

PERTURBING ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BY AARON STRAUSS¹ AND JAMES A. YORKE²

Communicated by W. Wasow, April 22, 1968

Our purpose here is to announce new theorems on the eventual uniform-asymptotic stability (hereafter called EvUAS) of the origin 0 for the ordinary differential equation

$$(P) \quad x' = f(t, x) + g(t, x), \quad (x' = dx/dt)$$

given that 0 is EvUAS for the equation

$$(E) \quad x' = f(t, x),$$

and that f and g satisfy certain conditions. We always assume that f and g are at least continuous from $[0, \infty) \times R^d$ to R^d , but we never assume that the solutions of (P) are unique or that the zero function is a solution of (P). In fact EvUAS is a natural generalization of uniform asymptotic stability in which it is not assumed that the zero function is a solution.

Our main result is (definitions follow)

THEOREM A. *Let 0 be EvUAS for (E). Then 0 is EvUAS for (P) if*

- (i) f is Lipschitz and g is diminishing, or
- (ii) f is periodic and g is diminishing, or
- (iii) f is inner product and g is absolutely diminishing, or
- (iv) f is linear and $g = g_1 + g_2$, where g_1 is absolutely diminishing and $g_2 = o(|x|)$.

Let $x(t; t_0, x_0)$ denote a solution of (E) through (t_0, x_0) . We say that 0 is EvUAS for (E) if

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty; |x_0| \rightarrow 0} \left[\sup_{t \geq t_0} |x(t; t_0, x_0)| \right] = 0$$

and if, for some $\delta_0 > 0$ and some $\alpha_0 \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{t_0 \geq \alpha_0; |x_0| < \delta_0} |x(t + t_0; t_0, x_0)| \right] = 0.$$

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland. Research supported in part by a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship and in part by NSF grants GP-4921 and GP-6167.

² Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland. Research supported in part by NSF grants GP-6114 and GP-7846.

We say that f is *Lipschitz* if, for some $r > 0$ and $L > 0$,

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad |x| \leq r, \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq r;$$

inner product if, for some $r > 0$ and $L > 0$,

$$\langle x - y, f(t, x) - f(t, y) \rangle \leq L\|x - y\|^2 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad |x| \leq r, \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq r;$$

linear if

$$f(t, x) = A(t)x \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \in R^d;$$

and *periodic* if, for some $\omega > 0$,

$$f(t + \omega, x) = f(t, x) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \in R^d.$$

Note that if $A(t)$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$, then $f(t, x) = A(t)x$ is both linear and Lipschitz; that f is periodic if it is independent of t ; and that a Lipschitz function is also inner product.

We say that g is *absolutely diminishing* if, for some $r > 0$ and every m satisfying $0 < m < r$, there exists a function h_m such that, for all $t \geq 0$ and $m \leq |x| \leq r$,

$$|g(t, x)| \leq h_m(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_t^{t+1} h_m(s) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We say that g is *diminishing* if: (i) g is absolutely diminishing; or (ii) g is continuous in x uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, \infty)$ and, for some $r > 0$ and each fixed x satisfying $0 < |x| < r$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \left| \int_t^{t+u} g(s, x) ds \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty;$$

or (iii) g is a finite sum of functions of types (i) and (ii).

Theorem A generalizes the following result, obtained in stages by Malkin [3, p. 104], Vrkoc [7], Wexler [8], Yoshizawa [9], Krasovskii [4, p. 102], LaSalle and Rath [5], and Strauss and Yorke [6]:

THEOREM. *If 0 is UAS for (E), if f is Lipschitz, and if g is absolutely diminishing, then 0 is EvUAS for (P).*

Theorem A also generalizes the following result, obtained in stages by Poincaré, Liapunov, Perron, Coddington and Levinson [2, p. 327], Brauer [1], and Strauss and Yorke [6]:

THEOREM. *Let A be a constant matrix. If 0 is UAS for $x' = Ax$ and if $g = g_1 + g_2$, where g_1 is absolutely diminishing (but with $m = 0$) and $g_2 = o(|x|)$, then 0 is "eventually asymptotically stable" for (P).*

There do not seem to be any results in the literature for f merely periodic or inner product,

We now briefly discuss diminishing functions. If $|g(t, x)| \leq h(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $|x| \leq r$, then g is absolutely diminishing whenever, in particular, $h(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or

$$\int_0^\infty |h(t)|^p dt < \infty \quad \text{for some } p \geq 1.$$

The scalar function $g(t, x) = t(t^2x^2 + 1)^{-1}$ is absolutely diminishing because we may choose

$$h_m(t) = t(t^2m^2 + 1)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty;$$

however, $g(t, 0) = t$. Thus an absolutely diminishing function need not be small at $x = 0$. The function

$$h(t) = (t \sin t^3, t \cos t^3, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is diminishing but not absolutely diminishing, since $\|h(t)\| = t$. Furthermore, $t^{-1}h(t)$ is bounded and diminishing, but not absolutely diminishing. If $k(x)$ is continuous from R^d to R^d (we need not have $k(0) = 0$), then the function $k(x) \sin t^3$ is diminishing but not absolutely diminishing. Examples show that if uniform continuity is dropped from the definition of a diminishing function, then part (i) of Theorem A may fail.

We now summarize some of our other results.

THEOREM B. *Let 0 be EvUAS for (E). Let f be Lipschitz or periodic. Then 0 is EvUAS for*

$$(1) \quad x' = f(t, x) + h(t)$$

if and only if h is diminishing. In fact if h is not diminishing, then no solution of (1) can approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Both implications of Theorem B are false for inner product f and for linear f . Furthermore, there exist diminishing functions g and there exist functions f which are both inner product and linear such that 0 is EvUAS for (E) but not for (P).

To understand better the relationship between properties of f in (E) and the conditions for admissible perturbations g , we use the concept of perturbation classes. Define

$$\mathfrak{F}_C = \{f(t, x): f \text{ is continuous from } [0, \infty) \times R^d \text{ to } R^d\}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a subclass of \mathcal{F}_C . Define the *perturbation classes*

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}) = \{g \in \mathcal{F}_C: \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, 0 \text{ is EvUAS for (E)} \Rightarrow 0 \text{ is EvUAS for (P)}\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}) = \{h \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}): h \text{ is independent of } x\}.$$

Then if \mathcal{F}_{Lip} denotes the class of Lipschitz functions, \mathcal{F}_{Inn} the class of inner product functions, \mathcal{F}_{Lin} the class of linear functions, and \mathcal{F}_{Per} the class of periodic functions, we may restate Theorem A as

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Lip}) \supset \{g(t, x): g \text{ is diminishing}\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Per}) \supset \{g(t, x): g \text{ is diminishing}\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Inn}) \supset \{g(t, x): g \text{ is absolutely diminishing}\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Lin}) \supset \{g_1 + g_2: g_1 \text{ is absolutely diminishing and } g_2 = o(|x|)\}.$$

Theorem B implies

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}_{Lip}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}_{Per}) = \{h(t): h \text{ is diminishing}\}.$$

The remarks following Theorem B imply $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}_{Lip}) \neq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}_{Lin})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}_{Lip}) \neq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}_{Inn})$.

The conditions we impose on g are that g be "small as $t \rightarrow \infty$." We can use conditions of the type " g is small as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ " and still perturb every equation (E) for f linear, but not for f Lipschitz, inner product, or periodic, as Theorem A and the next result show.

THEOREM C. *Let $d \geq 2$. Then we have the following:*

- for Lipschitz* $g(x), g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Lip}) \Leftrightarrow g(x) \equiv 0 \text{ near } x = 0$;
- for Lipschitz* $g(x), g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Inn}) \Leftrightarrow g(x) \equiv 0 \text{ near } x = 0$;
- for continuous* $g(x), g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Per}) \Leftrightarrow g(x) \equiv 0 \text{ near } x = 0$;
- for a constant matrix* $A, Ax \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{Lin}) \Leftrightarrow Ax = \alpha x \text{ for some } \alpha \leq 0$.

Finally, we show that restrictions on f (such as Lipschitz, etc.) are needed in order to prove a result like Theorem A. Let \mathcal{F}_{CU} be the class of functions which are locally Lipschitz and uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty) \times R^d$.

THEOREM D. *For some $f \in \mathcal{F}_{CU}$, 0 is EvUAS for (E) but not for*

$$x' = f(t, x) + e^{-t}(1, \dots, 1).$$

Also, for some $f \in \mathcal{F}_{CU}$, 0 is EvUAS for (E) but not for

$$x' = f(t, x) + xe^{-t}.$$

In particular, then, $e^{-t}(1, \dots, 1) \notin \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{CU})$ and $xe^{-t} \notin \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_{CU})$.

REFERENCES

1. F. Brauer, *Nonlinear differential equations with forcing terms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 758-765.
2. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
3. A. Halanay, *Differential equations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
4. N. Krasovskii, *Stability of motion*, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, California, 1963.
5. J. P. LaSalle and R. J. Rath, *Eventual stability*, Proc. 2nd IFAC Congress, Basel, 1963, Butterworth, London, 1964, Vol. II, pp. 556-560.
6. A. Strauss and J. A. Yorke, *Perturbation theorems for ordinary differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **3** (1967), 15-30.
7. I. Vrkoc, *Integral stability*, Czech. Math. J. **9** (1959), 71-128. (Russian)
8. D. Wexler, *Note on the eventual stability*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. **11** (1966), 819-824.
9. T. Yoshizawa, *Stability theory by Liapunov's second method*, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND