

ULTRAFILTERS AND ALMOST DISJOINT SETS. II

BY KAREL PRIKRY ¹

Communicated by W. Wistar Comfort, August 6, 1974

Unless otherwise stated, κ is an arbitrary infinite regular cardinal. For every infinite cardinal κ , $\mu\kappa$ is the family of uniform ultrafilters on κ . Our main result is:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$. Then for every $U \in \mu\kappa$ there is a family $\{a_x: x \in U\}$ such that: for every $x \in U$, $a_x \subseteq x$ and $|a_x| = \kappa$; and for every $x, y \in U$ with $x \neq y$, $|a_x \cap a_y| < \kappa$.*

This answers a question of Comfort communicated privately to the author and partially answers a question of Hindman [5]. It is still open whether Theorem 1 holds for singular κ as well. The hypothesis $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ cannot be outright removed, since by [1] it is consistent with ZFC that there is no $A \subseteq P(\kappa)$ such that $|A| = 2^\kappa$, $|a| = \kappa$ for every $a \in A$, and $|a \cap b| < \kappa$ for every $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$. See [4], [5] and [8] for other relevant results.

DEFINITION 1. For $A \subseteq P(\kappa)$ and ideal $I \subseteq P(\kappa)$, I is said to be dense in A modulo sets of power $< \kappa$ if for each $x \in A$ such that $|x| = \kappa$, there is some $y \in I$ with $y \subseteq x$ and $|y| = \kappa$. For brevity we shall write " I is dense in $A \text{ mod}(< \kappa)$ ". I is dense $\text{mod}(< \kappa)$ if I is dense in $P(\kappa) \text{ mod}(< \kappa)$.

" I is λ -complete" is defined as in [7].

THEOREM 2. *For every $U \in \mu\kappa$ there is a κ -complete ideal $I \subseteq P(\kappa) - U$ such that I is dense $\text{mod}(< \kappa)$.*

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 by induction on ordinals $< \kappa^+$, $a_x (x \in U)$ being chosen to belong to I . See [8, Theorem 1].

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02K25, 54D35.

Key words and phrases. Ultrafilter-uniform, regular, weakly selective, ideal- κ -complete, dense $\text{mod}(< \kappa)$, function-almost one-to-one, unbounded $\text{mod } U$.

¹Research supported by NSF grant GP-43841.

Theorem 2 for $\kappa = \omega$ is trivial—let $I = P(\omega) - U$. Thus from now on, $\kappa > \omega$. Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 1 clearly follows from Lemmas 2 and 3.

LEMMA 1. *If $U \in \mu\kappa$, then there is a κ -complete ideal $I \subseteq P(\kappa) - U$ which is dense in $U \text{ mod}(< \kappa)$.*

To prove Theorem 2, let I be as in Lemma 1 and set $x \in \bar{I}$ iff there are x_0, x_1 such that $x = x_0 \cup x_1$, $x_0 \in I$ and there is no $y \in I$ with $y \subseteq x_1$ and $|y| = \kappa$. Then \bar{I} is as required.

For $f, g \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ we shall write $f \sim g \pmod{U}$ or $f < g \pmod{U}$ if $\{\rho: f(\rho) = g(\rho)\} \in U$ or $\{\rho: f(\rho) < g(\rho)\} \in U$ respectively.

DEFINITION 2 [2]. $U \in \mu\kappa$ is regular if there is a family $X = \{x_\xi: \xi \in \kappa\} \subset U$ such that for every infinite $S \subseteq \kappa$, $\bigcap \{x_\xi: \xi \in S\} = 0$.

DEFINITION 3 [6]. $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ is almost one-to-one if for each $\rho \in \kappa$, $|f^{-1}(\{\rho\})| < \kappa$.

DEFINITION 4. $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ is bounded mod U if for some $\alpha \in \kappa$, $\{\rho \in \kappa: f(\rho) < \alpha\} \in U$. Otherwise f is unbounded mod U .

DEFINITION 5. $U \in \mu\kappa$ is weakly selective if for every $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ which is unbounded mod U there is an almost one-to-one $g \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ such that $f \sim g \pmod{U}$.

LEMMA 2. *If $U \in \mu\kappa$ is either regular or not weakly selective, then there is a κ -complete $I \subseteq P(\kappa) - U$ which is dense in $U \text{ mod}(< \kappa)$.*

PROOF. See [8, Theorems 5 and 11]. In outline, the proofs are as follows. Suppose first that U is regular. Let $X = \{x_\xi: \xi \in \kappa\}$ be as in Definition 2. Set

$$I = \{y \subseteq \kappa: \exists \eta < \kappa \forall \xi (\eta < \xi < \kappa \rightarrow |x_\xi \cap y| < \kappa)\}.$$

Then I is the desired ideal.

If U is not weakly selective, fix $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ unbounded mod U and such that there is no almost one-to-one g with $g \sim f \pmod{U}$. Set

$$I = \{y \subseteq \kappa: \forall \rho < \kappa (|y \cap f^{-1}(\{\rho\})| < \kappa)\}.$$

LEMMA 3. *If $U \in \mu\kappa$ is weakly selective and not regular, then there is a κ -complete ideal $I \subseteq P(\kappa) - U$ which is dense in $U \text{ mod}(< \kappa)$.*

The next crucial lemma needed in the proof of Lemma 3 is due to A. Kanamori [6].

LEMMA 4 [6]. *If $U \in \mu\kappa$ is not regular then there is a least (mod U) almost one-to-one function $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$.*

PROOF IN OUTLINE. Suppose that Lemma 4 is false. One can then construct by induction almost one-to-one functions $f_\alpha \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ ($\alpha \in \kappa$) such that for all $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$, $f_\beta < f_\alpha \pmod{U}$, and in addition, if β is limit, then for all $\alpha < \beta$ and all $\rho \in \kappa$, $f_\beta(\rho) \leq f_\alpha(\rho)$. We now define sets $x_\alpha \in U$ ($\alpha < \kappa$, α successor) as follows. If $\alpha = \gamma + n$ where $\gamma < \kappa$, γ is limit and $1 \leq n \in \omega$, then

$$x_\alpha = \{\rho < \kappa: \forall m \in \omega(0 \leq m < n \rightarrow f_\alpha(\rho) < f_{\gamma+m}(\rho))\}.$$

It can be shown that $\{x_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa, \alpha \text{ successor}\}$ regularizes U .

LEMMA 5. *If $U \in \mu\kappa$ is weakly selective and not regular then there is a least (mod U) $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ unbounded mod U .*

PROOF. Immediate from Lemma 4.

LEMMA 6. (SCOTT, SEE [7, THEOREM 1.8]). *Let $U \in \mu\kappa$ and $f \in {}^\kappa\kappa$ be as in Lemma 5. Set $V = \{x \subseteq \kappa: f^{-1}(x) \in U\}$. Then $V \in \mu\kappa$ and the identity is a least (mod V) function unbounded mod V . Moreover V extends the filter of closed unbounded subsets of κ .*

SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF LEMMA 3. Let U, f and V be as in Lemma 6. Let J be the ideal of those $x \subseteq \kappa$ such that $\kappa - x$ contains a closed unbounded subset of κ . By Lemma 6, $J \subseteq P(\kappa) - V$. It is well known that J is κ -complete and dense mod($< \kappa$). Set

$$I = \{y \subseteq \kappa: \exists x \in J(y \subseteq f^{-1}(x))\}.$$

REFERENCES

1. J. E. Baumgartner, *Results and independence proofs in combinatorial set theory*, Doctoral Dissertation, Berkeley, Calif., 1970.
2. C. C. Chang and H. J. Keisler, *Model theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
3. W. W. Comfort, private communication.
4. W. W. Comfort and N. Hindman, *Almost disjoint refining families for uniform ultrafilters*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1973), A-644.
5. N. Hindman, *On the existence of c -points in $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 277-280. MR 39 #922.

6. A. Kanamori, *On irregularity* (a xeroxed note).
7. H. J. Keisler and A. Tarski, *From accessible to inaccessible cardinals. Results holding for all accessible cardinal numbers and the problem of their extension to inaccessible ones*, *Fund. Math.* **53** (1963/64), 225–308. MR 29 #3385.
8. K. Prikry, *Ultrafilters and almost disjoint sets*, *General Topology and Appl.* **4** (1974), 269–282.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

Current address: School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540