

# REPRESENTATION THEOREMS ON BANACH FUNCTION SPACES

BY NEIL E. GRETSKY<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by F. E. Browder, December 5, 1967

Let  $L_\rho$  be a Banach function space, i.e. a Banach space of (equivalence classes of) measurable point functions on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , with  $\rho$  being a function norm possessing at least the weak Fatou property. The results obtained concern integral representations of bounded linear operators from a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $L_\rho$  and from  $L_\rho$  (or a subspace) to  $\mathfrak{X}$ . These results in some cases complement and in other cases generalize work done in [1], [3], [5], [6], [7], [12], [13].

General notation and results on Banach function spaces can for the most part be found in the first parts of [11]; more detailed work is in [9]. A few further definitions are needed here. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  are Banach spaces, let  $B(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  be the space of bounded linear operators from  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Distinguish two subrings of  $\Sigma$  as  $\Sigma_0 = \{E \in \Sigma: \rho(\chi_E) < \infty\}$  and  $\Sigma'_0 = \{E \in \Sigma: \rho'(\chi_E) < \infty\}$ . A *partition*  $\varepsilon$  is defined to be a finite disjoint collection of non- $\mu$ -null members of  $\Sigma_0$  which are of finite measure. The "*averaged*" *step function* of a member  $f$  of  $L_\rho$  is defined as

$$f_\varepsilon = \sum_{\varepsilon} \left( \int_{E_i} |f| d\mu / \mu(E_i) \right) \chi_{E_i}.$$

A function norm  $\rho$  is said to have property (J) if, for each partition  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\rho(f_\varepsilon) \leq \rho(f)$ . (This is very similar to the *levelling property* of [5].)

## 1. The structure of the space $B(\mathfrak{X}, L_\rho)$ .

DEFINITION 1. We define a space of set functions:  $\mathfrak{V}_\rho = \{x^*(\cdot) \mid x^*(\cdot): \Sigma'_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^*, x^*(\cdot)x \text{ is countably additive and } \mu\text{-continuous for each } x \in \mathfrak{X}, \text{ and } V_\rho(x^*(\cdot)) < \infty\}$  where

$$V_\rho(x^*(\cdot)) = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\varepsilon} \rho \left( \sum_{\varepsilon} \frac{x^*(E_i)x}{\mu(E_i)} \chi_{E_i} \right).$$

The representation of bounded linear operators from  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $L_\rho$  is made in terms of this space.

---

<sup>1</sup> The results announced here are contained in the author's doctoral dissertation written at Carnegie Institute of Technology under the guidance of Professor M. M. Rao.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\rho'$  possesses property (J), then there is an isomorphism between  $B(\mathfrak{X}, L_\rho)$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\rho$ ; moreover,*

$$\gamma \|T\| \leq V_\rho(x^*(\cdot)) \leq \gamma^{-1} \|T\|$$

for corresponding elements.

(The constant  $\gamma$  is fixed for each  $L_\rho$  space and has value  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  with  $\gamma = 1$  if and only if  $\rho$  has the strong Fatou property. It is the constant which appears in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 of [11].)

The correspondence is obtained in one direction by defining  $x^*(E)x = \int_E Tx(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$  for  $E \in \Sigma'_0$ . In the other direction, a type of Radon-Nikodym derivative is used.

**2. The structure of the space  $B(M_\rho, \mathfrak{X})$ .** Results for  $B(L_\rho, \mathfrak{X})$  unfortunately seem not to be, in general, available by the present techniques. Results which will be presented in §3 have been obtained for the linear functionals ( $\mathfrak{X}$  = scalars). In the case of a general  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we have results for a closed subspace of  $L_\rho$  (which in some common cases is all of  $L_\rho$ ).

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $M_\rho = \text{cl}\{f \in L_\rho: f \text{ is bounded and has support in } \Sigma_0\}$ .

Note that  $M_\rho$  is a closed subspace which is normal and a sublattice (in fact, a lattice ideal).

**DEFINITION 3.** We define a space of set functions:  $\mathfrak{W}'_\rho = \{x(\cdot) \mid x(\cdot): \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}, x(\cdot) \text{ is finitely additive, vanishes on } \mu\text{-null sets, and } W'_\rho(x(\cdot)) < \infty\}$ , where

$$W'_\rho(x(\cdot)) = \sup_{\|x^*\| < 1} \sup_\varepsilon \rho' \left( \sum_\varepsilon \frac{x^* x(E_i)}{\mu(E_i)} \chi_{E_i} \right).$$

To represent elements of  $B(M_\rho, \mathfrak{X})$  it will be desirable to integrate members of  $M_\rho$  against set functions in  $\mathfrak{W}'_\rho$ . In order to do this, Bartle's treatment [2] of integration will be used.

**DEFINITION 4.** A measurable function  $f$  is integrable over  $\Omega$  with respect to an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -valued finitely additive set function  $x(\cdot)$  if there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of simple functions such that

- (i)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $x(\cdot)$  measure,
- (ii)  $\lambda_n(\cdot)$  are uniformly absolutely continuous, and
- (iii)  $\lambda_n(\cdot)$  are equicontinuous,

where  $\lambda_n(E) = \int_E f_n dx$  for  $E \in \Sigma$  and where (i), (ii), and (iii) are with respect to the semivariation of  $x(\cdot)$ .

The fact that if  $f \in M_\rho$  and  $x(\cdot) \in \mathfrak{W}'_\rho$  then  $f$  is  $x(\cdot)$  integrable leads to the representation theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\rho'$  has (J), then  $B(M_\rho, \mathfrak{X})$  and  $\mathfrak{W}'_\rho$  are isomorphic; moreover,  $\|T\| \leq W'_\rho(x(\cdot)) \leq \gamma^{-1}\|T\|$  for corresponding elements.*

The correspondence is given by  $Tf = \int f dx$  and  $x(E) = T(\chi_E)$  for  $E \in \Sigma_0$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If every member of  $M_\rho$  has absolutely continuous norm, then each  $x(\cdot) \in \mathfrak{W}'_\rho$  is  $\mu$ -continuous.*

**3. Representation of linear functionals.** We have obtained two characterizations of  $L^*$ . One assumes property (J), the other does not. Both results proceed by use of the quotient space  $(L_\rho/M_\rho)^*$ . Define  $N_\rho = L_\rho/M_\rho$  and equip  $N_\rho$  with the usual factor norm and order (recalling that  $M_\rho$  is a lattice ideal). Denote the canonical map as  $\lambda: L_\rho \rightarrow N_\rho$ . Note that  $\lambda$  is continuous, interior, homomorphic (both linear and lattice), and has norm  $\leq 1$ . In addition  $\lambda^*: N_\rho \rightarrow M_\rho$  is an isometric isomorphic surjection. (Note that  $N_\rho$  is an  $AB$  lattice, even though it is not a Banach function space over the given measure space.) In  $L_\rho$  we define the convex, norm-determining and (in general) nonlinear subset  $\tilde{L}_\rho = \{f: f = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i, f_i \geq 0, \rho(f_i) \leq 1, 1 \leq n < \infty\}$ . Since  $\lambda$  is interior,  $\lambda(\tilde{L}_\rho)$  contains the nonnegative elements of the open unit ball of  $N_\rho$ . It is here that an assumption is needed:

**CONDITION (I).**  $\lambda(\tilde{L}_\rho)$  lies in the closed unit ball of  $N_\rho$ . (With this assumption,  $N_\rho$  is an AL space in the sense of Kakutani.)

The characterization of  $N_\rho^*$  is in terms of certain additive set functions. Define  $\text{ba}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  to be the collection of bounded additive set functions on  $\Sigma$  which vanish on  $\mu$ -null sets. Denote by  $\text{ca}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  the countably additive members of  $\text{ba}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and by  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  the purely finitely additive members of  $\text{ba}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . We will need to integrate elements of  $N_\rho$  with respect to set functions in  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . The integration used is a variant of that found in [14] and [15].

**DEFINITION 5.** Let  $0 \leq \nu \in \text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $0 \leq f \in L_\rho$ . Define  $I_\nu(f) = \inf \{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda(f\chi_{E_i})\| \nu(E_i) : \{E_i\} \text{ disjoint finite partition of } \Omega \}$ .

This has all the usually desired properties of an integral and is extended to all of  $L_\rho$  and  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  by linearity on the decomposition into their positive parts. Note that one could equally well write  $I_\nu(\lambda(f))$  since  $I_\nu$  is constant over cosets.

**THEOREM 3.** *Assuming that condition (I) holds, there is an isometric isomorphism which is also a lattice isomorphism between  $N_\rho^*$  and a closed subspace of  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  which shall be denoted as  $\mathcal{P}_\rho$ . The isometry is  $\|z^*\| = |v|(\Omega)$ .*

The correspondence is given by: for  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_\rho$ ,  $z^*(\lambda(f)) = I_\nu(f)$ ,  $f \in L_\rho$ ; for  $0 \leq z^* \in N_\rho^*$ ,  $\nu(E) = \|z^*\|$ ,  $E \in \Sigma$ ; and for general  $z^* \in N_\rho^*$  one

uses its decomposition into positive parts. (We denote  $z_E^*(\lambda(f)) = z^*(\lambda(f)\chi_E)$ .)

The space  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho'}$  is determined as the range of the (bounded) projection obtained by composing the correspondence from  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  into  $N_{\rho'}^*$  with that from  $N_{\rho'}^*$  to  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . One may describe  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho'}$  as those elements in  $\text{pfa}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  whose support lies inside the support of a function in  $\tilde{L}_{\rho}$  which is not in  $M_{\rho}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *The conjugate space  $L_{\rho'}^*$  has a direct sum decomposition into two closed linear (lattice) subspaces which are seminormal, namely into  $M_{\rho'}^{\perp}$  and its lattice orthogonal complement  $(M_{\rho'}^{\perp})^{\circ\circ}$  which is isometrically linearly and lattice isomorphic to  $M_{\rho}^*$ . Moreover, in the decomposition,  $\|x^*\| = \|y^*\| + \|z^*\|$  where  $x^* = y^* + z^*$  with  $y^* \in M_{\rho'}^{\perp}$  and  $z^* \in (M_{\rho'}^{\perp})^{\circ\circ}$ .*

Thus in order to represent  $L_{\rho'}^*$  all that is needed is a representation of  $M_{\rho}^*$ . If  $\rho'$  has property (J), then by Theorem 2,  $M_{\rho}^*$  is isomorphic to the space of set functions  $\mathfrak{W}_{\rho'}^{\mathbb{R}} = \{G \mid G: \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \text{reals}, G \text{ finitely additive on } \Sigma_0, G \text{ vanishes on } \mu\text{-null sets, and } \mathfrak{W}_{\rho'}(G) = \sup_{\mathcal{E}} \rho'(\sum_{\mathcal{E}} (G(E_i)/\mu(E_i))\chi_{E_i}) < \infty\}$  under the correspondence  $G(E) = x^*(\chi_E)$  for  $E \in \Sigma_0$ , and  $x^*(f) = \int f dG$  for  $f \in M_{\rho}$ . For corresponding elements, one has  $\|x^*\| \leq W_{\rho'}(G) \leq \gamma^{-1}\|x^*\|$ . (It is also true that this correspondence is a lattice isomorphism.)

If we define  $\mathcal{Q}_{\rho'} = \mathfrak{W}_{\rho'}^{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\rho'}$  with norm  $\|(G, \nu)\| = W_{\rho'}(G) + |\nu|(\Omega)$  and with partial order  $(G, \nu) \geq (0, 0)$  if  $G \geq 0$  and  $\nu \geq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}_{\rho'}$  is a Banach lattice and we have:

**THEOREM 5.** *If condition (I) holds and  $\rho'$  has property (J), then the space  $L_{\rho'}^*$  is linear and lattice isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}_{\rho'}$ . Moreover the correspondence is a topological equivalence.*

There is another characterization of  $M_{\rho}^*$  that is available without the assumption that  $\rho'$  has (J). However, this form is not as useful since the norm computation does not explicitly involve the associate space (although if  $\rho'$  has (J), this approach leads to a norm equivalent to the one given above).

**THEOREM 6.** *There is an isometric isomorphism between  $M_{\rho}^*$  and the Banach space  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\nu \mid \nu(\cdot) \text{ real valued, additive set function on } \Sigma_0 \text{ which vanishes on } \mu\text{-null sets, and } \|\nu\| = \sup[\|\int f d\nu\| : f \text{ simple and } \rho(f) \leq 1] < \infty\}$ . Moreover, the members of  $\mathfrak{U}$  are all countably additive if and only if every function in  $M_{\rho}$  is of absolutely continuous norm.*

If we define  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho'}$  as  $\mathfrak{U} \times \mathcal{O}_{\rho'}$  with norm  $\|(\nu, \psi)\| = \|\nu\| + |\psi|(\Omega)$  and

partial order  $(\nu, \psi) \geq (0, 0)$  if  $\nu \geq 0$  and  $\psi \geq 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  is a Banach lattice and we have:

**THEOREM 7.** *Under the assumption of (I) alone, the space  $L_p^*$  is linearly and lattice isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}_p$ . Moreover the correspondence is an isometry.*

Details and proofs will appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *Linear functionals on Orlicz spaces*, Nieuw. Arch. Wisk. (3) 8 (1960), 1-16.
2. R. G. Bartle, *A general bilinear vector integral*, Studia Math. 15 (1956), 337-352.
3. N. Dunford and B. J. Pettis, *Linear operations on summable functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1940), 323-392.
4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part I: General theory*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
5. H. W. Ellis and I. Halperin, *Function spaces determined by a levelling length function*, Canad. J. Math. 5 (1953), 576-592.
6. L. F. Kantorovitch and B. Z. Vulich, *Sur la representation des operations lineaires*, Compositio Math. 5(1938), 119-165.
7. G. G. Lorentz and D. G. Wertheim, *Representation of linear functionals on Köthe spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 5 (1953), 568-575.
8. W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Banach function spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Delft, 1955.
9. W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen,<sup>2</sup> *Notes on Banach function spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser A=Indag. Math., Note I, 66 (1963), 135-147; Note II, 66 (1963), 148-153; Note III, 66 (1963), 239-250; Note IV, 66 (1963), 251-263; Note V, 66 (1963), 496-504; Note VI, 66 (1963), 655-668; Note VII, 66 (1963), 669-681; Note VIII, 67 (1964), 104-119; Note IX, 67 (1964), 360-376; Note X, 67 (1964), 493-506; Note XI, 67 (1964), 507-518; Note XII, 67 (1964), 519-529; Note XIII, 67 (1964), 530-543; Note XIV, 68 (1965), 229-248; Note XV, 68 (1965), 415-446; Note XVI, 68 (1965), 646-667.
10. ———, *Some remarks on Banach function spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser A=Indag. Math. 59 (1956), 110-119.
11. ———, *Compactness of integral operators in Banach function spaces*, Math. Ann. 149 (1963), 150-180.
12. M. M. Rao, *Linear functionals on Orlicz spaces*, Nieuw. Arch. Wisk. 12 (1964), 77-98.
13. ———, *Linear functionals on Orlicz spaces: General theory* (to appear).
14. P. C. Rosenbloom, *Quelques classes de problemes extremaux*, Bull. Sci. Math. France 79 (1951), 1-58; 80 (1952), 183-215.
15. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1958.
16. J. J. Uhl, *Orlicz spaces of additive set functions and set-martingales*, Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Inst. of Tech., 1966.

CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY AND  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

<sup>2</sup> Notes XIV, XV, and XVI are by W. A. J. Luxemburg alone.