

THE EQUIVALENCE, FOR VARIETIES OF SEMIGROUPS, OF TWO PROPERTIES CONCERNING CONGRUENCE RELATIONS

BY E. J. TULLY, JR.¹

Communicated by E. Hewitt, January 29, 1964

An equivalence relation σ on a semigroup S is called a congruence if $a \sigma b$ and $c \sigma d$ imply $(ac)\sigma (bd)$, for all $a, b, c, d \in S$. There is a fairly obvious correspondence between congruences on S and homomorphic images of S . For notational convenience we shall denote by S' the semigroup S with an identity element (if one is not already present) adjoined.

If $a \in S$, there is at least one congruence (viz., the identity relation, having a single element in each of its equivalence classes) for which $\{a\}$ is an equivalence class. Teissier [5] has essentially shown that this congruence is the only one having $\{a\}$ as a class if and only if:

- (1) For each $b, c \in S$ with $b \neq c$, there exist $x, y \in S'$ such that exactly one of the pair xb, cy equals a .

We shall call S *disjunctive* if (1) holds for all $a \in S$. Thus we may say that a disjunctive semigroup is characterized by the property that the identity relation is uniquely determined by each of its classes.

Now suppose σ and ρ are congruences on S . We define a relation $\sigma \circ \rho$, called the product of σ and ρ , by: $a (\sigma \circ \rho) b$ if and only if $a \sigma x$ and $x \rho b$ for some $x \in S$. The assumption that every pair σ, ρ of congruences on S is *permutable* (in the sense that $\sigma \circ \rho = \rho \circ \sigma$) has a number of interesting consequences, e.g., an analogue of the Jordan-Hölder Theorem, in which one speaks of chains of congruences in place of chains of subgroups. See Birkhoff [1], Chapter VI (especially Theorem 5, page 87), where further references are given.

It is easy to see that the two conditions which we have considered (viz., disjunctivity and congruence-permutability) are not equivalent. For let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $n \geq 3$, with the semigroup operation given by: $x \circ y = x + y$ if $x + y \leq n$, $x \circ y = n$ if $x + y > n$. Then S is congruence-permutable, but not disjunctive.

A family V of semigroups is called a *variety* of semigroups if V contains all subsemigroups, all homomorphic images, and all direct prod-

¹ The results reported here were contained in the author's dissertation (Tulane University, 1960) written under the direction of Professor A. H. Clifford.

ucts of elements of V . Malcev [3] studied varieties of general algebraic systems, and raised the question whether, for a variety, disjointness is equivalent to congruence-permutability. Thurston [6] has shown that, for general algebraic systems, the answer is no. The purpose of this note is to announce the following:

THEOREM. *Let V be a variety of semigroups. Then each $S \in V$ is disjointive if and only if each $S \in V$ is congruence-permutable.*

To prove this, one first shows that a disjointive semigroup of more than two elements either is simple (i.e., contains no ideals except S itself) or is 0-simple (i.e., contains a zero element 0 , and no ideals except S and $\{0\}$), and then that a semigroup belonging to a disjointive variety must be a periodic group. Next, it is easy to see that in a congruence-permutable semigroup the ideals form a chain under inclusion. Then one shows that a semigroup belonging to congruence-permutable variety must be simple, and finally must be a periodic group. Thus, one can conclude that for a variety V of semigroups the following are equivalent: each $S \in V$ is disjointive, each $S \in V$ is congruence-permutable, each $S \in V$ is a group, each $S \in V$ is a periodic group.

At several points in the proof known results of semigroup theory (chiefly from Rees [4] and Clifford [2]) are used. Also, some steps can be shortened by using theorems of Malcev [3] and Thurston [6] concerning varieties of general algebraic systems.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1948.
2. A. H. Clifford, *Semigroups admitting relative inverses*, Ann. of Math. (2) 42 (1941), 1037-1049.
3. A. I. Malcev, *On the general theory of algebraic systems*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 35(77) (1954), 3-20. (Russian)
4. D. Rees, *On semi-groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 36 (1940), 387-400.
5. M. Teissier, *Sur les équivalences régulières dans les demi-groupes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 232 (1951), 1987-1989.
6. H. A. Thurston, *Derived operations and congruences*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 8 (1958), 127-134.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS