

## MOMENTS OF COMPLEX B-SPLINES

PETER MASSOPUST\*

Institute for Biomathematics and Biometry  
Helmholtz Zentrum München  
Neuberberg, Germany  
and  
Center of Mathematics, M6  
Technische Universität München  
Garching b. München, Germany

(Communicated by Palle Jorgensen)

### Abstract

A relation between double Dirichlet averages and multivariate complex B-splines is presented. Based on this relationship, a formula for the computation of certain moments of multivariate complex B-splines is derived. In addition, an infinite-dimensional analogue of the Lauricella function  $F_B$  is defined and a relation to the moments of multivariate complex B-splines is presented.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 41A15, 26A33, 41A63, 33C65

**Keywords:** Complex B-spline, Dirichlet average, Weyl fractional derivative and integral operator,  $R$ -hypergeometric function, moments, Appell functions, Lauricella functions

## 1 Introduction

Recently, a generalization of Schoenberg's polynomial splines to complex orders  $z$  with  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$  was introduced in [7]. These so-called complex B-splines  $B_z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are defined in the Fourier domain by

$$\mathcal{F}(B_z)(\omega) =: \widehat{B}_z(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_z(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt = \left( \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{i\omega} \right)^z, \quad (1.1)$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ . Here  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier-Plancherel transform. At the origin, there exists the continuous continuation  $\widehat{B}_z(0) = 1$ . Note that since  $\left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{i\omega} \mid \omega \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y < 0\} = \emptyset$ , complex B-splines reside on the main branch of the complex logarithm and are thus well-defined.

---

\*E-mail address: massopust@ma.tum.de

Complex B-splines possess several interesting basic properties, which are discussed in [7]. In the following, we summarize the most important ones for our purposes.

Fourier inversion of (1.1) shows that complex B-splines are piecewise polynomials of complex degree. More precisely, the following result holds. (See [7] for the proof.)

**Proposition 1.1.** *Complex B-splines have a time-domain representation of the form*

$$B_z(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{z}{k} (t-k)_+^{z-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the above sum exists pointwise for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm. Here,

$$t_+^z = \begin{cases} t^z = e^{z \ln t}, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

is the complex-valued truncated power function, and  $\Gamma : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denotes the Euler Gamma function, where  $\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \leq 0\}$ .

*Remark 1.2.* For real  $z > 0$ , the function  $z \mapsto \left(\frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{i\omega}\right)^z$  and its time domain representation (1.2) were already investigated in [26] in connection with fractional powers of operators and later also in [24] in the context of extending Schoenberg's polynomial splines to real orders. In the former, a proof that this function is in  $L^1(0, \infty)$  was given using arguments from summability theory (cf. Lemma 2 in [26]), and in the latter the same result was shown but with a different proof. In addition, it was proved in [24] that for real  $z > 0$ ,  $z \mapsto \left(\frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{i\omega}\right)^z$  is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $z > 1/2$  (using our notation). (Cf. Theorem 3.2 in [24].)

Equation (1.2) shows that  $B_z$  has, in general, non-compact support contained in  $[0, \infty)$ . It was also shown in [7] that complex B-splines are elements of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and, due to their decay in frequency domain induced by the polynomial  $\omega^z$  in the denominator of (1.1), belong to the Sobolev spaces  $W_2^r(\mathbb{R})$  (with respect to the  $L^2$ -Norm and with weight  $(1 + |x|^2)^r$ ) for  $r < \operatorname{Re} z - \frac{1}{2}$ . The smoothness of their Fourier transform yields a fast decay in time domain:

$$B_z(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-m}), \quad \text{for } \mathbb{N} \ni m < \operatorname{Re} z + 1, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

*Remark 1.3.* Prior to [7], the asymptotic behavior (1.3) of the function  $z \mapsto \left(\frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{i\omega}\right)^z$  for real  $z > 1$  was already shown in [2], (Proposition 3.1), to be of order  $\mathcal{O}(x^{-z-1})$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The same estimate was proven later in [24], (Theorem 3.1), for real  $z > 0$ . As we are more interested in the approximation-theoretic aspects of complex B-splines, we restrict our attention to the case  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ , which yields continuous functions.

If  $\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Re} z_1, \operatorname{Re} z_2 > 1$ , then the convolution relation  $B_{z_1} * B_{z_2} = B_{z_1+z_2}$  and the recursion relation

$$B_z(x) = \frac{x}{z-1} B_{z-1}(x) + \frac{z-x}{z-1} B_{z-1}(x-1)$$

hold. Complex B-splines are scaling functions and generate multiresolution analyses of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and wavelets. Furthermore, they relate difference and differential operators. For more details and proofs, we refer the interested reader to [7, 9, 8, 10, 16].

Unlike the classical cardinal B-splines, complex B-splines  $B_z$  possess an additional modulation and phase factor in the frequency domain:

$$\widehat{B}_z(\omega) = \widehat{B}_{\operatorname{Re}z}(\omega) e^{i\operatorname{Im}z \ln|\Omega(\omega)|} e^{-\operatorname{Im}z \arg \Omega(\omega)},$$

where  $\Omega(\omega) := (1 - e^{-i\omega})/(i\omega)$ . The existence of these two factors allows the extraction of additional information from sampled data and the manipulation of images. Phase information ( $e^{i\operatorname{Im}z \ln|\Omega(\omega)|}$ ) and an adjustable smoothness parameter, namely  $\operatorname{Re}z$ , are already built into their definition. Thus, they define a *continuous* family, with respect to smoothness, of approximation spaces, and allow to incorporate phase information for single band frequency analysis [7, 10].

In [8] and [16], some further properties of complex B-splines were investigated. In particular, connections between complex derivatives of Riemann-Liouville or Weyl type and Dirichlet averages were exhibited. Whereas in [8] the emphasis was on univariate complex B-splines and their applications to statistical processes, multivariate complex B-splines were defined in [16] using a well-known geometric formula for classical multivariate B-splines [11, 17]. It was also shown that Dirichlet averages are especially well-suited to explore the properties of multivariate complex B-splines. Using Dirichlet averages, several classical multivariate B-spline identities were generalized to the complex setting. There also exist interesting relationships between complex B-splines, Dirichlet averages and difference operators, several of which are highlighted in [9].

In this paper, which is based on a short communication [15], we present a generalization of some results found in [5, 19] to complex B-splines. For this purpose, the concept of double Dirichlet average [3] needs to be introduced and its definition extended via projective limits to an infinite-dimensional setting suitable for complex B-splines. Moments of complex B-splines are defined and a formula for their computation in terms of a special double Dirichlet average presented. Extending the representation of a Lauricella  $F_B$  function by Carlson's  $R$ -hypergeometric function [3] to the infinite-dimensional setting, we define an infinite-dimensional analogue  $F_B^\infty$  of  $F_B$  and present an identity relating  $F_B^\infty$  to the moments of multivariate complex B-splines.

## 2 Complex B-Splines

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\Delta^n$  denote the standard  $n$ -simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ :

$$\Delta^n := \left\{ u := (u_0, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid u_j \geq 0; j = 0, 1, \dots, n; \sum_{j=0}^n u_j = 1 \right\}.$$

Note, that the set  $\Delta_0^n := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid u_j \geq 0; j = 1, \dots, n; \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \leq 1\}$ , can be identified via the bijection

$$\Delta_0^n \rightarrow \Delta^n, \quad (u_1, \dots, u_n) \mapsto \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i, u_1, \dots, u_n \right),$$

with  $\Delta^n$ . When convenient, we will employ this identification.

The extension of  $\Delta^n$  to infinite dimensions is done via projective limits. The resulting infinite-dimensional standard simplex is given by

$$\Delta^\infty := \left\{ u := (u_j)_{j \in (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0}} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j = 1 \right\},$$

and endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., the weak\*-topology. We denote by  $\mu_b = \varprojlim \mu_b^n$  the projective limit of *Dirichlet measures*  $\mu_b^n$  on the  $n$ -dimensional standard simplex  $\Delta^n$  with density

$$\frac{\Gamma(b_0) \cdots \Gamma(b_n)}{\Gamma(b_0 + \cdots + b_n)} u_0^{b_0-1} u_1^{b_1-1} \cdots u_n^{b_n-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $b_0, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} b_j > 0$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Note that by the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem (see, for instance, [23]), this measure  $\mu_b$  exists.

Below, we will use the following notation:  $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_0^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([8]). Given a weight vector  $b \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  and an increasing knot sequence  $\tau := \{\tau_k\}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  with the property that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\tau_k} \leq \varrho$ , for some  $\varrho \in [0, e)$ , a complex B-spline  $B_z(\bullet \mid b; \tau)$  of order  $z$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ , with weight vector  $b$  and knot sequence  $\tau$  is a function satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_z(t \mid b; \tau) g^{(z)}(t) dt = \int_{\Delta^\infty} g^{(z)}(\tau \cdot u) d\mu_b(u) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Remark 2.2.* We may assume, without loss of generality, that the knot sequence  $\tau$  is such that  $\tau_0 = 0$ .

Here,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\tau \cdot u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \tau_k u_k, \quad \text{for } u = \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \Delta^\infty.$$

In addition, we use the Weyl or Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative [13, 18, 22] of complex order  $z$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ ,  $W^z : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ , defined by

$$(W^z f)(x) := \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_0^\infty (t-x)_+^{\nu-1} f(t) dt,$$

with  $n = \lceil \operatorname{Re} z \rceil$ , and  $\nu = n - z$ . Here,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$  denotes the space of Schwartz functions restricted to  $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ , and  $\lceil \cdot \rceil : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x \mapsto \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq x\}$ , the *ceiling function*.

The inverse operator of  $W^z$ , is the Weyl integral of complex order  $z$ , given by

$$W^{-z} f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_\bullet^\infty (t-\bullet)_+^{z-1} f(t) dt.$$

To simplify notation, we write  $f^{(z)}$  for  $W^z f$  and  $f^{(-z)}$  for  $W^{-z} f$ .

*Remark 2.3.* Note that both  $W^z$  and  $W^{-z}$  are linear operators mapping  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$  into itself [18, 22]. As the space  $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$  of real-analytic functions on  $\mathbb{R}_0^+$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ , the space of compactly supported  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ , (see, for instance, [20], p. 780), (2.2) holds for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$  since  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ , we deduce that  $B_z(\bullet | b, \tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ .

*Remark 2.4.* For finite  $\tau = \{\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\} \in (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^{n+1}$  and finite  $b = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n\} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $z := n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Eq. (2.2) defines also *Dirichlet splines*. (Cf. [6], where these splines were first introduced.) Recall that a Dirichlet spline  $D_n(\bullet | b; \tau)$  of order  $n$  is that function for which the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{(n)}(t) D_n(t | b; \tau) dt = \int_{\Delta^n} g^{(n)}(\tau \cdot u) d\mu_b(u), \quad (2.3)$$

holds for all  $g \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, (2.3) also holds for  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

To define a multivariate analogue of univariate complex B-splines, we proceed as follows. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a direction, and let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function. The *ridge function*  $g_\lambda$  corresponding to  $g$  is defined as the function  $\mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$g_\lambda(x) := g(\langle \lambda, x \rangle), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

We denote the canonical inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^s$  by  $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$  and the norm induced by it by  $\|\bullet\|$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([16]). Let  $\tau = \{\tau^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in (\mathbb{R}^s)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence of knots in  $\mathbb{R}^s$  with the property that

$$\exists \varrho \in [0, e) : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|\tau^n\|} \leq \varrho. \quad (2.4)$$

The multivariate complex B-spline  $B_z(\bullet | b, \tau) : \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of order  $z$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ , with weight vector  $b \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  and knot sequence  $\tau$  is defined by means of the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} g(\langle \lambda, x \rangle) B_z(x | b, \tau) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) B_z(t | b, \lambda \tau) dt, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , and where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda \tau := \{\langle \lambda, \tau^n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is separated, i.e., there exists a  $\delta > 0$ , so that  $\inf\{|\langle \lambda, \tau^n \rangle - \langle \lambda, \tau^m \rangle| \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \geq \delta$ .

*Remark 2.6.* Since ridge functions are dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$  (see, for instance, [21]), we conclude that  $B_z(\bullet | b, \tau) \in L^2((\mathbb{R}_0^+)^s)$ . Moreover, it follows from the Hermite-Genocchi formula for the univariate complex B-splines  $B_z(\bullet | b, \lambda \tau)$  and (2.5), that

$$B_z(x | b, \tau) = 0, \quad \text{when } x \notin [\tau],$$

where  $[\tau]$  denotes the convex hull of  $\tau$ .

### 3 Dirichlet Averages

Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty open convex set in  $\mathbb{C}^s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $b \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega) := \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , the Schwartz space of complex-valued functions on  $\Omega$ , be a measurable function.

For  $\tau \in \Omega^{\mathbb{N}_0} \subset (\mathbb{C}^s)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $u \in \Delta^\infty$ , define  $\tau \cdot u$  to be the bilinear mapping  $(\tau, u) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \tau^i$ .

The infinite sum exists if there exists a  $\varrho \in [0, e)$  so that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|\tau^n\|} \leq \varrho. \quad (3.1)$$

Here,  $\|\bullet\|$  now denotes the canonical Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{C}^s$ . (See also [8].)

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a measurable function. The Dirichlet average  $F : (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0} \times \Omega^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  over  $\Delta^\infty$  is defined by

$$F(b; \tau) := \int_{\Delta^\infty} f(\tau \cdot u) d\mu_b(u),$$

where  $\mu_b = \varprojlim \mu_b^n$  is the projective limit of Dirichlet measures on the  $n$ -dimensional standard simplex  $\Delta^n$ .

We remark that the Dirichlet average is holomorphic in  $b \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  when  $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  for every fixed  $\tau \in \Omega^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ . (See [4] for the finite-dimensional case and [16] for the infinite-dimensional setting.)

**Definition 3.2.** [3] Let  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous. Let  $b \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{k+1}$  and  $\beta \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\varkappa+1}$ . Suppose that for fixed  $k, \varkappa \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{(k+1) \times (\varkappa+1)}$ , and that the convex hull  $[X]$  of  $X$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . Then the double Dirichlet average of  $f$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(b; X; \beta) := \int_{\Delta^k} \int_{\Delta^\varkappa} f(u \cdot Xv) d\mu_b^k(u) d\nu_\beta^\varkappa(v),$$

where  $u \cdot Xv := \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{\varkappa} u_i X_{ij} v_j$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^k u_i = 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\varkappa} v_j$ .

We remark that  $\mathcal{F}(b; X; \beta)$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  in the elements of  $b, \beta$ , and  $X$  ([3]).

We again use projective limits to extend the notion of double Dirichlet average to an infinite-dimensional setting. To this end, let  $u, v \in \Delta^\infty$  and let  $\mu_b = \varprojlim \mu_b^n$  and  $\nu_\beta = \varprojlim \nu_\beta^n$  be the projective limits of Dirichlet measures  $\mu_b^n$  and  $\nu_\beta^n$  of the form (2.1) on the  $n$ -dimensional standard simplex, where  $b, \beta \in (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ .

Now suppose that  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an infinite matrix with the property that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |X_{ij}|$  converges. Let

$$u \cdot Xv := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_i X_{ij} v_j.$$

Here, we have  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i = 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j$ .

Suppose that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  contains the convex hull  $[X]$  of  $X$  and that  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous. The double Dirichlet average of  $f$  over  $\Delta^\infty$  is then given by

$$\mathcal{F}(b; X; \beta) := \int_{\Delta^\infty} \int_{\Delta^\infty} f(u \cdot Xv) d\mu_b(u) d\nu_\beta(v). \quad (3.2)$$

(In order to ease notation, we use the same symbol for the (double) Dirichlet average over  $\Delta^\infty$  and its finite-dimensional projections  $\Delta^n$ .) It is easy to show that

$$\mathcal{F}(b; X; \beta) = \int_{\Delta^\infty} F(\beta; uX) d\mu_b(u), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $uX := \{\langle u, X_j \rangle\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , with  $X_j$  denoting the  $j$ -column of  $X$ . We note that  $\mathcal{F}(b; X; \beta)$  is holomorphic in the elements of  $b, \beta$ , and  $X$  over  $\Delta^\infty$ .

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , we define

$$\mathcal{F}^{(z)}(b; X; \beta) := \int_{\Delta^\infty} \int_{\Delta^\infty} f^{(z)}(u \cdot Xv) d\mu_b(u) dv_\beta(v).$$

(See also [16] for the case of a single Dirichlet average.)

## 4 Double Dirichlet Averages and Complex B-Splines

Assume now that the matrix  $X$  is real-valued and of the form  $X_{ij} = 0$ , for  $i \geq s$  and all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times \mathbb{N}_0}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\beta \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^s$  and that  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ . Let  $b := (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^s$  be such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} b_i \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$ . Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ . Further assume that  $uX$  is separated for all  $u \in \Delta^{s-1}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}^{(z)}(b; X; \beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \mathbf{B}_z(x | \beta, uX) F^{(z)}(b; x) dx.$$

*Proof.* We prove the formula first for  $b \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^s$ . To this end, we identify  $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}, 0, 0, \dots) \in \Delta^\infty$  with  $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}) \in \Delta^{s-1}$ . By the Hermite-Genocchi formula for complex B-splines (see [8] and to some extent [16]), we have that

$$F^{(z)}(\beta; uX) = \int_{\Delta^\infty} f^{(z)}(u' \cdot uX) d\mu_\beta(u') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(z)}(t) \mathbf{B}_z(t | \beta, uX) dt.$$

Substituting this expression into (3.3) and using (2.5) yields

$$\mathcal{F}^{(z)}(b; X; \beta) = \int_{\Delta^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} f^{(z)}(\langle u, x \rangle) \mathbf{B}_z(x | \beta, uX) dx d\mu_b(u).$$

Interchanging the order of integration, which is justified by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, proves the statement for  $b \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^s$ . To obtain the general case  $b \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , we note that by Theorem 6.3-7 in [4], the Dirichlet average  $F$  can be holomorphically continued in the  $b$ -parameters provided that  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} b_i \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* Theorem 4.1 extends Theorem 6.1 in [19] to complex B-splines and the  $\Delta^\infty$ -setting.

## 5 Moments of Complex B-Splines

Following [4], we define the  $R$ -hypergeometric function  $R_a(b; \tau) : (\mathbb{R}^+)^s \times \Omega^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$R_a(b; \tau) := \int_{\Delta^{s-1}} (\tau \cdot u)^a d\mu_b^{s-1}(u), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\Omega := H$ ,  $H$  a half-plane in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , if  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\Omega := \mathbb{C}$ , if  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . It can be shown (see [4]) that  $R_{-a}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , has a holomorphic continuation in  $\tau$  to  $\mathbb{C}_0$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_0 := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\pi < \arg \zeta < \pi\}$ .

Taking in the definition of the double Dirichlet average (3.2) for  $f$  the real-valued function  $t \mapsto t^{-c}$ , where  $c := \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} b_i$ , the resulting double Dirichlet average is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_{-c}(b; X; \beta)$  and generalizes power functions. The corresponding single Dirichlet average  $R_{-c}(b; x)$ , where  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{s-1})$ , is given by

$$R_{-c}(b; x) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} x_i^{-b_i}, \quad x \notin [X]. \quad (5.2)$$

(See [4], (6.6-5).)

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a multi-index with the property that  $p_i < -\frac{1}{2}$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . The moment  $M_p(\beta; X; z) := M_p((\mathbf{B}_z(\bullet \mid \beta, X)))$  of order  $p := \sum_{i=1}^s p_i$  of the complex B-spline  $\mathbf{B}_z(\bullet \mid \beta, X)$  is defined by

$$M_p(\beta; X; z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} x^p \mathbf{B}_z(x \mid \beta, X) dx. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that since  $\mathbf{B}_z(\bullet \mid \beta, X) \in L^2((\mathbb{R}^+)^s)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_z(\bullet \mid \beta, X) = 0$ , for  $x \notin [X]$ , an easy application of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality shows that the above integral exists provided the multi-index  $p$  satisfies the afore-mentioned condition on its components.

Using a result from [13], namely Property 2.5 (b), and requiring that  $\operatorname{Re} z < \operatorname{Re} c$ , we substitute the function  $f := \frac{\Gamma(c-z)}{\Gamma(c)} (\bullet)^{-(c-z)}$  into (5.1) to obtain

$$R_{-(c-z)}^{(z)}(b; x) = R_{-c}(b; x) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} x_i^{b_i}.$$

The above considerations together with Theorem 4.1 immediately yield the next result.

**Corollary 5.2.** Suppose that  $\beta \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\infty}$  and that  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ . Let  $b := (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}) \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{2})^s$  be such that  $c := \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} b_i \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$ . Moreover, suppose that  $\operatorname{Re} z < \operatorname{Re} c$ . Then

$$M_{-c}(\beta; X; z) = \mathcal{R}_{-(c-z)}^{(z)}(b; X; \beta). \quad (5.4)$$

*Remark 5.3.* Corollary 5.2 extends Corollary 6.2 in [19] to the infinite dimensional case and complex order setting.



## 6 Complex B-splines and Lauricella Functions

We briefly review some properties of the Lauricella function  $F_B$ , which are important for the purposes of this section and the relationship to complex B-splines and Dirichlet averages.

The Lauricella function  $F_B : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (cf. [1, 14]) is defined by the infinite series

$$F_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma; x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{m_1} \cdots (\alpha_n)_{m_n} (\beta_1)_{m_1} \cdots (\beta_n)_{m_n}}{(\gamma + m_1 + \cdots + m_n) m_1! \cdots m_n!} x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n},$$

where the parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  and  $\gamma$  are elements of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $(z)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol, given by

$$(z)_n := \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0.$$

The region of convergence for  $F_B$  is the interior of the  $n$ -cube  $W^n := [-1, +1]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Remark 6.1.* For  $n := 2$ , the Lauricella function  $F_B$  becomes the Appell function  $F_2$ , and for  $n := 1$  Gauß's hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1$  function.

*Remark 6.2.* There are three other Lauricella functions,  $F_A$ ,  $F_C$ , and  $F_D$ , defined in a similar fashion and with different regions of convergence. For our intentions, however, in particular in light of Euler-type integral representations, we will deal exclusively with  $F_B$  in this article.

*Remark 6.3.* For a connection between Dirichlet averages, the Lauricella function  $F_D$ , and the generalized Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\alpha, \delta}^\gamma$ , defined by

$$E_{\alpha, \delta}^\gamma(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \delta) k!} z^k,$$

we refer the interested reader to [12].

Using multi-index notation with  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ ,  $v := (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , and  $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we can express the Euler-type integration representation of the Lauricella function  $F_B$  on the simplex  $\Delta_0^n$  found in [14] in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} F_B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) &:= \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \gamma - |\alpha|)} \int_{\Delta_0^n} v^{\alpha-1} (1 - |v|)^{\gamma - |\alpha|} (1 - vx)^{-\beta} dv \\ &= \int_{\Delta_0^n} (1 - vx)^{-\beta} d\mu_{(\alpha, \gamma - |\alpha|)}^n(v). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Here, we set  $vx := (v_1 x_1, \dots, v_n x_n)$  and denoted by  $B$  the  $n+1$ -dimensional Beta function:

$$B(\alpha, \gamma - |\alpha|) := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_n) \Gamma(\gamma - \alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}.$$

As usual,  $|\alpha|$  denotes the length of a multi-index  $\alpha$ .

Note that, following [3], but using a different matrix  $Z$ , which is more amenable to a generalization to infinite dimensions, we may write (6.1) in the form

$$\int_{\Delta_0^n} (1-vx)^{-\beta} d\mu_{(\alpha, \gamma-|\alpha|)}^n(v) = \mathcal{R}_{-\gamma}(\gamma-|\beta|, \beta; Z; \gamma-|\alpha|, \alpha),$$

with

$$Z := Z^{n+1} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1-x_1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1-x_{n-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1-x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}. \quad (6.2)$$

To obtain the above identity, we used that  $\sum_{i=0}^n v_i = 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_{-\gamma}(b; Z; \beta) = \int_{\Delta^n} \prod_{i=0}^n ({}_i Z v)^{-b_i} d\mu_{\beta}^n(v), \quad \sum_{i=0}^n b_i = \gamma = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i,$$

and introduced the factor  $1^{\gamma-|\beta|}$  in front of  $(1-vx)^{-\beta}$ . We chose the (immaterial) exponent of 1 so that the multi-indices  $(\gamma-|\beta|, \beta)$  and  $(\gamma-|\alpha|, \alpha)$  have the same length, namely,  $\gamma$ , (See also [3].), and denoted by  ${}_i Z$  the  $(i+1)$ -st row of the matrix  $Z$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, we have

$$F_B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) = \mathcal{R}_{-\gamma}(\gamma-|\beta|, \beta; Z; \gamma-|\alpha|, \alpha),$$

where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  is given by (6.2).

The form of the matrix  $Z$  now lends itself to an extension of the above concepts to infinite dimensions. We define

$$Z^\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 1-x_1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1-x_{n-1} & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1-x_n & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty \times \infty}, \quad (6.3)$$

where  $|x_n| < 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and note that the finite sections of  $Z^\infty$  are of the form (6.2), so that one may represent  $Z^\infty$  as a projective limit of the matrices  $Z^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of the form (6.2). Similarly, one has  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty \times \infty} = \varprojlim \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  in the sense of matrix rings.

As  $|x_i| < 1$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j = 1$ , we obtain, using a computation in [25], the convergence of the infinite product  $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} ({}_i Z^\infty v)^{-b_i}$  for  $\operatorname{Re} b_i > 0$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{R}_{-\gamma}(b; Z; \beta)$  may be extended to the infinite-dimensional simplex  $\Delta^\infty$  by a projective limit procedure. For the sake of notational simplicity, we denote this extension again by  $\mathcal{R}_{-\gamma}(b; Z; \beta)$ .

Note that this extension allows the definition of an infinite-dimensional Lauricelli function  $F_B^\infty$ :

$$F_B^\infty(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) := \mathcal{R}_{-\gamma}(\gamma - |\beta|, \beta; Z^\infty; \gamma - |\alpha|, \alpha), \quad (6.4)$$

where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty \times \infty}$  is given by (6.3). Here the parameters  $\alpha, \beta$  are elements of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ , the projective limit of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\operatorname{Re} \beta > 0$ , in the sense of multi-indices. We remark, that  $F_B^\infty$  converges in the interior of the infinite-dimensional cube  $W^\infty := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]^n$ , endowed with the weak\*-topology, i.e., the topology of pointwise convergence.

Combining Eqns. (5.4) and (6.4), we obtain an identity between the moments of complex B-splines and the infinite-dimensional Lauricella function  $F_B^\infty$ , namely,

$$(F_B^\infty)^{(z)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) = M_{-\gamma}(\gamma - |\alpha|, \alpha; Z^\infty; \gamma - |\beta|, \beta), \quad (6.5)$$

where the  $z$ -th fractional derivative of  $F_B^\infty$  exists by the above identity (6.4).

Eqn. (6.5) is an extension of Corollary 6.4 in [19] to the infinite-dimensional setting involving multivariate complex B-splines of order  $z$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ .

## 7 Summary

We employed the natural infinite-dimensional setting for multivariate complex B-splines to extend the concept of double Dirichlet averages. As a result of this extension, we obtained in the following results.

- The moments of multivariate complex B-splines were defined.
- A formula for the moments of multivariate complex B-splines in terms of double Dirichlet averages associated with the infinite-dimensional analogue of Carlson's hypergeometric  $R$ -function was derived.
- Employing an Euler-type integral representation, an infinite-dimensional analogue of Lauricella's  $F_B$ -function was obtained and related to the double Dirichlet average of Carlson's  $R$ -hypergeometric function on the infinite-dimensional simplex  $\Delta^\infty$ .
- An identity between the infinite-dimensional extension of Lauricella's  $F_B$ -function and the moments of multivariate complex B-splines was presented.

The results presented in this article generalize those given in [19] to infinite dimensions and splines of complex order.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments.

---

## References

- [1] P. Appel and J. Kampé de Fériet, *Fonctions Hypergéométriques et Hypersphériques*. Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, France 1926.
- [2] P. L. Butzer and U. Westphal, An introduction to fractional calculus. In R. Hilfer *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific Publishing 2000, pp 1-85.
- [3] B. C. Carlson, Appell functions and multiple averages. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2**(3), pp 420-430.
- [4] B. C. Carlson, *Special Functions of Applied Mathematics*, Academic Press, New York 1977.
- [5] B. C. Carlson, B-splines, hypergeometric functions, and Dirichlet averages. *J. Approx. Th.* **67** (1991), pp 311-325.
- [6] W. Dahmen and C. A. Micchelli, Statistical Encounters with B-splines. *Contemp. Math.* **59** (1986), pp 17-48.
- [7] B. Forster and T. Blu and M. Unser, Complex B-splines. *Appl. Comp. Harmon. Anal.* **20** (2006), pp 261-282.
- [8] B. Forster and P. Massopust, Statistical Encounters with complex B-splines. *Constr. Approx.* **29**(3) (2009), pp 325-344.
- [9] B. Forster and P. Massopust, Multivariate complex B-splines, Dirichlet averages and difference operators. *Proceedings of SampTA* (2009), pp 1-4.
- [10] B. Forster and P. Massopust, Splines of complex order: Fourier, filter, and fractional derivatives. *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Analysis.* **10**(1-2) (2011), pp 89-109.
- [11] S. Karlin, C. A. Micchelli and Y. Rinott, Multivariate Splines: A Probabilistic Perspective. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **20** (1986), pp 69-90.
- [12] A. A. Kilbas and A. Kattuveetil, Representations of Dirichlet averages of generalized Mittag-Leffler functions via fractional integrals and special functions. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis* **11**(4) (2008), pp 471-491.
- [13] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands 2006.
- [14] G. Lauricella, Sulle funzioni ipergeometriche a piu variabili. *Rendiconti Circ. mat. Palermo.* **VII** (1893), pp 111-158.
- [15] P. Massopust, Double Dirichlet averages and complex B-splines. *Proceedings of SampTA.* (2009), pp 1-4.

- [16] P. Massopust and B. Forster, Multivariate complex B-splines and Dirichlet averages. *J. Approx. Th.* **162** (2010), pp 252-269.
- [17] C. A. Micchelli, A constructive approach to Kergin interpolation in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ : Multivariate B-splines and Lagrange interpolation. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **10**(3) (1980), pp 485-497.
- [18] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York 1993.
- [19] E. Neuman and P. J. Van Fleet, Moments of Dirichlet splines and their applications to hypergeometric functions. *J. Comput. and Appl. Math.* **53** (1994), pp 225-241.
- [20] O. V. Odínokov, Spectral analysis in certain spaces of entire functions of exponential type and its applications, *Spectral analysis in certain spaces of entire functions of exponential type and its applications. Izv. Math.* **64**(4) (2000), pp 777-786.
- [21] A. Pinkus, Approximating by ridge functions. In A. Le Méhauté and C. Rabut and L. L. Schumaker *Surface Fitting and Multiresolution Methods*, Vanderbilt University Press 1997, pp 1-14.
- [22] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Minsk, Belarus 1987.
- [23] A. N. Shiriyayev, *Probability*, Springer Verlag, New York 1984.
- [24] M. Unser and T. Blu, Fractional Splines and Wavelets. *SIAM Review.* **42**(1) (2000), pp 43-67.
- [25] A. M. Vershik and A. A. Shmidt, Limit measures arising in the asymptotic theory of symmetric groups I. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **XXII**(1) (1977), pp 70-85.
- [26] U. Westphal, An approach to fractional powers of operators via fractional differences. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **29**(3) (1974), pp 557-576.