

RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

ON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CONSECUTIVE PRIMES

BY D. R. HEATH-BROWN AND H. IWANIEC

It was shown by Huxley [1] that

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x - y) \sim \frac{y}{\log x} \quad (x^\vartheta \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}x), \quad (1)$$

for any constant $\vartheta > 7/12$. It follows that

$$p_{n+1} - p_n \ll p_n^\vartheta \quad (2)$$

for $\vartheta > 7/12$, where p_n is the n th prime number. At present the asymptotic formula (1) is not known for any $\vartheta \leq 7/12$. However Iwaniec and Jutila [2] have recently shown that, if one asks only for

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x - y) \gg \frac{y}{\log x} \quad (x^\vartheta \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}x), \quad (3)$$

then $\vartheta \geq 13/23$ is admissible. It follows that (2) holds with $\vartheta = 13/23$. Here $7/12 = 0.5833 \dots$, while $13/23 = 0.5652 \dots$. Moreover they indicated that the condition $\vartheta \geq 13/23$ could be relaxed to $\vartheta > 5/9 = 0.5555 \dots$, by an elaboration of the argument. The constant $5/9$ was the limit of their method.

We can now extend the range of validity of (2) and (3) as follows.

THEOREM. *For any $\vartheta > 11/20$ and $x \geq x(\vartheta)$ we have*

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x - y) > \frac{1}{212} \frac{y}{\log x}$$

in the range $x^\vartheta \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}x$. Thus

$$p_{n+1} - p_n \ll p_n^\vartheta.$$

Note that $11/20 = 0.5500 \dots$. This constant is the limit of the present method, since $\vartheta > 11/20$ is required in the lemma quoted below.

The proof of our theorem, like that given by Iwaniec and Jutila, uses a combination of the linear sieve and certain weighted zero-density estimates for

Received by the editors April 2, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10H15; Secondary 10H30.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society
0002-9904/79/0000-0402/\$01.75

the Riemann zeta-function. However, we use a sharper bound (see below) for the remainder term in the linear sieve. Moreover, we take account of several positive contributions in our basic decomposition of $\pi(x) - \pi(x - y)$ in (4), which were ignored by Iwaniec and Jutila.

We now give an outline of the proof. First we must introduce some notation from sieve theory. For any finite set A of integers we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_d &= \{n \in A; d|n\}, \\
 S(A, z) &= \#\{n \in A; p|n \Rightarrow p \geq z\}, \\
 W^-(A, z, D) &= S(A, z) - \sum_{(D/p)^{1/3} \leq q < p < z} S(A_{qp}, q),
 \end{aligned}$$

where q and p run over primes, and $2 \leq D \leq z^4$. We then have the fundamental Buchstab identity, namely

$$S(A, z_2) = S(A, z_1) - \sum_{z_1 \leq p < z_2} S(A_p, p).$$

We take

$$A = \{n; x - y < n \leq x\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi(x) - \pi(x - y) &= S(A, x^{1/2}) \\
 &= S(A, z) - \sum_{z \leq p < D^{1/2}} S(A_p, p) - \sum_{D^{1/2} \leq p < x^{1/2}} S(A_p, p) \\
 &= W^-(A, z, D) + \sum_{(D/p)^{1/3} \leq q < p < z} S(A_{qp}, q) - \sum_{z \leq p < D^{1/2}} S(A_p, p) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{D^{1/2} \leq p < x^{1/2}} S(A_p, (D/p)^{1/3}) + \sum_{\substack{D^{1/2} \leq p < x^{1/2} \\ (D/p)^{1/3} \leq q < p}} S(A_{qp}, q) \\
 &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 - \Sigma_4 + \Sigma_5,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

say. We give a lower bound for Σ_1 by means of the linear sieve. Usually the sieve is applied to give bounds for $S(A, z)$, and these involve a parameter D . However the *same* lower bound applies to the smaller quantity $W^-(A, z, D)$. This saves a term Σ_2 . To apply the sieve we need an estimate for a remainder sum and this is provided by the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let $\eta > 0$, $11/20 + 2\eta < \vartheta < 7/12$, $0 \leq \phi \leq (6\vartheta - 1)/5 - 3\eta$ and $1 \leq M, N < x^\vartheta$. Suppose $|a_m|, |b_n| \leq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ N < n \leq 2N}} a_m b_n \left(\left[\frac{x}{mn} \right] - \left[\frac{x-y}{mn} \right] - \frac{y}{mn} \right) \ll x^{\theta-\delta}$$

for some $\delta = \delta(\eta) > 0$.

This is a crucial improvement over the corresponding result of [2].

The term Σ_4 in (4) is also estimated by the linear sieve, from above. However because of the summation over primes occurring in Σ_4 , there will be a corresponding sum over primes in the remainder term. The range of this sum is too large to be dealt with by a direct appeal to the above lemma, and we therefore apply Vaughan's identity, which enables us to split the range into manageable parts.

For Σ_3 we give an asymptotic formula, by using weighted zero-density estimates. We also apply such estimates to Σ_2 and Σ_5 . However only certain subranges of p and q can be dealt with in this way, and the remaining terms, being nonnegative, are discarded. Of course, to discard judiciously chosen non-negative terms is the underlying idea in any combinatorial sieve method.

Full details of the proof will be published elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. M. N. Huxley, *On the difference between consecutive primes*, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 164–170.
2. H. Iwaniec and M. Jutila, *Primes in short intervals* (to appear).

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE CB2 1TQ, ENGLAND

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-950 WARSZAWA, POLAND