

## On the Reduction of the Regular Representation of the Poincaré Group

G. RIDEAU

Institut Poincaré, Paris

Received February 14, 1966

**Abstract.** The decomposition of the regular representation of the Poincaré group into irreducible representations is given.

### I

We denote by  $(a, \Lambda)$  any element of the Poincaré group  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $a$  is a 4-Translation and  $\Lambda$  an element of the Lorentz group  $G$ . In the following, we shall not distinguish between  $G$  and its universal covering  $SL(2, C)$ . The multiplication law in  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by:

$$(a_1, \Lambda_1) (a_2, \Lambda_2) = (a_1 + \Lambda_1 a_2, \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2) . \tag{1}$$

We consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , the elements of which are functions with square modulus integrable with respect to Haar measure. The mapping

$$f(a, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{(a_0, \Lambda_0)} f(a + \Lambda a_0, \Lambda \Lambda_0) \tag{2}$$

defines a unitary representation of  $\mathcal{P}$ , the so-called right regular representation. In this work, we shall explicitly decompose this representation into irreducible components.

We set:

$$\hat{f}(\hat{a}, \Lambda) = \int f(a, \Lambda) e^{-i \Lambda^{-1} a \cdot \hat{a}} da \tag{3}$$

where  $a \cdot b$  is the Lorentzian scalar product. Now:

$$f(a, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \hat{f}(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i \Lambda^{-1} a \cdot \hat{a}} d\hat{a} \tag{4}$$

$$\int |f(a, \Lambda)|^2 da d\Lambda = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int |\hat{f}(\hat{a}, \Lambda)|^2 d\hat{a} d\Lambda . \tag{5}$$

Therefore, equation (3) defines an isometric mapping of  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ , Hilbert space, the elements of which are functions with square modulus integrable with respect to measure  $d\hat{a} d\Lambda$ .

Transformation (2) induces in  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ :

$$\hat{f}(\hat{a}, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{(a_0, \Lambda_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} \hat{f}(\Lambda_0^{-1} \hat{a}, \Lambda \Lambda_0) . \tag{6}$$

Let, generally,  $\Omega_m$  be the hyperboloid:

$$\hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} = m^2$$

and, if  $m^2 > 0$ , let  $\Omega_m^+$ ,  $\Omega_m^-$  be superior and inferior sheets of  $\Omega_m$ .

We set:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_{m^2}(\hat{a}, A) &= \hat{f}(\hat{a}, A) \quad \text{for } \hat{a} \in \Omega_m, m^2 < 0 \\ f_{m^2}^\pm(\hat{a}, A) &= \hat{f}(\hat{a}, A) \quad \text{for } \hat{a} \in \Omega_m^\pm, m^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Now, taking into account equation (6), we have:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_{m^2}(\hat{a}, A) &\xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} f_{m^2}(A_0^{-1} \hat{a}, A A_0) \\ f_{m^2}^\pm(\hat{a}, A) &\xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} f_{m^2}^\pm(A_0^{-1} \hat{a}, A A_0) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

and, obviously:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f(\hat{a}, A)|^2 d\hat{a} dA &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dm^2 \left[ \int |f_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, A)|^2 d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) dA + \right. \\ &\left. + \int |f_{m^2}^-(\hat{a}, A)|^2 d\sigma_m^-(\hat{a}) dA \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 dm^2 \int |f_{m^2}(\hat{a}, A)|^2 d\sigma_m(\hat{a}) dA \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a})$ ,  $d\sigma_m^-(\hat{a})$ ,  $d\sigma_m(\hat{a})$  are invariant measures for  $\Omega_m^+$ ,  $\Omega_m^-$  and  $\Omega_m$  respectively. This shows that the representation of  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by (6) is a direct integral of representations defined by (8). Our problem will now be resolved if we reduce these simpler representations.

## II

First, we study, the representation corresponding to  $f_{m^2}^\pm(\hat{a}, A)$ , denoted now, in short, by  $\varphi(\hat{a}, A)$ . These functions are defined on  $\Omega_m^+ \times G$  and have square modulus integrable with respect to invariant measure  $d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) dA$ .

We can associate to each  $\hat{a} \in \Omega_m^+$  the matrix  $\begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , the element in  $SL(2, C)$  which transforms the apex  $Q_0$  of  $\Omega_m^+$  ([1]) into  $\hat{a}$ .

Now, if  $A = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{vmatrix}$ , we have:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \gamma & \delta & \zeta \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \zeta_1 & \lambda_1^{-1} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} u & v \\ -\bar{v} & \bar{u} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \lambda_1 > 0, |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1 \quad (10)$$

and we write:

$$F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1}(\lambda, \zeta, \bar{u}) = \varphi(\hat{a}, A), \quad \bar{u} = \begin{vmatrix} u & v \\ -\bar{v} & \bar{u} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Taking (8) into account, we deduce:

$$F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1}(\lambda, \zeta, \bar{u}) \xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1}(\lambda', \zeta', \bar{u} \bar{u}') \quad (12)$$

where  $\lambda'$ ,  $\zeta'$ ,  $\bar{u}'$  are defined by:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 & \delta_0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} u' & v' \\ -\bar{v}' & \bar{u}' \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda' & 0 \\ \zeta' & \lambda'^{-1} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

On the other side, one establish easily, if  $d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) = \frac{d^3\hat{a}}{a_0}$ :

$$\int |\varphi(\hat{a}, \Lambda)|^2 d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) d\Lambda = m^2 \int \frac{d\lambda_1 d\zeta_1}{\lambda_1^3} \int |F_{\lambda_1 \zeta_1}(\lambda, \zeta, \tilde{u})|^2 \frac{d\lambda d\zeta}{\lambda^3} d\tilde{u} \quad (14)$$

where  $d\zeta(d\zeta_1)$  denotes the surface element in the complex plane of  $\zeta(\zeta_1)$ , and  $d\tilde{u}$  is the invariant measure on  $SU(2)$ . From this result, we deduce that the representation of  $\mathcal{P}$ , defined by (8) is a direct integral of the representations defined by (12).

Since  $F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1}(\lambda, \zeta, \tilde{u})$  is of square modulus integrable on  $SU(2)$  for almost all  $\lambda_1, \zeta_1, \lambda, \zeta$ , we shall write ([2]):

$$F_{\lambda_1 \zeta_1}(\lambda, \zeta, \tilde{u}) = \sum_s \sum_{j=-s}^{+s} \sum_{j'=-s}^{+s} F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1; j', j}^s(\lambda, \zeta) D_{j' j}^s(\tilde{u}) \quad (15)$$

where  $s$  runs over all integers or half-integers and where  $D_{j' j}^s(\tilde{u})$  denotes the customary matrix element of the  $SU(2)$  representation  $D^s$ . From (12), we associate to each  $(a_0, \Lambda_0)$  the transformation:

$$F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1; j', j}^s(\lambda, \zeta) \xrightarrow{(a_0, \Lambda_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} \sum_{k'=-s}^{+s} D_{j' k'}^s(\tilde{u}') F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1; k' j}^s(\lambda', \zeta') \quad (16)$$

which is one possible form for the unitary irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{P}$  with mass  $m$  and spin  $s$  ([1]). Taking into account orthogonality relations for the  $D_{j' j}^s(\tilde{u})$ , we have:

$$\int \frac{d\lambda d\zeta}{\lambda^3} \int d\tilde{u} |F_{\lambda_1 \zeta_1}(\lambda, \zeta, \tilde{u})|^2 = \sum_{s, j} \frac{1}{2s+1} \sum_{j'} \int \frac{d\lambda d\zeta}{\lambda^3} |F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1; j' j}^s(\lambda, \zeta)|^2 \quad (17)$$

and this finishes the reduction into irreducible components for the representation of (8) corresponding to  $f_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda)$ .

Obviously, we can proceed in the same way for the representation (8) corresponding to  $f_{m^2}^-(\hat{a}, \Lambda)$ . Therefore, we have studied the case  $m^2 > 0$  in its entirety.

### III

Now, we consider the case  $m^2 < 0$ . First, we must notice that for almost all elements  $\Lambda = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{vmatrix}$ , we can write:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^\varepsilon \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1, \quad \varepsilon = 0, 1, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (18)$$

which is true for  $|\delta|^2 - |\beta|^2 \neq 0$ , or:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^\varepsilon \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{vmatrix} \quad (19)$$

which is true for  $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 \neq 0$  ([6]).

If  $Q_0 \in \Omega_m$  has coordinates  $(0, 0, 0, m)$  we can associate to each point  $\hat{a} \in \Omega_m$ , the new coordinates  $(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta)$  which, from (18), label a right coset

of  $SL(2, C)$  with respect to  $SU(1, 1)$ . Writing now:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{-\varepsilon} = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \zeta_1 & \lambda_1^{-1} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{-\varepsilon_1} \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{vmatrix}, \varepsilon_1 = 0, 1 \quad (20)$$

we shall define  $F_{(\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1)}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta, \tilde{a})$  by:

$$F_{(\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1)}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta, \tilde{a}) = \varphi(\hat{a}, A), \quad A = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{vmatrix} \quad (21)$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  is the matrix  $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{vmatrix}$  in the right member of (20) and where  $(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta)$  corresponds to  $\hat{a}$ . To simplify, we omit the index  $m^2$ .

Transformation (8) gives:

$$F_{(\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1)}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta, \hat{a}) \xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} F_{(\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1)}(\varepsilon', \lambda', \zeta', \tilde{a} \tilde{a}') \quad (22)$$

where  $\varepsilon', \lambda', \zeta', \tilde{a}'$  are defined by:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{\varepsilon} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 & \delta_0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a' & b' \\ \bar{b}' & \bar{a}' \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{\varepsilon'} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda' & 0 \\ \zeta' & \lambda'^{-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

On the other hand, one established immediately with  $d\sigma_m(\hat{a}) = \frac{d^3 \hat{a}}{|\hat{a}_0|}$ :

$$\int |\varphi(\hat{a}, A)|^2 d\sigma_m(\hat{a}) dA = m^2 \sum_{\varepsilon_1=0,1} \int \frac{d\lambda_1 d\zeta_1}{\lambda_3} \sum_{\varepsilon=0,1} \int \quad (23)$$

$$|F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta, \tilde{a})|^2 \frac{d\lambda d\zeta}{\lambda^2} d\tilde{a}$$

where  $d\tilde{a}$  is Haar measure for  $SU(1, 1)$ . Therefore, the representation of  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by (8) is a direct integral of the representations defined by (22).

Now, from (23),  $F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta, \tilde{a})$  has square modulus integrable on  $SU(1, 1)$  for almost all  $\lambda, \zeta, \lambda_1, \zeta_1$ . We can thus write (cf. Appendix for the notations):

$$F_{(\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1)}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta, \tilde{a}) = \sum_{\eta=0,1} \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\infty d\varrho F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}^{(m, n)}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta; \varrho, \eta) \times \\ \times D_{nm}(\tilde{a}; \varrho, \eta) + \sum_{+,-} \sum_{s=2}^\infty \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}^{\pm(m, n)}\left(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta; \frac{s}{2}\right) D_{n,m}^\pm\left(\tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2}\right)$$

and from (2.2) obtain the transformations:

$$F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}^{(m, n)}(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta; \varrho, \eta) \xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} D_{mp}(\tilde{a}'; \varrho, \eta) \times \\ \times F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}^{(p, n)}(\varepsilon', \lambda', \zeta'; \varrho, \eta) \quad (24)$$

$$F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}^{\pm(m, n)}\left(\varepsilon, \lambda, \zeta; \frac{s}{2}\right) \xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{i a_0 \cdot \hat{a}} \sum_{p=0}^\infty D_{m,p}^\pm\left(\tilde{a}'; \frac{s}{2}\right) F_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1, \zeta_1}^{\pm(p, n)}\left(\varepsilon', \lambda', \zeta'; \frac{s}{2}\right). \quad (25)$$

Taking account of equation (A.6) in the Appendix, it is obvious that our study of the case  $m^2 < 0$  is complete, because, in (24) and (25), we recognize one possible form for the unitary irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{P}$  with imaginary mass, induced by the representation  $D(\tilde{a}, \varrho, \eta)$  and  $D^\pm\left(\tilde{a}, \frac{s}{2}\right)$  of the little group  $SU(1, 1)$ .

IV

In the following  $f(a, A)$  is an infinitely often differentiable function with compact support. If  $T^{+(s,m)}(a, A)$  denotes the operators of the unitary irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{P}$  with mass  $m$ , spin  $s$ , corresponding to  $\Omega_m^+$ , we consider the operator:

$$\int da dA T^{+(s,m)}(a, A)^{-1} f(a, A). \tag{26}$$

The  $T^{+(s,m)}(a, A)$  acts on Hilbert space of functions  $h_i(\hat{a})$ ,  $\hat{a} \in \Omega_m^+$ ,  $-s \leq i \leq s$ , such that:

$$\sum_i \int |h_i(\hat{a})|^2 d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) < \infty.$$

As transformation law, we have:

$$h_i(\hat{a}) \xrightarrow{(a_0, A_0)} e^{ia_0 \cdot \hat{a}} \sum_i D_{i, i'}^s(\tilde{u}') h_{i'}(\hat{a}')$$

where  $\tilde{u}'$  and  $\hat{a}'$  are defined by:

$$A_{\hat{a}} A_0 = \tilde{u}' A_{\hat{a}'}$$

Here,  $A_{\hat{a}}$  denotes the matrix  $\begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \zeta & \lambda^{-1} \end{vmatrix}$  which transforms  $Q_0$  into  $\hat{a}$ . We have now:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int da dA f(a, A) T^{+(s,m)}(a, A)^{-1} h_j(\hat{a}) \\ &= \int da dA f(a, A) e^{-iA^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} \sum_{j'} D_{j' j}^s(u_1^{-1}) h_{j'}(\hat{a}_1) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

with

$$A_{\hat{a}} A^{-1} = \tilde{u}_1^{-1} A_{\hat{a}_1}$$

If we choose as a new variable in the right member of (27):

$$A_0 = A A_{\hat{a}}^{-1} = A_{\hat{a}_1}^{-1} \tilde{u}_1$$

and notice that:

$$dA_0 = \frac{1}{m^2} d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}_1) d\tilde{u}_1$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int da dA f(a, A) T^{+(s,m)}(a, A)^{-1} h_j(\hat{a}) \\ &= \sum_{j'} \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}_1) \left[ \int d\tilde{u} \frac{1}{m^2} \hat{f}_{m_2}^+(\hat{a}, A_{\hat{a}_1}^{-1} \tilde{u} A_{\hat{a}}) D_{j' j}^s(\tilde{u}) \right] \times h_{j'}(\hat{a}_1) \\ &= \sum_{j'} \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}_1) K_j^{+(jj')}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1; m, s) h_{j'}(\hat{a}_1). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

From orthogonality relations between the  $D_{j' j}^s(\tilde{u})$  and taking into account equations (11), (15), we conclude immediately:

$$F_{\lambda_1, \zeta_1, j, j'}^+(\lambda, \zeta) = m^2 (2s + 1) K_j^{+(jj')}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1; m, s)$$

and it is easy to prove that  $K_j^{+(jj')}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1, m, s)$  is the kernel for a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

It is obvious that we can treat in the same way unitary irreducible representations corresponding to  $\Omega_m^-$ . We denote by  $K_f^{-\langle i, j' \rangle}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1; m, s)$  the corresponding kernel. For the representations with imaginary mass induced by representations  $D(\tilde{a}; \varrho, \eta)$  and  $D^\pm(\tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2})$  of the little group, we can apply a similar procedure with the modifications implied by the particular parametrization of  $\Omega_m$  and the Plancherel measure on  $SU(1, 1)$ . One can repeat word by word the preceding reasoning, as one will easily see if one takes, as point of departure, a form of representations similar to (24) and (25). We always obtain thus kernels for Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

This being said, we wish now to express  $f(a, \Lambda)$  in terms of its components. First of all, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(a, \Lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^\infty dm^2 \\
 &\left[ \hat{f}_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i\Lambda^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) + \int \hat{f}_{m^2}^-(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i\Lambda^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} d\sigma_m^-(\hat{a}) \right] + \quad (29) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 dm^2 \int \hat{f}_{m^2}(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i\Lambda^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} d\sigma_m(\hat{a}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

We give detailed calculations for the first term in the right member; the other terms can be treated in the same way. We can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \hat{f}_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i\Lambda^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) &= \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) \hat{f}_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda_{\hat{a}_1}^{-1} \tilde{u} \Lambda \hat{a}) e^{i(\Lambda_{\hat{a}_1}^{-1} \tilde{u}^{-1} \Lambda_{\hat{a}_1}) a \cdot \hat{a}} \\
 &= \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) \hat{f}_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda_{\hat{a}_1}^{-1} \tilde{u} \Lambda_{\hat{a}}) e^{i a \cdot \hat{a}_1} \quad (30)
 \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of the  $\Lambda_{\hat{a}}$ 's. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) \hat{f}_{m^2}^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i\Lambda^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} &= \sum_s (2s + 1) \times \\
 &\times \sum_{j, j'} m^2 \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) K_f^{+\langle j, j' \rangle}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1; m, s) D_{j, j'}^s(\tilde{u}) e^{i a \cdot \hat{a}_1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Lambda_{\hat{a}_1}, \tilde{u}, \Lambda_{\hat{a}}$  are such that

$$\Lambda_{\hat{a}_1} \cdot \Lambda = \tilde{u} \cdot \Lambda_{\hat{a}} .$$

Now, let us consider:

$$T^{+(s, m)}(a, \Lambda) \int da' d\Lambda' f(a', \Lambda') T^{+(s, m)}(a', \Lambda')^{-1} .$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T^{+(s, m)}(a, \Lambda) \int da' d\Lambda' f(a', \Lambda') T^{+(s, m)}(a', \Lambda')^{-1} h_j(\hat{a}) \\
 &= T^{+(s, m)}(a, \Lambda) \sum_{j'} \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}_1) K_f^{+\langle j, j' \rangle}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1; m, s) h_{j'}(\hat{a}_1) \\
 &= e^{i a \cdot \hat{a}} \sum_k D_{j, k}^s(\tilde{u}') \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}_1) K_f^{+\langle k, j' \rangle}(\hat{a}', \hat{a}_1; m, s) h_{j'}(\hat{a}_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{u}', \hat{a}'$  are defined by:

$$\Lambda_{\hat{a}} \Lambda = \tilde{u}' \Lambda_{\hat{a}'} .$$

From this follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j'} \int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) K_i^{+(j,j')}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}_1; m, s) D_{j',j}^s(\tilde{u}) e^{i\hat{a} \cdot \hat{a}_1} \\ &= \text{Tr } T^{+(s,m)}(a, \Lambda) \int da' d\Lambda' f(a', \Lambda') T^{+(s,m)}(a', \Lambda')^{-1} \\ &= \text{Tr} \int da' d\Lambda' f_{(a,\Lambda)}(a', \Lambda') T^{+(s,m)}(a', \Lambda')^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_{(a,\Lambda)}(a', \Lambda')$  is the right-translated by  $(a, \Lambda)$  of  $f(a', \Lambda')$ :

$$f_{(a,\Lambda)}(a', \Lambda') = f(a' + \Lambda' a, \Lambda' \Lambda).$$

If we denote by  $T^{+(s,m)}(f)$  the quantity:

$$\text{Tr} \int f(a', \Lambda') T^{+(s,m)}(a', \Lambda') da' d\Lambda'$$

we can write finally, taking into account the unitary properties:

$$\int d\sigma_m^+(\hat{a}) \hat{f}_m^+(\hat{a}, \Lambda) e^{i\Lambda^{-1}a \cdot \hat{a}} = m^2 \sum_s (2s + 1) \overline{T^{+(s,m)}(f_{(a,\Lambda)})}.$$

With similar calculations for the other terms in the right member of (30), we obtain (cf. Appendix):

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, \Lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_s (2s + 1) \int_0^\infty m^2 dm^2 (\overline{T^{+(s,m)}(f_{(a,\Lambda)})} + \overline{T^{-(s,m)}(f_{(a,\Lambda)})}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m^2| dm^2 \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho \text{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \overline{T^{e,0,i m}(f_{(a,\Lambda)})} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m^2| dm^2 \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho \text{cth} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \overline{T^{e,1,i m}(f_{(a,\Lambda)})} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{+,-} \sum_{s=1}^\infty (s-1) \int_{-\infty}^0 |m^2| dm^2 \overline{T^{\pm(\frac{s}{2}, i m)}(f_{(a,\Lambda)})} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with obvious notations.

In particular, for  $a = 0, \Lambda = e$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, e) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_s (2s + 1) \sum_{+,-} \int_0^\infty m^2 dm^2 \overline{T^{\pm(s,m)}(f)} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m|^2 dm^2 \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho \text{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \overline{T^{e,0,i m}(f)} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m|^2 dm^2 \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho \text{cth} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \overline{T^{e,1,i m}(f)} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{s=1}^\infty (s-1) \sum_{+,-} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m|^2 dm^2 \overline{T^{\pm(\frac{s}{2}, i m)}(f)}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Finally, denoting by  $K_f^+(s, m)$ ,  $K_f^-(s, m)$ ,  $K_f(\varrho, \eta, im)$  and  $K_f^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}, im\right)$  the operator corresponding to kernels connected to representations appearing in (31), (32), we obtain, applying the same calculations to (5) and (9):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |f(a, \Lambda)|^2 da d\Lambda \tag{33} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{+,-} \sum (2s + 1) \int_0^\infty m^2 dm^2 \operatorname{Tr} K_f^\pm(s, m) K_f^\pm(s, m)^* + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m^2| dm^2 \int_0^\infty d\varrho d\varrho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\varrho}{2} \operatorname{Tr} K_f(\varrho, 0, im) K_f(\varrho, 0, im)^* + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\infty}^0 |m^2| dm^2 \int_0^\infty d\varrho d\varrho \operatorname{cth} \frac{\pi\varrho}{2} \operatorname{Tr} K_f(\varrho, 1, im) K_f(\varrho, 1, im)^* + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{+,-} \sum_{s=1}^\infty (s-1) \int_{-\infty}^0 |m^2| dm^2 \operatorname{Tr} K_f^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}, im\right) K_f^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}, im\right)^* . \end{aligned}$$

As the infinitely often differentiable functions with compact support are dense in the Hilbert space of functions with square modulus integrable on  $\mathcal{P}$ , (33) is still true for all such functions. So, (31) and (33) contain the essential results concerning the Fourier transform on  $\mathcal{P}$ , this last being understood as in Guelfand's work ([7]).

### Appendix

*On unitary representation in principal series of  $SU(1, 1)$  and Plancherel formula*

a) *Continuous representations in the principal series.* Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space the elements of which are functions  $f(\varphi)$  such that:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\varphi)|^2 d\varphi < \infty .$$

Let us associate to each element  $\tilde{a} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{vmatrix}$  of  $SU(1, 1)$  the transformation

$$f(\varphi) \rightarrow (b e^{i\varphi} + \bar{a})^{i\frac{\varrho}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (\bar{b} e^{-i\varphi} + a)^{i\frac{\varrho}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} f(\varphi') = D(\tilde{a}; \varrho, \eta) f(\varphi) \tag{A.1}$$

where  $\varrho > 0$ ,  $\eta = 0$  or  $1$  and  $\varphi'$  is given by:

$$e^{i\varphi'} = \frac{a e^{i\varphi} + \bar{b}}{b e^{i\varphi} + \bar{a}} .$$

(A.1) defines a unitary irreducible representation of  $SU(1, 1)$  for which Casimir's operator has the value:

$$q = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\varrho^2}{4} .$$



If  $\eta = 0$ , one obtains representations, isomorphic to representation  $C_q^0$  in Bargmann's work and, if  $\eta = 1$ , to representations  $C_q^{1/2} \left( q > \frac{1}{4} \right)$ .

We take as a norm in  $\mathcal{H}$ , Bargmann's value:

$$\|f\| = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty |f(\varphi)|^2 d\varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*b) Discrete representation in the principal series.* Let  $\mathcal{H}_s$  be the Hilbert space the elements of which are functions  $f(\zeta)$ , analytic in the disk  $|\zeta| < 1$ , and such that:

$$\int_{|\zeta| < 1} |f(\zeta)|^2 (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{s-2} d\zeta < \infty \quad s \geq 2.$$

Let us associate to each element  $\tilde{a} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{vmatrix}$  of  $SU(1, 1)$  the transformation

$$f(\zeta) \rightarrow (b\zeta + \bar{a})^{-s} f\left(\frac{a\zeta + \bar{b}}{\bar{b}\zeta + \bar{a}}\right) = D^+ \left( \tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2} \right) f(\zeta) \tag{A.2}$$

or the transformation:

$$f(\zeta) \rightarrow (\bar{b}\zeta + a)^{-s} f\left(\frac{\bar{a}\zeta + b}{\bar{b}\zeta + a}\right) = D^- \left( \tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2} \right) f(\zeta). \tag{A.3}$$

We define thus unitary irreducible representations isomorphic to representations  $D_{s/2}^\pm$  in Bargmann's work.

We take as a norm in  $\mathcal{H}_s$ , Bargmann's value:

$$\|f\| = \left( \frac{s-1}{\pi} \int_{|\zeta| < 1} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{s-2} |f(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \right)^{+1/2}.$$

The discrepancies between our formulas and those of BARGMANN come from the dissimilar action of the group on homogeneous spaces (unit circle, unit disk): in our representation the group acts from the right.

*c) Plancherel formula and regular representation* ([4], [5]). Let  $D_{n,m}(\tilde{a}; \varrho, \eta)$  be matrix elements of  $D(\tilde{a}; \varrho, \eta)$  in the orthonormal basis  $e^{in\epsilon}$ ,  $-\infty \leq n \leq +\infty$  and let  $D_{n,m}^\pm \left( \tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2} \right)$  be matrix elements of  $D^\pm \left( \tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2} \right)$  in the orthonormal basis  $\left( \frac{n!(s-1)!}{(n+s-1)!} \right)^{1/2} \zeta^n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . It result from Bargmann's work that this set of function is a complete system in the Hilbert space whose elements are functions with square modulus integrable on  $SU(1, 1)$ . Let  $\phi(\tilde{a})$  be such a function; for almost all  $\tilde{a}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tilde{a}) = & \sum_{\eta=0,1} \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty d\varrho \phi_{nm}(\varrho, \eta) D_{nm}(\tilde{a}; \varrho, \eta) + \\ & + \sum_{+,-} \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \phi_{n,m}^\pm \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) D_{n,m}^\pm \left( \tilde{a}; \frac{s}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

According to orthogonality relations between matrix elements we have:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi_{nm}(\varrho, 0) &= \varrho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\varrho}{2} \int d\tilde{\alpha} \phi(\tilde{\alpha}) \overline{D_{n,m}(\tilde{\alpha}, \varrho, 0)} \\ \phi_{nm}(\varrho, 1) &= \varrho \operatorname{cth} \frac{\pi\varrho}{2} \int d\tilde{\alpha} \phi(\tilde{\alpha}) D_{n,m}(\tilde{\alpha}; \varrho, 1) \\ \phi_{n,m}^{\pm} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) &= (s-1) \int d\tilde{\alpha} \phi(\tilde{\alpha}) D_{n,m}^{\pm} \left( \tilde{\alpha}; \frac{s}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |\phi(\tilde{\alpha})|^2 d\tilde{\alpha} = \\ &= \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} d\varrho \varrho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\varrho}{2} |\phi_{n,m}(\varrho, 0)|^2 + \int_0^{\infty} d\varrho \varrho \operatorname{cth} \frac{\pi\varrho}{2} |\phi_{n,m}(\varrho, 1)|^2 \right] + \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (s-1) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \phi_{n,m}^+ \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) \right|^2 + \left| \phi_{n,m}^- \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

If we replace  $\phi(\tilde{\alpha})$  by its translated  $\phi(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\alpha}_0)$ , the coefficients in A.5 become, taking into account unitarity and invariance of  $d\tilde{\alpha}$ :

$$\sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} D_{m,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_0; \varrho, \eta) \phi_{n,p}(\varrho, \eta), \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} D_{m,p}^{\pm} \left( \tilde{\alpha}_0; \frac{s}{2} \right) \phi_{n,p}^{\pm} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right).$$

So, for  $n$  fixed, vector functions  $\phi_{n,m}(\varrho, \eta)$ ,  $\phi_{n,m}^{\pm} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)$  transform according to irreducible representations of  $SU(1, 1)$  and this with A.6, resolves the problem of decomposing the right regular representation of  $SU(1, 1)$ .

### Bibliography

- [1] RIDEAU, G.: Ann. Inst. Poincaré **3**, 339 (1965).
- [2] NAIMARK: Representations linéaires du groupe de Lorentz. Paris: Dunod 1962.
- [3] BARGMANN: Ann. Math. **48**, 568 (1947).
- [4] HARISH-CHANDRA: Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **38**, 337 (1952).
- [5] PUKANSKY: Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **69**, 504 (1963).
- [6] GRAEV: Trudy Mosk. Math. Obšč. **7**, 335 (1958) (in russian).
- [7] GUEFFAND, GRAEV, and VILENKIN: Obobščenyje functsi, tom V (für  $f$ ) (in russian).