

SEMIAMARTS AND FINITE VALUES

BY ULRICH KRENGEL AND LOUIS SUCHESTON

Communicated by Alexandra Bellow, February 9, 1977

Let X_n be a sequence of real-valued random variables adapted to an increasing sequence of σ -algebras F_n . We denote by T, T_f, \bar{T} respectively the collection of bounded, finite, and arbitrary stopping times for $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. This paper reports on recent progress concerning the theory of *semiamarts*, i.e. processes for which $(EX_\tau)_{\tau \in T}$ is bounded, initiated in [3], and the theory of *amarts*, i.e. processes for which $\lim_{\tau \in T} EX_\tau$ exists. We relate the notion of semiamart to processes of interest in the theory of optimal stopping (cf. [2]), namely X_n such that $|EX_\mu| < \infty$ for $\mu \in T_f$, or for $\mu \in \bar{T}$. For independent random variables X_n and for processes of the form $X_n = c_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ with increasing c_n 's and independent nonnegative Y_i 's, a new dominated estimate

$$E(\sup X_n^+) \leq K \sup_{\mu \in \bar{T}} EX_\mu \quad (=KV(\bar{T}))$$

with $K = 2$ in the first and $K < 5.46$ in the second case, shows that such processes are semiamarts if and only if $\sup |X_n|$ is integrable. Also in the case when $F_n = F_m$ for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, a semiamart has a necessarily integrable supremum. This observation is used to construct averages of aperiodic stationary sequences, which are not semiamarts—thereby strengthening a result announced by A. Bellow [1]. This can be done also in the “descending” case, i.e. when the time domain \mathbf{N} is replaced by $-\mathbf{N}$ (see [3]); thus our results indicate that there are no connections between the amart theory and the ergodic theory of point transformations.

THEOREM 1 (RIESZ DECOMPOSITION FOR SEMIAMARTS). *Every semiamart (X_n, F_n) can be represented as $X_n = Y_n + Z_n$ where (Y_n, F_n) is a martingale and (Z_n, F_n) is an L_1 -bounded semiamart such that for each $A \in \bigcup F_m$*

$$\liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_A Z_i \leq 0 \leq \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_A Z_i.$$

This generalizes the Riesz decomposition for amarts [3]. A variant of Theorem 1 permits us to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniqueness of the Riesz decomposition. One consequence of the Riesz decomposition is:

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 60G40, 60G45.

¹The research of this author is in part supported by the National Science Foundation.

Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

THEOREM 2. *Let X_n be a semiamart (amart) such that for some $\alpha \geq 1$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-(1+\alpha)} E|X_i - X_{i-1}|^{2\alpha} < \infty$; then $\sup|X_n|/n < \infty$ a.s. (resp. $X_n/n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.).*

Theorem 2 extends the strong law of large numbers for martingale differences; a somewhat weaker version of this, and of the next theorem, appears in [3].

THEOREM 3 (AMART OPTIONAL SAMPLING THEOREM). *Let $\mu_n \in T_f, \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots$. Let X_n be an amart, $\hat{X}_n = X_{\mu_n}$ and assume*

- (a) $E|\hat{X}_n| < \infty \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and
- (b) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{\mu_n > N\}} |X_N| = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then (\hat{X}_n, G_n) is an amart where $G_n = F_{\mu_n} = \{A \in F: A \cap \{\mu_n = k\} \in F_k \forall k\}$. If also $\mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ then the Riesz decomposition of \hat{X}_n has the martingale part $Y_n = Y_{\mu_n}$ and the potential part $\hat{Z}_n = Z_{\mu_n}$, where $Y_n + Z_n$ is the amart Riesz decomposition of X_n .

THEOREM 4. *There exists a semiamart which converges a.s. and in L_1 but is not an amart.*

There exist two simple methods of construction of amarts and semiamarts: (1) each adapted sequence X_n is a semiamart if $\sup|X_n| \in L_1$. Such a sequence is an amart iff in addition X_n converges a.s.; (2) quasimartingales are amarts.

THEOREM 5. *In general a semiamart or amart cannot be decomposed into two summands arising from constructions (1) and (2). In fact, there exists a nonnegative predictable amart which is a potential (the martingale part in its Riesz decomposition vanishes), with $\sup_n E(X_n \log^+ X_n) \leq 1$ and $E \sup X_n = \infty$.*

THEOREM 6. *Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of adapted random variables for the increasing sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\sup E|X_n| = M < \infty$. (X_n) is a semiamart iff for each $\nu \in \bar{T}$ such that $E(1_{\{\nu < \infty\}} X_\nu)$ is defined as an extended real number, one has $|E(1_{\{\nu < \infty\}} X_\nu)| < \infty$. If the σ -algebra F_∞ generated by all F_n 's is nonatomic, a further equivalent condition is: for each $\nu \in T_f$ such that EX_ν is defined as an extended real number, one has $|EX_\nu| < \infty$.*

If $(EX_\tau)_{\tau \in T}$ is unbounded from above one can find ν with $EX_\nu^- < \infty$ and $EX_\nu^+ = \infty$. Thus the theorem can be interpreted as saying that for L_1 -bounded processes with infinite value $V(T) = \sup_{\tau \in T} EX_\tau$, the value $V(\bar{T})$ is assumed, and $V(T_f)$ is assumed if F_∞ is nonatomic. In the descending case $V(T_f) = \infty$ is assumed if (X_n) is L_1 -bounded or each F_{-n} is nonatomic. Since then $V(T_f) = \infty$ is equivalent to $V(T) = \infty$, this yields an analogous characterization of descending semiamarts.

THEOREM 7. *If (X_n) is adapted to (F_n) and X_{n+1} is independent of F_n for all n , then $E \sup X_n^+ \leq 2V(\bar{T})$.*

We only showed the existence of a constant K_0 such that $2 \leq K_0 \leq 4$,

and $E \sup X_n^+ \leq K_0 V(\bar{T})$. That K_0 may be chosen equal to 2 is due to D. Garling.

Now let (Y_n) be adapted to the increasing family (F_n) and assume that Y_{n+1} is independent of F_n for all n . Call (X_n) a *sequence of averages of nonnegative independent random variables* if X_n is of the form $X_n = c_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ with $1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots$.

THEOREM 8. *If (X_n) is a sequence of averages of nonnegative independent random variables then $E(\sup X_n) < 5.46$ where $V = V(\bar{T}) = V(T_f) = V(T)$.*

This result has an interesting probabilistic interpretation. If X_n is the fortune of a player at time n , then V is the maximal expected gain of a player A using nonanticipating stopping rules. $E \sup X_n$ equals $\sup_{\mu} EX_{\mu}$ where the supremum is over *all* measurable random variables $\mu: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Thus $E \sup X_n$ is the maximal expected gain of a player B endowed with complete foresight. The theorem may be interpreted as saying that, whatever be the sequence of distributions, the odds 5.46:1 are favorable to A even against an omniscient opponent B playing the same game.

A consequence of Theorem 9 is that a sequence of averages of nonnegative independent random variables is a semiamart for (F_n) iff $\sup X_n \in L_1$.

Call a point-transformation S *aperiodic* if there exists no measurable B with $P(B) > 0$ such that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all measurable $A \subset B$, the symmetric differences $A \Delta S^{-n}A$ has measure 0. The result of A. Bellow [1] is strengthened by

THEOREM 9. *If S is an aperiodic invertible measure preserving transformation of (Ω, F, P) then there exists an $f \in L_1^+$ for which $X_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ S^k$ is not a semiamart, ascending or descending.*

REFERENCES

1. A. Bellow, *Stability properties of the class of asymptotic martingales*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), 338–340.
2. Y. S. Chow, H. Robbins and D. Siegmund, *Great expectations: the theory of optimal stopping*, Houghton Mifflin, Boston, Mass., 1971. MR 48 #10007.
3. G. A. Edgar and L. Sucheston, *Amarts: A class of asymptotic martingales*. A. Discrete parameter, J. Multivariate Analysis **6** (1976), 193–221.

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GOTTINGEN,
GOTTINGEN, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS,
OHIO 43210