

# WIENER-HOPF OPERATORS AND ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS SPECTRA<sup>1</sup>

BY C. R. PUTNAM

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, May 10, 1967

If  $A$  is a selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  with spectral resolution  $A = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$ , it is known that the set of elements  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  for which  $\|E_\lambda x\|^2$  is an absolutely continuous function of  $\lambda$  is a subspace,  $\mathfrak{S}_a(A)$ , reducing  $A$ ; cf. Halmos [1, p. 104]. In case  $\mathfrak{S}_a(A) = \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $A$  is said to be absolutely continuous. The following was proved in Putnam [4]; see also [5] and will be stated as a

LEMMA. *Let  $T$  be a bounded operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  and let*

$$(1) \quad T^*T - TT^* = C, \quad C \geq 0.$$

*If  $A = T + T^*$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}_a(A) \supset \mathfrak{M}_T$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  is the least subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$  reducing  $T$  (that is, invariant under  $T$  and  $T^*$ ) and containing the range of  $C$ .*

The above will be used to give a short proof of the absolute continuity of certain bounded selfadjoint Wiener-Hopf operators on  $L^2(0, \infty)$ . For an extensive account of Wiener-Hopf operators on the half-line see Krein [2].

Let  $k(t)$ , for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , satisfy

$$(2) \quad k \in L^1(-\infty, \infty) \cap L^2(-\infty, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad k(-t) = \bar{k}(t).$$

Then the operator  $T$  on  $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(0, \infty)$  defined by

$$(3) \quad (Tf)(t) = \int_0^t k(s-t)f(s)ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

is bounded. (In fact, the hypothesis  $k \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$  alone implies the boundedness of  $T$ , even  $\|T\| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |k(t)| dt$ ; cf. Krein [2, pp. 201-202].) The adjoint  $T^*$ , which is given by

$$(4) \quad (T^*f)(t) = \int_t^{\infty} k(s-t)f(s)ds,$$

and the selfadjoint operator  $A = T + T^*$ , where

$$(5) \quad (Af)(t) = \int_0^{\infty} k(s-t)f(s)ds,$$

---

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by a National Science Foundation research grant.

are of course also bounded on  $\mathfrak{S} = L^2(0, \infty)$ . There will be proved the following

THEOREM. *Let  $k(t)$  satisfy (2) and suppose that*

$$(6) \quad K(\lambda) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(t)e^{i\lambda t}dt \neq 0 \text{ a.e.,} \quad -\infty < \lambda < \infty.$$

*Then the bounded selfadjoint operator  $A$  of (5) is absolutely continuous.*

PROOF. A calculation similar to that in Putnam [3, p. 517], shows that, for  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $\|Tf\|^2 - \|T^*f\|^2 = \|Bf\|^2$ , where  $T$  is defined in (3) and

$$(7) \quad (Bf)(t) = \int_0^{\infty} k(t+s)f(s)ds,$$

so that (1) holds with  $C = B^*B$ . It will be shown that the set  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  of the Lemma is, in the present case, the entire space  $\mathfrak{S} = L^2(0, \infty)$ , and hence the absolute continuity of  $A$  will follow.

For  $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$ , define the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$  and the functions  $F_+(\lambda)$  and  $F_-(\lambda)$  by

$$(8) \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda t}f(t)dt \equiv F_-(\lambda)$$

and

$$(9) \quad F_+(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t}f(t)dt.$$

The space of elements  $F_+$  is a subspace of  $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$  and will be denoted by  $R_+$ ; similarly, the space of elements  $F_-$  will be denoted by  $R_- (= \bar{R}_+)$ . (It is clear that  $R_+ [R_-]$  can be regarded as the space of Fourier transforms of functions in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$  which are 0 on the left [right] half-line. Since orthogonality is preserved under Fourier transforms it follows in particular that  $R_+ \perp R_-$ .)

If  $f \in -L^2(0, \infty)$  then

$$(Tf)^\wedge(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda t} \left[ \int_0^t k(s-t)f(s)ds \right] dt$$

and hence, on inverting the order of integration,  $(Tf)^\wedge(\lambda) = \bar{K}_+(\lambda)\hat{f}(\lambda)$ , where  $K_+(\lambda)$  is defined by

$$(10) \quad K_+(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t}k(t)dt.$$

(It may be noted that  $\int_0^t k(s-t)f(s)ds$  is the convolution of  $\bar{k}$  and  $f$  on  $0 \leq t < \infty$ .) More generally, an iteration shows that

$$(11) \quad (T^n f)^\wedge(\lambda) = \bar{K}_+^n \hat{f}(\lambda), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $g \perp \mathfrak{H}_{B^*B}$ , so that  $Bg = 0$ , that is  $\int_0^\infty k(t+s)g(s)ds$  is the 0 element of  $\mathfrak{S} = L^2(0, \infty)$ . Since, by (2),  $k(t+s)$  belongs to  $L^2(0, \infty)$  for any fixed  $t$ , it follows that the last integral is a continuous function of  $t$  on  $0 \leq t < \infty$  and that

$$(12) \quad m_c \in \mathfrak{H}_{B^*B}, \quad \text{where } m_c(t) = \bar{k}(t+c) \quad \text{and } c \geq 0.$$

It is readily verified that

$$(13) \quad \hat{m}_c(\lambda) = e^{i\lambda c} \int_c^\infty e^{-i\lambda t} \bar{k}(t) dt.$$

Also, in view of the definition of  $K(\lambda)$  in (6), one has

$$(14) \quad K(\lambda) = K_+(\lambda) + \bar{K}_+(\lambda),$$

where  $K_+(\lambda)$  is defined in (10).

In order to prove that  $\mathfrak{S}_a(A) = \mathfrak{S} (= L^2(0, \infty))$ , it is sufficient, as noted above, to prove that  $\mathfrak{M}_T = \mathfrak{S}$ . Now, if  $\mathfrak{M}_T \neq \mathfrak{S}$ , then there exists a function  $q \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that  $q \neq 0$  and  $q \perp \mathfrak{M}_T$ . Let  $Q = Q(\lambda)$  denote the Fourier transform of  $q$ , so that

$$(15) \quad Q(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\lambda t} q(t) dt \quad (\in R_-).$$

In view of (12), it follows from the relation  $q \perp \mathfrak{M}_T$  and the fact that orthogonality is preserved under Fourier transforms that

$$(16) \quad Q \perp (T^n f)^\wedge(\lambda), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{where } f(t) = m_c(t), \quad c \geq 0.$$

Thus, by (11) and (13),  $Q \perp \bar{K}_+^n e^{i\lambda c} \int_c^\infty e^{-i\lambda t} \bar{k}(t) dt$ , for  $c \geq 0$ , that is,

$$(17) \quad Q \perp \bar{K}_+^{n+1} e^{i\lambda c} - \bar{K}_+^n e^{i\lambda c} \int_0^c e^{-i\lambda t} \bar{k}(t) dt \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since  $Q$  and  $e^{i\lambda c} \int_0^c e^{-i\lambda t} \bar{k}(t) dt$  belong to  $R_-$  and  $R_+$  respectively, it follows from (17) for  $n=0$  that  $Q \perp \bar{K}_+ e^{i\lambda c}$  (therefore  $Q \perp \bar{K}_+ R_+$ ) and hence, by induction, that

$$(18) \quad Q \perp e^{i\lambda c} \bar{K}_+^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad c \geq 0.$$

Relations (14) and (18) and the fact that  $Q \perp R_+$  imply that  $Q \perp K^n(\lambda) R_+$  for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , that is,

$$(19) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^n(\lambda) F_+(\lambda) \bar{Q}(\lambda) d\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $K(\lambda)$  is given in (6) and  $F_+(\lambda)$  is an arbitrary element of  $R_+$ .

Since  $k(t) \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ , the function  $K(\lambda)$  is continuous and satisfies

$$(20) \quad K(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, by (2),  $K(\lambda)$  is real. Let  $f(K)$  denote the characteristic function of the  $K$ -set:  $|K| \geq 1/n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. It follows from (19), Weierstrass' approximation theorem, and the fact that  $F_+ \bar{Q}$  is in  $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ , that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(K(\lambda)) F_+(\lambda) \bar{Q}(\lambda) d\lambda = 0$  and hence

$$(21) \quad \int_{E_n} F_+(\lambda) \bar{Q}(\lambda) d\lambda = 0,$$

where  $E_n = \{\lambda: |K(\lambda)| \geq 1/n\}$ . Since, for  $c \geq 0$ ,  $e^{i\lambda c} \bar{Q}(\lambda)$  is in  $R_+$ , one can choose  $F_+$  in (21) to be  $e^{i\lambda c} \bar{Q}$  and so  $\int_{E_n} e^{i\lambda c} \bar{Q}^2 d\lambda = 0$ . In view of (20),

$$(22) \quad E_n \text{ is a bounded set.}$$

Since  $\bar{Q}^2$  is in  $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ , one can therefore differentiate under the last integral with respect to  $c$  and let  $c \rightarrow 0+$  to obtain  $\int_{E_n} \lambda^m \bar{Q}^2 d\lambda = 0$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Again, using (22) and Weierstrass' theorem, one concludes that  $Q(\lambda) = 0$  a.e. on  $E_n$ . In virtue of (6), the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  differs from  $(-\infty, \infty)$  by a set of measure zero and hence  $Q(\lambda) = 0$  a.e. on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . This implies that  $q(t) = 0$  a.e. on  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\mathfrak{M}_T = \mathfrak{S}$  and so  $\mathfrak{S}_a(A) = \mathfrak{S}$  as was to be proved.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. R. Halmos, *Introduction to Hilbert space*, Chelsea, New York, 1951.
2. M. G. Krein, *Integral equations on a half-line with kernel depending upon the difference of the arguments*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **22** (1962), 163-288.
3. C. R. Putnam, *Commutators and absolutely continuous operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 513-525.
4. ———, *On the structure of semi-normal operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 818-819.
5. ———, *Commutation properties of Hilbert space operators and related topics*, Ergebnisse der Math., Bd. 36, Springer, Berlin, 1967.