

GENERATING GROUPS OF NILPOTENT VARIETIES

BY L. G. KOVÁCS, M. F. NEWMAN AND P. F. PENTONY

Communicated by Walter Feit, March 21, 1968

Problem 14 of Hanna Neumann's book [3] asks for a proof of a conjecture which is contradicted by the following

THEOREM. *If c is an integer greater than 2, then the variety \mathfrak{N}_c of all nilpotent groups of class at most c is generated by its free group $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ of rank $c-1$ but not by its free group $F_{c-2}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ of rank $c-2$.*

In the terms of [3], this means that for $c > 2$ one has $d(c) = c - 1$ rather than $d(c) = \lceil c/2 \rceil + 1$ as suggested in Problem 14; correspondingly, [3, 35.35] is false for $c = 5$ and 6. (Professor Neumann has confirmed that her proofs were faulty.)

The theorem was suggested by Graham Higman's approach to nilpotent varieties of class c and prime exponent greater than c , via the representation theory of the general linear groups [1]. In particular, he remarked that each critical group in such a variety can be generated by $c-1$ elements (if $c > 2$). Since $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ generates \mathfrak{N}_c (cf. [3, 35.12]) and is residually of prime exponent (cf. Higman [2]), it follows easily that $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ generates \mathfrak{N}_c . It is not difficult to use Higman's method for confirming the second half of the theorem as well.

In this note we outline a proof which avoids the conceptual complexity of Higman's approach; the price of this is paid for in length. Unless otherwise specified, our notation and terminology follow Hanna Neumann's book [3].

To prove the first half of the theorem, it is sufficient to find a set of homomorphisms from $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ to $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ whose kernels intersect trivially. Hanna Neumann did just this in the proof of [3, 35.35] for $c = 4$, and the same idea works generally: if $\{a_1, \dots, a_c\}$ is a free generating set for $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_{c-1}\}$ is one for $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$, then the $2c-1$ homomorphisms $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_c, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{c-1}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a_j \delta_i &= b_j & \text{if } j < i, & \quad \text{and} & \quad a_j \theta_i &= b_j & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ a_j \delta_i &= 1 & \text{if } j = i, & & a_j \theta_i &= b_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i \\ a_j \delta_i &= b_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i & & & & \end{aligned}$$

will do. The verification of this makes use of the unique representation of the elements of $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ in terms of basic commutators in $\{a_1, \dots, a_c\}$ as defined by Martin Ward [4]. The case of odd c is

comparatively straightforward, that of even c requires lengthy and careful discussion.

For the second half, we show that the word w_c defined below is a law in $F_{c-2}(\mathcal{N}_c)$, and then we exhibit a nilpotent group G_c of class c (and soluble length 3) in which w_c is not a law. The choice of this word was suggested by Higman's approach. Let S denote the group of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, c-1\}$, and let $\epsilon(\sigma)$ be 1 or -1 according as σ is an even or odd permutation; then

$$w_c = \prod_{\sigma \in S} [x_\sigma, x_{(\sigma^{-1})\sigma}, \dots, x_{1\sigma}]^{\epsilon(\sigma)}.$$

If $F = F_{c-2}(\mathcal{N}_c)$ is freely generated by $\{a_1, \dots, a_{c-2}\}$, then every element of F can be written in the form

$$d \prod_{j=1}^{c-2} a_j^{\alpha(j)}$$

where d belongs to the commutator subgroup F' of F . Substituting $d_i \prod_{j=1}^{c-2} a_j^{\alpha(i,j)}$ for x_i in w_c , one finds that every value of w_c in F is of the form

$$\prod_{\phi} [a_{c\phi}, a_{(c-1)\phi}, \dots, a_{1\phi}]^{\beta(\phi)}$$

where ϕ runs through all maps from $\{1, \dots, c\}$ to $\{1, \dots, c-2\}$ and

$$\beta(\phi) = \alpha(c, c\phi) \sum_{\sigma \in S} \epsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{c-1} \alpha(i\sigma, i\phi).$$

Now two of $1\phi, \dots, (c-1)\phi$ must be equal: say, $r\phi = s\phi$. Let T be a transversal of the subgroup of S generated by the transposition (rs) such that every element of S not in T can be written uniquely as $(rs)\tau$ with $\tau \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\phi) &= \alpha(c, c\phi) \sum_{\tau \in T} \epsilon(\tau) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{c-1} \alpha(i\tau, i\phi) - \prod_{i=1}^{c-1} \alpha(i(rs)\tau, i\phi) \right\} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{because } r\phi = s\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus w_c is a law in F .

The choice of G_c was influenced by the observation that w_c can be rewritten as follows. Put $n = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(c+1) \rfloor$ so that $c = 2n$ or $2n-1$; note that $n > 1$ as $c > 2$. For each j in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let K_j be the subgroup of S generated by the transpositions $(2i-1 \ 2i)$ with $i \in \{j, \dots, n-1\}$: thus K_n is the identity subgroup of S . Let T denote an arbitrary transversal of K_1 in S so that $S = K_1 T$. Then $w_c = w_c^T d_c^T$ where

$$w_{2n}^T = \prod_{\tau \in T} [[x_{2n}, x_{(2n-1)\tau}], [x_{(2n-2)\tau}, x_{(2n-3)\tau}], \dots, [x_{2\tau}, x_{1\tau}]]^{\epsilon(\tau)},$$

$$w_{2n-1}^T = \prod_{\tau \in T} [x_{2n-1}, [x_{(2n-2)\tau}, x_{(2n-3)\tau}], \dots, [x_{2\tau}, x_{1\tau}]]^{\epsilon(\tau)},$$

and d_c^T is a law in \mathfrak{N}_c . This is a consequence of the fact that

$$[y, [x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-3}], \dots, [x_2, x_1]]$$

$$= d_j \prod_{\sigma \in K_j} [y, x_{(2n-2)\sigma}, \dots, x_{(2j-1)\sigma}, [x_{(2j-2)\sigma}, x_{(2j-3)\sigma}], \dots, [x_{2\sigma}, x_{1\sigma}]]^{\epsilon(\sigma)}$$

where d_j is a law in \mathfrak{N}_c : a tautology if $j=n$ and $d_n=1$, and proved in general by reverse induction on j .

The group G_c is constructed as follows. Let $W_n = F_{2n}(\mathfrak{N}_n \cap \mathfrak{A}^2)$ be freely generated by $C = \{u_2, u_4, \dots, u_{2n}, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For each i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, there is an automorphism γ_i of W_n which maps u_{2i} to $u_{2i}v_i$ and fixes all other elements of C . It is easy to check that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ generate a free abelian subgroup of rank n in the automorphism group of W_n . The group H_n is the splitting extension of W_n by a free abelian group of rank n freely generated by $u_1, u_3, \dots, u_{2n-1}$ where the homomorphism specifying the extension maps u_{2i-1} to γ_i for all i . We take G_c to be the largest nilpotent-of-class- c factor group of the subgroup of H_n generated by $\{u_1, \dots, u_c\}$.

We now sketch the verification that this G_c has the required properties. If $z_1, \dots, z_r \in C$, then $[z_1, \dots, z_r, u_{2i-1}]$ is a (possibly empty) product of left-normed commutators of weight at least r with entries from C in which all the commutators of weight r have fewer entries u_{2i} than $[z_1, \dots, z_r]$. From this, a routine argument shows that every left-normed commutator of weight $2n$ with entries from $\{u_1, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ lies in the n th term of the lower central series of the subgroup of W_n generated by $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. It follows that H_n has class $2n$.

Suppose that $c=2n$, so that $G_c=H_n$, and evaluate w_{2n} when u_i is substituted for x_i . Since each d_{2n}^T is a law in H_n , we get the same value from substituting in any w_{2n}^T , and we exploit our freedom in choosing T . Let R be the group of all permutations on $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$; define a monomorphism $\rho \rightarrow \rho^*$ from R to S by $(2k-1)\rho^* = 2(k\rho) - 1$, $(2k)\rho^* = 2(k\rho)$ if $k < n$, and $(2n-1)\rho^* = 2n-1$, and denote its image by R^* . As R^* avoids K_1 , it can be extended to a transversal T . Observe that $[u_i, u_j]$ lies in the commutator subgroup of W_n unless $|i-j|=1$ and $\max(i, j)$ is even; hence

$$[[u_{2n}, u_{(2n-1)\tau}], [u_{(2n-2)\tau}, u_{(2n-3)\tau}], \dots, [u_{2r}, u_{1r}]] = 1$$

unless $\tau \in K_1R^*$; and $K_1R^* \cap T = R^*$. Finally, note that every element of R^* is even. Using this information, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\sigma \in S} [u_{2n}, u_{(2n-1)\sigma}, \dots, u_{1\sigma}]^{e(\sigma)} &= \prod_{\rho^* \in R^*} [[u_{2n}, u_{(2n-1)\rho^*}], \dots, [u_{2\rho^*}, u_{1\rho^*}]] \\ &= \prod_{\rho \in R} [v_n, v_{(n-1)\rho}, \dots, v_{1\rho}] \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} [v_n, v_r, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}]^{(n-2)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because W_n is metabelian. By [3, 36.32], this value of w_{2n} is not trivial. This proves that if c is even, w_c is not a law in G_c .

A similar argument shows that if $c = 2n - 1$ and u_i is substituted for x_i , the value of w_{2n-1} is

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n-1} [u_{2n-1}, v_r, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}]^{(n-2)!}$$

which does not belong to the $2n$ th term of the lower central series of H_n . Thus also when c is odd, w_c is not a law in G_c , and this completes the outline of the proof.

REMARK (added in proof July 9, 1968). An alternative and independent proof of this result has been obtained by Professor F. Levin and submitted to J. Austral. Math. Soc.

REFERENCES

1. Graham Higman, *Representations of general linear groups and varieties of p-groups*, Proc. Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Australian National University, Canberra, August 1965, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967, pp. 167-173.
2. ———, *A remark on finitely generated nilpotent groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 284-285.
3. Hanna Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
4. Martin Ward, *Basic commutators*, submitted to Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A.