quad (\text{A.2 b})$$

and, for $n \geq 1$:

$$\alpha_n^\pm(k, x) = \pm \frac{e^{ikx}}{i} \int_0^x e^{-iky} Q(y) \alpha_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy, \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

$$\beta_n^\pm(k, x) = \mp \frac{e^{-ikx}}{i} \int_0^x e^{iky} Q(y) \beta_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy, \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^\pm(k, x) &= \pm \frac{e^{ikx}}{i} \int_0^x e^{-iky} Q(y) \beta_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy \\ &\quad \mp \frac{e^{-ikx}}{i} \int_0^x e^{iky} Q(y) \alpha_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3c})$$

$$b_n^\pm(k, x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin k(x-y)}{k} U(y) [\alpha_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) + \beta_{n-1}^\pm(k, y)] dy, \quad (\text{A.3d})$$

$$c_n^\pm(k, x) = \int_0^x \sin k(x-y) \left[\frac{U(y)}{k} \pm 2Q(y) \right] \gamma_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy \quad (\text{A.3e})$$

We readily see that

$$\alpha_n^\pm(k, x) = \frac{e^{ikx}}{2ik} \frac{\left(\mp i \int_0^x Q(y) dy \right)^n}{n!}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.4a})$$

$$\beta_n^\pm(k, x) = -\frac{e^{-ikx}}{2ik} \frac{\left(\pm i \int_0^x Q(y) dy \right)^n}{n!}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.4b})$$

Hence:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n^\pm(k, x) = H^\pm(x) \frac{e^{ikx}}{2ik}, \quad (\text{A.5a})$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n^\pm(k, x) = -H^\mp(x) \frac{e^{-ikx}}{2ik}, \quad (\text{A.5b})$$

where $H^\pm(x)$ is given by (2.8b). We are led to look for a bound for the quantity

$$\varphi^\pm(k, x) = \left(H^\pm(x) \frac{e^{ikx}}{2ik} - H^\mp(x) \frac{e^{-ikx}}{2ik} \right), \quad \text{i.e. for } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^\pm(k, x).$$

It is straightforward to prove that

$$|b_n^\pm(k, x)| \leq C \frac{e^{|b|x}}{|k|^2} \frac{(M(x))^n}{n!}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } |k| \geq 1, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where $M(x)$ is defined by (2.8c). We obtain a similar bound for $a_n^\pm(k, x)$

by integrating by parts each of the two terms of the expression (A.3c). We have for the first term, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \pm \frac{e^{ikx}}{i} \int_0^x e^{-iky} Q(y) \beta_{n-1}^{\pm}(k, y) dy \\
 &= \mp \frac{e^{ikx}}{i} \int_0^x \frac{e^{-2iky}}{2ik} Q(y) \frac{\left(\pm i \int_0^y Q(t) dt\right)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dy \\
 &= \mp \frac{e^{ikx}}{i} \left[\frac{e^{-2iky}}{4k^2} Q(y) \frac{\left(\pm i \int_0^y Q(t) dt\right)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right]_0^x \\
 & \pm \frac{e^{ikx}}{i} \int_0^x \frac{e^{-2iky}}{4k^2} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[Q'(y) \frac{\left(\pm i \int_0^y Q(t) dt\right)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + Q^2(y) (\pm i)^{n-1} \frac{\left(\int_0^y Q(t) dt\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \right] dy,
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

and an analogous result for the second term. We deduce from this:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_n^{\pm}(k, x)| &\leq C \frac{e^{|b|x}}{|k|^2} \left[\frac{(M(x))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{(M(x))^n}{n!} \right], \\
 &\text{for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } |k| \geq 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

With the help of inequalities (A.6) and (A.8), it is not difficult to prove by induction the following bound for $\gamma_n^{\pm}(k, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\gamma_n^{\pm}(k, x)| &\leq C \frac{e^{|b|x}}{|k|^2} \left[\frac{(2M(x))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{(2M(x))^n}{n!} \right], \\
 &\text{for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } |k| \geq 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

which leads to the inequality (2.8a).

Appendix A.2

Proceeding as in Appendix A.1, we obtain the behaviour of $f^{\pm}(k, x)$ for large values of k . We write the integral Eq. (2.12) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{\pm}(k, x) e^{ikx} &= 1 \mp i \int_x^{\infty} Q(y) e^{iky} f^{\pm}(k, y) dy + \int_x^{\infty} \frac{U(y)}{2ik} e^{iky} f^{\pm}(k, y) dy \\
 &\quad - \int_x^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2ik(y-x)}}{2ik} [U(y) \pm 2kQ(y)] e^{iky} f^{\pm}(k, y) dy,
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

and expand $f^\pm(k, x) e^{ikx}$ as follows:

$$f^\pm(k, x) e^{ikx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n^\pm(k, x), \quad (\text{A.11 a})$$

$$g_n^\pm(k, x) = \mu_n^\pm(k, x) + \nu_n^\pm(k, x), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.11 b})$$

$$\nu_n^\pm(k, x) = r_n^\pm(k, x) + s_n^\pm(k, x) + t_n^\pm(k, x), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.11 c})$$

where:

$$\mu_0^\pm(k, x) = 1, \quad \nu_0^\pm(k, x) = r_0^\pm(k, x) = s_0^\pm(k, x) = t_0^\pm(k, x) = 0, \quad (\text{A.11 d})$$

and, for $n \geq 1$:

$$\mu_n^\pm(k, x) = \mp i \int_x^\infty Q(y) \mu_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy, \quad (\text{A.11 e})$$

$$r_n^\pm(k, x) = \pm i \int_x^\infty e^{-2ik(y-x)} Q(y) \mu_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy, \quad (\text{A.11 f})$$

$$s_n^\pm(k, x) = \frac{1}{2ik} \int_x^\infty U(y) [1 - e^{-2ik(y-x)}] \mu_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy, \quad (\text{A.11 g})$$

$$t_n^\pm(k, x) = -i \int_x^\infty \left[\frac{U(y)}{2k} \pm Q(y) \right] [1 - e^{-2ik(y-x)}] \nu_{n-1}^\pm(k, y) dy. \quad (\text{A.11 h})$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mu_n^\pm(k, x) = \frac{\left(\mp i \int_x^\infty Q(y) dy \right)^n}{n!}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.12 a})$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n^\pm(k, x) = F^\pm(x), \quad (\text{A.12 b})$$

where $F^\pm(x)$ is given by (2.15b). We seek now a bound for the quantity $f^\pm(k, x) e^{ikx} - F^\pm(x)$, i.e. for $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n^\pm(k, x)$. It is not difficult to obtain the inequality

$$|s_n^\pm(k, x)| \leq \frac{C}{|k|} \frac{(P(x))^n}{n!}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } k \neq 0, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where $P(x)$ is defined by (2.15c). Integrating by parts (A.11f) and using the Assumption II, we derive also a bound for $r_n^\pm(k, x)$:

$$|r_n^\pm(k, x)| \leq \frac{C}{|k|} \left[\frac{(P(x))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{(P(x))^n}{n!} \right], \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } k \neq 0. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

From formulas (A.13) and (A.14), we prove by induction that

$$|v_n^\pm(k, x)| \leq \frac{C}{|k|} \left[\frac{(2P(x))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{(2P(x))^n}{n!} \right], \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } |k| \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Inequality (2.15a) follows immediately from (A.15).

Appendix B

We intend to prove the differentiability of A^\pm with the help of the expansion (4.15). We note first that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_0^\pm(x, t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_0^\pm(x, t)$ exist and are continuous for $t \geq x \geq 0$, and are given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_0^\pm(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_0^\pm(x, t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} F^\pm \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \left[U \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + Q^2 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \mp iQ \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

They also satisfy

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_0^\pm(x, t) \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_0^\pm(x, t) \right| \leq mW \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $W(x)$ is defined by (4.23 b). Let us assume that the property (P):

$$\text{“ } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_n^\pm(x, t) \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^\pm(x, t) \text{ exist and are continuous for } t \geq x \geq 0,$$

and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_n^\pm(x, t) \right| \text{ and } \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^\pm(x, t) \right| \\ &\leq m\sigma_v^2(x) \left[(2^n - 1) \frac{(N(x))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + 2^{n-3} \frac{(N(x))^n}{(n-1)!} \right] + mW \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \frac{(N(x))^n}{n!} \text{”} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

is true for a fixed arbitrary value of $n \geq 1$. Using this and the results obtained for $A_n^\pm(x, t)$ and $A_{n+1}^\pm(x, t)$, it is tedious but straightforward to prove that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{n+1}^\pm(x, t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{n+1}^\pm(x, t)$ exist and are continuous for

$t \geq x \geq 0$ and are given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{n+1}^{\pm}(x, t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\infty} U(y) A_n^{\pm}(y, t+y-x) dy \\
 &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\frac{x+t}{2}} U(y) A_n^{\pm}(y, t+x-y) dy \\
 &\quad \pm \frac{i}{2} Q\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) A_n^{\pm}\left(\frac{x+t}{2}, \frac{x+t}{2}\right) \quad (\text{B.4}) \\
 &\quad \pm i \int_x^{\infty} Q(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^{\pm}(y, t+y-x) dy \\
 &\quad \pm i \int_x^{\frac{x+t}{2}} Q(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^{\pm}(y, t+x-y) dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{n+1}^{\pm}(x, t) &= +\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\infty} U(y) A_n^{\pm}(y, t+y-x) dy \\
 &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\frac{x+t}{2}} U(y) A_n^{\pm}(y, t+x-y) dy \\
 &\quad \pm \frac{i}{2} Q\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) A_n^{\pm}\left(\frac{x+t}{2}, \frac{x+t}{2}\right) \quad (\text{B.5}) \\
 &\quad \mp i \int_x^{\infty} Q(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^{\pm}(y, t+y-x) dy \\
 &\quad \pm i \int_x^{\frac{x+t}{2}} Q(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^{\pm}(y, t+x-y) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the bounds (B.3) and (4.14a) in the expressions (B.4) and (B.5), we show the validity of the bound (B.3) and hence of the property (P) in the case $n+1$. As it is not difficult to prove (P) for $n=1$, we conclude it holds for every $n \geq 1$.

In view of (B.3), it is obvious that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_n^{\pm}(x, t)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^{\pm}(x, t)$ converge uniformly on every compact set. Therefore,

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A^\pm(x, t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A^\pm(x, t)$ exist and are continuous for $t \geq x \geq 0$ and,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A^\pm(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_n^\pm(x, t), \tag{B.6}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A^\pm(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_n^\pm(x, t). \tag{B.7}$$

The inequality (4.23 a) follows immediately.

Appendix C

In this appendix, making the Assumptions I, II, III and IV, we prove a “completion formula”.

To this purpose, we introduce the Green’s function

$$G^\pm(k, x, y) = \begin{cases} \varphi^\pm(k, x) \frac{f^\pm(k, y)}{f^\pm(k)}, & y \geq x, \\ \varphi^\pm(k, y) \frac{f^\pm(k, x)}{f^\pm(k)}, & 0 \leq y \leq x. \end{cases} \tag{C.1}$$

Except for a finite number of non real poles corresponding to the bound states, $G^\pm(k, x, y)$ is, for fixed x and y , analytic in k for $\text{Im} k < 0$ and continuous in k for $\text{Im} k \leq 0$. Let $\psi(x)$ be a function twice differentiable and vanishing in the neighbourhoods of $x = 0$ and $x = \infty$. We set

$$\theta(x) = -\psi''(x) + U(x)\psi(x). \tag{C.2}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^\infty G^\pm(k, x, y) [\theta(y) \pm 2kQ(y)\psi(y) - k^2\psi(y)] dy; \tag{C.3}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\psi(x)}{k} &= \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty G^\pm(k, x, y) \theta(y) dy \pm 2 \int_0^\infty G^\pm(k, x, y) Q(y) \psi(y) dy \\ &\quad - k \int_0^\infty G^\pm(k, x, y) \psi(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

We integrate both sides of (C.4) around a half-circle $|k| = R$ contained in the lower half of the complex k -plane and described in the positive sense. Thanks to the bounds (2.7) and (2.14), we see, using a Jordan’s lemma, that the integral of the first term of the second side of (C.4) vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The same result holds for the integral of the second

term; to prove this, we replace, in $G^\pm(k, x, y)$, $\varphi^\pm(k, y)$ and $f^\pm(k, y)$ by

$$\varphi^\pm(k, y) = H^\pm(y) \frac{e^{iky}}{2k} - H^\mp(y) \frac{e^{-iky}}{2ik} + R_1^\pm(k, y), \tag{C.5}$$

$$f^\pm(k, y) = F^\pm(y) e^{-iky} + R_2^\pm(k, y), \tag{C.6}$$

we integrate by parts with respect to y the terms containing e^{+iky} and e^{-iky} in the expression of $\int_0^\infty G^\pm(k, x, y) Q(y) \psi(y) dy$, and lastly, we make use of the bounds (2.7), (2.8), (2.14) and (2.15). The left hand side of (C.4) yields $i\pi \psi(x)$. We have therefore

$$\psi(x) = -\frac{1}{i\pi} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|k|=R} k dk \int_0^\infty G^\pm(k, x, y) \psi(y) dy. \tag{C.7}$$

Let us consider the same integral computed along a closed path, which is composed of the real segment $[-R, +R]$ and the half circle $|k|=R$ contained in the lower half of the complex k -plane; applying the theorem of residues, and making use of the formula (3.6) in the case $k = k_n^\pm$, we obtain as $R \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) = & -\frac{1}{i\pi} \int_0^\infty k dk \int_0^\infty [G^\pm(k, x, y) - G^\pm(-k, x, y)] \psi(y) dy \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{N^\pm} B_n^\pm \varphi^\pm(k_n^\pm, x) \int_0^\infty \varphi^\pm(k_n^\pm, y) \psi(y) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

where

$$B_n^\pm = \frac{4i(k_n^\pm)^2}{g^\pm(k_n^\pm) \frac{d}{dk} f^\pm(k)|_{k=k_n^\pm}}. \tag{C.9}$$

We add the formula (C.8) corresponding to the index “+” and the formula (C.8) corresponding to the index “-”; then, with the help of the relations (2.9) and (3.7), we derive the following “completion formula” valid in the space of the functions $\psi(x)$ previously defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) = & \int_0^\infty \varphi^+(k, x) dQ^+(k) \int_0^\infty \varphi^+(k, y) \psi(y) dy \\ & + \int_0^\infty \varphi^-(k, x) dQ^-(k) \int_0^\infty \varphi^-(k, y) \psi(y) dy \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{N^+} \frac{B_n^+}{2} \varphi^+(k_n^+, x) \int_0^\infty \varphi^+(k_n^+, y) \psi(y) dy \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{N^-} \frac{B_n^-}{2} \varphi^-(k_n^-, x) \int_0^\infty \varphi^-(k_n^-, y) \psi(y) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

where

$$\frac{d\rho^\pm(k)}{dk} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{k^2}{f^\pm(k)f^\mp(-k)}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

Note that the coupled integral equations (5.17), which are of paramount importance in the study of the inverse problem, may be easily derived, in a purely formal sense, from the formulas (C.8).

Appendix D

In this appendix, we list some bounds useful in deriving the results of § 3. These bounds are obtained without difficulty; we omit proofs. For $n \geq 0$, $u \geq x$, $t \geq x$, $x \geq 0$, we have:

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathfrak{G}_{x,n}^\pm(u, t) \right| \leq (2n+1) R_x^{2n} \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+u}{2} \right) \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right), \quad (\text{D.1})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathfrak{G}_{x,n}^\pm(u, t) \right| \leq R_x^{2n} \sigma_0 \left(\frac{t+x}{2} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_0 \left(\frac{x+u}{2} \right); \quad (\text{D.2})$$

for $u \geq x$, $t \geq x$, $x \geq x_0$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi_x^\pm(u, t) \right| \leq \frac{1+R_x^2}{(1-R_x^2)^2} \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+u}{2} \right) \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right), \quad (\text{D.3})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Phi_x^\pm(t, u) \right| \text{ and } \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Phi_x^\pm(u, t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{1-R_x^2} \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_0 \left(\frac{x+u}{2} \right); \quad (\text{D.4})$$

for $t \geq x \geq x_0$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi_x^\pm(x, t) \right| \leq C \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) [\sigma_0(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x)], \quad (\text{D.5})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi_x^\pm(x, t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0(x) \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(x) \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right], \quad (\text{D.6})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_x^\pm(x, t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0(x) \tilde{\sigma}_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right]; \quad (\text{D.7})$$

for $u \geq x$, $t \geq x$, $x \geq 0$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \mathfrak{G}_{x,0}^\pm(u, t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0(x) \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{x+u}{2} \right) \sigma_0 \left(\frac{x+t}{2} \right) \right], \quad (\text{D.8a})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \mathfrak{F}_{x,n}^\pm(u,t) \right| \leq (4(n-1)n + 10n) S_x^{2n} \sigma_0(x) \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \\ + S_x^{2n} \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right) + S_x^{2n} \sigma_0(x) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (\text{D.8b})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \mathfrak{F}_{x,0}^\pm(u,t) \right| \leq \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right), \quad (\text{D.9a})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \mathfrak{F}_{x,n}^\pm(u,t) \right| \leq 2n S_x^{2n} \sigma_0(x) \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) + S_x^{2n} \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right), \\ n \geq 1, \quad (\text{D.9b})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \mathfrak{F}_{x,n}^\pm(u,t) \right| \leq R_x^{2n} \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \tilde{\sigma}_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0; \quad (\text{D.10})$$

for $u \geq x, t \geq x, x \geq x_2$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Phi_x^\pm(u,t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0(x) \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) + \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \sigma_0(x) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \right]; \quad (\text{D.11})$$

for $u \geq x, t \geq x, x \geq x_0$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \Phi_x^\pm(u,t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0(x) \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) + \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right) \right], \quad (\text{D.12})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \Phi_x^\pm(u,t) \right| \text{ and } \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \Phi_x^\pm(t,u) \right| \leq C \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \tilde{\sigma}_1\left(\frac{x+u}{2}\right); \quad (\text{D.13})$$

for $t \geq x \geq x_2$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Phi_x^\pm(x,t) \right| \leq C \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) [\sigma_0(x) + \sigma_1(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)], \quad (\text{D.14})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi_x^\pm(x,t) \right| \leq C \left[\sigma_0(x) \sigma_1\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) + \sigma_2\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \sigma_0\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) (\sigma_0(x) + \sigma_1(x) + \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)) \right], \quad (\text{D.15})$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Psi_x^\pm(x,t) \right| \leq \sigma_0(x) \tilde{\sigma}_1\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) + \sigma_2\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right). \quad (\text{D.16})$$

References

1. For a survey of this inverse problem, see Faddeyev, L. D.: The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering. *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 72 (1963).
Newton, R. G.: Scattering theory of waves and particles, Chapter 20. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
2. Gel'fand, I. M., Levitan, B. M.: On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function. *Izvest. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R.* **15**, 309 (1951); translated in *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.* **1**, 253 (1955).
3. For the Marchenko method, see the book of Agranovich, Z. S., Marchenko, V. A.: The inverse problem of scattering theory. New York: Gordon and Breach 1963.
4. In the case of a complex energy-independent potential, this assumption has already been used by Gasymov, M. G.: *Doklad. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R.* **165**, 261 (1965); see also Bertero, M., Dillon, G.: An outline of scattering theory for absorptive potentials. *Nuovo Cimento* **2 A**, 1024 (1971).
5. The first paper on the subject is that of Corinaldesi, E.: Construction of potentials from phase shift and binding energies of relativistic equations. *Nuovo Cimento* **11**, 468 (1954). See also a recent paper of Degasperis, A.: On the inverse problem for the Klein-Gordon s -wave equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 551 (1970), in which other references are given.
6. Sabatier, P. C.: Approach to scattering problems through interpolation formulas and application to spin-orbit potentials. *J. Math. Phys.* **9**, 1241 (1968).
7. See, for example, De Alfaro, V., Regge, T.: Potential scattering, Chapter 3. Amsterdam: North Holland Publ. Comp. 1965.
8. See Chapter I, § 2, of Ref. [3].
9. For the case $Q=0$, see Chapter 4 of Ref. [7].
10. See Chapter I, § 4, of Ref. [3].
11. See the paper of Bertero, M., Dillon, G.: Quoted in Ref. [4], formula (3.6).
12. Titchmarsh, E. C.: Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals, p. 128. Oxford 1937.
13. See Chapter I, § 3, of Ref. [3].
14. See, for example, Bochner, S., Chandrasekharan, K.: Fourier transforms, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Princeton University Press (1960), Theorem 60.
15. See Paley, R., Wiener, N.: *Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ.*, **XIX** (1934), p. 63.
16. See Chapter III of Ref. [3].
17. See formulas (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) of Ref. [6].
18. For the proof, see Ref. [3], Chapter III, Lemma 3.2.1.
19. See the paper of Faddeyev, L. D.: Quoted in Ref. [1], Theorem 12.1.

M. Jaulent
 Département de Physique Mathématique
 Faculté des Sciences
 F-34 Montpellier, France

Note Added in Proof. After the completion of this work we read a paper by H. Cornille [*J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 79 (1970)] in which he studied the reconstruction of $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ from the S -matrix discontinuities in the complex k -plane for the Schrödinger equation (1.8a) with the energy-dependent potential $V^\pm(k, x) = U(x) \pm 2(k^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(x)$, $m \geq 0$, in the case where $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are superpositions of exponential-type potentials – with the additional condition $U(x) = -Q^2(x)$, this equation reduces to the Klein-Gordon equation with the static potential $Q(x)$ for a particle (antiparticle) of mass m and of energy k –. In this study he gave an extension of the Marchenko formalism: he showed that the Jost solution

$f^\pm(k, x)$ may be written in the form (Cornille formula 33)

$$f^\pm(k, x) = e^{-ikx} \cos \int_x^\infty Q(y) dy + \int_x^\infty [K_1(x, t) \pm (k^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_2(x, t)] e^{-ikt} dt, \quad (i)$$

and by using dispersive methods he derived two coupled integral equations (Cornille formula 34) connecting $K_1(x, t)$ and $K_2(x, t)$ with certain functions easily deduced from the S -matrix discontinuities in the complex k -plane. Using the theorem of residues in the complex k -plane, it is easy to see that these functions are also easily deduced from the S -matrix for real k , from the binding energies and from certain other numbers associated with the bound states, and therefore are similar to our functions z^+ and z^- . It is certainly possible to prove that this formalism is valid for a larger class of potentials $U(x)$ and $Q(x)$ though one cannot expect to use dispersive methods in general for the proof. However, for $m=0$, because of our assumption that $Q(x)$ is differentiable – which allowed us to perform useful integration by parts all along our paper – our formalism is not identical with the Cornille formalism. The connection between the two formalisms is nevertheless easy to do. Integrating by parts the second term in the integral of (i) and taking into account the relation $K_2(x, x) = \sin \int_x^\infty Q(t) dt$ (Cornille formula 39 a), we find again our relation (4.20) if we set

$$A^\pm(x, t) = K_1(x, t) \mp i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_2(x, t). \quad (ii)$$

Furthermore, starting from the Cornille integral equations for $K_1(x, t)$ and $K_2(x, t)$, and integrating by parts certain terms, we find, after some work, our integral Eqs. (5.17) for $A^+(x, t)$ and $A^-(x, t)$, but valid only in the case of superpositions of exponential-type potentials.