

SEMI-REGULAR MAXIMAL ABELIAN SUBALGEBRAS IN HYPERFINITE FACTORS¹

BY SISTER RITA JEAN TAUER

Communicated by R. C. Buck, February 1, 1965

A factor is a ring of operators whose center consists only of scalar multiples of the identity. Murray and von Neumann have defined various kinds of factors, calling a continuous factor with finite trace a type II₁ factor [3], [4]. Dixmier began the detailed study of maximal abelian subalgebras of type II₁ factors. He defined regular, semi-regular but not regular, and singular maximal abelian subalgebras, and showed that at least one of each type exists [2]. His II₁ factors turn out to be hyperfinite in algebraic type. The factors we consider are also hyperfinite. In this note we discuss their semi-regular subalgebras, and present an isomorphism invariant which allows us to obtain new existence results.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a hyperfinite factor, R a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} . For any subring D of \mathfrak{A} , $N(D)$ is the ring generated by all unitaries which leave D invariant, and $N^k(D) = N[N^{k-1}(D)]$. In particular, we let $N(R) = P$. R is semi-regular but not regular iff P is a factor not equal to \mathfrak{A} . In [5] we defined an isomorphism invariant for such subalgebras, which we called *length*. If $R \subset P \subset N(P) \subset \cdots \subset N^L(P) = \mathfrak{A}$, (when $R \neq P \neq N(P) \neq \cdots \neq N^L(P)$) then L is the length of R . By constructing a semi-regular subalgebra R of every length $L = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we obtained an infinite sequence of subalgebras which could not be pairwise connected by *-automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} .

Another possible invariant is *product type*. Suppose R has length L . Then R is of product type α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq L$, iff the following holds: For every t , $1 \leq t \leq \alpha$, there exist S_1 and S_2 in $N^{t-1}(P)^\perp \cap N^t(P)$ such that the product $S_1 S_2 \neq 0$ is in $N^{t-1}(P)^\perp \cap N^t(P)$. But for s such that $\alpha \leq s \leq L$, every T_1 and T_2 in $N^{s-1}(P)^\perp \cap N^s(P)$ have their product $T_1 T_2$ in $N^{s-1}(P)$. (Taking of orthogonal complements is meaningful, for within a II₁ factor, the weak, strong, and Hilbert space (metric) closures of a subalgebra all coincide [4]. The metric topology is based on the norm derived from the scalar product $(A, B) = \text{Tr}(B^*A)$ for A, B in \mathfrak{A} .)

THEOREM 1. *Suppose R and R' are semi-regular but not regular subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} , and R has product type α , while R' has product type*

¹ This work was done as part of NSF Research Participation for College Teachers, the University of Oklahoma, summer, 1964.

$\alpha', \alpha \neq \alpha'$. Then there does not exist a *-automorphism Θ of \mathfrak{A} such that $\Theta(\mathcal{R}') = \mathcal{R}$.

PROOF. We can assume $\alpha' > \alpha$, so that $\alpha' \geq \alpha + 1$. Letting $t = \alpha + 1$ in the definition of product type, we know we can choose S_1, S_2 in $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P}')^\perp \cap N^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{P}')$ such that $S_1 S_2 = S_3 \neq 0$ is in this set also. Suppose there exists Θ such that $\Theta(\mathcal{R}') = \mathcal{R}$. By a standard argument, it follows that $\Theta[N(\mathcal{R}')] = N(\mathcal{R})$ or $N(\mathcal{P}') = \mathcal{P}$, and inductively, $\Theta[N^\alpha(\mathcal{P}')] = N^\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ and $\Theta[N^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{P}')] = N^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{P})$. Let $\Theta(S_i) = T_i$, so that $T_i \in N^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{P})$ for $i = 1, 2$, or 3 . Now if $A \in N^\alpha(\mathcal{P}')$, then $(S_i, A) = 0 = \text{Tr}(A * S_i) = \text{Tr}[\Theta(A * S_i)]$ (since the trace function is unique) $= \text{Tr}[\Theta(A) * \Theta(S_i)] = (\Theta(S_i), \Theta(A)) = (T_i, \Theta(A)) = 0$. As A ranges over $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P}')$, $\Theta(A)$ takes on all values in $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P})$, so we must have T_i in $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P})^\perp$. Thus T_i is in $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P})^\perp \cap N^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{P})$ for $i = 1, 2$, or 3 .

Now $\alpha + 1 > \alpha$, so letting $s = \alpha + 1$ and considering the product type of \mathcal{R} , it follows that $T_1 T_2$ is in $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P})$. But $T_1 T_2 = \Theta(S_1) \Theta(S_2) = \Theta(S_1 S_2) = \Theta(S_3) = T_3$. Since T_3 is in $N^\alpha(\mathcal{P})^\perp$, this leads to a contradiction. ($T_3 \neq 0$ since $S_3 \neq 0$ and Θ is an automorphism.) Therefore we cannot have $\Theta(\mathcal{R}') = \mathcal{R}$.

THEOREM 2. *There are $(L+1)$ semi-regular subalgebras of length L which cannot be pairwise connected by *-automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} . Specifically, these have product types $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$.*

We give an indication of the proof, which is constructive and depends on the results of [5]. For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the matrix units (of all the 2^p by 2^p matrix algebras, where p is an odd multiple of n) are divided into n orthogonal sets. These are called $\mathfrak{N}_0, \mathfrak{N}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{N}_n$, and the set $\mathcal{C}_k = \bigcup_{j=0}^k \mathfrak{N}_j$. The ring $R(\mathcal{C}_k)$ is defined as the weak closure of the algebra generated by matrix units in \mathcal{C}_k . Then for each n and for $0 \leq \alpha \leq n - \alpha$, we construct $R_n(\alpha)$, a semi-regular subalgebra. The chain for $R_n(\alpha)$ is such that $N^t(\mathcal{P}_n(\alpha)) = R(\mathcal{C}_{2t})$ for $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$ and $N^s(\mathcal{P}_n(\alpha)) = R(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+s})$ for $\alpha \leq s \leq n - \alpha$. Since $N^{n-\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_n(\alpha)) = R(\mathcal{C}_n) = \mathfrak{A}$, we have $L = n - \alpha$.

But these properties are sufficient to show that $R_n(\alpha)$ has product type α . So for any $L = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we can take $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, L$, and $n = \alpha + L$. Then, by Theorem 1, there does not exist an *-automorphism Θ of \mathfrak{A} such that $\Theta(R_{\alpha+L}(\alpha)) = R_{\alpha+L}(\alpha')$ when $\alpha \neq \alpha'$.

A generalization of the concept of product type permits one to construct 2^L nonisomorphic semi-regular maximal abelian subalgebras of every length L . However, the construction becomes extremely involved.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
2. ———, *Sous-anneaux abéliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini*, Ann. of Math. **59** (1957), 279–286.
3. F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators*, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 116–229.
4. ———, *On rings of operators*. IV, Ann. of Math. **44** (1943), 716–808.
5. Sister R. J. Tauer, *Maximal abelian subalgebras in finite factors of type II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 281–308.

THE COLLEGE OF ST. CATHERINE, ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA

PURE SUBGROUPS HAVING PRESCRIBED SOCLES

BY PAUL HILL

Communicated by R. S. Pierce, January 22, 1965

Let $B = \sum B_n$ be a direct sum of cyclic groups where, for each positive integer n , $B_n = \sum C(p^n)$ is zero or homogeneous of degree p^n where p is a fixed prime. Denote by \bar{B} the torsion completion of B in the p -adic topology. Following established terminology [1], we refer to \bar{B} as the closed primary groups with basic subgroup B . A primary group G is said to be pure-complete if each subsocle of G supports a pure subgroup of G . A semi-complete group was defined by Kolettis in [6] to be a primary group which is the direct sum of a closed group and a direct sum of cyclic groups.

For a particular B , I exhibited in [3] nonisomorphic pure subgroups H and K of \bar{B} having the same socle. Using this example, Megibben [7] was the first to show the existence of a primary group without elements of infinite height which is not pure-complete. We mention that each semi-complete group is pure-complete [4]. The purpose of this note is to announce the following theorem and corollaries; proofs will appear in another paper.

THEOREM. *Suppose that B is unbounded and countable and that S is any proper dense subsocle of \bar{B} such that $|S| = 2^{\aleph_0}$. Then S supports more than 2^{\aleph_0} pure subgroups of \bar{B} which are isomorphically distinct.*

The theorem has the following implications.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that B is unbounded and countable and that*