

## SPLITTINGS OF SURFACES

RICHARD K. SKORA

Let  $F$  be a compact 2-manifold without boundary and with Euler characteristic  $\chi(F) < 0$ . Only for convenience endow  $F$  with a fixed hyperbolic structure, i.e., a discrete, faithful representation of the fundamental group  $\pi_1 F$  into the space of isometries of hyperbolic 2-space. *Teichmüller space*,  $\mathcal{T}(F)$ , is the space of all hyperbolic structures on  $F$  divided out by conjugation. W. P. Thurston [Th1] showed that  $\mathcal{T}(F)$  admits a compactification as a ball of dimension  $-3\chi(F)$ . There is a natural identification of the interior of the ball with  $\mathcal{T}(F)$  and the boundary of the ball with the space of projective measured geodesic laminations on  $F$  (defined below).

J. W. Morgan and P. B. Shalen [MS1, Mo] considered a more general problem. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated, nonvirtually Abelian group and let  $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \text{Isom}(H^n))$  be the space of discrete, faithful representations of  $\Gamma$  into the group of isometries of hyperbolic  $n$ -space divided out by conjugation. They showed that  $\mathcal{D}_n$  admits a compactification  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n$  where each point of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n - \mathcal{D}_n$  corresponds to a small action of  $\Gamma$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree. When  $\Gamma = \pi_1 F$  and  $n = 2$ , they too show that their boundary  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n - \mathcal{D}_n$  is homeomorphic to the space of projective measured geodesic laminations on  $F$ .

An  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is a metric space  $(T, d)$ , such that any two distinct points are joined by a unique arc and every arc is isometric to an interval in  $\mathbf{R}$ . It is understood that if a group acts on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree, then it acts by isometries and there is no invariant, proper subtree. An action is *small* if the stabilizer of each arc does not contain a free group of rank two.

The above results motivate studying small actions of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ -

---

Received by the editors June 28, 1989 and, in revised form, December 20, 1989.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 30F25; Secondary 32G15, 22E40, 20F32.

*Key words and phrases.* Surface, hyperbolic structure, lamination, tree.

Supported in part by a SUNY Research Development Grant and by a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

trees. When  $\Gamma = \pi_1 F$ , we completely characterize small actions and answer a question from [Sh]. Recall that the only small subgroups of  $\pi_1 F$  are cyclic groups. Here is our main theorem (definitions follow immediately). It has a generalization for a compact 2-manifold with boundary.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$  be an action on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree. Then  $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$  is dual to a measured geodesic lamination if and only if the stabilizer of each arc is cyclic.*

A geodesic lamination  $\mathcal{L}$  is a closed subset of  $F$ , such that each path component is a simple geodesic. A geodesic lamination is *discrete* if it is a finite union of simple closed geodesics. Say an arc in  $F$  is *transverse* to  $\mathcal{L}$  if its endpoints lie on the complement of  $\mathcal{L}$  and it is transverse locally. A *transverse measure*  $\mu$  is a function from the set of transverse arcs to the set  $[0, +\infty)$ , such that (i)  $\mu(\gamma + \gamma') = \mu(\gamma) + \mu(\gamma')$ ; and (ii)  $\mu(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma')$ , whenever  $\gamma, \gamma'$  differ by a 1-parameter family of transverse arcs. One may think of a transverse measure on a discrete geodesic lamination as simply an assignment of weights to each geodesic. The set of measured discrete geodesic laminations is dense in the space of measured geodesic laminations [Th1].

Let  $\mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow F$  be the universal covering. Given a measured geodesic lamination  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  in  $F$  its preimage in  $\mathbf{H}^2$  is  $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mu})$ . Say the action  $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$  is *dual* to  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  if there is an equivariant, locally constant map  $p: \mathbf{H}^2 - \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow T$ , such that  $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = d(p(\gamma(0)), p(\gamma(1)))$ , for every transverse arc  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2$  meeting each path component of  $\mathcal{L}$  at most once.

Morgan and J.-P. Otal [MO] proved the above theorem under an additional geometric hypothesis (cp. [Sk]). And H. Gillet and P. B. Shalen [GS] proved it under the additional hypothesis that the action has rank equal to 1 or 2.

The techniques of J. Stallings [MS1] prove the theorem when the  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is a simplicial tree. In this case it has the following interpretation. The Bass–Serre theory [Se] implies that the action on the simplicial tree gives a *splitting* of  $\pi_1 F$ , e.g., a free product with amalgamation or HNN-extension. And the lamination which will be discrete is called a *splitting* of  $F$ .

## 1. DEGENERATIONS OF HYPERBOLIC STRUCTURES ON SURFACES

Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}(\pi_1 F, \text{Isom}(H^n))$ . Define its *length function*  $l: \pi_1 F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $l(g) = \inf_{x \in \mathbf{H}^n} d(x, \rho(g)(x))$ . Now form the

projective space  $\mathcal{P} = [0, +\infty)^{\pi_1 F} - 0 / \sim$ . One gets a map  $\Theta : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  by sending a representation to its projectivized length function.

Let  $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$  be an action on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree. Define its *length function*  $l : \pi_1 F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $l(g) = \inf_{x \in T} d(x, g(x))$ . A small action of  $\pi_1 F$  is determined by its length function [CM]. The *space of projective classes of small length functions on trees*  $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$  is the image in  $\mathcal{P}$  of all small actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees.

Morgan and Shalen showed that the closure  $\overline{\Theta(\mathcal{D}_n)}$  is compact and that  $\overline{\Theta(\mathcal{D}_n)} - \Theta(\mathcal{D}_n)$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$ . This leads to the compactification  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n$ . Identify  $\mathcal{D}_n$  with its image in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n$  and let  $\partial \mathcal{D}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n - \mathcal{D}_n$ .

Finally given a measured geodesic lamination  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  it has a *length function*  $l : \pi_1 F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , where  $l(g)$  is the transverse measure of the geodesic representative of  $g$ . Again the measured geodesic lamination is determined by its length function [Th1, PH]. The *space of projective measured geodesic laminations*  $\mathcal{PML}(F)$  is the image in  $\mathcal{P}$  of all measured geodesic laminations.

Thurston showed  $\mathcal{PML}(F) = \partial \mathcal{D}_2$ . By construction  $\partial \mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \partial \mathcal{D}_n \subseteq \mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$ . And the main theorem implies

$$\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F) \subseteq \mathcal{PML}(F).$$

**Theorem.** For all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\partial \mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{PML}(F)$ . ■

The above theorem in the cases  $n = 2, 3$  was first proved by Thurston [Th2].

## 2. SMALL ACTIONS OF SURFACE GROUPS ON $\mathbf{R}$ -TREES

The main theorem also has applications to the study of surface group actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees. From [Ha] or [MS2]  $\mathcal{PML}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$ . Again by the main theorem  $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F) \subseteq \mathcal{PML}(F)$ . The following answers a question of M. Culler and J. W. Morgan [CM] in the case the group is  $\pi_1 F$ .

**Theorem.**  $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F) = \mathcal{PML}(F)$ . ■

Since every measured geodesic lamination is approximable by a measured discrete geodesic lamination, the above theorem tells us that every small action of  $\pi_1 F$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is approximable by a small action on a simplicial tree. It is an open question whether every (small) action of a finitely generated group on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is approximable by a (small) action on a simplicial tree [Sh].

Finally we may deduce two finiteness results. Fix a small action  $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$  and the dual measured geodesic lamination  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$ . A *vertex* of  $T$  is a point  $x$ , where  $T - \{x\}$  has more than two connected components. The vertices of  $T$  correspond to the connected components of  $\mathbf{H}^2 - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . An area calculation shows the number of orbits of vertices is no greater than  $-2\chi(F)$ .

The *rank* of the action is the dimension of  $G \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , where  $G$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$  equal to  $\langle l(g) \rangle_{g \in \pi_1 F}$ . Again referring back to the lamination, one sees that the rank is no greater than one plus the dimension of  $\mathcal{PML}(F)$  which is  $-3\chi(F)$ .

### 3. SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Suppose that  $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$  is dual to a measured geodesic lamination. Then the stabilizer of each arc in  $T$  is contained in the fundamental group of a path component of the lamination which is cyclic.

Now conversely suppose the action has cyclic arc stabilizers. The starting point is a theorem of A. Hatcher [Ha] or Morgan and Otal [MO]. They prove that there is an action on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $\pi_1 F \times R \rightarrow R$  and an equivariant morphism  $\phi: R \rightarrow T$ , such that  $\pi_1 F \times R \rightarrow R$  is dual to a measured geodesic lamination  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  on  $F$ .

A *morphism* from  $R$  to  $T$  is a map  $\phi: R \rightarrow T$ , such that for each arc  $[x, y]$  in  $R$  there is an arc  $[x, z] \subseteq [x, y]$ , such that  $\phi|_{[x, z]}$  is an isometry. The morphism  $\phi$  *folds* at a point  $x \in R$  if there are arcs  $[x, y]$  and  $[x, y']$  such that  $[x, y] \cap [x, y'] = \{x\}$ ;  $\phi|_{[x, y]}$  and  $\phi|_{[x, y']}$  are embeddings; and  $\phi([x, y]) = \phi([x, y'])$ . A morphism either is a monomorphism or folds at some point. Thus it suffices to show that  $\phi$  does not fold.

We will prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose  $\phi$  folds at  $x$ . Let  $[x, y]$  and  $[x, y']$  be as in the definition of fold. We may suppose  $x$  is a vertex.

Suppose  $R$  is a simplicial tree. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a discrete geodesic lamination and up to rechoosing we may suppose  $[x, y]$ ,  $[x, y']$  have infinite cyclic stabilizers  $\langle g \rangle$ ,  $\langle g' \rangle$ , respectively. It is easy to see from the geometry of  $\mathcal{L}$  that  $\langle g \rangle$ ,  $\langle g' \rangle$  are conjugate, but  $\langle g, g' \rangle$  is free of rank two. Therefore the stabilizer of  $\phi([x, y]) = \phi([x, y'])$  contains this free group of rank two which is a contradiction.

Now for  $R$  a general  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree the proof proceeds by studying  $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$  more carefully. An important tool is a train track. A *train track* is a smooth subgraph  $\tau$  of  $F$ , such that the double of each component of  $F - \tau$  along its smooth frontier has negative Euler characteristic. An important combinatorial property of  $\tau$  is that every smoothly immersed curve  $\gamma$  in  $\tau$  is determined by the lift to  $\mathbf{H}^2$  of its initial and terminal points [Th1, PH]. In particular, every smoothly immersed loop is nontrivial. Say that a lamination is *carried* by a train track  $\tau$  if there is a map  $f: F \rightarrow F$  fixed on  $\tau$  and homotopic to the identity, such that  $f$  composed with each smooth curve in  $\mathcal{L}$  is a smooth immersion. Every geodesic lamination is carried by a train track [Th1, PH].

The second tool is an interval exchange map. Let  $\alpha$  be transverse to  $\mathcal{L}$  with lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , such that the image of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  in  $R$  is  $[x, y]$  and  $\mu(\alpha) = d(x, y)$ . Fix an orientation and transverse orientation on  $\alpha$  and let  $I$  be an interval of length  $\mu(\alpha)$ . Then parallel translation of  $\alpha$  along  $\mathcal{L}$  determines an interval exchange map  $A: I \rightarrow I$ . If we identify  $[x, y]$  with  $I$ , then there are a finite number of elements  $g_1, \dots \in \pi_1 F$  which permute the subarcs of  $[x, y]$  exactly the same way  $A$  permutes the subintervals of  $I$ . It follows that words of length  $n$  in  $g_1, \dots$  permute the subarcs of  $[x, y]$  exactly the same way  $A^n$  permutes the subintervals of  $I$ .

So corresponding to both  $[x, y]$ ,  $[x, y']$  are interval exchange maps  $A, A'$  respectively. Or equivalently, there are group elements  $g_1, \dots$  and  $g'_1, \dots$  which permute subarcs of  $[x, y]$  and  $[x, y']$ , respectively. Let  $\tau$  carry  $\mathcal{L}$ . Up to passing to a finite fold covering and rechoosing  $[x, y]$  and  $[x, y']$  we may suppose that distinct positive words in  $g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots$  are represented by distinct smoothly immersed loops in  $\tau$ .

Since  $[x, y]$  and  $[x, y']$  have identical images in  $T$ , we should consider the way  $g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots$  permute the subarcs of  $\phi([x, y])$ . For any  $z \in \phi([x, y])$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_n$  be the set of sequences  $\langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$ , such that  $h_i \in \{g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots\}$  and  $h_i \circ \dots \circ h_1(z) \in \phi([x, y])$ , for all  $i$ . The set  $\mathcal{E}_n$  grows exponentially with  $n$ .

However,  $A, A'$  are defined by finitely many translations. So their  $n$ -fold compositions are defined by a certain number of translations which grows polynomially with  $n$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}_n(z)$  grows polynomially with  $n$ . Now one may argue for all but at most

countably many  $z$  and for large enough  $n$ , there are distinct positive words  $w, v, u$  in the elements  $g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots$  which agree on some subarc of  $\phi([x, y])$ . By our choice of  $\tau$  the words  $w, v, u$  represent distinct elements of  $\pi_1 F$ . Thus  $w^{-1}v, w^{-1}u$  fix an arc. Finally, by choosing  $w, v, u$  carefully the elements  $w^{-1}v, w^{-1}u$  will generate a free group of rank two. This is a contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

- [CM] M. Culler and J. W. Morgan, *Group actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 571–604.
- [GS] H. Gillet and P. B. Shalen, *Dendrology of groups in low  $\mathbf{Q}$ -ranks*, J. Differential Geometry (to appear).
- [Ha] A. Hatcher, *Measured lamination spaces for surfaces from the topological viewpoint*, Topology Appl. **30** (1988), 63–88.
- [Mo] J. W. Morgan, *Group actions on trees and the compactification of the spaces of classes of  $SO(n, 1)$ -representations*, Topology **25** (1986), 1–34.
- [MO] J. W. Morgan and J.-P. Otal, *Relative growth rates of closed geodesics on a surface under varying hyperbolic structures*, preprint.
- [MS1] J. W. Morgan and P. B. Shalen, *Degenerations of hyperbolic structures, I: Valuations, trees and surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **120** (1984), 401–476.
- [MS2] —, *Surface groups acting on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees*, preprint.
- [PH] R.C. Penner and J. L. Harer, *Combinatorics of train tracks*, preprint.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [Sh] P. B. Shalen, *Dendrology of groups: An introduction*, in Essays in Group Theory (S. M. Gersten ed.), Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, #8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987, pp. 265–319.
- [Sk] R. K. Skora, *Geometric actions of surface groups on  $\Lambda$ -trees*, Comm. Math. (to appear).
- [Th1] W. P. Thurston, *Geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, preprint.
- [Th2] —, *Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds, II: Surface groups and 3-manifolds which fiber over the circle*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11794-3651

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027