

**SOLVABILITY OF THE FIRST COUSIN PROBLEM AND  
VANISHING OF HIGHER COHOMOLOGY GROUPS  
FOR DOMAINS WHICH ARE NOT DOMAINS  
OF HOLOMORPHY<sup>1</sup>**

BY AVNER FRIEDMAN

Communicated by F. Browder, June 7, 1965

This work is a sequel to [1]: In [1] we considered the vanishing of the first cohomology groups with coefficients in  $\theta, \theta^*$  for sets  $X \setminus A$  whereas in the present work we consider the same question for higher cohomology; at the same time we obtain some additional results for the first Cousin problem. As in [1] we take  $n \geq 3$ .

Scheja [3] proved that if  $X$  is an open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and  $A$  is an analytic closed subset of  $X$  of dimension  $\leq n - q - 2$ , then the natural homomorphism

$$(1) \quad H^q(X, \theta) \rightarrow H^q(X \setminus A, \theta)$$

is bijective. We shall prove:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a closed bounded generalized polydisc in an open set  $X$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then the natural homomorphism (1) is bijective for any  $1 \leq q \leq n - 2$ .*

**PROOF.** Set  $A = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_n$  and let  $K = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$  be an open generalized polydisc with  $A \subset K \subset \bar{K} \subset X$ . Set  $L' = L_2 \times \cdots \times L_n$ ,  $K' = K_2 \times \cdots \times K_n$ ,  $G_0 = (K_1 \setminus L_1) \times K'$ ,  $G_1 = K_1 \times (K' \setminus L')$ ,  $G = G_0 \cup G_1$ . By a straightforward generalization of [3, Hilfsatz] one gets  $H^q(G, \theta) = 0$ . We now introduce a covering  $U = \{U_i\}$  of  $X \setminus A$  where all the  $U_i$  are domains with  $H^q(U_i, \theta) = 0$  and precisely  $q + 1$  of them, say  $U_{i_0}, \cdots, U_{i_q}$ , coincide with  $G$ . By Leray's theorem [2], the canonical homomorphism

$$(2) \quad H^q(N(U), \theta) \rightarrow H^q(X \setminus A, \theta)$$

(where  $N(U)$  is the nerve of  $U$ ) is bijective.

We next introduce a covering  $U' = \{U'_i\}$  of  $X$  where  $U'_{i_0} = \cdots = U'_{i_q} = K_1 \times K'$  and  $U'_i = U_i$  for all other indices  $i$ . Again, the canonical map

$$(3) \quad H^q(N(U'), \theta) \rightarrow H^q(X, \theta)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> This work was partially supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and by the NASA Grant NGR 14-007-021.

is bijective. We shall now construct a map

$$(4) \quad H^q(N(U), \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(N(U'), \mathcal{O}).$$

Let  $f \in H^q(N(U), \mathcal{O})$ . We may view it as a  $q$ -cocycle. Let  $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}$  be the section of  $f$  on  $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q} = G$ . The proof of Lemma 3 in [1] can be extended to show that  $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}$  can be continued analytically to  $K_1 \times K'$ . The continued function  $f'_{i_0 \dots i_q}$  thus obtained is defined on  $U'_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{i_q}$ . We now define  $f'_{j_0 \dots j_q}$  for any set of distinct indices  $\{j_0, \dots, j_q\}$  which does not coincide with the set  $\{i_0, \dots, i_q\}$ . Since among the  $j_h$ 's there is at least one index, say  $i$ , with  $i \neq i_k$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq q$ , and, consequently,  $U'_i = U_i \subset X \setminus A$ , we have  $U'_i \cap (K_1 \times K') = U'_i \cap G$ . Hence  $U'_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_q} = U_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_q}$  and we can take  $f'_{j_0 \dots j_q} = f_{j_0 \dots j_q}$ .

We have thus defined a  $q$ -cochain  $f'$  on  $N(U')$ .  $f'$  is cocycle. Indeed, observing that  $U'_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_{q+1}}$  coincides with  $U_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_{q+1}}$  if all the  $j_k$  are distinct from each other, and that the analytic function  $f'_{j_0 \dots j_p \dots j_{q+1}}$  restricted to either of these sets coincides with  $f_{j_0 \dots j_p \dots j_{q+1}}$ , the equation  $\delta f = 0$  implies  $\delta f' = 0$ .

We next show that if  $f = \delta g$  then there is a  $(q-1)$ -chain  $g'$  with  $\delta g' = f'$ . If (a)  $\{j_0, \dots, j_{q-1}\} \subset \{i_0, \dots, i_q\}$  then we take  $g'_{j_0 \dots j_{q-1}}$  to be the analytic continuation of  $g_{j_0 \dots j_{q-1}}$  to  $U'_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_{q-1}}$ , whereas if (a) does not hold then  $U'_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_{q-1}} = U_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_{q-1}}$  and we take  $g'_{j_0 \dots j_{q-1}} = g_{j_0 \dots j_{q-1}}$ . With  $g'$  thus constructed, the relation  $\delta g' = f'$  over  $U'_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_q}$  in case (b)  $\{j_0, \dots, j_q\} = \{i_0, \dots, i_q\}$  follows from the relation  $\delta g = f$  over  $U_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_q}$  by analytic continuation, whereas in case (b) does not hold it coincides with the relation  $\delta g = f$  over  $U_{j_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_q}$ .

We have thus shown that the map  $f \rightarrow f'$  defines a homomorphism (4). This map is surjective since, given  $f'$ , its restriction  $f$  to  $N(U)$  is mapped into  $f'$  by the above map. It is also injective since if  $f' = \delta g'$  for some  $(q-1)$ -cochain  $g'$  over  $N(U')$ , then the restriction  $g$  of  $g'$  to  $N(U)$  clearly satisfies  $f = \delta g$ . Noting finally that the map  $f \rightarrow f'$  is the inverse of the restriction map, and combining (2)-(4), (1) follows.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  then  $H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ . In particular, if  $X$  is Cousin I then  $X \setminus A$  is Cousin I.*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A, B$  be two closed bounded subsets of an open set  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $P$  be a closed generalized polydisc with  $A \subset \text{int } P \subset P \subset \text{int } B$ . If, for some  $1 \leq q \leq n-2$ , the natural homomorphism*

$$(5) \quad H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(X \setminus B, \mathcal{O})$$

*is injection, then there exists a homomorphism  $\lambda: H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O})$*

such that  $\pi\lambda = \text{identity}$ , where  $\pi$  is the map (1) (and, consequently,  $\pi$  is surjective); in particular, if  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  then  $H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ .

PROOF. Take coverings  $U^1, U^2, U^3, U^4$  of  $X, X \setminus A, X \setminus P, X \setminus B$  respectively whose open sets are domains of holomorphy and such that the sets of  $U^i$  ( $i = 2, 3, 4$ ) are among the sets of  $U^{i-1}$ . Given  $f_2 \in H^q(N(U^2), \mathcal{O})$  there corresponds to it (by restriction) a unique element  $f_4$  in  $H^q(N(U^4), \mathcal{O})$  and a unique element  $f_3$  in  $H^q(N(U^3), \mathcal{O})$ ;  $f_4$  is the restriction of  $f_3$ . By Theorem 1 there exists an  $f_1 \in H^q(N(U^1), \mathcal{O})$  whose restriction to  $N(U^3)$  is  $f_3$ . Hence the restriction of  $f_1$  to  $N(U_4)$  is  $f_4$ . Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have the same restriction on  $N(U^4)$ , the injectivity of (5) implies that the restriction of  $f_1$  to  $N(U^2)$  is  $f_2$ . Thus the map  $f_2 \rightarrow f_1$  is an inverse of the restriction map  $H^q(N(U^1), \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(N(U^2), \mathcal{O})$ . The assertion of the theorem now follows with  $\lambda$  being the image of the homomorphism  $f_2 \rightarrow f_1$  under the canonical map corresponding to  $H^q(N(U^2), \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}), H^q(N(U^1), \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O})$ .

GENERALIZATIONS. By successive applications of Theorem 1 we get:

(1) If  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  are closed bounded generalized polydiscs such that  $A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset$  if  $j \neq k$ , then the natural map

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q\left(X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i\right), \mathcal{O}\right)$$

is bijective.

(2) Theorem 1 extends to the case where  $X$  is an open set on a complex manifold provided  $A$  is contained in one coordinate patch and its image in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a generalized polydisc. Theorem 2 and (1) have similar extensions.

By slightly modifying the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain:

(3) If  $X = X_1 \times K_{p+1} \times \dots \times K_n, A = A_1 \times K_{p+1} \times \dots \times K_n$  where  $X_1$  is any open set of  $\mathbb{C}^p$  and  $K_j$  is an open set in the  $z_j$ -plane, then the homomorphism (1) is bijective if  $1 \leq q \leq p - 2$ .

(4) If  $A$  in Theorem 1 is convex, then (see [1])  $H^q(G, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0$ . By modifying the proof of Theorem 1 we find that the natural homomorphism

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}^*)$$

is bijective. The analogs of Theorem 2 and (1)–(3) are also valid.

We shall now give a different approach to proving results similar to Theorem 1. Since this approach does not yield a result as general as Theorem 1, we shall only sketch it. Let  $X = K_1 \times \dots \times K_n, A = L_1 \times \dots \times L_n$  be generalized polydiscs. We say that the condition  $(A_m)$  holds if for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$  either (a)  $K_1$  is the whole

plane  $C$  and then  $L_j$  is an arbitrary closed bounded subset of  $K_j$ , or (b)  $K_j = C$  and then  $L_j$  consists of a finite number of points. The  $L_j$  for  $j = m + 1, \dots, n$  are arbitrary closed subsets of  $K_j$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $(A_m)$  holds for some  $2 \leq m \leq n$  then  $H^q(X \setminus A, \Theta) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq \min(m - 1, n - 2)$ . The relations  $H^{n-1}(X \setminus A, \Theta) \neq 0$ ,  $H^q(X \setminus A, \Theta) = 0$  for  $q \geq n$  are valid under the assumption  $(A_0)$ .*

**PROOF.** Setting  $\Delta_j = K_1 \times \dots \times K_{j-1} \times (K_j \setminus L_j) \times K_{j+1} \times \dots \times K_n$  and noting that  $H^q(\Delta_j, \Theta) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ , it suffices to consider  $H^q((U), \Theta)$ , where  $U = \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n\}$ . We consider only the case  $1 \leq q \leq n - 2$ . Denote by  $I_{j_1 \dots j_k}(h)$  the Cauchy integral of  $h$  with the  $i$ th contour being  $\partial K_i$ , if  $i \neq j_p$  for all  $p$ , and  $\partial L_i$  if  $i = j_p$  for some  $p$ . (Actually one should replace  $\partial K_m, \partial L_m$  by smooth  $\partial K_{m,\epsilon}, \partial L_{m,\epsilon}$  which approximate  $\partial K_m, \partial L_m$ .) Then we can represent each component  $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}$  of a  $q$ -cochain  $f$  by

$$(6) \quad f_{i_0 \dots i_q} = \sum_{k=0}^{q+1} \sum_{0: j_1 < \dots < j_k} I_{i_1 \dots i_k}(f_{i_0 \dots i_q}).$$

**LEMMA 1.** *Consider a domain  $D = K \setminus L$  in the complex plane, where  $K$  is the whole plane and  $L$  is any closed bounded set with  $C^1$  boundary  $\partial L$ . Let  $\phi(z)$  be any analytic function in  $D$  and let  $\psi(z)$  be any continuous function on  $\partial L$  such that*

$$\int_{|z|=R} \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta + \int_{\partial L} \frac{\psi(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = 0 \text{ in } D \cap \{z; |z| < R\}$$

for all  $R$  sufficiently large. Then, for all  $R$  sufficiently large,

$$\int_{|z|=R} \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_{\partial L} \frac{\psi(\zeta)}{\psi - z} d\zeta = 0 \text{ in } D \cap \{z; |z| < R\}.$$

A similar result holds in case  $K$  is a bounded set with  $C^1$  boundary and  $L$  consists of a finite number of points. Using these results, the condition  $\delta f = 0$  implies the following system of equations:

If  $i_0 < \dots < i_h \leq m < i_{h+1} < \dots < i_{q+1}$  for some  $0 \leq h \leq q + 1$ , and if  $j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq m$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq h$ , then

$$(7) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{q-h+1} \sum_{h+1: \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_p} I_{i_1 \dots i_{|j_k|} i_{\lambda_1} \dots i_{\lambda_p}} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{q+1} (-1)^\nu f_{i_0 \dots i_\nu \dots i_q} \right) = 0,$$

where in the third summation  $\nu \neq j_1, \dots, \nu \neq j_k$  and  $\nu \neq \lambda_1, \dots, \nu \neq \lambda_p$ .

To find  $g$  satisfying  $\delta g = f$ , we try to represent  $g_{i_0 \dots i_{q-1}}$  analogously to (6), and then the relation  $\delta g = f$  is a consequence of the following system of equations:

If  $i_0 < \dots < i_{h-1} \leq m < i_h < \dots < i_q$  for some  $0 \leq h-1 \leq q$ , and if  $i_{j_1} < \dots < i_{j_k} \leq m$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq h-1$ , then

$$(8) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{q-h+1} \sum_{h; \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_p} I_{i_{j_1} \dots i_{j_k} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^q (-1)^\nu g_{i_0 \dots i_\nu \dots i_q} \right) - \sum_{p=0}^{q-h+1} \sum_{h; \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_p} I_{i_{j_1} \dots i_{j_k} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} (f_{i_0 \dots i_q}) = 0,$$

where in the third summation of the first term  $\nu \neq j_1, \dots, \nu \neq j_k$  and  $\nu \neq \lambda_1, \dots, \nu = \lambda_p$ .

Using (7) we can solve (8) as follows: If  $i_0 > 1$ , or if  $i_0 = 1, i_{j_1} > 1$  then  $g_{i_0 \dots i_{q-1}} = f_{1 i_0 \dots i_{q-1}}$ . If  $i_0 = i_{j_1} = 1$  and if  $i_1 > 2$  or  $i_1 = 2, i_{j_2} > 2$  then  $g_{i_0 \dots i_{q-1}} = f_{2 i_0 \dots i_{q-1}}$ . We proceed in this manner and finally define, in case  $i_0 = i_{j_1} = 1, \dots, i_{k-1} = i_{j_k} = k, g_{i_0 \dots i_{q-1}} = f_{k+1, i_0 \dots i_{q-1}}$ .

This method extends also to the situations described in (1), (3) above.

*Added in proof.* The relation  $H^{p-2}(X \setminus A, \Theta) \neq 0$  holds if in (3)  $X_1$  and  $A_1$  are both generalized polydiscs. Taking  $\Omega_m = X_m \setminus A_m$  where  $X_m, A_m$  are generalized polydiscs with  $X_m \searrow \bar{X}, A_m \nearrow A$  one derives, for fixed  $1 \leq q \leq n-2$ , examples of domains  $\Omega_m$  with  $\Omega_{m-1} \supset \bar{\Omega}_m$ , such that  $H^r(\Omega_m, \Theta) = 0$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n-2$  but  $H^q(\Omega, \Theta) \neq 0$  where  $\Omega = \text{int}(\lim \Omega_m)$ .

By Dolbeault's theorem,  $H^q(\Omega, \Theta) = 0$  if and only if for any  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  form  $f$  of bidegree  $(0, q)$  with  $\bar{\partial}f = 0$  there is a  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  form  $u$  with  $\bar{\partial}u = f$ . By modifying the proof in [2, p. 29] we find: If for some  $q > 1, \bar{\Omega}_m \subset \Omega_{m+1}, \Omega = \lim \Omega_m, H^r(\Omega_m, \Theta) = 0$  for  $r = q-1, q$ , then  $H^q(\Omega, \Theta) = 0$ . Also if  $H^1(\Omega_m, \Theta) = 0$  and if for any  $u$  holomorphic in  $\Omega_m$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $v$  holomorphic in  $\Omega_{m+1}$  with  $|u-v| < \epsilon$  in  $\Omega_{m-1}$ , then  $H^1(\Omega, \Theta) = 0$ ; this can be applied to  $\Omega_m = X_m \setminus A_m$  as in [1, Theorem 3].

REFERENCES

1. A. Friedman, *On the Cousin problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 737-741.
2. R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, 1965.
3. G. Scheja, *Riemannsche Helbarkeitssätze für Cohomologieklassen*, Math. Ann. **144** (1961), 345-360.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY