

ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF TYPE I C^* -ALGEBRAS¹

BY SHŌICHIRO SAKAI

Communicated by G. W. Mackey, December 17, 1965

1. Introduction. Recently, establishing a conjecture of Calkin [1], the author [7] showed the following result: Let \mathfrak{H} be a separable Hilbert space, $B(\mathfrak{H})$ the C^* -algebra of all bounded operators on \mathfrak{H} , $C(\mathfrak{H})$ the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on \mathfrak{H} , then the quotient algebra $B(\mathfrak{H})/C(\mathfrak{H})$ has a type III-factor $*$ -representation. The discussions which are used in the proof of this result are applicable to more general situations. In the present paper, by using those discussions and the result of Glimm [3], we shall give a characterization of type I C^* -algebras without the assumption of separability as follows:

MAIN THEOREM. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) A is a GCR algebra,
- (2) A is of type I,
- (3) A has no type III-factor $*$ -representation.

2. Theorems. First of all we shall state a generalization of the result which are crucial in the proof of Calkin's conjecture.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with unit I , B a C^* -sub algebra containing I of A and M a type III-factor on a separable Hilbert space. Suppose that there is a linear mapping P of A into M satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $P(x^*) = P(x)^*$ for $x \in A$,
- (2) $P(h) \geq 0$ for $h (\geq 0) \in A$,
- (3) $P(axb) = P(a)P(x)P(b)$ for $a, b \in B$ and $x \in A$,
- (4) $P(B)$ is σ -weakly dense in M . Then, A has a type III-factor $*$ -representation.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the one in [7]. Here we shall sketch the proof. Let Ω be the set of all linear mappings Q of A into M satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) and $Q(a) = P(a)$ for $a \in B$.

Let $\mathfrak{L}(A, M)$ be the Banach space of all bounded linear mappings of A into M . Then it is the dual of a Banach space $A \otimes_{\gamma} M_*$, where M_* is the associated space (namely, the dual of $M_* = M$) and γ is the greatest cross norm.

LEMMA 1. Ω is a $\sigma(\mathfrak{L}(A, M), A \otimes_{\gamma} M_*)$ -compact convex subset of $\mathfrak{L}(A, M)$ and each $Q \in \Omega$ satisfies $Q(x^*x) \geq Q(x)^*Q(x)$ for $x \in A$.

¹ This paper was written with partial support from ONR contract NR-551(57).

The first part of Lemma 1 is clear. For the last part, by the assumptions (1), (3), and (4), and the density theorem of Kaplansky, there is a direct set (a_α) in B such that $\|a_\alpha\| \leq \|Q(x)\|$ and $Q(a_\alpha) \rightarrow Q(x)$ (strongly) in M . Then, for $\phi (\geq 0) \in M_*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q(x)^*Q(x), \phi \rangle &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle Q(x)^*Q(a_\alpha), \phi \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle Q(x^*a_\alpha), \phi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle x^*a_\alpha, Q^*(\phi) \rangle \\ &\leq \limsup_{\alpha} \langle x^*x, Q^*(\phi) \rangle^{1/2} \langle a_\alpha^*a_\alpha, Q^*(\phi) \rangle^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

(because $Q^*(\phi) \geq 0$ by (2))

$$= \limsup_{\alpha} \langle Q(x^*x), \phi \rangle^{1/2} \langle Q(a_\alpha)^*Q(a_\alpha), \phi \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Hence $Q(x)^*Q(x) \leq Q(x^*x)$ for $x \in A$. This completes the proof.

Let ϕ be a normal, faithful state on M . For $Q \in \Omega$, we shall define a state ϕ_Q on A by $\phi_Q(x) = \phi(Q(x))$ for $x \in A$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{\phi_Q \mid Q \in \Omega\}$; then by Lemma 1 we can easily show that \mathcal{E} is a compact convex subset of the state space of A . Let $\phi_Q (Q \in \Omega)$ be an extreme point of \mathcal{E} , and let $\{\pi_Q, \mathfrak{H}_Q\}$ be the $*$ -representation of A on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H}_Q constructed via ϕ_Q . Then, we shall define a linear mapping of N onto M in the following, where N is the weak closure of $\pi_Q(A)$ on \mathfrak{H}_Q .

For $f \in M_*$, we define $F(\pi_Q(x)) = f(Q(x))$ for $x \in A$. This is well defined, because $\pi_Q(x) = 0$ implies $\phi_Q(x^*x) = \phi(Q(x^*x)) = 0$ and so $Q(x^*x) \geq Q(x)^*Q(x) = 0$, so that $Q(x) = 0$. Then, F is strongly continuous on bounded spheres (cf. [7]) and so by Lemma 3 in [7], the F can be uniquely extended to an element \bar{F} of N_* , where N_* is the associated space of N with $\|\bar{F}\| = \|F\|$.

Put $T(f) = \bar{F}$ for $f \in M_*$, then T is a bounded linear mapping of M_* into N_* . Let T^* be the dual of T , then T^* is a continuous linear mapping of N with the topology $\sigma(N, N_*)$ into M with the topology $\sigma(M, M_*)$.

LEMMA 2. T^* satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $T^*(\pi_Q(x)) = Q(x)$ for $x \in A$,
- (2) $T^*(y^*) = T^*(y)^*$ for $y \in N$,
- (3) $T^*(h) \geq 0$ for $h (\geq 0) \in N$,
- (4) $T^*(u\gamma v) = T^*(u)T^*(\gamma)T^*(v)$ for $u, v \in$ the σ -weak closure of $\pi_Q(B)$ in N and $\gamma \in N$,
- (5) $T^*(\gamma^*\gamma) \geq T^*(\gamma)^*T^*(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in N$.

The proof of this lemma is quite similar with the proof of Lemma 4 in [7].

LEMMA 3. *N is a factor.*

The proof is similar with the proof of Lemma 5 in [7]. Now we shall prove Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $[\pi_Q(B)I_Q]$ be the closed subspace of \mathfrak{H}_Q generated by $\pi_Q(B)I_Q$, where I_Q is the image of I in \mathfrak{H}_Q and E' be the projection of \mathfrak{H}_Q onto $[\pi_Q(B)I_Q]$, then E' belongs to the commutant $\pi_Q(B)'$ of $\pi_Q(B)$.

$$\phi_Q(a^*bc) = \phi(Q(a^*bc)) = \phi(Q(a)^*Q(b)Q(c)) \quad \text{for } a, b, c \in B$$

and $Q(B)$ is σ -weakly dense in M , and so the $*$ -isomorphism $\pi_Q(b)E' \rightarrow Q(b)$ of $\pi_Q(B)E'$ into M can be uniquely extended to a $*$ -isomorphism ρ of a W^* -algebra $\pi_Q(B)''E'$ onto M ; therefore $\pi_Q(B)''E'$ and $E'\pi_Q(B)'E'$ are type III-factors.

Let F' be the central envelope of E' in $\pi_Q(B)'$, then F' belongs to $\pi_Q(B)''$. The mapping $\eta: xF' \rightarrow xE'$ ($x \in \pi_Q(B)''$) of $\pi_Q(B)''F'$ onto $\pi_Q(B)''E'$ is a $*$ -isomorphism. Therefore the mapping $\rho \cdot \eta$ of $\pi_Q(B)''F'$ onto M is a $*$ -isomorphism.

Now suppose that N is semifinite, then there is a normal semifinite faithful trace τ on N .

Put $N_o = \{e \mid \tau(e) < +\infty, e \text{ projections in } N\}$. $F' \in \pi_Q(B)''$ and $T^*(F') \neq 0$, because $\langle T^*(F'), \phi \rangle = \langle F', T(\phi_Q) \rangle = \langle F'I_Q, I_Q \rangle$ and $I_Q \in E'\mathfrak{H}_Q$, where $(\ , \)$ is the inner product of \mathfrak{H}_Q .

Therefore, there is a nonzero projection $e_o \in N_o$ such that $e_o \leq F'$ and $T^*(e_o) \neq 0$, and so there is a nonzero projection p in M such that $\lambda p \leq T^*(e_o)$ for some positive number λ .

Suppose that a directed set $(a_\alpha) (\|a_\alpha\| \leq 1, a_\alpha \in pMp)$ converges strongly to 0 in M , then $\{\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha)\}$ converges strongly to 0 on \mathfrak{H}_Q and so $\{\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha)e_o\}$ converges strongly to 0 on \mathfrak{H}_Q ; by the finiteness of e_o , $\{e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*\}$ converges strongly to 0 (cf. [5], [6]). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & T^*((e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*)^*(e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*)) \\ & \geq T^*(e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*)^*T^*(e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ } (\sigma\text{-weakly}) \text{ in } M; \end{aligned}$$

hence $\{T^*(e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*)\}$ converges strongly to 0 in M .

For $a \in M$, we choose a bounded directed set $\{Q(b_\beta)\}$ such that $b_\beta \in B$ and $Q(b_\beta) \rightarrow a$ (σ -weakly) in M , then $\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(Q(b_\beta)) = \pi_Q(b_\beta)F' \rightarrow \eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a)$ (σ -weakly) in $\pi_Q(B)''$; moreover, $T^*(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(Q(b_\beta))) = T^*(\pi_Q(b_\beta)F') = T^*(\pi_Q(b_\beta))T^*(F') = Q(b_\beta)T^*(F') \rightarrow aT^*(F')$ (σ -weakly) in M ; hence $aT^*(F') = T^*(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a))$ for all $a \in M$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{pT^*(e_o)p + (I - p)\}^{-1}pT^*(e_o(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^*) \\ & = \{pT^*(e_o)p + (I - p)\}^{-1}pT^*(e_o)T^*(\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha))^* \end{aligned}$$

(because $\eta^{-1}\rho^{-1}(a_\alpha) \in \pi_Q(B)''$, and $T^*(e_o)T^*(F') = T^*(e_o F') = T^*(e_o) = \{pT^*(e_o)p + (I-p)\}^{-1}pT^*(e_o)a_\alpha^* = a_\alpha^* \rightarrow 0$ (strongly) in M).

Hence, the $*$ -operation is strongly continuous on bounded spheres of pMp , but pMp is of type III. This is a contradiction (cf. [5], [6]). This completes the proof.

Now we shall show

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a C*-algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is GCR,
- (2) A is of type I,
- (3) A has no type III-factor $*$ -representation.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is Theorem 6 of Kaplansky [4]. (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear from the definition of type I C*-algebras. Now we shall show that (3) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is not GCR. Let \mathfrak{d} be the maximum GCR ideal of A (cf. [4]), then the quotient algebra A/\mathfrak{d} has no nonzero GCR ideal. If we can show that A/\mathfrak{d} has a type III-factor $*$ -representation, then A has it: therefore we can assume that $\mathfrak{d} = (0)$ and moreover A has unit I .

Then by the results of Glimm (Lemmas 4 and 5 and the proof of (b1) \Rightarrow (b2); (b1) \Rightarrow (b3) of Theorem 1 in [3]), A contains a nontype I separable C*-subalgebra B .

Then by the results of Glimm (pp. 588–589, [3]) and Schwartz [9], B has a type III-factor $*$ -representation $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$ on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} such that $\pi(B)'$ has the property P in the sense of Schwartz and so there is a linear mapping R of the C*-algebra $B(\mathfrak{H})$ of all bounded operators on \mathfrak{H} onto $\pi(B)''$ satisfying the conditions (1) $R(x^*) = R(x)^*$ for $x \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, (2) $R(h) \geq 0$ for $h (\geq 0) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, (3) $R(axb) = aR(x)b$ for $a, b \in \pi(B)''$ and $x \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, and $R(I) = I$.

Now let $\xi (\|\xi\| = 1)$ be a separating and generating vector of $\pi(B)''$ (cf. [2]) and put $\chi(a) = (\pi(a)\xi, \xi)$ for $a \in B$.

Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be an extended state of χ on A and let $\{\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}, \mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{\chi}}\}$ be the $*$ -representation of A constructed via $\tilde{\chi}$.

Let $[\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(B)I_{\tilde{\chi}}]$ be the closed subspace of $\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{\chi}}$ generated by $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(B)I_{\tilde{\chi}}$ and E' be the projection of $\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{\chi}}$ onto $[\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(B)I_{\tilde{\chi}}]$, then the representation $b \rightarrow \pi(b)$ of B can be canonically identified with the representation $b \rightarrow \pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(b)E'$ of B . Then R is a linear mapping of $B(E'\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{\chi}})$ onto $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(B)''E'$.

Now we shall define a linear mapping P of $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(A)$ into the type III-factor $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(B)''E'$ as follows: $P(\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(x)) = R(E'\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}(x)E')$ for $x \in A$. Then, we can easily show that P satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.

Hence the C^* -algebra $\pi_{\bar{x}}(A)$ and so A have a type III-factor $*$ -representation.

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Calkin, *Two sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), 839–873.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
3. J. Glimm, *Type I C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 572–612.
4. I. Kaplansky, *The structure of certain operator algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 219–255.
5. S. Sakai, *On topological properties of W^* -algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. **33** (1957), 439–444.
6. ———, *The theory of W^* -algebras*, Lecture notes, Yale University, 1962.
7. ———, *On a problem of Calkin*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
8. J. Schwartz, *Two finite, non-hyperfinite, nonisomorphic factors*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 19–26.
9. ———, *Non-isomorphism of a pair of factors of type III*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 111–120.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

SOME UNSYMMETRIC COMBINATORIAL NUMBERS

BY ANDREW SOBCZYK

Communicated by V. Klee, January 26, 1966

By an n -configuration we shall mean an abstract set of n elements, together with the set of all unordered pairs of distinct elements from the set. It is convenient also to use quasi-geometrical terminology such as *vertex* for element, *edge* or *side* for a pair (2-tuple), *triangle* as well as triple (3-tuple) for a 3-subconfiguration, and so on.

The Ramsey number $N(p, q, 2)$ (see [3, pp. 38–43], or [2, pp. 61–65]), for two kinds h, v of pairs (or two “colors of edges”), is the smallest integer such that if $n \geq N(p, q, 2)$, then any n -configuration is sure to contain *either* an h p -tuple (a p -tuple all of whose edges are h) *or* a v q -tuple. Call a p -tuple all of whose edges are alike (h or v) a *like* p -tuple. We introduce, and partially determine the values of, new analogous combinatorial numbers $K(p, q, 2)$, $M(p, q, 2)$, and $V(p, q, 2)$.

DEFINITIONS. The number $K(p, q, 2)$ is the smallest integer such that if $n \geq K(p, q, 2)$, then for each vertex, the configuration is sure to contain *either* a like p -tuple containing the vertex, *or* a like q -tuple not containing the vertex. For three kinds r, g, v of edges, $M(p, q, 2)$