# Attempt of an Axiomatic Foundation of Quantum Mechanics and More General Theories V\*

Peter Stolz

Institut für theoretische Physik (I) der Universität Marburg

## Received October 7, 1968

Abstract. We continue here the series of papers treated by LUDWIG in [1-5]. Using some results of DÄHN in [6], we point out that each irreducible solution of the axiomatic scheme set up in [5] is represented by a system of positive-semidefinite operator pairs of a finite-dimensional Hilbert-space over the real, complex or quaternionic numbers.

## I. Introduction

Following MACKEY'S [7] general outline of axiomatic quantum theory, MACLAREN [11] and ZIERLER [8] or PIRON [12] and JAUCH [13] introduce two final axioms concerning the topological structure of the lattice G of questions (also called propositions or decision effects). This means strictly speaking that G and each sublattice of G is a compact set and that the set A(G) of all atoms of G is connected. These axioms characterize the division ring appearing in the representation theorem for G.

In his axiomatic scheme (cited in [5]), LUDWIG starts from a pair of sets  $(K, \hat{L})$  imbedded in a dual pair (B, B') of finite-dimensional real Banach-spaces. Hence the lattice G of decision effects, being the set of all extreme points of  $\hat{L}$ , carries a topological structure inherited from B'.

In [5] it was already shown that the first of the axioms mentioned above is a theorem in this exposition.

The purpose of this paper is to show that also the second axiom can be deduced. Furthermore, the following representation theorem for the system  $(K, \hat{L})$  will be shown.

**Theorems 20, 21.** If the dimension of the finite-dimensional Banachspaces B, B' is large enough, then there holds:

1. Every irreducible solution of the axiomatic system  $(K, \hat{L})$  is isomorphic to a system  $(\mathcal{K}, \hat{\mathcal{L}})$  of linear operators of a finite-dimensional Hilbert-space H.

2. The division ring of H is isomorphic to either the real, the complex or the quaternionic number ring.

3. The set  $\mathscr{K}$  consists of all positive-semidefinite operators V with  $\operatorname{Tr} V = 1$ .

<sup>\*</sup> This paper is an abridged version of the author's thesis presented to the Marburg University and written under the direction of Prof. G. LUDWIG.

#### P. Stolz:

4.  $\hat{\mathscr{L}}$  is the set of all positive-semidefinite operators  $F \leq 1$ .

5. The operators  $V \in \mathscr{K}$  and  $F \in \hat{\mathscr{L}}$  are put in duality by the operator trace TrVF.

## **II.** Preliminaries

Let us sketch the axioms and some of the most important propositions cited in the papers [1-5] by G. LUDWIG.

We will start from a dual pair (B, B') of finite-dimensional topological vector-spaces over the field  $\mathbf{R}$  of the real numbers, where B is spanned by the closed convex hull K of the set  $\underline{K}$  of all physical ensembles v and whereas B' is spanned by the closed convex hull  $\hat{L}$  of the set  $\underline{L}$  of all physical effects f.  $(K, \hat{L})$  is a dual pair according to the following.

**Axiom 1.** There exists a mapping  $\mu$  of  $K \times \hat{L}$  into  $\mathbf{R}_+$  satisfying.

 $\alpha) \ 0 \leq \mu(v, f) \leq 1 \ for \ all \ (v, f) \in K \times \hat{L}.$ 

 $\beta) \ \mu(v_1, f) = \mu(v_2, f) \text{ for all } f \in \hat{L} \text{ and } v_1, v_2 \in K \text{ implies } v_1 = v_2.$ 

 $\gamma) \ \mu(v, f_1) = \mu(v, f_2) \text{ for all } v \in K \text{ and } f_1, f_2 \in \hat{L} \text{ implies } f_1 = f_2.$ 

- $\delta$ ) There exists  $f \in \hat{L}$  (denoted by 0) such that  $\mu(v, 0) = 0$  for all  $v \in K$ .
- $\varepsilon$ ) For each  $v \in K$  there exists  $f \in \hat{L}$  such that  $\mu(v, f) = 1$ .  $\Box$

 $\mu$  can be extended to the canonical bilinear functional over  $B \times B'$ . Then in B a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is defined by  $\|x\| := \sup(|\mu(x, f)| : f \in \hat{L})$  for  $x \in B$ . Hence the finite-dimensional **R**-vector space B is a Banach-space. With respect to the norm  $\|y\| := \sup(|\mu(x, y)| : x \in B, \|x\| = 1)$  and to the partial ordering defined by  $y_1 \leq y_2$  for  $y_1, y_2 \in B'$  iff  $\mu(v, y_1) \leq \mu(v, y_2)$  for all  $v \in K$ , B' becomes a partially ordered real Banach-space. For the further axioms we need the following sets. Let  $i = 0, 1; l \leq \hat{L}, k \leq K$ .

 $K_i(l) := \{ v \in K : \mu(v, f) = i \text{ for all } f \in l \}.$ 

 $\hat{L}_i(k)$  := { $f \in \hat{L}$  :  $\mu(v, f) = i$  for all  $v \in k$ }.

 $\widehat{L}$  is defined to be the closure of the set  $\{y \in B' : y = \lambda f, \lambda \ge 0, f \in \widehat{L} \text{ and } \lambda \mu(v, f) \le 1 \text{ for all } v \in K\}.$ 

Axiom 2a. For each pair  $f_1, f_2 \in \hat{L}$  there exists  $f_3 \in \hat{L}$  so that  $f_3 \geq f_1, f_2$ and  $K_0(f_3) \geq K_0(f_1) \cap K_0(f_2)$ .

Let lg be the greatest subset of  $\hat{L}$  such that  $K_0(l) = K_0(lg)$ . According to axiom 2a, lg is an ascending directed set possessing a greatest element  $e_{lg}$  called *decision effect*. It is defined by

$$\mu(v, e_{lg}) := \sup \{\mu(v, f) : f \in lg\}$$

for  $v \in K$  and satisfies  $||e_{lg}|| = 1$ . Let G be the set of all decision effects e of  $\hat{L}$ .

In [1] and [5] it was shown that G and the set  $\hat{W} := \{K_1(l) : l \subseteq \hat{L}\}$  are complete, orthocomplemented and orthoisomorphic lattices. The zero elements in G,  $\hat{W}$  are 0,  $\emptyset$ , respectively; the unit element in G is 1 given by  $\mu(v, 1) = 1$  for all  $v \in K$ , whereas the unit element in  $\hat{W}$  is K. The orthocomplementation in G,  $\hat{W}$  is given by  $e \to 1 - e$  and  $K_1(l) \to K_0(l)$ ,

respectively. Because of  $\dim B = \dim B' < \infty$ , G and  $\hat{W}$  are atomic lattices.

Axiom 2b. For  $f \in \widehat{L}$  and  $e \in G$ ,  $K_0(f) \supseteq K_0(e)$  implies  $f \leq e$ .  $\square$ 

 $A (\neq \emptyset) \subseteq K$  is called an *extremal set* iff;

 $\alpha$ ) A is convex and closed;

 $\begin{array}{l} \beta ) \ \, \text{Every open line segment } S \subseteq K \ \text{with } S \cap A \neq \emptyset \ \text{is contained in } A. \\ \text{Let } C(v) \ \text{denote the smallest extremal set of } K \ \text{containing } v. \ v \in A \subseteq K \end{array}$ 

is an extreme point iff there is no open line segment in A containing v.

Axiom 3.  $\hat{L}_0(v_1) = \hat{L}_0(v_2)$  implies  $C(v_1) = C(v_2)$ .  $\square$ The following theorems are proved in [5].

**Theorem 1.**  $\hat{L} = \hat{L} = (y \in B' : 0 \leq \mu(v, y) \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in K).$  **Theorem 2.**  $K = (x \in B : 0 \leq \mu(x, f) \text{ for all } f \in \hat{L} \text{ and } ||x|| = \mu(x, 1) = 1).$ **Theorem 3.** G is the set of all extreme points of  $\hat{L}$ .

**Theorem 4.**  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i \leq 1, e_i \in G \text{ implies } \sum e_i = \forall e_i \text{ and } e_i \perp e_k \text{ for } i \neq k.$ 

**Theorem 5.** Every  $f \in \hat{L}$  allows an unique decomposition  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i e_i$ , with  $e_i \in G$  pairwise orthogonal and  $1 \ge \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_m > 0$ .

According to the Theorems 1 and 2, K and  $\hat{L}$  are bounded sets. Since B and B' are topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , K and  $\hat{L}$  are compact sets.

Let  $A \subseteq K$  be convex and M(A) denote the linear manifold generated by A.  $x \in A$  is called an *internal point of A relative to* M(A) iff for every line  $g \subseteq M(A)$  through x there exists an open segment  $S \subseteq g \subseteq A$  with  $x \in S$ . The set of all internal points of A is denoted by  $A^i$ . A point of an extremal set  $A \subseteq K$  not being an internal point is called a *bounding point*. Let **Bd**A denote the set of all bounding points of A.

G. DÄHN proves then the following theorems:

**Theorem 6.**  $v_1 \in C(v)$ , iff there is  $\lambda \in [0, 1[ \subseteq \mathbf{R} \text{ and } v_2 \in K \text{ with } v = \lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda) v_2$ .

**Theorem 7.**  $C(v) = C(\overline{v})$  iff  $\overline{v} \in C(v)^i$ .

**Corollar.**  $C(v_1) \subset C(v_2), v_1, v_2 \in K \text{ implies } C(v_1) \subseteq \operatorname{Bd} C(v_2).$ 

**Theorem 8.** There exists  $e \in G$  with  $C(v) = K_1(e)$ , hence:

$$\widehat{W} = (C(v) : v \in K) .$$

**Theorem 9.** The extreme points of K are the atoms of the lattice  $\hat{W}$ . **Theorem 10.** Each extreme point of  $C(v) \subseteq K$  is also an extreme point of K.

**Theorem 11.** Each extremal set of K contains at least one extreme point.

Together with the isomorphism between G and  $\hat{W}$  the Theorems 9 and 11 imply:

**Theorem 12.** The set E(K) of all extreme points of K is bijectively mapped onto the set A(G) of all atoms of G. 21 Commun.math. Phys., Vol. 11

305

**Axiom 4.** For  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in K$ :

 $C(v_1) \cap C(v_2) = \emptyset, \ \emptyset + C(v_3) \subseteq C(\frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2) \ and \ C(v_1) \perp C(v_3)$ implies  $C(\frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_3) \cap C(v_2) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

This axiom is equivalent to

**Axiom 4'.** The orthoisomorphic lattices  $\hat{W}$  and G are modular.

In [5] the implication is proved: Axiom  $4 \Rightarrow$  Axiom 4'. The converse implication may be seen as follows.

Let  $C(v_1) = a$ ,  $C(v_2) = b$ ,  $C(v_3) = c$ . In [6] there is shown

 $C\left(\frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2\right) = C(v_1) \lor C(v_2)$ .

Hence with  $a \wedge b = 0$ ,  $0 \neq c \leq a \vee b$ ,  $a \perp c$  and the assumption  $(a \vee c) \wedge b = 0$ , we find by using the modularity:

$$c = c \land (a \lor b) = c \land (c \lor a) \land (a \lor b)$$
  
=  $c \land (a \lor (b \land (a \lor c))) = c \land a$ , i.e.  $\emptyset \neq c \leq a$ 

contrary to  $c \perp a$ .  $\neg$ 

Let dim G (dim (e) for  $e \in G$ ) denote the greatest number of pairwise orthogonal atoms  $p_i \in A(G)$  with  $\sum p_i = 1$  ( $p_i \leq e$  with  $\sum p_i = e$ ) respectively. Then in [5] there is shown.

**Theorem 13.** G is closed and  $e_i \rightarrow e$  implies  $\dim(e_i) \rightarrow \dim(e)$ . Hence also A(G) is closed.

The lattice G is a direct sum of irreducible sublattices  $G(0, e_i)$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i = 1$  of the same structure as G.

Hence each  $f \in \hat{L}$  has the form  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i$  with  $0 \leq f_i \leq e_i (i = 1 \dots k)$ .

Each  $v \in K$  may be written  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega_i v_i$  defined by  $\mu(v, f) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega_i \mu(v_i, f_i)$ for all  $f \in \hat{L}$ ,  $\omega_i \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $\sum \omega_i = 1$  and  $\mu(v_i, e_i) = 1$ .

Thus, without restriction of generality, we may postulate.

**P**: The lattices  $\hat{W}$  and G are irreducible.

## III. Some Further Consequences of the Axiomatic Scheme

**Theorem 14.** Let C be an extremal set. The set BdC of all bounding points of C equals the boundary  $\partial C$  of C relative to M(C).

*Proof.* Since  $\operatorname{Bd} C \subseteq \partial C$ , let us take  $v \in \partial C \subseteq C^- = C$  with  $v \notin \operatorname{Bd} C$ . Obviously  $v \in C^i$ .

The *n*-dimensional Banach-space *B* is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under its euclidean norm  $|x| := \sqrt{\sum \alpha_i^2}$  with  $x = \sum \alpha_i x_i, x_i (i = 1 \dots n)$  being a cartesian base. Let us assume dim  $M(C) = m \leq n$ . By  $\mathfrak{U}_{\delta}(x)$  we denote the spherical open neighbourhood  $\{y \in B : |y - x| < \delta\}$  of *x*. Since *v* is an internal point of *C*, we find m + 1 independent points  $v_i \in C$  with

306

 $v = \sum \lambda_i v_i$ ,  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$  and  $0 < \lambda_i < 1$   $(i = 1 \dots m + 1)$ . Since all  $\lambda_i$  are positive, there exist open neighbourhoods  $\mathfrak{V}_i(\lambda_i)$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ .

Now every  $x \in M(C)$  may be written in the general form  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \alpha_i v_i$ ,

 $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \alpha_i = 1$ . Because all  $\lambda_i$  depend continuously on the cartesian coordinates of  $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we find  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x = \sum \alpha_i v_i$ ,  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , contained in  $\mathfrak{U}_{\delta}(v) \cap M(C)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{V}_i(\lambda_i) \subseteq \mathbf{R}_+$  consequently holds, i.e.,  $\alpha_i > 0$   $(i = 1 \dots m + 1)$ . Therefore, every  $x \in \mathfrak{U}_{\delta}(v) \cap M(C)$  is a convex combination of  $v_1 \dots v_{m+1}$ ; i.e.  $\mathfrak{U}_{\delta}(v) \cap M(C)$  is an open neighbourhood of v relative to M(C) and totally contained in C. Thus, we have  $v \notin \partial C$  contrary to our assumption.  $\neg$ 

Because of Theorem 14 we need not distinguish between boundary points and bounding points of an extremal set C. C is said to be *strictly convex* iff one of the of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

1. The boundary of C includes no line segment.

2. Each boundary point of C is an extreme point.

**Theorem 15.** If p, q are orthogonal atoms of the irreducible lattice G, then the extremal set  $C(v) := K_1(p \stackrel{\downarrow}{\vee} q)$  is strictly convex.

**Proof.** MAEDA [14] shows that in an irreducible atomic and modular lattice an atom  $r \neq p, q$  exists which is covered by  $p \checkmark q$ . Since  $\hat{W}$  is isomorphic to  $G, K_1(r) = : v_r \in C(v)$  is an atom contained in the boundary of C(v) by Theorem 7 and corollary. Supposing the boundary of C(v)contains a line segment  $[v_1, v_2]$  with distinct end-points, it also contains  $\overline{v} := \frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2$ . If C(v) is not included in  $\operatorname{Bd} C(v)$ , then  $\overline{v} \in C(v)^i$ , would follow from Theorem 7 contrary to the choice of  $\overline{v}$ . Thus, observing  $v \in C(v)^i$  we have the inclusion  $C(\overline{v}) \subseteq \operatorname{Bd} C(v) \subset C(v)$ . On account of  $C(\overline{v}) \neq \emptyset, \emptyset \subset C(\overline{v}) \subset C(v)$  is a chain of length two. Yet all chains between  $\emptyset$  and  $C(v) := K_1\left(p \checkmark q\right)$  of length two are covering chains in the modular lattice  $\hat{W}$ . Thus, contrary to containing the segment  $[v_1, v_2], C(\overline{v})$  is an atom, i.e., an extreme point. This completes the proof.  $\neg$ 

**Theorem 16.** The bijective mapping  $E(k) \leftrightarrow A(G)$  is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Since  $\hat{L}$  is compact, the closed set A(G) is also compact. Let  $(p_{\alpha})$  be a convergent sequence in A(G) with  $\lim_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} = p$ . On account of the compactness of K, we can find a convergent subsequence  $(p_{\alpha})'$  so that  $(v_{\alpha} := K_1(p_{\alpha}))'$  is a convergent sequence in E(K) with  $v_{\alpha} \to v \in K$ . If we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ , almost all  $v_{\alpha}$  satisfy the inequality  $||v_{\alpha} - v|| = \sup_{\substack{j \in \hat{L} \\ < \varepsilon : i.e., |1 - \mu(v, p_{\alpha})| < \varepsilon}$  for almost all  $p_{\alpha}$  converging to p. Thus  $\mu(v, p)$ 

 $< \varepsilon$ ; i.e.,  $|1 - \mu(v, p_{\alpha})| < \varepsilon$  for almost all  $p_{\alpha}$  converging to p. Thus  $\mu(v, p) = \lim_{p_{\alpha} \to p} \mu(v, p_{\alpha}) = 1$ ; i.e.,  $v \in K_1(p) = : v_p \in E(K)$ . Hence the mapping 21\*

 $A(G) \rightarrow E(K)$  is continuous. Since A(G) is compact, the continuous bijection  $A(G) \rightarrow E(K)$  is by a topological theorem even bicontinuous.  $\square$ This implies immediately

Corollary. The set E(K) of all extreme points of K is compact.

**Theorem 17.** The set of all atoms of every lattice segment  $G(0, e) = \{x \in G : 0 \le x \le e\}, e \in G \text{ is connected.}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $p, q(\neq p)$  be atoms of G. We must find a continuous mapping f of a closed segment  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \mathbf{R}, \ \alpha \neq \beta$ , into the set  $A(p \lor q) := \{r \in A(G) : r with <math>f(\alpha) = p, f(\beta) = q$ . This will be shown in several steps.

1. G is irreducible; hence there is a third atom r . Therefore, $the corresponding three distinct extreme points <math>v_p, v_q, v_r \in K_1(p \lor q)$  are independent; i.e., they span a plane  $\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}(v_p, v_q, v_r)$  in B. Since  $\mathscr{E}$  is closed,  $\mathscr{C} := K_1(p \lor q) \cap \mathscr{E}$  is an extremal set and strictly convex. Now let us construct the above function f in four steps.

2. For each  $x \in \mathscr{E} \setminus \mathscr{C}^{i}$ , there exists one and only one euclidean nearest point on the boundary of  $\mathscr{C}$ .

To show this, let  $|\cdot|$  be the euclidean norm and assume  $x \in \mathscr{C}$  but  $x \notin \mathscr{C}^{i}$ . Being a closed subset of  $K, \mathscr{C} - x$  is compact. Since  $|\cdot|$  is a continuous function on  $\mathscr{C} - x$ , inf  $|\mathscr{C} - x| := \inf(|y - x|; y \in \mathscr{C})$  exists; i.e., there is  $\overline{v} \in \mathscr{C}$  so that  $|\overline{v} - x| = \inf|\mathscr{C} - x|$ .  $\overline{v}$  is said to be an euclidean nearest point of  $\mathscr{C}$  relative to x.

 $\overline{v} \in \mathscr{C}$  is a boundary point of  $\mathscr{C}$ , for otherwise, the line through  $\overline{v}$  and x would intersect the boundary of  $\mathscr{C}$  in a point  $v'(\pm \overline{v}) \in \mathscr{C}$  between  $\overline{v}$  and x. This would imply  $|v' - x| < |\overline{v} - x|$  contrary to  $|\overline{v} - x| = \inf |\mathscr{C} - x|$ .

Now let us show that there is only one euclidean nearest point. On account of the Minkowski-inequality: |x + y| < |x| + |y| iff  $x \neq \lambda y$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we find for  $x, y(\neq \lambda x) \in \mathscr{S} := (z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| = 1)$  the inequality  $|\frac{1}{2}(x + y)| < 1$ ; i.e. the boundary of  $\mathscr{S}$  containing no line segment is strictly convex.

Suppose  $v_1$  and  $v_2(\neq v_1)$  are two nearest points of  $\mathscr{C}$  relative to x. Being convex,  $\mathscr{C}$  contains  $v_0 := \frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_2)$ . Then  $|v_1 - x| = |v_2 - x| = : d$  yields the contradiction  $|v_0 - x| = |\frac{1}{2}[(v_1 - x) + (v_2 - x)]| < d := \inf |\mathscr{C} - x|$ . Hence  $v_1 = v_2$ .

3. The mapping  $\varphi: x \to v$  attaching to every  $x \in \mathscr{E} \setminus \mathscr{C}^{\mathfrak{l}}$ , its nearest point  $v \in \operatorname{Bd} \mathscr{C}$  is continuous.

Let  $(x_n) \subseteq \mathscr{E} \setminus \mathscr{C}^i$  be a convergent sequence  $x_n \to x_0$ . The compactness of **Bd**  $\mathscr{C}$  implies the existence of a convergent subsequence  $v_m \to \overline{v}$ , of nearest points  $v_m = \varphi(x_m) \in \text{Bd} \mathscr{C}$ . We need only show  $\overline{v} = v_0 := \varphi(x_0)$ . Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $|x_n - x_0| < \varepsilon$ . Then  $|v_n - x_0| \leq |v_n - x_n| + |x_n - v_0|$  $\leq \inf |\mathscr{C} - x_n| + \varepsilon \leq \inf |\mathscr{C} - x_0| + 2\varepsilon = |v_0 - x_0| + 2\varepsilon$ , but  $|v_0 - x_0|$  $= \inf |\mathscr{C} - x_0| \leq |v_n - x_0|$ . Hence  $\begin{array}{l} \alpha)\colon x_n \to x_0 \text{ implies } |v_n - x_0| \to |v_0 - x_0|. \text{ On the other hand, noticing} \\ 0 \leq ||v_m - x_0| - |\overline{v} - x_0|| \leq |v_m - \overline{v}| \text{ we find}; \end{array}$ 

 $\beta$ ):  $|v_m - \overline{v}| \to 0$  implies  $|v_m - x_0| \to |\overline{v} - x_0|$ .  $\alpha$ ) and  $\beta$ ) together yield  $|v_0 - x_0| = |\overline{v} - x_0|$ . Hence  $v_0 = \overline{v}$ , because  $v_0$  is the unique nearest point of  $\mathscr{C}$  relative to  $x_0$ .

4. Let us consider the intersections of the supporting hyperplanes Hp, Hq with the plane  $\mathscr{E}$ . These are lines  $l_p$ ,  $l_q$ . Assume  $l_p$ ,  $l_q$  not to be parallel and let  $\mathscr{M}$  be the set union of  $l_p$ ,  $l_q$ ; i.e.,  $\mathscr{M} := (x \in \mathscr{E} : \mu(x, p) = 1) \cup (y \in \mathscr{E} : \mu(y, q) = 1)$  obviously  $\mathscr{M} \cap \mathscr{C} = \{v_p, v_q\} \subseteq \mathscr{C}^i$ . Let  $\overline{v}$  be the nearest point of  $\mathscr{C}$  relative to  $\overline{x} = l_p \cap l_q$ .

Then

$$x_{pq}(t) := \begin{cases} v_p + t(\overline{x} - v_p) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbf{R} \\ \overline{x} + (t - 1) (v_q - \overline{x}) \text{ for } t \in [1, 2] \subseteq \mathbf{R} \end{cases}$$

is a continuous mapping of  $[0, 2] \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  onto the union  $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{M}$  of the line segments  $[v_p, \overline{x}]$ ,  $[\overline{x}, v_q]$ . Let  $v(t) = \varphi(x(t))$ . Hence v(t) is a continuous mapping of the connected intervall [0, 2] onto the arc  $\mathscr{A}$  joining  $v_p$  with  $\overline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$  with  $v_q$  on the boundary of  $\mathscr{C}$ . Since  $\mathscr{C}$  is strictly convex,  $\mathscr{A}$ consists only of extreme points and is a connected set. Using the homeomorphism  $E(K) \leftrightarrow A(G)$  we find, in  $A(p \lor q)$ , an arc joining pand q.  $\neg$ 

5. If  $l_p$  and  $l_q$  are parallel, we take  $l_r := (x \in \mathscr{E} : \mu(x, r) = 1)$  as auxiliary line being parallel neither with  $l_p$  nor with  $l_q$ . Then the foregoing scheme applied twice yields also an connected arc in  $A(p \lor q)$ .  $\neg$ 

Using Theorem 13 and Theorem 17 and the notion of states instead of ensembles ZIERLER shows in [8]:

**Theorem 18.** G is a topological lattice; i.e. orthocomplementation, lattice union and intersection are continuous operations.

Collecting the results of ZIERLER [8, 9] and former results [10], MACLAREN has in [11] given the following representation theorem for G.

**Theorem 19.** If G contains at least four orthogonal atoms, then G is isomorphic to the lattice of all subspaces of a finite-dimensional Hilbert-space H over the real, complex or quaternionic numbers.

# IV. The Representation Theorem for the Dual Pair (K, L)

**Theorem 20.** Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be the cone of all positive semidefinite operators of the finite-dimensional Hilbert-space H. By  $\mathscr{K}$  and  $\hat{\mathscr{L}}$  we denote the subsets  $(V \in \mathscr{P} : \mathbf{Tr} V = 1)$  and  $(F \in \mathscr{P} : F \leq 1)$ , respectively.

Then there exists a pair of topological isomorphisms  $(\psi, \chi) : (K, \hat{L}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \hat{\mathcal{L}})$  such that:

1.  $\psi$  preserves extremality in both directions.

2.  $\chi$  preserves partial ordering in both directions.

#### P. STOLZ:

3. The mapping  $\mu$  and the trace of operators are related by  $\mu(v, f) = \mathbf{Tr}(\psi(v) \cdot \chi(f)).$ 

*Proof.* The proof is divided into five steps.

1. The lattice  $\mathfrak{P}$  of projections of the finite-dimensional *Hilbert*-space H is orthoisomorphic to the subspace-lattice L(H) of H which is orthoisomorphic to G by Theorem 19.

Let  $\mathscr{H}$  be the real linear space spanned by the cone  $\mathscr{P}$  of all positive semidefinite linear operators of H and let  $\overline{\chi}$  be the orthoisomorphic mapping  $G \to \mathfrak{P}$ . By  $\chi(\sum \lambda_i e_i) = \sum \lambda_i \overline{\chi}(e_i), \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}, e_i \in G$ , we have extended  $\overline{\chi}$  to a linear mapping  $\chi$  of B' into  $\mathscr{H}$ . Since each real operator of H has an unique decomposition  $\sum \lambda_i E_i$ , with  $E_i \in \mathfrak{P}$  pairwise orthogonal and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , the mapping  $\chi$  is also an isomorphism of B' onto  $\mathscr{H}$ .

2. By a fundamental theorem of GLEASON [15], to each orthomeasure m of  $\mathfrak{P}$  with  $\dim \mathfrak{P} \geq 3$ , there exists a positive-semidefinite operator V of H defined by  $m_v(E) = \mathbf{Tr}(VE)$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{P}$ . Hence to each  $v \in K$  there corresponds an operator  $V = \overline{\psi}(v) \in \mathscr{P}$  and only one. For if there is another  $V' \in \mathscr{P}$  satisfying  $m_v(E) = \mathbf{Tr} V'E$ , we should have  $\mathbf{Tr}(V' - V)E = 0$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{P}$  and particularly for all atoms  $P_x \in \mathfrak{P}$ . That would mean  $\mathbf{Tr}(V' - V) P_x = \langle x, (V - V') x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in H$ , contrary to  $V \neq V'$ . For all  $v \in K$ , we have  $\mathbf{Tr} \ \overline{\psi}(v) = m_v(1) = \mu(v, 1) = 1$ ; i.e.,  $\overline{\psi}$  is a mapping of K into  $\mathscr{K}$ . By  $\psi(\sum \lambda_i v_i) := \sum \lambda_i \ \overline{\psi}(v_i)$ , with  $v_i \in K$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have extended  $\overline{\psi}$  to a linear mapping  $\psi$  of B into the real linear space  $\mathscr{H}$ .

3. The linear mapping  $\psi$  is injective and bicontinuous. To prove the first property it suffices to show that  $\bar{\psi}$  is an injective mapping. Let  $v_1, v_2 \in K$  with  $\bar{\psi}(v_1) = \bar{\psi}(v_2)$ . Hence  $\mu(v_1, f) = Tr(\bar{\psi}(v_1) \cdot \chi(f)) = Tr(\bar{\psi}(v_2) \cdot \chi(f)) = \mu(v_2, f)$  for all  $f \in \hat{L}$ ; by axiom 1  $\beta$ , we find then  $v_1 = v_2$ .

According to  $||v|| = 1 = \mu(v, 1) = \mathbf{Tr} \psi(v) \ge |\psi(v)|$ , with the operatornorm  $|\cdot|$ , the injective mapping  $\psi$  is continuous. Yet with the compactness of K this implies the bicontinuity of  $\psi$ .

4. The mapping  $\psi: K \to \mathscr{K}$  being linear, injective and bicontinuous obviously preserves extremality in both directions.

Because of the inequality  $1 = Tr V \ge \sup (Tr V P_x : P_x \in A(\mathfrak{P}))$ =  $\sup (\langle x, Vx \rangle : x \in H \text{ and } ||x|| = 1) = : |V|$ , the set  $\mathscr{H} \supseteq \psi(K)$  is a subset of the unit ball  $\mathscr{B}$  of the linear space  $\mathscr{H}$ .

Now, according to a theorem of KADISON [16] the set of all extreme points of  $\mathscr{P} \cap \mathscr{B}$  equals the set  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

Since  $\psi(K) \subseteq \mathscr{H} \subseteq \mathscr{P} \cap \mathscr{B}$  and Tr V = 1 for all  $V \in \psi(K)$ , the set  $E(\psi(K))$  of all extreme points of  $\psi(K)$  must be even a subset of  $A(\mathfrak{P})$ . So, to every  $v_p = K_1(p) \subseteq K$  there corresponds only one atom  $P \in A(\mathfrak{P}) \cap \psi(K)$ . But being isomorphic to A(G), E(K) is also isomorphic to  $A(\mathfrak{P})$ . Hence we have the equation  $A(\mathfrak{P}) = E(\mathscr{H}) = E(\psi(K))$ . Now  $\psi: K \to \mathscr{H}$  is a surjection. This may be seen as follows: since Tr V = 1, each  $V \in \mathscr{K} \subseteq \mathscr{H}$  has a convex decomposition  $V = \sum \lambda_i P_i$ , with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ . Because of  $E(\psi(K)) = A(\mathfrak{P})$ , each  $\psi^{-1}(P_i)$  is defined and is an extreme point  $v_{pi} \in K$ . Being a convex set, K contains the convex decomposition  $v = \psi^{-1}(V) = \sum \lambda_i v_{pi}$ .

5. Now we will prove that the mapping  $\chi: \hat{L} \to \hat{\mathscr{L}}$  is an isomorphism and preserves order in both directions.

First we show that  $\mathbf{Tr} \ VF_1 \leq \mathbf{Tr} \ VF_2$  holds for all  $V \in \mathscr{K}$  iff  $F_1 \leq F_2$ ,  $\leq$  being the ordering in  $\mathscr{P}$ . This may be seen as follows:  $\mathbf{Tr} \ VF_1 \leq \mathbf{Tr} \ VF_2$  for all  $V \in \mathscr{K}$  implies  $\mathbf{Tr} \ P_x F_1 \leq \mathbf{Tr} \ P_x F_2$  for all  $P_x \in A(\mathfrak{P}) = E(\mathscr{K})$ ; i.e.,  $\langle x, F_1 x \rangle \leq \langle x, F_2 x \rangle$  for all  $x \in H$ . Thus  $F_1 \leq F_2$ . Therefore, because of the convex decomposition  $V = \sum \lambda_i P_i$  for each  $V \in \mathscr{K}$  and the linearity of the trace,  $F_1 \leq F_2$  being equivalent to  $\mathbf{Tr} \ P_i F_1 \leq \mathbf{Tr} \ P_i F_2$  for all  $P_i \in A(\mathfrak{P})$  implies  $\mathbf{Tr} \ VF_1 \leq \mathbf{Tr} \ VF_2$  for all  $V \in \mathscr{K}$ .

Since for  $f_1, f_2 \in \hat{L}, f_1 \leq f_2$  means  $\mu(v, f_1) \leq \mu(v, f_2)$  for all  $v \in K$  and because  $\psi: K \to \mathcal{K}$  is an isomorphism,  $\chi$  is obviously order preserving in both directions and hence maps  $\hat{L}$  onto  $\hat{\mathscr{L}} := (F \in \mathcal{P} : F \leq 1)$ .

The inequality  $||f|| = \sup(|\mu(x, f)| : x \in B, ||x|| = 1) \ge \sup(TrPF : P \in A(\mathfrak{P})) = |F|$  and the compactness of  $\hat{L}$  imply the bicontinuity of  $\chi$ .  $\neg$ 

Now it remains to show that the system  $(\mathcal{K}, \hat{\mathcal{L}})$  is a solution of the axiomatic scheme  $(K, \hat{L})$ . For that we must know the annihilator sets in  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  as well as the C(V)-sets in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The set  $\mathfrak{M} := (x \in H : Fx = 0, F \in \hat{\mathscr{L}})$  is a subspace of H. Let E be the projection onto the subspace complementary to  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then we have F(1-E) = 0 for all  $x \in H$ ; i.e., F = FE = EFE.

Since  $0 \leq F \leq 1$  and  $\langle x, Fx \rangle = \langle x, EFEx \rangle = \langle Ex, FEx \rangle \leq \langle Ex, Ex \rangle$ =  $\langle x, Ex \rangle$  for all  $x \in H$ , we find  $F \leq E$ .

Now this being the case, we find  $E_i \leq E$  for the pairwise orthogonal projections  $E_i$  in the unique decomposition  $F = \sum \lambda_i E_i$ ; hence  $\sum E_i \leq E$  and finally  $FE = \sum \lambda_i E_i E = \sum \lambda_i E_i = F$ . However, being the smallest projection with FE = F, E must be equal to  $\sum E_i$  and is said to be the *carrier of* F. Hence, denoting by  $E_A$  the carrier of  $A \in \mathcal{P}$  and noticing Tr VF = 0 iff VF = 0 for all  $V, F \in \mathcal{P}$  we find.

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathscr{H}_0(F) = (V \in \mathscr{H} : VE_F = 0)$  and  $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_0(V) = (F \in \hat{\mathscr{L}} : FE_V = 0)$ .

Next, we show.

Lemma 2.  $C(V) = \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ .

Proof. Obviously  $\mathscr{H}_0(1-E_V)$  is closed and convex. Let  $]V_1, V_2[$  be an open line segment in  $\mathscr{H}$  containing  $\widetilde{V} \in \mathscr{H}_0(1-E_V)$ . Then there holds  $\widetilde{V} = \lambda V_1 + (1-\lambda) V_2, \lambda \in ]0, 1[$ ; This implies  $0 = \widetilde{V}(1-E_V)$  $= \lambda V_1(1-E_V) + (1-\lambda) V_2(1-E_V)$ . Since  $\lambda$  and  $1-\lambda$  are positive

#### P. Stolz:

numbers, we find  $V_1(1 - E_V) = V_2(1 - E_V) = 0$ . On account of the convexity of  $\mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ , this implies  $[V_1, V_2] \subseteq \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ . Hence,  $\mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$  is an extremal set. Obviously  $V \in \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ ; hence  $C(V) \subseteq \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ . To show the converse inclusion, let  $\overline{V}(\pm V)$  be another point of  $\mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ . We decompose  $E_V$  into a sum of m pairwise orthogonal atoms  $P_i$ . Being internal point of the simplex  $(P_1 \dots P_m)$  which spans  $\mathcal{M}(\mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V))$ , V is also internal point of  $\mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ . Hence a  $V' \in \mathscr{K}$  exists such that  $V \in ]\overline{V}$ , V'[. Then, by Theorem 6, there follows  $\overline{V} \in C(V)$ . Thus we have shown  $C(V) \supseteq \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_V)$ , too. Now we are able to verify the axioms. By the remark that  $\mathbf{Tr} A P = 0$  for all  $P \in A(\mathfrak{P})$  iff A = 0, we see that Axiom 1 holds.

Axiom 2a: Let  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  be the carrier of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , respectively. For all  $V \in \tilde{\mathscr{K}}_0 := (\overline{V} \in \mathscr{K} : \overline{V}E_1 = \overline{V}E_2 = 0)$  we find  $V(1 - E_1) = V(1 - E_2) = V$ . Hence for  $F := (1 - E_1)(1 - E_2)$  there holds  $0 \leq F = F(1 - E_i)$ , i.e.,  $0 \leq F \leq 1 - E_i \leq 1$  or  $1 \geq 1 - F \geq E_i$  (i = 1, 2). On the other hand, V(1 - F) = 0. Thus  $F_3 := 1 - F \in \mathscr{L}$  satisfies the conditions  $F_3 \geq E_i \geq F_i$  and  $\mathscr{K}_0(F_3) = \widetilde{\mathscr{K}_0} = \mathscr{K}_0(F_1) \cap \mathscr{K}_0(F_2)$  of Axiom 2a.

Axiom 2 b: Obviously we have  $\hat{\mathscr{L}} = \hat{\mathscr{T}}$ . Since  $E_F \geq F$  is the smallest projection E satisfying  $\mathscr{K}_0(F) \geq \mathscr{K}_0(E)$  Axiom 2 b holds.

Axiom 3:  $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_0(V_1) = \hat{\mathscr{L}}_0(V_2)$  means  $(F \in \hat{\mathscr{L}} : FE_1 = 0) = (F \in \hat{\mathscr{L}} : FE_2 = 0)$ , with  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  the carriers of  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ , respectively; hence  $F \leq 1 - E_1$  iff  $F \leq 1 - E_2$  for all  $F \in \hat{\mathscr{L}}$ . This implies  $1 - E_1 = 1 - E_2$  and  $C(V_1) = \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_1) = \mathscr{K}_0(1 - E_2) = C(V_2)$ .

Axiom 4: The lattice  $\mathfrak{P}$  of projections is modular. Thus Axiom 4' holds equivalently.

Summarizing Theorem 20 with the above results, we have shown. Theorem 21. The system  $(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{L}) := ((V \in \mathscr{P} : \mathbf{Tr} V = 1), (F \in \mathscr{P} : F \leq 1))$  of positive-semidefinite linear operators of the finite-dimensional Hilbert-space H, given by Theorem 19, is a categorical solution of the axiomatic scheme  $(K, \widehat{L})$ .

I am indebted to Prof. G. LUDWIG for his stimulating guidance. I also thank Dr. G. DÄHN and Mr. W. PREDIGER for critical remarks.

### References

- 1. LUDWIG, G.: Versuch einer axiomatischen Grundlegung der Quantenmechanik und allgemeinerer physikalischer Theorien Z. Physik 181, 233-260 (1964).
- Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories II. Commun. Math. Phys. 4, 331-348 (1967).
- Hauptsätze über das Messen als Grundlage der Hilbert-Raumstruktur der Quantenmechanik. Z. Naturforsch. 22 a, 1303–1323 (1967).
- Ein weiterer Hauptsatz über das Messen als Grundlage der Hilbert-Raumstruktur der Quantenmechanik. Z. Naturforsch. 22 a, 1324—1327 (1967).

- 5. LUDWIG, G.: Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories III. Commun. Math. Phys. 9, 1-12 (1968).
- DÄHN, G.: Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories IV. Commun. Math. Phys. 9, 192-211 (1968).
- MACKEY, G. W.: Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics. New York: W. A. Benjamin 1963.
- ZIERLER, N.: Axioms for non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Pacific J. Math. 11. 2, 1151-1169 (1961).
- 9. On the lattice of closed subspaces of Hilbert-space. Pacific J. Math. 19. 3, 583—586 (1966).
- MACLAREN, M. D.: Atomic orthocomplemented lattices. Pacific J. Math. 14, 597-612 (1964).
- 11. Notes on axioms for quantum mechanics, Argonne National Laboratory ANL-7065, 1—21 (1965).
- 12. PIRON, C.: Axiomatique quantique. Helv. Phys. Acta 37, 439-468 (1964).
- JAUCH, J. M.: Foundations of quantum mechanics. London: Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp. 1968.
- 14. MAEDA, F.: Kontinuierliche Geometrien. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer 1958.
- GLEASON, A. M.: Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert-space. J. Math. Mech. 6, 885-893 (1957).
- KADISON, R. V.: Isometries of operator algebras. Ann. Math. 54, 325-338 (1951).

P. STOLZ Institut f. Theoretische Physik (I) der Universität 3550 Marburg a. d. Lahn, Renthof 7