

INDEX THEORY FOR SINGULAR QUADRATIC FUNCTIONALS IN THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS¹

BY JUNIOR STEIN²

Communicated by Everett Pitcher, April 13, 1973

1. Introduction. Let $P, Q,$ and R be real-valued $n \times n$ matrix functions defined on the interval $[a, b]$. Assume that $P, Q,$ and R are continuous on $[a, b]$ and that $P(t)$ and $R(t)$ are symmetric matrices for each t in $[a, b]$. We do not assume that Q is symmetric. Also assume that R has the property that its value for any t in $[a, b]$ is positive definite, that is, $v^*R(t)v > 0$ for all n -vectors $v \neq 0$ and for each t in $[a, b]$. Let

$$(1.1) \quad J(x, y) \Big|_{e_1}^{e_2} = \int_{e_1}^{e_2} [\dot{x}^*(t)R(t)\dot{y}(t) + x^*(t)Q(t)\dot{y}(t) + \dot{x}^*(t)Q^*(t)y(t) + x^*(t)R(t)y(t)] dt \quad (a \leq e_1 \leq e_2 < b),$$

for x and y in the class A of vector-valued functions described below. Also let

$$(1.2) \quad J_e(x, y) = J(x, y) \Big|_a^e, \quad J_e(x) = J_e(x, x),$$

$$(1.3) \quad J(x, y) = \liminf_{e \rightarrow b^-} J_e(x, y), \quad J(x) = \liminf_{e \rightarrow b^-} J_e(x)$$

for x and y in A . The class A is the set of vector-valued functions $x^*(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$, $a \leq t \leq b$, satisfying

- (i) $x(t)$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and $x(a) = x(b) = 0$,
- (ii) $x(t)$ is absolutely continuous and $\dot{x}^*(t)\dot{x}(t)$ is Lebesgue integrable on each closed subinterval of $[a, b]$. A is a vector space of functions.

J is said to be *singular* at a point t in $[a, b]$ if the determinant of $R(t)$ is zero or not defined. The point $t = b$ is a singular point in this paper.

2. Preliminaries. What is presented here is part of a quadratic form theory developed and used extensively by Hestenes [3], [4]. Let $Q(x)$

AMS(MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 49B10, 34C10; Secondary 58E99.

Key words and phrases. Singular quadratic functionals, singular differential equations, index theory of quadratic forms.

¹ The author is indebted to Professor Magnus R. Hestenes for suggesting this problem and for his suggestions in its preparation.

² This is to acknowledge the partial support of the author by the U.S. Army Research Office at Durham under Grant DA-31-124-ARO(D)-355 and under Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G18. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

be a quadratic functional defined on a vector space V and let $Q(x, y)$ be its associated symmetric bilinear functional. Two vectors x and y in V are said to be Q -orthogonal whenever $Q(x, y) = 0$. A vector x is said to be Q -orthogonal to a subset S of V whenever $Q(x, y) = 0$ for every y in S . By the Q -orthogonal complement S^Q of the set S in V is meant the set of all vectors x in V that are Q -orthogonal to S . S^Q is a subspace of V . A vector in S that is Q -orthogonal to S is called a Q -null vector of S . The intersection $S \cap S^Q$ is the set of Q -null vectors of S and is usually denoted by S_0 . If S is a subspace of V , then so is S_0 .

Let S be any subspace in V . We define the nullity $n(S)$ of Q on S or more simply the Q -nullity of S to be the dimension of the subspace $S_0 = S \cap S^Q$ of Q -null vectors in S . We define the signature $s(S)$ of Q on S , the index of Q on S , or the Q -signature of S to be the dimension of a maximal subspace M of S on which $Q < 0$ if this dimension is finite. If no such finite dimensional space exists, we set $s(S) = \infty$. By $Q < 0$ on M we mean that $Q(x) < 0$ for each nonzero x in M . It turns out that the dimension $s(S)$ of M is independent of the choice of M so that the notion of signature is well defined.

THEOREM 2.1. *If the Q -signature of S is finite where S is a subspace of V , then it is given by one of the following quantities:*

- (i) *the dimension of a maximal subspace M in S on which $Q < 0$;*
- (ii) *the dimension of a maximal subspace M of S on which $Q \leq 0$ and having $M \cap S_0 = 0$;*
- (iii) *the dimension of a minimal subspace M of S such that $Q \geq 0$ on $S \cap M^Q$;*
- (iv) *the least integer k such that there exist k linear functionals L_1, \dots, L_k on S with the property that $Q(x) \geq 0$ for all x in S satisfying the conditions $L_\alpha(x) = 0$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$).*

3. Results. The main purpose of this paper is to announce the results presented in this section. The details and more results are to appear elsewhere.

The definition of a singular conjugate point is found in Tomastik [7, p. 61] and Chellevoid [1, p. 333]. It extends the definition of Morse and Leighton [5, p. 253], who treated the case $n = 1$. For $a \leq e \leq b$ let $A(e) = \{x \in A : x(t) = 0 \text{ for } e \leq t \leq b\}$, where A is defined in §1 of this paper. Define the set B in A to be the union of the sets $A(e)$ for $a < e < b$. Observe that B is actually a subspace of A .

THEOREM 3.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for some non-negative integer k :*

- (i) *The signature of J given by (1.3) on B is k .*

- (ii) *There is an ε_0 in (a, b) such that $\varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon < b$ implies that the signature of J given by (1.3) on $A(\varepsilon)$ is k .*
- (iii) *The point a has exactly a finite number k of nonsingular conjugate points on $a < t < b$.*
- (iv) *The point b has exactly a finite number k of singular conjugate points on $a < t < b$.*
- (v) *b is not conjugate to b .*

Theorem 3.1 above contains Theorem 4.4, p. 337, of Chellevold [1]. Let $U(t)$ be a conjugate system satisfying Euler's equation

$$(3.1) \quad [R(t)\dot{U}(t) + Q^*(t)U(t)]' = [Q(t)\dot{U}(t) + P(t)U(t)]$$

and the conditions $U(a) = 0, \dot{U}(a) = I, \det U(t) \neq 0$ for t near b . Let us remark that there are J 's which do not possess such conjugate systems. For y in A and for t near b set

$$(3.2) \quad S[y(t), a] = y^*(t)\{[R(t)\dot{U}(t) + Q^*(t)U(t)]U^{-1}(t)\}y(t).$$

Let D be a subspace in A satisfying $B \subseteq D \subseteq A$. The condition that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow b^-} S[y(t), a] \geq 0$ for each y in D satisfying $\liminf_{t \rightarrow b^-} J(y)|_a^t < \infty$ is called the *singularity condition relative to D and belonging to $[a, b]$* .

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that $s(B)$ is finite. Let D be any subspace with $B \subseteq D \subseteq A$. Let C be a subspace in B maximal relative to having $J < 0$. Let $C^J = \{x \in A : J(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \text{ in } C\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *If x is in $D \cap C^J$, then $J(x) < \infty$ implies $\liminf_{e \rightarrow b^-} S[x(e), a] \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *If x is in $D \cap C^J$, then $J(x) \geq 0$.*
- (iii) *The singularity condition relative to D holds; that is, if x is in D , then $J(x) < \infty$ implies $\liminf_{e \rightarrow b^-} S[x(e), a] \geq 0$.*

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that $J(x, y) = \liminf_{e \rightarrow b^-} J_e(x, y)$ is bilinear on the subspace D where $B \subseteq D \subseteq A$. Assume that $s(B)$ is finite. Let C be a subspace in B maximal relative to having $J < 0$. Let $C^J = \{x \in A : J(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \text{ in } C\}$. Then $s(D) = s(B)$ if and only if x in $C^J \cap D$ implies $J(x) \geq 0$.*

COROLLARY. *If J is bilinear on the subspace D with $B \subseteq D \subseteq A$ and $s(B)$ is finite, then $s(D) = s(B)$ if and only if the singularity condition relative to D and belonging to $[a, b]$ holds.*

The next theorem generalizes Theorems 2.3, 4.1, and 5.1 of Tomastik [7].

THEOREM 3.4. *There is a subspace C of finite dimension k in B with C maximal relative to having $J < 0$ and $J \geq 0$ on $C^J \cap D$ holds for a subspace*

D with $B \subseteq D \subseteq A$ if and only if there are k conjugate points to b in $(a, b]$ and the singularity condition relative to D and belonging to $[a, b]$ is satisfied.

COROLLARY. *There is a subspace C of finite dimension k in B with C maximal relative to having $J < 0$ and $J \geq 0$ on C^J holds if and only if there are k conjugate points to b in $(a, b]$ and the singularity condition relative to A and belonging to $[a, b]$ is satisfied.*

COROLLARY. *For any subspace D with $B \subseteq D \subseteq A$, $J \geq 0$ on D holds if and only if there are no conjugate points to b in $(a, b]$ and the singularity condition relative to D and belonging to $[a, b]$ is satisfied.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. O. Chellevoid, *Conjugate points of singular quadratic functionals for n dependent variables*, Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci. **59** (1952), 331–337. MR **14**, 769.
2. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1934.
3. M. R. Hestenes, *Applications of the theory of quadratic forms in Hilbert space to the calculus of variations*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 525–581. MR **13**, 759.
4. ———, *Quadratic form theory and analysis*, Lecture Notes, Math. Dept. Reading Room, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1967.
5. M. Morse and W. Leighton, *Singular quadratic functionals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 252–286.
6. J. Stein, *Singular quadratic functionals*, Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1971.
7. E. C. Tomastik, *Singular quadratic functionals of n dependent variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1966), 60–76. MR **33** #4743.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO 43606