

RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

THE TETRAGONAL CONSTRUCTION

BY RON DONAGI¹

1. Preliminaries. Let C be a nonsingular curve of genus g , and $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an unramified double cover. The Prym variety $\mathcal{P}(C, \tilde{C})$ is by definition $\ker^0(Nm)$, where $Nm: J(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow J(C)$ is the norm map, and \ker^0 is the connected component of 0 in the kernel. By [M] this is a $(g-1)$ -dimensional, principally polarized abelian variety. Let A_g, M_g, R_g denote, respectively, the moduli spaces of g -dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties, curves of genus g , and pairs (C, \tilde{C}) as above. (R_g is a $(2^{2g}-1)$ -sheeted cover of M_g .) The Prym map is the morphism

$$P = P_g: R_g \rightarrow A_{g-1}, \quad (C, \tilde{C}) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(C, \tilde{C}).$$

It is analogous to the Jacobi map $J = J_g: M_g \rightarrow A_g$ sending a curve to its Jacobian. The main reason for studying P is that its image in A_{g-1} is larger than that of J , hence it allows us to handle geometrically a wider class of abelian varieties than just Jacobians. For instance, P_g is dominant for $g \leq 6$ [W] while J_g is only dominant for $g \leq 3$.

The purpose of this announcement is to describe the fibers of P in the various genera. Our main tool for this is a simple-minded construction which we describe in some detail in paragraph 6. Let us use “ n -gonal” (trigonal, tetragonal, etc.) to describe a pair (C, f) where $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is a branched cover of degree n (3, 4 respectively). Briefly, our construction takes the data (C, \tilde{C}, f) where $(C, \tilde{C}) \in R_g$ and (C, f) is tetragonal, and returns two new sets of data, (C_0, \tilde{C}_0, f_0) and (C_1, \tilde{C}_1, f_1) , of the same type. This procedure is symmetric: starting with (C_0, \tilde{C}_0, f_0) we end up with (C, \tilde{C}, f) and (C_1, \tilde{C}_1, f_1) . It is useful due to the following observation.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The tetragonal construction commutes with the Prym map:*

$$\mathcal{P}(C, \tilde{C}) \approx \mathcal{P}(C_0, \tilde{C}_0) \approx \mathcal{P}(C_1, \tilde{C}_1).$$

Received by the editors September 3, 1980,
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H15, 14H30, 14K10, 32G20,
 14H40.

¹Research partially supported by NSF Grant MCS #77-03876.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9904/81/0000-0103/\$03.25

REMARK 1.2. A similar construction was studied by Recillas [R], [DS, III]. He starts with a tetragonal pair (C, f) and produces a triplet (X, \tilde{X}, g) where (X, g) is trigonal and $\mathcal{P}(X, \tilde{X}) \approx J(C)$. This becomes the special case of our construction where \tilde{C} is taken to be the split double cover of C . The resulting C_0, C_1 are then isomorphic to X with a \mathbf{P}^1 attached (in two different ways) and

$$\mathcal{P}(C_i, \tilde{C}_i) \approx \mathcal{P}(X, \tilde{X}) \approx J(C) \approx \mathcal{P}(C, \tilde{C}).$$

2. **Genus 6.** In [DS] the map $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{R}_6 \rightarrow A_5$ was studied at length. The main result was that this map is generically finite, of degree 27.

THEOREM 2.1. *The fibers of $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{R}_6 \rightarrow A_5$ have a structure equivalent to the intersection-configuration of the 27 lines on a cubic surface.*

An equivalent formulation is

COROLLARY 2.2. *The Galois group of the field extension $K(A_5) \subset K(\mathcal{R}_6)$ is the Weyl group $W(E_6)$. (Compare [Ma, Theorem 23.9]).*

The theorem limits severely the possible degenerations in a fiber of \mathcal{P} . For instance

COROLLARY 2.3. *The ramification locus (in \mathcal{R}_6) is mapped six-to-one to the branch locus (in A_5).*

PROOF. A line on a cubic surface S counts twice if and only if it passes through a double point of S . Through such a point there are six lines. \square

The proof of the theorem depends on the existence of 5 tetragonal maps, f_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) on a generic curve C of genus 6. To each triplet (C, \tilde{C}, f_i) the tetragonal construction associates two others; the ten resulting points of $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{P}(C, \tilde{C})$ are the ones “incident” to (C, \tilde{C}) .

The same method allows us to recover the main result of [DS] rather painlessly: we show that starting with $(C, \tilde{C}) \in \mathcal{R}_6$, choosing a tetragonal f , applying the tetragonal construction to get (C_0, \tilde{C}_0, f_0) , changing the tetragonal f_0 to an f'_0 and repeating the process indefinitely, leads to precisely 27 distinct objects: to the original (C, \tilde{C}) are added ten after the first cycle, and only sixteen more after the second cycle. (I.e. each of the five first-generation pairs yields the *same* set of sixteen second-generation objects!) Therefore $\deg(\mathcal{P})$ is a multiple of 27. This possible multiplicity is eliminated by checking a degenerate case, where C is a double cover (branched) of an elliptic curve (“elliptic hyperelliptic”).

3. **Genus 5.** The map $\mathcal{P}_5: \mathcal{R}_5 \rightarrow A_4$ turns out, surprisingly, to be more intricate than its higher-genus cousin \mathcal{P}_6 , and until now has eluded description.

By dimension count, the generic fiber is 2 dimensional; we show that in fact it is a double cover of a Fano surface.

THEOREM 3.1. *There is a birational isomorphism $\kappa: A_4 \rightarrow C$ where C is a parameter-space for pairs (X, μ) consisting of (the isomorphism class of) a cubic threefold X together with an “even” point of order two in its intermediate Jacobian.*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *There is a natural involution $\lambda: \mathcal{R}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_5$ such that $\lambda(C, \tilde{C})$ is related to (C, \tilde{C}) by a succession of two tetragonal constructions; hence $\mathcal{P} \circ \lambda = \mathcal{P}$.*

THEOREM 3.3. *For generic $A \in A_4$, the quotient $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(A)/\lambda$ is isomorphic to $F(\kappa(A))$, the Fano surface of lines on the cubic threefold $\kappa(A)$*

The proofs seem to depend heavily on the results for genus 6 and their various specializations. As a corollary, we have an explicit parametrization of the family of (rational equivalence classes of) effective symmetric representatives of the class $[\theta]^3/3$ in $H_2(A, \mathbf{Z})$. This is twice the class of a curve in its Jacobian, and the smallest class which is effective on generic A .

4. Prym-Torelli. For $g \leq 4$ the analysis of \mathcal{P}_g is fairly easy. It can be done using nothing but Recillas’ trigonal construction (1.2), since any $A \in A_{g-1}$ is Jacobian of a tetragonal curve. In the remaining cases $g \geq 7$, \mathcal{P}_g “ought” to be injective by dimension count. After some inconclusive work of Tjurin [T], counterexamples to this expected Prym-Torelli theorem were exhibited by Beauville [B₂] for $g \leq 10$, using Recillas’ construction applied to curves which are tetragonal in two distinct ways. Using the tetragonal construction we exhibit counterexamples for all g . Without much justification we make the following

CONJECTURE 4.1. *If $\mathcal{P}(C, \tilde{C}) \approx \mathcal{P}(C', \tilde{C}')$ then (C', \tilde{C}') is obtained from (C, \tilde{C}) by successive applications of the tetragonal construction. In particular, C and C' are tetragonal curves.*

5. Andreotti-Mayer varieties. In [AM], Andreotti and Mayer studied the Schottky problem of characterizing Jacobians among abelian varieties. Call $A \in A_g$ an $A - M$ variety if its theta divisor θ has a $(g - 4)$ -dimensional singular locus, and let $N_g \subset A_g$ be the closure of the locus of $A - M$ varieties. The main results of [AM] are that N_g can be explicitly described by equations, and that $\bar{J}(M_g)$ is an irreducible component of N_g . Perhaps the most spectacular application of Prym theory was Beauville’s refinement of their results [B1]. He obtained a complete (and lengthy) list of all possible components of $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(N_g)$, hence, in principle, a description of N_4, N_5 (since $\mathcal{P}_5, \mathcal{P}_6$ are surjective, when appropriately

compactified). In particular, he showed that N_4 has only one irreducible component other than $J(M_4)$.

Using the tetragonal construction, some remarkable coincidences appear in Beauville's list. In fact

THEOREM 5.1. (1) N_4 consists of J_4 and another nine-dimensional irreducible component [B1].

(2) N_5 consists of J_5 and four irreducible, nine-dimensional loci; three of these parametrize Pryms of elliptic-hyperelliptic curves, and the fourth consists of certain abelian varieties isogenous to a product with an elliptic curve.

(3) For $g \geq 6$, $N_g \cap \overline{P(R_{g+1})}$ consists of J_g , 2 components of Pryms of elliptic-hyperelliptic curves (each $(2g-1)$ dimensional) and $[(g-2)/2]$ components of Pryms of reducible curves $C = C_1 \cup C_2$, $\#(C_1 \cap C_2) = 4$ (each $(3g-4)$ dimensional).

COROLLARY 5.2. Any $(C, \tilde{C}) \in P^{-1}(N_g)$ is either tetragonal (or a degeneration of tetragonals) or reducible. The modified Prym-Torelli Conjecture 4.1 holds over N_g .

CONJECTURE 5.3. $N_g \subset \overline{P(R_{g+1})}$, hence N_g consists only of the components listed above.

The proof might imitate Andreotti's proof of Torelli's theorem and resurrect Tjurin's work [T]: Given $A \in N_g$, there should be some explicit geometric construction yielding a family of doubly covered tetragonal (or reducible) curves, whose Prym is A .

COROLLARY 5.4. For any canonical curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$, the system of quadrics containing C is spanned by quadrics of rank 4.

PROOF. A refinement of [AM] shows that the truth of the corollary for a given C depends only on the structure of N_g near $J(C)$; in particular the corollary holds if J_g is the only component of N_g containing $J(C)$. By Conjecture 5.3 and Theorem 5.1, this holds for all C except for hyperelliptics and elliptic-hyperelliptics. A special argument works for these.

6. The construction. We sketch the tetragonal construction. Start with an unramified double cover $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ and tetragonal map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Let

$$f_*(\pi): f_*(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$$

be the "pushforward" of $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ via f . This is a $(16 = 2^4)$ -sheeted branched cover. Over $p \in \mathbf{P}^1$, its 16 points correspond to the 16 ways of lifting the quadruple $f^{-1}(p) \subset C$ to a quadruple in \tilde{C} . This suggests a convenient way of realizing $f_*(\tilde{C})$ as a curve in $\text{Pic}^{(4)}(\tilde{C})$, the Picard variety of line bundles of degree 4: $f_*(\tilde{C})$ is the subvariety parametrizing those effective divisors in \tilde{C} whose norm

(under $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$) is in the 1-dimensional linear series determined on C by f .

Note that on the curve $f_*(\tilde{C})$ there is a natural involution $\tau: f_*(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow f_*(\tilde{C})$. τ sends a lifting of $f^{-1}(p)$ to the complementary lifting, obtained by interchanging the sheets of $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. (This is induced by the automorphism of $\text{Pic}^4(\tilde{C})$ sending a line bundle L to $L^{-1} \otimes (f \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)$.) Let \bar{C} be the quotient $f_*(\tilde{C})/\tau$, an 8-sheeted cover of \mathbf{P}^1 .

LEMMA. \bar{C} is reducible: $\bar{C} = C_0 \cup C_1$, each C_i is a 4-sheeted branched cover of \mathbf{P}^1 . Correspondingly, $f_*(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{C}_0 \cup \tilde{C}_1$, where \tilde{C}_i is acted upon by τ with quotient C_i .

PROOF. Define an equivalence relation \sim on $f_*(\tilde{C})$: $D_1 \sim D_2$ if $f_*(\pi)(D_1) = f_*(\pi)(D_2)$ and D_1, D_2 have an even number of points (0, 2 or 4) in common. The quotient $f_*(\tilde{C})/\sim$ is a 2-sheeted branched cover of \mathbf{P}^1 . Clearly it can be branched only where $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is; but a simple monodromy check shows that at such a point $f_*(\tilde{C})/\sim$ is locally reducible. (I.e. in going around a branch point, an even number of points of \tilde{C} are exchanged.) Hence the normalization of $f_*(\tilde{C})/\sim$ is nowhere ramified over \mathbf{P}^1 , hence consists of two disjoint copies, so $f_*(\tilde{C})$ itself is reducible. Finally, τ acts on each component separately since it changes an even number (all 4) of the points. Q.E.D.

Note. Identifying $\text{Pic}^4(\tilde{C}) \approx \text{Jac}(\tilde{C})$, we have that $f_*(\tilde{C})$ is contained in the kernel of the norm-homomorphism, which [M] consists of two copies of the Prym variety; \tilde{C}_i are the intersections with these two components.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. My warmest thanks to A. Beauville, C. H. Clemens, R. Smith, and R. Varley for numerous conversations, ideas and much encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [AM] A. Andreotti and A. Mayer, *On period relations for abelian integrals on algebraic curves*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa **21** (1967), 189–238.
 [B1] A. Beauville, *Prym varieties and the Schottky problem*, Invent. Math. **41** (1977), 149–196.
 [B2] ———, *Variétés de Prym et Jacobiennes intermédiaires*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 309–391.
 [C] C. H. Clemens, *Double solids*, Adv. in Math. (to appear).
 [DS] R. Donagi and R. Smith, *The structure of the Prym Map*, Acta. Math. **146** (1980), 25–102.
 [M] D. Mumford, *Prym varieties*, I, Contributions to Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
 [Ma] Y. Manin, *Cubic forms*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
 [R] S. Recillas, *Jacobians of curves with a g_4^1 are Prym varieties of trigonal curves*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana **19** (1974), 9–13.
 [T] A. Tjurin, *Geometry of the Poincaré theta-divisor of a Prym variety*, Math. U. S. S. R. Izv. **9** (1975), 951–986.
 [W] W. Wirtinger, *Untersuchungen über Thetafunctionen*, Teubner, Berlin, 1895.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84112

