

# EXPECTATIONS IN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

BY ANDRE DE KORVIN<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by B. Yood, April 24, 1968

**1. Introduction.** Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra. Let  $N$  be a von Neumann subalgebra of  $M$ . An expectation from  $M$  on  $N$  is defined to be a positive map  $\Phi$  of  $M$  into  $N$  which preserves the identity and satisfies  $\Phi(AX) = A\Phi(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $M$  and all  $A$  in  $N$ .  $\Phi$  is a normal expectation if  $\Phi(\sup X_\alpha) = \sup \Phi(X_\alpha)$  where  $X_\alpha$  is a set of uniformly bounded selfadjoint operators of  $M$ . Let  $\{\Phi_\alpha\}$  be a set of expectations of  $M$  on  $N$ .  $\{\Phi_\alpha\}$  is said to form a complete set if  $\Phi_\alpha(X) = 0$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $X$  positive in  $M$ , imply  $X = 0$ . A state  $\rho$  of  $M$  will be a positive linear functional on  $M$  of norm one. As above one can define normal and faithful states and complete families of states.  $\rho$  is said to diagonalize  $N$  if  $\rho(AX) = \rho(XA)$  for all  $X$  in  $M$  and all  $A$  in  $N$ . Let  $Z$  be the center of  $N$ .

## 2. Results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose there exists a set of states  $\{\rho_\alpha\}$  of  $M$  satisfying:*

- (1) *Each  $\rho_\alpha$  diagonalizes  $N$ ,*
- (2) *Each  $\rho_\alpha$  restricted to  $N$  is normal,*
- (3) *The set of  $\rho_\alpha$  is complete. Then there exists a complete set of expectations from  $M$  to  $N$  and  $N$  is a finite von Neumann algebra. If all  $\rho_\alpha$  are normal in  $M$  all these expectations are normal. Conversely if  $N$  is finite and if there exists a complete set of expectations of  $M$  on  $N$ , then there exists a set of states of  $M$  satisfying (1), (2), (3).*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$  then if there exists a complete set of expectations of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$  on  $M$ ,  $M$  is atomic. Conversely if  $M$  is an atomic von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$ , then there exists a complete set of normal expectations of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$  on  $M$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *There exists a complete set of expectations of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$  on  $M$  if there exists a complete set of expectations of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$  on the commutant of  $M$ .*

$N^\circ$  will now denote the relative commutant of  $N$  in  $M$  i.e.,  $N^\circ = N' \cap M$  where  $N'$  are all operators of  $\mathfrak{L}(h)$  which commute with  $N$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{A})$  denote the unitary group of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Now let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{u}(M)$ . By a Schwartz map relative to

---

<sup>1</sup> These results were developed for a Ph.D. thesis at the University of California, Los Angeles under the direction of Professor Henry Dye.

$(G, M)$  is meant a linear map  $P$  of  $M$  into itself such that

- (1)  $P(X) = UP(X)U^{-1}$  for all  $U$  in  $G$  and all  $X$  in  $M$ .
- (2)  $P(X)$  belongs to the weak closure of the convex hull generated by elements of the form  $UXU^{-1}$  as  $U$  ranges over  $G$ .

$S(G, M)$  will designate all Schwartz maps relative to  $(G, M)$  which are  $G$ -stable i.e.,  $P(X) = P(VXV^{-1})$  for all  $V$  in  $G$ .  $S(G, M)$  will be called sufficient if for any positive  $X$  in  $M$ ,  $P(X) = 0$  for all  $P$  in  $S(G, m)$  implies  $X = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $M$  has a faithful, normal, semi-finite trace; and suppose  $S(G, M)$  is sufficient. Then there exists a faithful, normal  $\mathfrak{u}(N^{ce})$ -stable expectation of  $M$  on  $N^c$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *With the above hypothesis, if  $M$  is of type I so is  $N^c$ .*

Now the following uniqueness theorem can be stated:

**THEOREM 4.** *Assume the following conditions to hold:*

- (1)  $N' \cap M \subset N$ ,
- (2)  $N$  is finite,
- (3)  $M$  is semifinite.

*Then there exists at most one normal expectation of  $M$  in  $N$ .*

In what follows  $\otimes$  will mean tensor product. An ampliation of  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{L}(h \otimes k)$  where  $h$  is the Hilbert space on which  $M$  acts and  $k$  is any Hilbert space is defined to be the map which sends  $X$  into  $X \otimes I_k$  where  $X$  is in  $M$  and  $I_k$  is the identity map on  $K$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two bounded maps acting on two Hilbert spaces  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ .  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are called spatially isomorphic if there exists an isometry  $V$  of  $h_1$  on  $h_2$  such that  $T_1 = V^*T_2V$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *There exists an ampliation of  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{L}(h \otimes k)$  such that if  $N$  is any von Neumann subalgebra of  $M$  which is the range of a faithful, normal expectation  $\Phi$ , then there exists an isometry  $V$  in the commutant of  $N \otimes I_k$  such that  $\Phi \otimes I_k$  is spatially isomorphic via  $V$  to the identity map, i.e.  $\Phi(X \otimes I_k) = V(X \otimes I_k)V^*$ ,  $VV^* = I$ . On putting  $V^*V = P$  then  $P$  is in the commutant of  $N \otimes I_k$  and*

$$(\Phi \otimes I_k)(X \otimes I_k)P = P(X \otimes I_k)P;$$

*for all positive  $X \otimes I_k$ ,  $(X \otimes I_k)P = 0$  implies  $X \otimes I_k = 0$ .*

This theorem essentially states that by an ampliation a faithful normal expectation carries over to a map spatially isomorphic with the identity map. Moreover the ampliation is independent of the particular expectation.

**3. Remarks.** (a) The result of Theorem 5 is an improvement of a result contained in [2]. In [2] the case when  $M$  was finite and countably decomposable was considered.

(b) The proof of Theorem 3 is accomplished by use of the machinery developed in [1]. Central to the proof is a result by Sakai [3] which says that if  $M_p$  is uniformly separable, then  $M_p$  is finitely generated as an algebra. Finally a result by Tomiyama in [7] will be used.

(c) In [4] J. Schwartz defined maps that are not necessarily stable. By use of two results of [6] the corollary to Theorem 3 follows.

(d) In [9] Umegaki has studied uniqueness of expectations in case  $M$  is finite and countably decomposable.

(e) The proof of Theorem 5 depends on the Stinespring construction [5] and on a result by Tomiyama [8]. In [2] Nakamura, Takesaki, Umegaki study the case when  $M$  is finite, and the present result subsumes theirs.

The results of this paper are related to, but not subsumed under the results of [10]. The complete details of the above results will be published elsewhere.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. B. Arveson, *Analyticity in operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1967), 578–642.
2. M. Nakamura, M. Takesaki and H. Umegaki, *A remark on the expectations of operator algebras*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **12** (1960), 82–89.
3. S. Sakai, *Weakly compact operators in operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **14** (1964), 659–664.
4. J. Schwartz, *Two finite, nonhyperfinite, nonisomorphic factors*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 19–26.
5. W. F. Stinespring, *Positive functions on  $C^*$  algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 211–216.
6. J. Tomiyama, *On the projection of norm one in  $W^*$  algebras*. III, Proc. Japan Acad. **11** (1959), 125–129.
7. ———, *On the product projection of norm one in the direct product of operator algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. **11** (1959), 305–313.
8. ———, *A remark on the invariance of  $W^*$  algebras*, Tôhoku Math. J. **10** (1958), 37–41.
9. H. Umegaki, *Conditional expectation in an operator algebra*, Tôhoku Math. J. **6** (1954), 177–181.
10. ———, *Conditional expectation in an operator algebra*. III, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **11** (1959), 51–64.

CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY