

EXACT COLIMITS

BY JOHN ISBELL AND BARRY MITCHELL

Communicated by Hyman Bass, March 29, 1973

It is well known and easy that if \mathcal{C} is a small category with filtered components, then the functor $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}}: \text{Ab}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is exact. The converse was conjectured and proved in a special case by Oberst [4]. A necessary and sufficient condition for exactness of $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}}$ was given by Isbell in [2], who used the condition to show that Oberst's conjecture is true when \mathcal{C} is a monoid. We show that the conjecture is false in general. Proofs will only be sketched here, full details to appear elsewhere.

1. **Affinization.** If A and B are objects of \mathcal{C} , then A maps to B if $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is nonempty. If α_i is a family of $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$, then β filters the family if $\beta\alpha_i$ is independent of i . A category \mathcal{C} is filtered if every pair (and hence every finite family) of objects map to a common object, and every pair (and hence every finite family) of morphisms with common domain and codomain are filtered.

The *additivization* of \mathcal{C} is the category $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{C}$ with the same objects, where $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is the free abelian group on $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$. The *affinization* of \mathcal{C} is the subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{C}$ of morphisms whose integer coefficients sum to one. Note that $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{aff } \mathcal{C}$, with equality if and only if \mathcal{C} is a preordered set.

If $M \in \text{Ab}^{\mathcal{C}}$, then $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} M = \bigoplus_{A \in |\mathcal{C}|} M(A)/X$ where X is the subgroup of the numerator generated by elements of the form $x - \alpha x$ with, say, $x \in M(A)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$, and hence $\alpha x \in M(B)$. Note that if $\sum n_i \alpha_i$ is a morphism of $\text{aff } \mathcal{C}$, then

$$x - \left(\sum n_i \alpha_i\right)x = \sum n_i(x - \alpha_i x),$$

and it follows that if M is considered as an object of $\text{Ab}^{\text{aff } \mathcal{C}}$ in the obvious way, then $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} M = \text{colim}_{\text{aff } \mathcal{C}} M$. This yields easily the "if" part of the following theorem, which is close to being a restatement of [2, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 1. *Colim $_{\mathcal{C}}$ is exact if and only if the components of $\text{aff } \mathcal{C}$ are filtered.*

The converse is an application of the "several object" version of ring theory [3]. We express the colimit \cdots as $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} M = \Delta\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{C}} M$ where $\Delta\mathcal{Z}$ is the constant functor at \mathcal{Z} over \mathcal{C}^{op} . Then exactness of $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 18G99; Secondary 18E05.

Key words and phrases. Affinization, diagram, colimit.

equivalent to flatness of ΔZ , which in turn is equivalent to purity of

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \bigoplus_{B \in |C|} ZC(\quad, B) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Delta Z \rightarrow 0$$

where ε sums coefficients. Using P. M. Cohn’s equational characterization of purity and the following obvious lemma, the other part of Theorem 1 is only a couple of easy steps away.

LEMMA 1. *Pairs of morphisms in $\text{aff } C$ can be filtered in $\text{aff } C$ if and only if finite families of morphisms in C can be filtered in $\text{aff } C$.*

THEOREM 2. *If colim_C is exact, then any pair $\alpha, \alpha e$ with e an endomorphism can be filtered.*

One need only observe that the proof given in [2] for C a monoid never uses the fact that α is an endomorphism. The proof can also be reduced from two cases to one using the neater formulation of Theorem 1.

A *weak terminal object* of a category is an object to which all objects map.

COROLLARY 1. *If colim_C is exact, and if all components of C have weak terminal objects, then the components of C are filtered.*

This has also been observed by W. Spears [5].

A *one way category* (called a *delta* in [3]) is a category whose only endomorphisms are identities. Every category C has a one way reflection \hat{C} , namely, the quotient category obtained by identifying all endomorphisms to identities. If colim_C is exact, then $\text{colim}_{\hat{C}}$ is exact (true, more generally, for any quotient category).

COROLLARY 2. *If colim_C is exact, and if two morphisms of C can be filtered in \hat{C} , then they can be filtered in C .*

2. The counterexample. It follows from Corollary 2 that if there is a counterexample to Oberst’s conjecture, then there is a one way counterexample. Furthermore it cannot have a weak terminal object by Corollary 1, and it cannot be a preordered set by Theorem 1. A candidate arising in nature is the category Δ_{face} (which we shall denote simply by Δ) of order preserving injections of finite totally ordered sets. This category is as far from being filtered as possible: if two morphisms are filtered, then they are equal.

THEOREM 3. *$\text{aff } \Delta$ is filtered.*

Let $[n]$ denote $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with the natural order. Let $\Pi(n)$ be the $2^{n+1} - 1$ element set of all sequences (including the empty one) of at most n plus and minus signs. $\Pi(n)$ is totally ordered by extending all

sequences to length n with 0's, ordering lexicographically with the convention $- < 0 < +$, and then deleting the 0's. $\Pi(n)$ also has an obvious partial order, namely, one sequence is greater than another if it extends it. If Σ is any subset of $\Pi(n)$, an *error* for Σ is an element $\sigma \in \Pi(n)$ such that Σ meets the set $E(\sigma)$ of (not necessarily proper) extensions of σ , but does not meet every maximal chain in $E(\sigma)$. The set Σ is *correct* if it has no error. A *hole* of a correct set Σ is a sequence σ such that Σ meets $E(\sigma)$ but $\sigma \notin \Sigma$.

For each $n + 1$ element set $\Sigma \subset \Pi(n)$, there is exactly one morphism $q_\Sigma: [n] \rightarrow \Pi(n)$ in Δ with image Σ . Set $\rho^n = \sum (-1)^h q_\Sigma$ summed over all $n + 1$ element correct subsets Σ , h being the number of holes of Σ . One can verify that for each of the $n + 1$ morphisms $f: [n - 1] \rightarrow [n]$ of Δ , we have $\rho^n f = i \rho^{n-1}$ where i is the inclusion $\Pi(n - 1) \subset \Pi(n)$. It follows by induction that ρ^n is affine. Furthermore, if $f: [n - k] \rightarrow [n]$, then since f factors through all objects between $[n - k]$ and $[n]$, we obtain $\rho^n f = i \rho^{n-k}$ where i is now the inclusion $\Pi(n - k) \subset \Pi(n)$. The theorem then follows from Lemma 1.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Eléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XXVII. *Algèbre commutative*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1290, 1293, Hermann, Paris, 1961. MR 36 #146; 30 #2027.
2. J. R. Isbell, *A note on exact colimits*, Canad. Math. Bull. 11 (1968), 569–572. MR 39 #286.
3. B. Mitchell, *Rings with several objects*, Advances in Math. 8 (1972), 1–161. MR 45 #3524.
4. U. Oberst, *Homology of categories and exactness of direct limits*, Math. Z. 107 (1968), 87–115. MR 39 #5662.
5. W. T. Spears, *When is colim exact?* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, AMHERST, NEW YORK 14226

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903

Current address (John Isbell): Istituto di Matematica, Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italia