

# On the Self-Adjointness of the $(g(x) \phi^4)_2$ Hamiltonian\*

D. MASSON

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

and

W. K. McCLARY

Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Received October 29, 1970

**Abstract.** An alternate proof to that provided by Glimm and Jaffe of the essential self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian  $H$  for a relativistic scalar quantum field in two dimensional space-time with a “space cut-off” quartic interaction  $H_I(g)$  is given. The proof depends mainly on the estimate  $H_I^2(g) \leq \text{const.} (N+I)^4$  and on the semiboundedness of  $H = H_0 + H_I(g)$ .

## I. Introduction

We give an alternate proof of the essential self-adjointness of the total Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + H_I$  for a relativistic scalar quantum field in two-dimensional space-time with a “space cut-off” quartic interaction  $H_I(g) = \int : \phi^4(x) : g(x) dx$ . This result has previously been established by Glimm and Jaffe using their singular perturbation theory [1] and a number of inequalities relating  $H$ ,  $H_0$ ,  $H_I$  and the number operator  $N$  [2].

## II. Proof

We need the following information in our proof:

(a) Any vector  $\psi$  in the Fock Hilbert space  $\mathcal{F}$  may be written  $\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{(n)}$  where the vector  $\psi_{(n)}$  corresponds to an “ $n$ -particle state” (we will use the bracketed subscript exclusively to denote such vectors).

(b)  $H_0$  is defined on a certain linear domain  $\mathcal{D}(H_0) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . The domain of  $H_I$  contains the space  $\mathcal{D}'$  of all finite linear combinations of vectors  $\psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}$ . The domain  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \cap \mathcal{D}(H_0)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $H_0$ ,  $H_I$  and  $H$  are symmetric operators on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

\* Supported in part by the National Research Council of Canada.

(c) If  $\phi_{(m)}, \psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $\langle \phi_{(m)} | H_0 \psi_{(n)} \rangle = 0$  unless  $m = n$ . If  $\phi_{(m)}, \psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}'$  then  $\langle \phi_{(m)} | H_I \psi_{(n)} \rangle = 0$  unless  $|m - n| = 0, 2$  or  $4$ .

(d)  $H_0$  is self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$ .

(e) If  $\phi_{(m)}, \psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}'$  then  $|\langle \phi_{(m)} | H_I \psi_{(n)} \rangle| \leq \text{const.} (n+1)^2 \|\phi_{(m)}\| \|\psi_{(n)}\|$ .

(f) There exists a real constant  $B$  such that  $\langle \phi | H \phi \rangle \geq B \langle \phi | \phi \rangle$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ .

We refer to Glimm and Jaffe [2–5] for precise definitions of the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  and the operators  $H_0$  and  $H_I$  and for the derivation of (a)–(e). (f) was established by Glimm [6] using a functional integration technique invented by Nelson [7].

**Theorem.** *The total Hamiltonian  $H$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient [8, p. 177] to show that if  $\lambda < B$  then there is no non-zero vector  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$  such that:

$$\langle \psi | (H - \lambda) \chi \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{for all } \chi \in \mathcal{D}). \quad (1)$$

Assume that such a vector  $\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{(n)}$  exists. Let  $\phi_n = \psi_{(4n)} + \psi_{(4n+1)} + \psi_{(4n+2)} + \psi_{(4n+3)}$ . (For convenience of notation we take  $\phi_n = \psi_{(n)} = 0$  when  $n < 0$ .) Then  $\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n$  and  $\|\psi\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_n\|^2$ .

We first show that  $\phi_n \in \mathcal{D}$ . To see this, use (c) and (1) to write  $\langle \psi_{(n)} | H_0 \chi \rangle = \langle \psi | H_0 \chi_{(n)} \rangle = \lambda \langle \psi_{(n)} | \chi_{(n)} \rangle - \langle (\psi_{(n+4)} + \psi_{(n+2)} + \psi_{(n)} + \psi_{(n-2)} + \psi_{(n-4)}) | H_I \chi_{(n)} \rangle$ . By (e) the last expression is bounded when  $\|\chi_{(n)}\| \leq \|\chi\| \leq 1$  so that  $\langle \psi_{(n)} | H_0 \chi \rangle$  is a bounded linear form for  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Thus  $\psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^+)$ . By (d)  $\psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$  and hence  $\psi_{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\phi_n \in \mathcal{D}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$

Writing (1) with  $\chi = \phi_n$  and using (c) we get:

$$\langle \phi_{n-1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle + \langle \phi_n | H \phi_n \rangle + \langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle = \lambda \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2)$$

Now let  $M$  be the least integer such that  $\|\phi_M\| \neq 0$ . Using (f) and (2) one may calculate:

$$0 < \left\langle \left[ \sum_{j=M}^n \phi_j \right] | (H - \lambda) \left[ \sum_{k=M}^n \phi_k \right] \right\rangle = - \langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle. \quad (3)$$

This shows that  $\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle = \langle \phi_n | H_I \phi_{n+1} \rangle = -|\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|$  and that  $\|\phi_n\| > 0$  for  $n \geq M$ . Let  $\lambda < \mu < B$  and  $p_M = 1$  and define the real

numbers  $\{p_n | n = M + 1, M + 2, \dots\}$  by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} p_M \frac{\langle \phi_M | H \phi_M \rangle}{\|\phi_M\|^2} + p_{M+1} \frac{\langle \phi_{M+1} | H_I \phi_M \rangle}{\|\phi_{M+1}\| \|\phi_M\|} &= \mu p_M, \\ p_{n-1} \frac{\langle \phi_{n-1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle}{\|\phi_{n-1}\| \|\phi_n\|} + p_n \frac{\langle \phi_n | H \phi_n \rangle}{\|\phi_n\|^2} + p_{n+1} \frac{\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle}{\|\phi_{n+1}\| \|\phi_n\|} &= \mu p_n, \\ n &= M + 1, M + 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that if we multiply Eq. (4) by  $p_n$  we get Eq. (2) with  $\phi_n, \lambda$  replaced by  $(p_n \phi_n / \|\phi_n\|), \mu$ . Calculating as in (3) we find that

$$0 < - \left\langle \left( \frac{p_{n+1} \phi_{n+1}}{\|\phi_{n+1}\|} \right) | H_I \left( \frac{p_n \phi_n}{\|\phi_n\|} \right) \right\rangle = p_{n+1} p_n \frac{|\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|}{\|\phi_{n+1}\| \|\phi_n\|},$$

so that  $p_n > 0$  for  $n \geq M$ .

If we now multiply (2) by  $p_n / \|\phi_n\|$  and (4) by  $\|\phi_n\|$  and subtract we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \phi_{M+1} | H_I \phi_M \rangle| \left[ \frac{p_M}{\|\phi_M\|} - \frac{p_{M+1}}{\|\phi_{M+1}\|} \right] &= (\mu - \lambda) p_M \|\phi_M\|, \\ |\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle| \left[ \frac{p_n}{\|\phi_n\|} - \frac{p_{n+1}}{\|\phi_{n+1}\|} \right] - |\langle \phi_n | H_I \phi_{n-1} \rangle| \left[ \frac{p_{n-1}}{\|\phi_{n-1}\|} - \frac{p_n}{\|\phi_n\|} \right] \\ &= (\mu - \lambda) p_n \|\phi_n\|, \quad n = M + 1, M + 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\mu - \lambda) p_n \|\phi_n\| > 0$  we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < (\mu - \lambda) \|\phi_M\| &= |\langle \phi_{M+1} | H_I \phi_M \rangle| \left[ \frac{p_M}{\|\phi_M\|} - \frac{p_{M+1}}{\|\phi_{M+1}\|} \right] < \dots \\ &< |\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle| \left[ \frac{p_n}{\|\phi_n\|} - \frac{p_{n+1}}{\|\phi_{n+1}\|} \right] < \dots \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $|\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|$  we find that:

$$0 < \frac{(\mu - \lambda) \|\phi_M\|}{|\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|} < \frac{p_n}{\|\phi_n\|} - \frac{p_{n+1}}{\|\phi_{n+1}\|}.$$

From this one can see that the series  $\sum_{n=M}^{\infty} |\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|^{-1}$  converges to some positive constant  $C$ . We may then use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for sequences to write:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\|\phi_n\| \|\phi_{n+1}\|}{|\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left[ \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle|} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \|\phi_n\| \|\phi_{n+1}\| \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \|\phi_n\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = C^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

But by (e) we have  $1/(n+1) \leq \text{const.} [\|\phi_n\| \|\phi_{n+1}\| / \langle \phi_{n+1} | H_I \phi_n \rangle]^{1/2}$  so the first series in (5) diverges. Thus no non-zero vector  $\psi$  satisfying (1) exists and the proof is complete.

The reader familiar with the theory of Jacobi matrices will recognize Eq. (4) as defining a  $J$ -matrix. The proof that  $H$  is essentially self-adjoint reduces to a proof that this  $J$ -matrix is of type  $D$  [9, p. 25].

### References

1. Glimm, J., Jaffe, A.: Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **22**, 401 (1969).
2. — Phys. Rev. **176**, 1945 (1968).
3. — Ann. Math. **91**, 362 (1970).
4. Jaffe, A.: In: Local quantum theory, ed. by R. Jost. New York: Academic Press 1969.
5. Glimm, J.: In: Local quantum theory, ed. by R. Jost. New York: Academic Press 1969.
6. — Commun. math. Phys. **8**, 12 (1968).
7. Nelson, E.: In: Mathematical theory of elementary particles, ed. by R. Goodman and I. Segal. Cambridge, Mass.-London: M. I. T. Press 1965.
8. Hellwig, G.: Differential operators of mathematical physics. Reading, Mass.-London: Addison-Wesley 1967.
9. Akhiezer, N. I.: The classical moment problem. Edinburgh and London: Oliver and Boyd 1965.

D. Masson  
University of Toronto  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Toronto 181, Canada