

## ON THE ADJOINT OF THE PRODUCT OF OPERATORS

BY SAMUEL S. HOLLAND, JR.

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, April 15, 1968

It is known that if  $S$  and  $T$  are closed domain-dense linear operators on Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $(TS)^* \supseteq S^*T^*$ . The question, "when does equality obtain?" is an important question, and the only general answers that seem to be known are these two: The well-known theorem that if  $T$  is bounded and everywhere defined, then  $(TS)^* = S^*T^*$  [1, p. 1189], and von Neumann's important theorem that asserts equality when  $S = T^*$  [1, p. 1245]. While von Neumann's result applies to nonbounded  $S$ 's, it is proved by methods which seem to use in an essential way the close relationship between  $T$  and  $T^*$ , methods which have not yet yielded information about other  $S$ 's.

A recent result of William Stenger bears on the question as to when  $(TS)^* = S^*T^*$ . Stenger proves in [2] that if  $T$  is selfadjoint and  $Y$  is a projection on a closed subspace of finite codimension, then  $YTY$  is selfadjoint. If we knew that  $(TY)^* = YT^*$  held under the same hypotheses, we could derive Stenger's theorem as an easy consequence. Because,  $Y$  being bounded, we would know that  $TY$  was closed, and, since  $(TY)^* = YT^*$ , also that  $TY$  was densely defined (since it would have a single-valued adjoint). Then by the theorem cited in the first paragraph above, we could get

$$(YTY)^* = (Y(TY))^* = (TY)^*Y = YT^*Y$$

which is Stenger's theorem, since in this case  $T = T^*$ .

The speculative proposition  $(TY)^* = TY^*$  is indeed true, and can moreover be generalized so as to provide another reasonably satisfactory answer to the question as to when  $(TS)^* = S^*T^*$ . In this paper I adapt Stenger's ideas to prove this result:

**THEOREM.** *If  $T$  is a closed domain-dense linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $S$  is a bounded everywhere-defined linear operator whose image is a closed subspace of finite codimension in  $H$ , then  $(TS)^* = S^*T^*$ .*

Obviously, the case above is covered by the theorem when we set  $S = Y$ ,  $Y$  a projection on a closed subspace of finite codimension.

We can also recover part of the (false) generalization of his theorem that Stenger refutes in the last paragraph of his paper [2]:

**COROLLARY.** *If  $S$  and  $T$  are selfadjoint, and if  $S$  is bounded, has a closed image, and has a finite dimensional kernel, then  $STS$  is selfadjoint.*

I have based the proof of the theorem on three subsidiary results.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that the closed subspace  $X$  of the Hilbert space  $H$  has  $\dim(X^\perp) < \infty$ . If the subspace  $D$  is dense in  $H$ , then the subspace  $D \cap X$  is dense in  $X$ .*

This result is known [3], [4, p. 103].

The next two lemmas are stated somewhat more generally than necessary for the proof of the theorem, but each has some independent interest in the form given.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $S$  be a linear operator on Hilbert space,  $P$  the projection on  $\ker(S)^\perp$ ,  $Q$  the projection on the closure of  $\text{im}(S)$ . Then  $S^\# = PS^{-1}Q$  is a single-valued, densely defined linear operator that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  $\text{dom}(S^\#) = \text{im}(S) \oplus \text{im}(S)^\perp$ ,
- (2)  $SS^\# = Q$  restricted to  $\text{dom}(S^\#)$ ,
- (3)  $S^\#S = P$  restricted to  $\text{dom}(S)$ ,
- (4)  $S^\#$  is closed when  $S$  is closed.

When  $S$  is closed, the following statements are also valid:

- (5)  $\text{im}(S)$  closed  $\Rightarrow S^\#$  bounded and everywhere defined;
- (6)  $S^\#$  bounded  $\Rightarrow S^\#$  everywhere defined and  $\text{im}(S)$  closed.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $S, T$  be linear operators on Hilbert space. We have*

$$\text{dom}(TS) = [S^\#(\text{dom}(T) \cap \text{im}(S))] \oplus \ker(S).$$

Referring to the main theorem, I have hypothesized the boundedness of  $S$  in order to force  $\text{dom}(S^*) \supseteq \text{im}(T^*)$ , and that seems to be the only consequence of boundedness that is used. Thus there is a reasonable possibility that the assumption that  $S$  is bounded can be replaced by a weaker assumption without destroying the validity of the theorem.

A detailed paper has been submitted for publication elsewhere.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part II: Spectral theory*, Interscience, New York, 1963.
2. W. Stenger, *On the projection of a selfadjoint operator*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 369-372.
3. I. Amemiya and H. Araki, *A remark on Piron's paper*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A **12** (1966/67), 423-427.
4. S. Goldberg, *Unbounded linear operators: Theory and applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.